DOI: 10.1111/epi.17836

LETTER

Epilepsia

Check for updates

Refractory status epilepticus: Is there room for grading refractoriness?

To the Editors:,

We read with interest the work by Dr Beuchat and colleagues, and we congratulate them for providing insights into the outcome of status epilepticus (SE) at successive treatment steps and for clinically confirming the notion of refractory SE (RSE).1

In a post hoc analysis of the SENSE (Sustained Effort Network for treatment of Status Epilepticus) cohort study, the likelihood of SE cessation significantly decreased between the first two and the third treatment lines and remained relatively stable afterward. These findings are clinically relevant because they highlight the possibility of controlling seizure activity after different treatment failures. Similarly, the case fatality and the likelihood of good functional outcome at discharge were significantly different across treatment steps; these findings were explained by the difference between the first two and the third attempts, with no significant difference thereafter.¹ It is, however, worth noticing that there was a tendency toward less favorable outcomes with increasing number of treatments failed; whereas 8% of people with SE responsive after the first two treatments died and 75% had a good functional outcome, the corresponding figures for those treated with more than five antiseizure medications (ASMs) were 26% and 38%.¹

The lack of analyses based on the types of treatments (i.e., ASMs vs. general anesthesia) did not allow exploration of whether differences exist according to the use of anesthetic agents. In a real-world cohort of routine-care SE management based on a stepwise approach, subjects with RSE that resolved with subsequent ASMs had a similar mortality, but a higher rate of poor functional outcome at discharge compared to subjects with responsive SE. Additionally, subjects with RSE that resolved with anesthetic agents were at higher risk of death and worsening of functional status at discharge compared to subjects with RSE that resolved with ASMs.2 In a large, administrative dataset containing medication data, the cohorts of low (i.e., treatment with none or one intravenous ASM

and no intravenous anesthetics), moderate (i.e., treatment with more than one intravenous ASM and no intravenous anesthetics), and high refractory SE (i.e., treatment with at least one intravenous ASM and at least one intravenous anesthetic) were defined.³ High refractory SE was associated with a higher in-hospital mortality compared to moderate and low refractory SE, and the composite endpoint of in-hospital mortality and discharge to hospice was significantly more common in high (25.3%) than moderate (13.6%) and low (7.9%) refractory groups.³

The currently available evidence, despite the limits that allow inferring associations but not causation, suggests that different degrees of refractoriness may better suit the heterogeneity of SE and the spectrum of SE burden and outcome.⁴ Additional, ideally prospective studies including participants matched for their disease rather than treatment preferences and controlling for the effects of potential confounders like etiology⁵ and semiology^{6,7} are needed to identify the best framework of SE refractoriness.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

S.L. has received speaker or consultancy fees from Angelini Pharma, Eisai, GW Pharmaceuticals, Medscape, and UCB Pharma and has served on advisory boards for Angelini Pharma, Arvelle Therapeutics, BIAL, Eisai, GW Pharmaceuticals, and Rapport Therapeutics outside the submitted work. S.M. has received research grant support from the Ministry of Health and the nonprofit organization Fondazione Cassa di Risparmio di Modena; and has received personal compensation as a scientific advisory board member for UCB, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, and Eisai outside the submitted work. We confirm that we have read the Journal's position on issues involved in ethical publication and affirm that this report is consistent with those guidelines.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

© 2023 The Authors. Epilepsia published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of International League Against Epilepsy.

¹Neurological Clinic, Department of Experimental and Clinical Medicine, Marche Polytechnic University, Ancona, Italy ²Neurology Unit, OCB Hospital, AOU Modena, Modena, Italy

³Department of Biomedical, Metabolic, and Neural Science, Center for Neuroscience and Neurotechnology, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy

Correspondence

Simona Lattanzi, Neurological Clinic, Department of Experimental and Clinical Medicine, Marche Polytechnic University, Via Conca 71, 60020 Ancona, Italy. Email: alfierelattanzisimona@gmail.com

ORCID

Simona Lattanzi D https://orcid. org/0000-0001-8748-0083 Stefano Meletti https://orcid. org/0000-0003-0334-539X

REFERENCES

- 1. Beuchat I, Novy J, Rosenow F, Kellinghaus C, Rüegg S, Tilz C, et al. Staged treatment response in status epilepticus - lessons from the SENSE registry. Epilepsia. 2023. https://doi.org/10. 1111/epi.17817 Online ahead of print.
- 2. Lattanzi S, Giovannini G, Orlandi N, Brigo F, Trinka E, Meletti S. How much refractory is 'refractory status epilepticus'? A retrospective study of treatment strategies and clinical outcomes. J Neurol. 2023;270:6133-40. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-023-11929-2
- Guterman EL, Betjemann JP, Aimetti A, Li JW, Wang Z, Yin D, et al. Association between treatment progression, disease refractoriness, and burden of illness among hospitalized patients with status epilepticus. JAMA Neurol. 2021;78:588-95.
- Trinka E, Leitinger M. Management of Status Epilepticus, refractory status epilepticus, and super-refractory status epilepticus. Continuum (Minneap Minn). 2022;28:559-602.
- Lattanzi S, Giovannini G, Brigo F, Orlandi N, Trinka E, Meletti S. Acute symptomatic status epilepticus: splitting or lumping? A proposal of classification based on real-world data. Epilepsia. 2023;64:e200-6. https://doi.org/10.1111/epi.17753
- 6. Lattanzi S, Giovannini G, Brigo F, Orlandi N, Trinka E, Meletti S. Clinical phenotypes within nonconvulsive status epilepticus. Epilepsia. 2021;62:e129-34.
- 7. Lattanzi S, Giovannini G, Brigo F, Orlandi N, Trinka E, Meletti S. Status epilepticus with prominent motor symptoms clusters into distinct electroclinical phenotypes. Eur J Neurol. 2021;28:2694-9.