

UNIVERSITÀ POLITECNICA DELLE MARCHE Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Phytoplankton and environmental drivers at a long-term offshore station in the northern Adriatic Sea (1988–2018)

This is the peer reviewd version of the followng article:

Original

Phytoplankton and environmental drivers at a long-term offshore station in the northern Adriatic Sea (1988–2018) / Neri, Francesca; Romagnoli, Tiziana; Accoroni, Stefano; Campanelli, Alessandra; Marini, Mauro; Grilli, Federica; Totti, Cecilia. - In: CONTINENTAL SHELF RESEARCH. - ISSN 0278-4343. - 242:(2022). [10.1016/j.csr.2022.104746]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11566/313994 since: 2024-03-26T17:20:10Z

Publisher:

Published DOI:10.1016/j.csr.2022.104746

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. The use of copyrighted works requires the consent of the rights' holder (author or publisher). Works made available under a Creative Commons license or a Publisher's custom-made license can be used according to the terms and conditions contained therein. See editor's website for further information and terms and conditions. This item was downloaded from IRIS Università Politecnica delle Marche (https://iris.univpm.it). When citing, please refer to the published version.

Abstract

 A long term (1988–2018) data set of phytoplankton and physico-chemical parameters was analyzed for the first time in an offshore station of the LTER Senigallia-Susak transect (northern Adriatic Sea) not directly affected by the coastal nutrient input. The mean annual cycle of phytoplankton markedly differed from that observed in the coastal areas, showing the maximum in June and the minimum in November. The main component of phytoplankton community was represented by phytoflagellates, whose trend paralleled that of total phytoplankton. On average, diatoms peaked in July, dinoflagellates in June and coccolithophores in April. The phytoplankton maximum in summer is 29 explained mainly by the allochthonous input of DIN and PO₄ carried by the low salinity waters expanding eastward, during the stratification of water column. Resuspension processes seem to be less effective for PO⁴ than for DIN. The most representative phytoplankton taxa for each season as indicated by the IndVal analysis were identified and were only in part similar to those observed in the coastal area. The interannual anomaly trend showed a significant increase of temperature, DIN and phosphates. Although no significant changes were found for the total phytoplankton, a reduction of winter dinoflagellate and coccolithophore abundances was observed.

Keywords

Phytoplankton, interannual variability, LTER-long-term ecosystem research, biodiversity,

biological oceanography

1. Introduction

 Phytoplankton community dynamics are considered as a key indicator to detect changes in the marine ecosystems as they show a rapid turnover, and they are directly related to the abiotic parameters. For these reasons, phytoplankton diversity and temporal changes are included in the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD) as an indicator for the Good Environmental Status assessment (MSFD, 2008/56/EC). In the light of this, long-term studies focusing on the status of biological communities and environmental conditions in pelagic habitats are strategic and precious tools, as they allow to detect changes and trends and they serve as baseline for the GES definition.

 The Northern Adriatic (NA), one of the most productive areas of the Mediterranean (D'Ortenzio and Ribera d'Alcalà, 2009), is highly influenced by river floods that affect both the circulation and the trophic state (Campanelli et al., 2011; Cozzi and Giani, 2011), by introducing a large amount of both inorganic and organic nutrients (Brush et al., 2021; Degobbis et al., 2000; Marini et al., 2008). These low-salinity and nutrient-rich waters affect the NA trophic state in different ways depending on the stability conditions of water column: during the stratification regime (spring-summer), the Po River outflow spreads horizontally at the surface layer forming a plume that affect a great part of the NA (Viličić et al., 2013), while during mixing regime (autumn-winter) the plume is less pronounced, and the freshwater input is mainly deviated southward by the Western Adriatic Current (WAC). As a result, the position of frontal system, separating the low salinity eutrophic waters from the high salinity oligotrophic ones, is highly dynamic and dependent on the above-mentioned conditions (Franco and Michelato, 1992). Another factor affecting the nutrient cycle in the NA is the general water masses' circulation that is strongly influenced by winds, with significant differences between winter, mainly Sirocco (SE) and Bora (NE) and summer mainly Mistral (NW) (Russo and Artegiani, 1996). In particular, the cold Bora wind, occurring frequently in fall and winter seasons, plays a significant role in determining the cooling and mixing of the water column and in the formation of Northern Adriatic Dense Water (NAdDW), flowing then southward (Bergamasco et al., 1999; Marini et al., 2006; Vilibić and Supić, 2005).

 In the Adriatic Sea, four subregions have been recognized so far, based on their differences in terms of trophