

### UNIVERSITÀ POLITECNICA DELLE MARCHE Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Agglomeration vs amenities? Unraveling the latent engine of growth in metropolitan Greece

This is the peer reviewd version of the followng article:

Original

Agglomeration vs amenities? Unraveling the latent engine of growth in metropolitan Greece / Carlucci, M.; Polinesi, G.; Salvati, L. - In: ENVIRONMENT & PLANNING. B, URBAN ANALYTICS AND CITY SCIENCE. - ISSN 2399-8091. - 50:9(2023), pp. 2491-2509. [10.1177/23998083231159110]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11566/325911 since: 2025-01-03T10:31:39Z

Publisher:

Published DOI:10.1177/23998083231159110

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. The use of copyrighted works requires the consent of the rights' holder (author or publisher). Works made available under a Creative Commons license or a Publisher's custom-made license can be used according to the terms and conditions contained therein. See editor's website for further information and terms and conditions. This item was downloaded from IRIS Università Politecnica delle Marche (https://iris.univpm.it). When citing, please refer to the published version.

# Agglomeration *vs* Amenities? Unraveling The Latent Engine of Growth in Metropolitan Greece

# 3

# 4 Abstract

5

6 Economic downturns, social change, and migrations shape population expansion and shrinkage, making city life 7 cycles particularly complex over time and intrinsically diversified over space. Identifying local drivers of 8 population change plays a major role when addressing metropolitan cycles of growth and decline, and provides 9 insights to any policy and planning strategy aimed at promoting together local development, economic 10 competitiveness and socio-environmental sustainability at large. Timing of metropolitan cycles is, however, 11 heterogeneous, and reflects the individual development path of any city. Assuming economic downturns and the 12 associated social processes at the base of spatially heterogeneous patterns of population growth and decline in 13 Mediterranean Europe, we adopted a spatial econometric approach investigating short-term and long-term 14 demographic dynamics (1960-2010) in metropolitan Athens (Greece), with the aim at identifying contextual 15 drivers of population change. Spatial regressions evaluated the role of economic and non-economic dimensions of 16 metropolitan growth, quantifying the impact of agglomeration, scale, accessibility, and amenities at different 17 phases of the city life cycle. Settlement models grounded on scale and agglomeration processes – with growing 18 population in high and medium-density municipalities - were observed under economic expansion. Recession 19 consolidated a settlement model with population growth in socially dynamic and accessible (low-density) districts 20 with natural/cultural amenities, reflecting the inherent decline of agglomeration economies. Based on such 21 dynamics, the polarized hierarchy of central and peripheral locations resulting from radio-centric population 22 expansion was replaced with a settlement model grounded on population increase in 'intermediate-density', 23 attractive locations.

24 Keywords: City Life cycle; Scale; Accessibility; Spatial panel; Mediterranean basin.

25

#### 26 1. Introduction

27

28 Understanding local drivers of population gain and loss – and their intimate relationship with economic downturns 29 - plays a major role informing policies of sustainable urban development (Combes et al., 2011; Chien and 30 Woodworth, 2018; Ciommi et al., 2018). Economic cycles were (and still are) a powerful driver of population 31 growth (Chen, 2009; Carson et al., 2016; Carlucci et al., 2018). The intrinsic timing of economic downturns reflects 32 accelerated (or slowed) demographic dynamics depending on the local background (Connaughton, 2010; Dyson 33 et al., 2013; Zambon et al., 2017). Economic booms and busts, with the ensuing changes in local job markets and 34 the enhanced volatility in land and housing prices (Camagni et al., 2017), move internal and international 35 migrations (Stockdale, 2011), reinforce suburbanization (or counter-urbanization) impulses (Czamanski and 36 Broitman, 2018), and fuel gentrification of inner cities as well as re-urbanization processes (Arapoglou and Sayas, 37 2009). 38 A particularly complex evolution of local contexts, typical of contemporary cities, is at the base of less predictable

39 patterns of population redistribution over larger regions – beyond the metropolitan hierarchy consolidated over a

40 long time (Serra et al., 2014; Carlucci et al., 2017; Cuadrado-Ciuraneta et al., 2017). Diverging production

41 structures at the regional scale, the unequal development of central and peripheral locations altering metropolitan 42 hierarchies, asymmetric market-state interactions, demographic transitions and political instability, all contribute 43 to consolidate regional disparities in population density (Berliant and Wang, 2004). These divides were 44 demonstrated to be particularly intense in areas with limited access to infrastructure and reduced accessibility, 45 prevalence of traditional activities, aging, unemployment, as well as low-quality human capital (Dijkstra et al., 46 2015).

47 In this context, external shocks shape socioeconomic dynamics at regional scales, exerting a distinctive impact on 48 local systems that feature a variable ability to resist short-term disturbances when confronted with long-term 49 demographic shrinkage and economic stagnation (Storper, 2011; Colantoni et al., 2016; Frick and Rodriguez-Pose, 50 2018). Earlier studies have started analyzing the relationship between economic downturns, metropolitan cycles, 51 and population dynamics with the aim at identifying demographically resilient regions and the related 52 socioeconomic profile (Maloutas, 2007; Kulu et al., 2009; Lopez-Gay, 2014). The increased variability in population growth rates along time and between different regions calls for the adoption of multi-dimensional 53 54 approaches and spatially explicit analyses that are able to delineate the rising complexity of background contexts 55 (Haase et al., 2010; Kabisch et al., 2011; Kroll and Kabisch, 2012).

- 56 The objective of this work can be traced back to the analysis of metropolitan growth drivers in a context of 57 sustainability and resilience science (Hortas-Rico, 2014). More precisely, we investigate the (apparent and latent) 58 mechanisms of urban growth - evaluated through a spatially explicit analysis of population dynamics - considering 59 push and pull factors (Firmino Costa da Silva et al., 2017). As Glaeser and coworkers (2014) showed in their long 60 term analysis of United States cities, drivers of urban population growth "waxed and waned in importance" over 61 space and time, thus causing demographic dynamics following "different patterns in different times". Thus, spatial 62 and temporal heterogeneity of local responses to centripetal (agglomerative) and, respectively, centrifugal (de-63 concentrative) forces lead to mixed evidence about direction and significance of their effects (Gutiérrez-Posada et 64 al., 2017).
- 65 A particularly intriguing aspect of the interplay between population growth drivers and local contexts transforming
- rapidly over time depends on spatially differentiated impacts of economic downturns on demographic dynamics.
- Earlier studies on this challenging issue (Ciommi et al., 2019; Salvati, 2019; Salvia et al., 2020) have provided
- interesting hints on the nature of linkages between the economic cycle and urban diffusion at different metropolitan
- 69 locations; however, due to the descriptive character of many empirical studies, no causal relationship has been
- 70 inferred. Therefore, these processes are worth to additional investigation, looking deeply into the mechanisms
- behind population dynamics with use of spatial econometric tools modeling population growth drivers and
- settlements' choices. For this reason, we have adopted a spatially explicit analysis of population dynamics in 115
- 73 municipalities of metropolitan Athens (Greece) covering a long time period between the early 1960s and the early
- 74 2010s. We have defined a 'City Life Cycle' (CLC) following the notion of Cuberes (2011) as a sequential set of
- population growth and decline waves displaying heterogeneous economic characteristics and diversified socialand territorial profiles.
- 77 In so doing, we have adopted a holistic approach, choosing a large set of indicators covering social, economic,
- 78 demographic, territorial, environmental, and planning factors that contribute to regulate (positively or negatively)
- 79 CLC progress through a sequence of distinctive development waves (Garcia, 2010; Gil-Alonso et al., 2013;
- 80 Goldstein et al., 2013). Spatial econometric modelling allows an accurate representation of the determinants at the

- base of metropolitan cycles from urbanization to re-urbanization (Klasen and Nestmann, 2006). More precisely,
  we performed two analyses mixing (i) a short-term approach based on repeated cross-section spatial regressions
  (1960, 1970, 1980, 1990, 2000, 2010), identifying distinctive socioeconomic profiles at the base of sequential
  stages of the metropolitan cycle; (ii) a long-term approach based on spatial panel models that take account of the
  regulatory effect of socioeconomic drivers of metropolitan growth representative of the whole cycle.
- 86

# 87 2. Methodology

- 88
- 89 2.1. Study area
- 90

91 We investigated population dynamics at the municipal scale in the Athens' metropolitan region extending 3025 92 km<sup>2</sup> in Central Greece (SM.Figure 1). Boundaries of the study area were defined in accordance with the Urban 93 Atlas (UA) Global Monitoring and Environmental Surveillance (GMES) Copernicus Land initiative (European 94 Environment Agency, 2006). The Athens' metropolitan region is administered by 115 municipalities and local 95 communities, half of which form the Athens-Piraeus' conurbation (430 km<sup>2</sup>) known as the 'Greater Athens' area' 96 (Pili et al., 2017). More than 3.7 million inhabitants (> 1250 inhabitants/km<sup>2</sup>) settled in the study area at the last 97 census (2011). A complete description of the study area was provided in Morelli et al. (2014), Rontos et al. (2016), 98 and Salvati and Serra (2016). A shapefile of municipal boundaries produced and disseminated by the Greek 99 Statistical Authority (ELSTAT) was used to prepare thematic maps and to built-up spatial models as detailed in 100 the following sub-sections.

101 Municipalities have been adopted as representative spatial units in earlier studies investigating urban growth and 102 demographic dynamics in Mediterranean Europe (Cecchini et al., 2019). While spatial planning is a centralized 103 issue in Greece (Giannakourou, 2005), municipalities remain the local authority taking decisions about land 104 destination, building volume, settlement size, land taxation, and other prescriptions influencing population 105 distribution and urban development across metropolitan regions (Chorianopoulos et al., 2014). Moreover, 106 municipalities are the most disaggregated spatial unit allowing combination, integration, and comparison of 107 indicators from official statistics (national censuses, public registers, and other selected surveys) with information 108 derived from external sources (Ciommi et al., 2018). Spatial coordinates (Latitude and Longitude) of each 109 elementary unit considered in this study were measured referring to the 'centroid' of each municipality determined 110 through computation in ArcGIS (ESRI Inc., Redwoods, USA).

111

#### 112 2.2. Logical framework and model specification

113

We assume long-term population dynamics as a proxy of metropolitan growth at the local scale (e.g. Manrubia et al., 1999; Montgomery, 2008; Montalvo et al., 2019). Being easily derivable from demographic censuses (Morelli et al., 2014), population growth rates allow testing the role of socioeconomic profiles characteristic of each municipality (Pili et al., 2017). We also assume metropolitan development as governed by factors exerting multiple feedbacks and interacting with the local context in a complex way (Nechyba and Walsh, 2004; Porter and Howell, 2009; Perez, 2010; Peck, 2012). A statistical analysis run on disaggregated spatial domains may control both aspects, delineating direct (on-site) and indirect (off-site) mechanisms of urban growth (Rodriguez-Pose and

Fratesi, 2007). Identification of (positive and negative) on-site and off-site drivers of metropolitan development benefits from the decomposition of total impacts into direct and indirect (spillover) effects associated with each predictor, a typical result of spatial econometric models (Schneider and Mertes, 2014). Population Growth (G) was thus modeled as a function of 6 dimensions regulating metropolitan development (e.g. Seto et al., 2011): (i) Territory and topography (T), (ii) Environmental attributes (E), (iii) Planning constraints (P), (iv) socio-Demographic dynamics (D), (v) economic Specialization (S), (vi) Land-use and urban functions (L) as

(Equation 1)

127

**128** G = f(T, E, P, D, S, L)

129

130 Following earlier literature (Siedentop and Fina, 2012; Li and Gong, 2016; Salem et al., 2021), each dimension 131 was characterized using appropriate predictors that estimate the net impact on the dependent variable (see below), 132 assumed to be positive, negative, or mixed (Salvati et al., 2019). Model estimation based on spatial econometrics 133 reveals the aggregate (direct and indirect) effect of each predictor controlling for spatial location (Yaping and Min, 134 2009), making direction and intensity of the net predictor's impact completely explicit, and thus refining the 135 interpretation of characteristic (short-term and long-term) development paths (Ruiz et al., 2018). For each stage of 136 the CLC, drivers of population growth were identified using cross-sectional spatial regressions (e.g. Zambon et 137 al., 2018). The most significant forces shaping the whole cycle (1960-2010) were identified analyzing all years 138 together through a spatial panel with homogeneous observations at 6 time points.

139

140 2.3. Elementary data sources and relevant variables

141

142 Per cent (annual) population growth rate by municipality was taken as the dependent variable in this study. 143 Population growth rates were calculated using total population derived from general censuses of Greece carried 144 out by the National Statistical Authority (ELSTAT) every ten years since 1951. The main reason at the base of 145 selecting population growth rates instead of other predictors of metropolitan expansion (e.g. settlement density, 146 urban footprints, building activity) as the dependent variable in our study lies in the substantial stability of the 147 (census) official methodology at the base of field data collection over a long time interval (e.g. Carlucci et al., 148 2018). In this perspective, total population was likely the most reliable (statistical) aggregate collected over 149 demographic censuses in the last decades and especially after World War II, when census techniques stabilized 150 and consolidated in Europe and, more generally, in advanced economies throughout the world (Ciommi et al., 151 2018). This is a reason that justifies the extensive use of total population in empirical works addressing 152 local/regional demographic trends and estimating urban expansion (and metropolitan growth and change) in both 153 advanced economies and emerging countries (Haase et al., 2010; Dyson, 2011; Cuadrado-Ciuraneta et al., 2017). 154 Overall, census data are regarded as having a better quality than administrative sources (e.g. national/regional 155 population registers) - that resulted to be more sensitive to technological development or changes in administrative 156 regulations – and sampling surveys – whose precision is intrinsically associated with sample size, relevant units' 157 selection criteria, and issues of representativeness and scale coverage (e.g. Stockdale, 2016; Reynaud et al., 2020; 158 Benassi et al., 2020). Census data in European statistical systems were released and certified, since a long time, as 159 affected by minor systematic errors – mostly stable over decades (Kroll and Kabisch, 2012; Gil-Alonso et al., 160 2013; Morelli et al., 2014). On the contrary, official statistics from (continuous) administrative data sources and

161 socioeconomic sampling surveys were affected by two types of interacting errors (namely the systematic 162 component (basically associated with recording mistakes) and the random component, associated with sampling 163 errors, poor comparability of recording systems and registration rules over long times, and technological change). 164 Based on these considerations, population census data were considered an appropriate source of information for 165 studies addressing long-term metropolitan growth (Siedentop and Fina, 2012; Zambon et al., 2018; Benassi et al.,

166 2022).

167 Subsequent to the selection of the dependent variable, the candidate predictors of metropolitan growth were chosen 168 with the aim of guaranteeing full comparability both in time and space (e.g. Lerch, 2014), providing detailed 169 information in the analysis' dimensions mentioned above (territorial context, environmental characteristics, 170 planning constraints, socio-demographic dynamics, economic specialization, urban functions and land-use). The 171 analysis of such a detailed space-time horizon, together with a refined identification of impacts referring to each 172 growth stage and to the cycle as a whole, are original and novel aspects of our study in regional science. According 173 with earlier studies (e.g. Di Feliciantonio and Salvati, 2015), predictors were selected to provide a comprehensive 174 assessment of multiple (economic and non-economic) aspects that characterize the evolving structure and 175 functions of a given city (Le Gallo and Chasco, 2008; Kroll and Kabisch, 2012; Parr, 2012). All these variables 176 were made available from official statistics (ELSTAT, Eurostat, European Environment Agency) or derived from 177 digital maps of public use (www.geodata.gov.gr) elaborated with operational tools available in a Geographic 178 Information System.

- 179

#### 180 2.3.1. Analytical dimensions of metropolitan growth

181

182 In line with the approach delineated above (Section 2.2), identification of population growth drivers took account 183 of three operational perspectives (e.g. Chi and Ventura, 2011): (i) factors influential on population growth, (ii) 184 spatial dynamics of population expansion (or decline), and (iii) temporal dimension of change. Accordingly, the 185 choice of the regulatory dimensions of metropolitan growth and the related explanatory variables reflect a 186 necessary compromise between (i) the conceptual relevance of the predictors with respect to the study objective, 187 (ii) the statistical reliability of predictors - such as to ensure full comparability over time and space, and (iii) the 188 availability of basic information necessary for the construction of the individual variables. Being comparable over 189 time, 18 indicators (three for each dimension mentioned in Section 2.2) were considered as representative 190 predictors of urban growth at each survey year (SM. Table 1). Assumed to be a proxy of metropolitan concentration, 191 demographic density is likely the most used indicator quantifying the degree of urbanity (Batty, 2008; Garcia-192 López and Muñiz, 2013; Qiang et al., 2020). The choice of proximity to the sea cost as another predictor of 193 metropolitan growth is consistent with empirical findings on the attractiveness of coastal areas for amenity-driven 194 residential development (Carlino and Sainz, 2019). Taken together, closeness to the sea coastline, average 195 elevation, and environmental attributes - including land cover, soil quality, and climate - delineate the natural 196 landscape of each municipality (Clark et al., 2002).

197 The locally varying interactions between landscape, settlement network, and economic activity may represent one

198 of the most relevant sources of spatial heterogeneity (Yiannakou et al., 2017). In this context, planning constraints

199 play a role in shaping settlement networks (Yaping and Min, 2009); even more in Greece, where the balance

200 between the facilitation of developmental projects/investments - recovering from the late 2000s crisis - and the 201 protection of ecologically fragile ecosystems, has given rise to a variety of local regulatory patterns (Papageorgiou,

- 202 2017). Socio-demographic aspects complete the regulatory dimensions (Salvati and Carlucci, 2017), providing the
- necessary information on social dynamics (at local scale) and demographic processes (at regional scale). A direct
   relationship between marriage/birth rates and the economic cycle resulted from different spatial dynamics
- 205 reflecting the sub-regional heterogeneity of income distribution in Greece (Salvati, 2016).
- 206 Economic specialization was regarded as a factor shaping attractiveness of local contexts and thus catalyzing 207 metropolitan development (Wolff and Wiechmann, 2018). Agglomerations and scale factors at the base of city 208 growth are generally included in such an interpretative dimension (Wu, 2019). However, industrial specialization 209 was also seen, in some cases, as a negative externality of metropolitan expansion determining congestion and dis-210 amenities that fueled short-haul population mobility and suburbanization impulses (Cuadrado-Ciuraneta et al., 211 2017). The shares of industrial, tourism, and other services in total businesses allow tracking the effect of economic 212 specialization (Rontos et al., 2016). In fact, empirical evidence has suggested that place-specific performance of 213 Greek economic sectors designed spatially heterogeneous patterns of regional resilience (Giannakis and 214 Bruggeman, 2015). Reflected in multiple land-use, urban functions are finally considered the result of past 215 dynamics and an important factor regulating metropolitan expansion (Pili et al., 2017). Their net effect varies 216 largely over time and space, depending on the background context (Dembski et al., 2021). In particular, the three 217 indicators considered in this dimension have been extensively used to delineate urban morphology in terms of 218 land-use patterns, such as compactness, fragmentation, and diversification (Di Feliciantonio et al., 2015).
- 219 In this perspective, and based on earlier considerations in Section 2.3, amenities were intended here as desirable 220 (or useful) features or facilities of a place (Berliant and Wang, 2004), e.g. contributing to the pleasantness or 221 attractiveness of a given territory (Carlino and Saiz, 2019) – whose investigation is made difficult by the intrinsic 222 complexity of the definition (Clark et al., 2002). Moreover, the inherent lack in direct information sources and 223 relevant indicators may prevent the comprehensive estimation of an 'all-inclusive' local capital acting as amenities 224 in the study area (e.g. Gkartzios, 2013). However, the selection of indicators detailed above allowed a focus on 225 specific examples (based on proxies) of well-defined place-based capitals (namely, access to nature (protected 226 land), landscape beauty, mild climate, and cultural/tourism attractiveness), that were recognized as relevant 227 amenities in the study area (Cuadrado-Ciuraneta et al., 2017; Salvati, 2020; Salvia et al., 2020).
- 228
- 229 2.4. Spatial econometric analysis
- 230

231 Assuming local-scale population dynamics in metropolitan regions and the underlying socioeconomic context as 232 spatially heterogeneous (Gutiérrez-Posada et al., 2017), a mixed approach was introduced here considering 233 together statistical techniques that use different functional forms modeling spatial heterogeneity in population 234 growth and, for generalization, identifying the main predictors of metropolitan expansion over different time 235 scales. A comparison of the final output of each approach estimating the multivariate relationship between 236 territorial and socioeconomic attributes of local communities, contributes to identify the most relevant variables 237 influencing local-scale population dynamics (Salvati, 2020). Since multi-collinearity may occur in a multiple 238 predictors' dataset, we initially checked for statistical robustness of the model specification based on 6 dimensions 239 influencing population growth and decline and the related 18 predictors of metropolitan development. More 240 specifically, we calculated a Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) for each investigation year in order to identify

- collinearity among predictors. Results presented in SM.Table 2 document a substantially low collinearity rate among predictors, with average VIFs ranging between 1.91 and 2.55 across the time series and no variables overpassing an individual VIF of 7, with a value of 10 considered a diagnostic threshold for a critical level of collinearity among variables. To run model estimation, both the dependent variable and the predictors were standardized by mean subtraction and division by standard deviation of the spatial series.
- 246 We additionally provided some overall measures of multicollinearity going beyond the univariate evaluation 247 provided by VIF for each individual predictor. SM.Table 3 shows different overall measures of multicollinearity 248 diagnostics for the whole ensemble of regressors. Overall measures of collinearity detection include (i) the Red 249 normalized indicator (Kovacs et al., 2015) which uses eigenvalues or quantifies the average correlation of the 250 regressors, (ii) the sum of reciprocal eigenvalues of the sample correlation matrix according to the work of 251 Chatterjee and Price (1977) and (c) Theil's indicator (Theil, 1971), a measure of collinearity based on the 252 incremental contribution  $(R^2-R^2_i)$  to the squared multiple correlation, where  $R^2_i$  represents the  $R^2$  from an auxiliary 253 regression of predictor variables. Red indicator ranges between 0, which indicates absence of redundancy, thus all 254 regressors are mutually uncorrelated and 1, which denotes maximum redundancy. Furthermore, values of the 255 Theil's indicator near to 1 and the sum of reciprocal eigenvalues five times larger than the number of regressors 256 detect collinearity among predictors. Measures reported in SM.Table 3 reject the hypothesis of multicollinearity 257 among regressors for all the time intervals investigated here.
- The relationship between annual population growth rate (dependent variable) and territorial/socioeconomic predictors was analyzed using cross-sectional regressions and spatial panel techniques. More specifically, we run spatially implicit models including a linear Ordinary Least Square (OLS) panel regression taken as a reference estimate, pooled regressions creating dummies for all the cross-sectional units, Generalized Least Squares (GLS) random-effects and between-effects panel regressions, as well spatially explicit panel regressions. The reduced form of a linear model for a given municipality i = 1...N at time t = 1...T is:
- 264

265	$y_i = x_i \beta + \epsilon_i$
	$T \times 1$ $T \times kk \times 1$ $T \times 1$

266

The random-effects model deals individual effects as part of the error term, allowing the inclusion of withinmunicipalities, time-invariant predictors without running into multicollinearity problems associated with the fixedeffects model (Baltagi, 2008). Considering the i - th municipality, the form of a random-effects model is

(Equation 2)

- 271  $y_i = \alpha + x'_i \beta + \mu_i + \epsilon_i$  (Equation 3)
- 272

270

- where  $\alpha_i = \alpha + \mu_i$  is a  $T \times 1$  vector,  $\mu_i$  is the individual-specific random effect measuring the difference between the mean of the dependent variable at municipality *i* and the mean of the dependent variable in the entire area. The between transformation of the random-effects model was obtained expressing all variables as individual time averages.
- Analysis of the spatial structure of population growth rates according to the local Moran's test highlighted a highly
  interconnected context meaning that values of a single variable are strictly attributable to their closer neighbors.
  Spatial autocorrelation is a special case of cross-sectional dependence and refers to the coincidence of value

200			
280	similarity with locational similarity. Specifically, outcome in one area can be affected by outcomes, covariates or		
281	errors in nearby areas meaning that models contain spatial lags of the outcome variable, spatial lags of covariates,		
282	and autoregressive errors, respectively. We denoted, for each period $t = 1T$ , $y_t$ as the $N \times 1$ column vector of		
283	the dependent variable, and $X_t$ as the $N \times k$ matrix of predictors. For each cross-section, the lag operator became		
284	a $N \times N$ matrix W describing the spatial arrangement of the N units computed using planar coordinates of		
285	municipal centroids. Generally, the coefficient $w_{ij} \in W$ denotes the spatial weight associated with units <i>i</i> and <i>j</i> ,		
286	with the diagonal elements $w_{ii}$ conventionally set equal to zero to exclude self-neighbors effects. Based on these		
287	premises, we considered the following approaches:		
288	(i) a Spatial Autoregressive Model (SAR) specified with the form		
289			
290	$y_t = \lambda W y_t + X_t \beta + \mu + \epsilon_t $ (Equation 4)		
291			
292	where $\mu \sim N(0, \sigma_{\mu}^2)$ in the random-effects case.		
293	(ii) a Spatial Autocorrelation Model (SAC) combining SAR with a spatial autoregressive error specified with the		
294	form		
295	$y_t = \lambda W y_t + X_t \beta + \mu + \nu_t $ (Equation 5)		
296			
297	$\nu_t = \rho M \nu_t + \epsilon_t \tag{Equation 6}$		
298			
299	where $M$ is a matrix of spatial weights which may (or may not) be equal to W.		
300	(iii) a Spatial Durbin Model (SDM) including spatially weighted independent variables as predictors, specified		
301	with the form		
302			
303	$y_t = y_t + X_t \beta + W Z_t \theta + \mu + \epsilon_t $ (Equation 7)		
304			
305	Spatial terms $\lambda$ , $\rho$ and $\theta$ measure indirect (spillover) effects between municipalities which decline as the distance		
306	between units increases. In what follows we set $M = W$ and $Z_t = X_t$ . A best-fit estimation of the considered		
307	models using empirical data was evaluated using $R^2$ and pseudo $R^2$ . Best fit model's outcomes are shown in the		
308	following sections for both cross-section and panel analysis.		
309			
310	3. Results		
311			
312	The empirical analysis evaluated the importance of predictors representative of 6 dimensions of metropolitan		
313	growth (territory/topography, environmental attributes, planning constraints, socio-demographic context,		
314	economic specialization, urban functions/land-use) at 6 time points in Athens. The following sections illustrate the		
315	empirical results of (i) cross-section spatial regressions reflecting short-term population dynamics and (ii) a		
316	comprehensive spatial panel delineating long-term mechanisms of metropolitan development in the study area.		
317			
318	3.1. Short-term population dynamics		
319			

320 A generalized significance of Moran's index of spatial autocorrelation justified the extensive use of spatial models

- 321 instead of traditional (spatially implicit) econometric approaches (results available on request from the authors).
- 322 A Spatial Durbin Model (SDM) provided the best-fit estimation of population dynamics for all years considered,
- 323 with pseudo  $R^2$  increasing over time and overpassing 0.8 in the last observation year. Regression coefficients of
- 324 SDM were reported in Table 1 (direct impacts) and Table 2 (spillovers). Other spatially explicit models (such as
- 325 SAR and SAC) provided similar results (sign, intensity and significance of regression coefficients) although with
- 326 a smaller goodness-of-fit, i.e. low adjusted  $R^2$  or pseudo  $R^2$  (results available on request from the authors).
- 327 Population dynamics and metropolitan development primarily responded to agglomeration factors during 328 economic expansions and to non-economic factors – such as amenities – during recession. Moving from the first 329 to the last decades under investigation, traditional forces and contexts underlying population dynamics (e.g. 330 tourism specialization, demographic density, and compact settlements) lost importance and were progressively 331 replaced with territorial and environmental factors, taking relevance in the last two-three decades. For instance, 332 proximity to the sea coast exerted a significant and positive impact on population growth rates only in the most 333 recent times. Regression coefficients suggested how accessibility drove population dynamics under recession, 334 leveraging a process of population redistribution towards 'intermediate-density' locations. This spatial shift was 335 particularly evident when looking at spillovers of environmental and territorial predictors. For instance, the across-336 county spillover effect of a 1 percent increase in the Climate Quality Index (CQI) resulted into an increment of 337 population growth rate by 2.29 percent, on average. With a sparse population increase of 1 percent, population 338 growth rate increased by 5.82 percent on average, highlighting the role of 'intermediate' locations (e.g. reflecting 339 peri-urban settlements) and the attractiveness of peripheral districts rather than urbanities during the most recent 340 recession stage.
- 341

# 342 *3.2. Long-term population dynamics*

343

344 Table 3 compared the results of spatially implicit panel regressions and direct effects of spatially explicit models, 345 spillovers being reported in Table 4. As in the case of cross-section regressions, spatial panels provided the best-346 fit models. Direct impacts reflect how predictors affect the dependent variable within a given municipality at time 347 t, whereas spillovers capture the variation in the dependent variable due to the behavior of predictors in neighboring 348 units. The total effect is the sum of direct and indirect effects. Inhabitants per building and self-contained 349 settlements exerted a negative impact on annual population growth rates. Self-contained settlements reflect a 350 process of urban densification (e.g. brownfield development) instead of the (partial) conversion of non-urban areas 351 into impervious surfaces (e.g. greenfield development), playing a fundamental role contrasting urban sprawl. 352 Population density and crude fertility index positively affected population growth rates. Conversely, marriage rate 353 seems to negatively influence population growth, and this evidence can be explained with the rise in the mean age 354 of marriage - which led to a decline in total fertility rate. In fact, late marriage was associated with issues of 355 unrealized fertility, i.e. desires of fertility at the end of the reproductive career, or the underachievement of fertility 356 aspirations that can have meaningful implications for individuals' well-being as well as for population growth 357 rates. Significance and sign of the coefficients seem to converge for all regressions except for the between-effects 358 model. As in the case of cross-sectional regressions, SDM provided the best-fit estimation of spatial panels (pseudo 359  $R^2 = 0.50$ ). With spillover effects being often significant, the across-county spillover effect of a 1 percent increase

in population density and crude fertility rate incremented population growth rate by 1.42 and 1.53 percent on
 average, respectively. When marriage rate increased by 1 percent, population growth rate reduced by 0.98 percent,
 on average.

363

#### 364 4. Discussion

365

366 Intensity and spatial direction of urban growth in Europe result from varying impacts of social change, 367 heterogeneous territorial contexts, economic expansion and shrinkage (Siedentop and Fina, 2012). Diverging 368 historical settings, migration flows, and households' choices, as far as fertility postponement and pre-marital 369 cohabitation are concerned, influenced local population trends (Lesthaeghe, 2020). Long-term analysis of 370 population distribution over space allowed a deeper insight in the interplay between demographic and 371 socioeconomic dynamics (Camagni et al., 2017). Our study showed a sharp differentiation in population dynamics between urban and suburban districts based on location factors, income, economic specialization, and social 372 373 functions (Storper, 2011). Differential population growth rates were also demonstrated to reduce the 374 socioeconomic divide in central and peripheral locations along the metropolitan gradient (Carson et al., 2016).

375 In the timespan explored in our study, changes related to the first and second demographic transition, intertwined 376 with economic downturns, reflected in alternate swings of Greek development, with sequential waves of 377 urbanization and suburbanization (Petrakos, 1992; Gavalas et al., 2014; Remoundou et al., 2016). At the onset of 378 the study period, Greece shared the characteristic profile of a Mediterranean country, with population and economic activities polarized along urban-rural gradients (e.g. Serra et al., 2014). Pull factors (agglomeration and 379 380 scale) defined a typical pattern of compact urbanization (Tapia et al. 2018). Afterwards, spatial heterogeneity 381 exerted a more intense role in population dynamics, progressively re-shaping the divide in low-density and high-382 density settlements and fostering counter-urbanization towards peripheral areas (Gkartzios, 2013; Gkartzios and 383 Scott, 2015; Zambon et al., 2017).

384 Even preserving the role of urban nodes within a broader network (Chen, 2009), central locations progressively 385 loose importance and coastal districts started attracting new population and economic activities since the 1970s 386 (Salvati et al., 2016). Urban sprawl and suburbanization in the 1980s, linked to new marriage and fertility 387 behaviors, coexisted with more traditional models reflecting socially polarized and dense settlements - resulting 388 in a complex pattern of spatially heterogeneous population growth increasingly decoupled from traditional density 389 gradients (Nechyba and Walsh, 2004). Following a continuous increase of resident population, a less intense gap 390 between central and peripheral areas was observed since the early 1990s, as a result of economic processes and a 391 more mixed social context (Remoundou et al., 2016). A mix of economic factors that includes industrial decline, 392 informal activities in tertiary sectors and strong dependence on subsidies for urban poor (Monastiriotis, 2011), 393 determined population shrinkage in central locations (Koutsampelas and Tsakloglou, 2013), outlining the inherent

394 complexification in the spatial regime of population growth and decline characteristic of metropolitan Athens (Di

**395** Feliciantonio et al., 2018) and, likely, of many other Mediterranean cities (Carlucci et al., 2018).

Economic recession favored population redistribution towards suburban districts and low-density, tourismspecialized areas, reflecting place-specific growth paths less and less associated with agglomeration and scale factors (e.g. Stockdale, 2016). Even if urban-to-rural movements did not substantially alter the metropolitan

399 hierarchy at the regional scale (Salvati, 2016), central locations were less resistant to recessionary shocks than

more peripheral places with dynamic economic sectors, highlighting the contribution of amenities and place specific attractiveness in metropolitan expansion (Clark et al., 2002). These findings suggest that any strategy of
 sustainable development in urban contexts should incorporate measures improving local competitiveness and
 regional attractiveness, avoiding economic shrinkage and population decline (Dyson, 2011; Lerch, 2014;
 Stockdale, 2016).

405 With spatial re-distribution of population being closely linked with economic downturns, our results definitely 406 suggest new developmental paths beyond the traditional dichotomy in compact and dispersed settlements, 407 assigning a key role to 'intermediate' areas in-between central locations and peripheral districts (Pérez, 2010). 408 While economic expansions were still associated with radio-centric and semi-dense metropolitan development 409 (with population increase in large and medium-size cities), recessions were associated to a different growth model, 410 reflecting suburbanization (or even counter-urbanization) processes (Tapia et al., 2018). In this perspective, 411 population dynamics and metropolitan development responded to agglomeration forces especially during economic expansions, being more sensitive to amenities during recessions (Zambon et al., 2017). Regression 412 413 analysis confirmed a complex regional framework - with diversified socioeconomic dimensions going beyond 414 traditional theories linking urbanization with scale and agglomeration economies (Schneider and Mertes, 2014). 415 The empirical findings of spatially explicit econometric models suggest the importance of a comparative analysis 416 of local systems and bring insights on the debate over future population trends along the metropolitan density 417 gradient in economically developed (and socially divided) contexts (Salem et al., 2021). The empirical evidence 418 of our study finally confirm the informative power of a multi-scale investigation of the differential impact of 419 metropolitan cycles and economic downturns in past, present, and future population growth.

420

#### 421 5. Conclusions

422

423 By focusing on the holistic regulation (namely, positive or negative, direct or indirect) of population dynamics on 424 a local scale, our empirical work proposes an innovative framework to urban studies and regional science, mixing 425 a classical econometric approach with an interpretative analysis that goes beyond the economic determinants of 426 metropolitan growth, including relevant (non-economic) factors of change. Regulatory (feedback) mechanisms -427 partially investigated so far at smaller (i.e. low-resolution) spatial scales - outline the inherent complexity of both 428 economic and urban cycles. In line with recent studies documenting the existence of non-linear stages of urban 429 growth, our results contribute to a novel interpretation of population dynamics in metropolitan areas as an adaptive 430 response to regulatory forces that interact on a local scale. The outcome of these forces is a sequence of economic 431 impulses that are heterogeneous in space and diversified over time, which result in largely promiscuous 432 developmental stages characteristic of the individual development of each city. In this perspective, a comparative 433 understanding of the individual paths of urban expansion contributes to an integrated reading of the regulatory 434 factors of metropolitan growth from both historical and spatial perspectives.

435

#### 436 References

437

Arapoglou, V.P., Sayas J. (2009). New facets of urban segregation in southern Europe – Gender, migration and
social class change in Athens. European Urban and Regional Studies 16(4), 345-362.

- 440 Baltagi, B.H. (2008). Econometric analysis of panel data. Chichester: Wiley.
- 441 Batty, M. (2008). The size, scale and shape of cities. Science 319, 769-771.
- 442 Benassi, F., Iglesias-Pascual, R., Salvati, L. (2020). Residential segregation and social diversification: Exploring
- spatial settlement patterns of foreign population in Southern European cities. Habitat International 101, 102200.
- 444 Benassi, F., Naccarato, A., Iglesias-Pascual, R., Salvati, L., Strozza, S. (2022). Measuring residential segregation
- 445 in multi-ethnic and unequal European cities. International Migration.
- 446 Berliant, M., Wang, P. (2004). Dynamic urban models: agglomeration and growth. Contributions to Economic
- 447 Analysis 266, 531-581.
- Camagni, R., Capello, R., Caragliu, A. (2017). Static vs. dynamic agglomeration economies: Spatial context and
  structural evolution behind urban growth. Papers in Regional Science 94(1), 133–159.
- 450 Carlino, G.A., Saiz, A. (2019). Beautiful city: Leisure amenities and urban growth. Journal of Regional Science
  451 59(3), 369-408.
- 452 Carlucci, M., Chelli, F.M., Salvati, L. (2018). Toward a new cycle: Short-term population dynamics, gentrification,
- 453 and re-urbanization of Milan (Italy). Sustainability (Switzerland) 10(9), 3014.
- 454 Carlucci, M., Grigoriadis, E., Rontos, K., Salvati, L. (2017). Revisiting a Hegemonic Concept: Long-term
- 'Mediterranean Urbanization' in between city re-polarization and metropolitan decline. Applied Spatial Analysisand Policy 10(3), 347-362.
- 457 Carson, D.B., Carson, D.A., Porter, R., Ahlin, C.Y., Sköld, P. (2016). Decline, Adaptation or transformation: New
- 458 Perspectives on Demographic Change in Resource Peripheries in Australia and Sweden. Comparative Population459 Studies 41(3-4).
- 460 Chatterjee, S., Price, B. (1977). Regression Analysis by Examples. New York: Wiley.
- 461 Chen, Y. (2009). Urban chaos and perplexing dynamics of urbanization. Letters in Spatial and Resource Sciences462 2, 85.
- 463 Chi, G., Ventura, S.J. (2011). An integrated framework of population change: influential factors, spatial dynamics,
- and temporal variation. Growth and Change 42(4), 549-570.
- 465 Chien, S.S., Woodworth, M.D. (2018). China's urban speed machine: the politics of speed and time in a period of
- 466 rapid urban growth. International Journal of Urban and Regional Research 42(4), 723-737.
- 467 Chorianopoulos, I., Tsilimigkas, G., Koukoulas, S., Balatsos, T. (2014). The shift to competitiveness and a new
- 468 phase of sprawl in the Mediterranean city: Enterprises guiding growth in Messoghia Athens. Cities 39, 133–143.
- 469 Ciommi, M., Chelli, F.M., Carlucci, M., Salvati, L. (2018). Urban growth and demographic dynamics in southern
- 470 Europe: Toward a new statistical approach to regional science. Sustainability 10(8), 2765.
- 471 Ciommi, M., Chelli, F.M., Salvati, L. (2019). Integrating parametric and non-parametric multivariate analysis of
- urban growth and commuting patterns in a European metropolitan area. Quality and Quantity 53(2), 957-979.
- 473 Clark, T.N., Lloyd, R., Wong, K.K., Jain, P. (2002). Amenities drive urban growth. Journal of Urban Affairs 24(5),
  474 493-515.
- 475 Colantoni, A., Grigoriadis, E., Sateriano, A., Venanzoni, G., Salvati, L. (2016). Cities as selective land predators?
- 476 A Lesson on Urban Growth, (Un)effective planning and Sprawl Containment. Science of the Total Environment
- **477** 545-546, 329-339.
- 478 Combes, P.P., Duranton, G., Gobillon, L. (2011). The identification of agglomeration economies. Journal of
- 479 Economic Geography 11(2), 253-266.

- 480 Connaughton, J.E. (2010). Local Economic Impact of the Great Recession of 2008/2009. Review of Regional
- **481** Studies 40(1), 1-4.
- 482 Cuadrado-Ciuraneta, S., Durà-Guimerà, A., Salvati, L. (2017). Not only tourism: unravelling suburbanization,
- 483 second-home expansion and "rural" sprawl in Catalonia, Spain. Urban Geography 38(1), 66-89.
- 484 Cuberes, D. (2011). Sequential city growth: Empirical evidence. Journal of Urban Economics 69(2), 229-239.
- 485 Czamanski, D., Broitman, D. (2018). The life cycle of cities. Habitat International 72, 100-108.
- 486 Davies, S. (2011). Regional resilience in the 2008-2010 downturn: comparative evidence from European countries.
- 487 Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society 4, 369-382.
- 488 Dembski, S., Sykes, O., Couch, C., Desjardins, X., Evers, D., Osterhage, F., Siedentop, S., Zimmermann, K.
- 489 (2021). Reurbanisation and suburbia in Northwest Europe: A comparative perspective on spatial trends and policy490 approaches. Progress in Planning 150, 100462.
- 491 Di Feliciantonio, C., Salvati, L. (2015). 'Southern' Alternatives of Urban Diffusion: Investigating Settlement
- 492 Characteristics and Socio-Economic Patterns in Three Mediterranean Regions. Tijdschrift voor Economische en
- **493** Sociale Geografie 106(4), 453-470.
- 494 Di Feliciantonio, C., Salvati, L., Sarantakou, E., Rontos, K. (2018). Class diversification, economic growth and
- urban sprawl: Evidences from a pre-crisis European city. Quality & Quantity 52(4), 1501-1522.
- 496 Dijkstra, L., Garcilazo, E., McCann, P. (2015). The effects of the global financial crisis on European regions and
   497 cities. Journal of Economic Geography 15(5), 935-949.
- 498 Dyson, T. (2011). Role of the Demographic Transition in the Process of Urbanization. Population and
  499 Development Review 37(s1), 34–54.
- 500 Firmino Costa da Silva, D., Elhorst, J.P., Silveira Neto, R.D.M. (2017). Urban and rural population growth in a
- 501 spatial panel of municipalities. Regional Studies 51(6), 894-908.
- Frick, S.A., Rodríguez-Pose, A. (2018). Change in urban concentration and economic growth. World Development
  105, 156-170.
- Garcia, M. (2010). The breakdown of the Spanish urban growth model: Social and territorial effects of the global
   crisis. International Journal of Urban and Regional Research 34(4), 967-980.
- 506 Garcia-López, M. À., Muñiz, I. (2013). Urban spatial structure, agglomeration economies, and economic growth
- 507 in Barcelona: An intra-metropolitan perspective. Papers in Regional Science, 92(3), 515-534.
- Gavalas, V.S., Rontos, K., Salvati, L. (2014). Who becomes an unwed mother in Greece? Socio-demographic and
   geographical aspects of an emerging phenomenon. Population, Space, and Place 20(3), 250-263.
- 510 Giannakis, E., Bruggeman, A. (2017). Economic crisis and regional resilience: Evidence from Greece. Papers in
- 511 Regional Science, 96(3), 451-476.
- 512 Gil-Alonso, F., Bayona-i-Carrasco, J., Pujadas-i-Rúbies, I. (2013). From boom to crash: Spanish urban areas in a
- billious decade of change (2001–2011). European Urban and Regional Studies 23(2), 198–216.
- 514 Gkartzios, M. (2013). 'Leaving Athens': Narratives of counterurbanisation in times of crisis. Journal of Rural
  515 Studies 32, 158-167.
- 516 Gkartzios, M., Scott, K. (2015). A Cultural Panic in the Province? Counterurban Mobilities, Creativity, and Crisis
- 517 in Greece. Population, Space and Place 21(8), 843–855.
- 518 Glaeser, E.L., Ponzetto, G.A.M., Tobio K. (2014) Cities, skills and regional change. Regional Studies, 48(1), 7-
- **519** 43.

- 520 Goldstein, J., Kreyenfeld, M., Jasilioniene, A., Örsal, D.D.K. (2013). Fertility reactions to the "Great Recession"
- 521 in Europe: Recent evidence from order-specific data. Demographic Research 29, 85-104.
- 522 Gutiérrez-Posada, D., Rubiera-Morollon, F., Viñuela, A. (2017). Heterogeneity in the determinants of population
- 523 growth at the local level: Analysis of the Spanish case with a GWR approach. International Regional Science
- 524 Review, 40(3), 211-240.
- 525 Haase, A., Kabisch, S., Steinführer, A., Bouzarovski, S., Hall, R., Ogden, P. (2010). Emergent spaces of
- 526 reurbanisation: exploring the demographic dimension of inner-city residential change in a European setting.
- **527** Population, Space and Place 16(5), 443–463.
- Hortas-Rico, M. (2014). Urban sprawl and municipal budgets in Spain: A dynamic panel data analysis. Papers in
  Regional Science 93(4), 843-864.
- 530 Jedwab, R., Loungani, P., Yezer, A. (2021). Comparing cities in developed and developing countries: Population,
- 531 land area, building height and crowding. Regional Science and Urban Economics 86, 103609.
- 532 Kabisch, N., Haase, D. (2011). Diversifying European agglomerations: evidence of urban population trends for
- the 21st century. Population, Space and Place 17(3), 236–253.
- Klasen, S., Nestmann, T. (2006). Population, population density and technological change. Journal of Population
  Economics 19(3), 611-626.
- Koutsampelas, C., Tsakloglou, P. (2013). The Distribution of Full Income in Greece. International Journal ofSocial Economics 40, 311-330.
- Kovács, P., Petres, T., Tóth, L. (2005). A new measure of multicollinearity in linear regression models.
  International Statistical Review 73(3), 405-412.
- 540 Kroll, F., Kabisch, N. (2012). The Relation of Diverging Urban Growth Processes and Demographic Change along
- an Urban–Rural Gradient. Population, Space and Place 18(3), 260–276.
- Kulu, H., Boyle, P.J., Anderson, G. (2009). High Suburban fertility: Evidence from Four Northern European
  Countries. Demographic Research 31, 915-944.
- Le Gallo, J., Chasco, C. (2008). Spatial analysis of urban growth in Spain, 1900–2001. Empirical Economics 34(1),
  59-80.
- Lerch, M. (2014). The Role of Migration in the Urban Transition: A Demonstration From Albania. Demography
  51(4), 1527–1550.
- 548 Lesthaeghe, R. (2020). The second demographic transition, 1986–2020: sub-replacement fertility and rising
- 549 cohabitation—a global update. Genus 76, 10.
- Li, X., Gong, P. (2016). Urban growth models: progress and perspective. Science Bulletin 61(21), 1637-1650.
- 551 López-Gay, A. (2014). Population growth and re-urbanization in Spanish inner cities: The role of internal
- migration and residential mobility. Quetelet Journal 2(1), 67-92.
- 553 Maloutas, T. (2007). Segregation, social polarization and immigration in Athens during the 1990s: theoretical
- expectations and contextual difference. International Journal of Urban and Regional Research 31(4), 733-758.
- 555 Manrubia, S.C., Zanette, D.H., Sole, R.V. (1999). Transient dynamics and scaling phenomena in urban growth.
- 556 Fractals 7(01), 1-8.
- 557 Monastiriotis, V. (2011). Making geographical sense of the Greek austerity measures: compositional effects and
- 558 long-run implications. Cambridge Journal of Society, Economy and Regions 4(3), 323-337.

- 559 Montalvo, J., Ruiz-Labrador, E., Montoya-Bernabéu, P., Acosta-Gallo, B. (2019). Rural-urban gradients and
- human population dynamics. Sustainability 11(11), 3107.
- 561 Montgomery, M.R. (2008). The urban transformation of the developing world. Science 319(5864), 761-764.
- 562 Morelli, V.G., Rontos, K., Salvati, L. (2014). Between suburbanisation and re-urbanisation: Revisiting the urban
- 563 life cycle in a Mediterranean compact city. Urban Research & Practice 7(1), 74-88.
- Nechyba, T.J., Walsh, R.P. (2004). Urban sprawl. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 18(4), 177-200.
- 565 Nelle, A., Großmann, K., Haase, D., Kabisch, S., Rink, D., Wolff, M. (2017). Urban shrinkage in Germany: An
- entangled web of conditions, debates and policies. Cities 69, 116-123.
- 567 Papageorgiou, M. (2017) Spatial planning in transition in Greece: a critical overview. European Planning Studies
  568 25(10), 1818-1833.
- 569 Parr, J. B. (2012). The Spatial-Cycle Model (SCM) revisited. Regional Studies, 46(2), 217–228.
- 570 Peck, J. (2012). Austerity urbanism. American cities under extreme economy. City 16(6), 626-655.
- 571 Pérez, J.M.G. (2010). The real estate and economic crisis: An opportunity for urban return and rehabilitation
- 572 policies in Spain. Sustainability 2(6), 1571-1601.
- 573 Petrakos, G.C. (1992). Urban concentration and agglomeration economies: Re-examining the relationship. Urban
- 574 Studies 29(8), 1219-1229.
- 575 Pili, S., Grigoriadis, E., Carlucci, M., Clemente, M., Salvati, L. (2017). Towards Sustainable Growth? A Multi-
- 576 criteria Assessment of (Changing) Urban Forms. Ecological Indicators 76, 71-80.
- 577 Porter, J.R., Howell, F.M. (2009). On the 'Urbanness' of Metropolitan Areas: Testing the Homogeneity
  578 Assumption, 1970–2000. Population Research and Policy Review 28, 589-601.
- 579 Qiang, Y., Xu, J., Zhang, G. (2020). The shapes of US cities: Revisiting the classic population density functions
- using crowdsourced geospatial data. Urban Studies 57(10), 2147-2162.
- 581 Remoundou, K., Gkartzios, M., Garrod, G. (2016). Conceptualizing Mobility in Times of Crisis: Towards Crisis-
- 582 Led Counterurbanization? Regional Studies 50(10), 1663-1674.
- 583 Reynaud, C., Miccoli, S., Benassi, F., Naccarato, A., Salvati, L. (2020). Unravelling a demographic 'Mosaic':
- Spatial patterns and contextual factors of depopulation in Italian Municipalities, 1981–2011. Ecological Indicators,
  115, 106356.
- 586 Rodríguez-Pose, A., Fratesi, U. (2007). Regional Business Cycles and the Emergence of Sheltered Economies in
- the Southern Periphery of Europe. Growth and Change 38, 621–648.
- 588 Rontos, K., Grigoriadis, S., Sateriano, A., Syrmali, M., Vavouras, I., Salvati, L. (2016). Lost in Protest, Found in
- Segregation: Divided Cities in the Light of the 2015 'Oki' Referendum in Greece. City, Culture and Society 7(3),
  139-148.
- Ruiz, D.G., Cuevas, P.D., Braçe, O., Garrido-Cumbrera, M. (2018). Developing an index to measure submunicipal level urban sprawl. Social Indicators Research 140(3), 929-952.
- 593 Salem, M., Bose, A., Bashir, B., Basak, D., Roy, S., Chowdhuri, I.R., Alsalman, A., Tsurusaki, N. (2021). Urban
- expansion simulation based on various driving factors using a logistic regression model: Delhi as a case study.
- **595** Sustainability 13(19), 10805.
- 596 Salvati, L. (2016). The dark side of the crisis: disparities in per-capita income (2000-2012) and the urban-rural
- 597 gradient in Greece. Tijdschrift voor Economische en Sociale Geografie 107(5), 628-641.

- Salvati, L. (2019a). Bridging the divide: Demographic dynamics and urban–rural polarities during economic
  expansion and recession in Greece. Population, Space and Place 25(8), e2267.
- Salvati, L. (2020). Residential mobility and the local context: Comparing long-term and short-term spatial trends
  of population movements in Greece. Socio-Economic Planning Sciences, 72, 100910.
- 602 Salvati, L., Carlucci, M. (2017). Urban growth, population, and recession: Unveiling multiple spatial patterns of
- demographic indicators in a Mediterranean City. Population, Space and Place 23, e2079.
- 604 Salvati, L., Ciommi, M.T., Serra, P., Chelli, F.M. (2019). Exploring the spatial structure of housing prices under
- 605 economic expansion and stagnation: The role of socio-demographic factors in metropolitan Rome, Italy. Land Use
- 606 Policy 81, 143-152.
- Salvati, L., Sateriano, A., Grigoriadis, S. (2016). Crisis and the City: Profiling Urban Growth under Economic
  Expansion and Stagnation. Letters in Spatial and Resource Science 9(3), 329-342.
- 609 Salvati, L., Serra, P. (2016). Estimating rapidity of change in complex urban systems: A multidimensional, local-
- 610 scale approach. Geographical Analysis 48(2), 132-156.
- 611 Salvia, R., Egidi, G., Salvati, L., Rodrigo-Comino, J., Quaranta, G. (2020). In-Between 'Smart' Urban Growth and
- 612 'Sluggish' Rural Development? Reframing Population Dynamics in Greece, 1940–2019. Sustainability 12, 6165.
- 613 Schneider, A., Mertes, C.M. (2014). Expansion and growth in Chinese cities, 1978–2010. Environmental Research
- 614 Letters 9(2), 024008.
- 615 Serra, P., Vera, A., Tulla, A.F., Salvati, L. (2014). Beyond urban–rural dichotomy: Exploring socioeconomic and
  616 land-use processes of change in Spain (1991–2011). Applied Geography 55, 71-81.
- 617 Seto, K.C., Fragkias, M., Güneralp, B., Reilly, M. K. (2011). A meta-analysis of global urban land expansion.
  618 PloS one 6(8), e23777.
- 619 Siedentop, S., Fina, S. (2012). Who sprawls most? Exploring the patterns of urban growth across 26 European
- 620 countries. Environment and Planning A 44, 2765-2784.
- 621 Stockdale, A. (2016). Contemporary and 'Messy' Rural In-migration Processes: Comparing Counterurban and
- 622 Lateral Rural Migration. Population, Space and Place 22(6), 599–616.
- 623 Storper, M. (2011). Why do regions develop and change? The challenge for geography and economics. Journal of
- 624 Economic Geography 11, 333-346.
- 625 Tapia, F.J.B., Díez-Minguela, A., Martinez-Galarraga, J. (2018). Tracing the evolution of agglomeration
- economies: Spain, 1860–1991. The Journal of Economic History 78(1), 81-117.
- 627 Theil, H. (1971). Principles of Econometrics. New York: Wiley0.
- 628 Wolff, M., Wiechmann, T. (2018). Urban growth and decline: Europe's shrinking cities in a comparative
- 629 perspective 1990–2010. European Urban and Regional Studies, 25(2), 122–139.
- 630 Wu, J. (2019). Agglomeration: Economic and Environmental Impacts. Annual Review of Resource Economics
- **631** 11, 419-438.
- 632 Yaping, W., Min, Z. (2009). Urban spill over vs. local urban sprawl: Entangling land-use regulations in the urban
- growth of China's megacities. Land Use Policy 26(4), 1031-1045.
- 634 Yiannakou, A., Eppas, D., Zeka, D. (2017). Spatial interactions between the settlement network, natural landscape
- and zones of economic activities: A case study in a Greek region. Sustainability 9(10), 1715.
- 636 Zambon, I., Benedetti, A., Ferrara, C., Salvati, L. (2018). Soil Matters? A Multivariate Analysis of Socioeconomic
- 637 Constraints to Urban Expansion in Mediterranean Europe. Ecological Economics 146, 173-183.

- **638** Zambon, I., Serra, P., Sauri, D., Carlucci, M., Salvati, L. (2017). Beyond the 'Mediterranean City': Socioeconomic
- 639 Disparities and Urban Sprawl in three Southern European Cities. Geographiska Annaler B 99(3), 319-337.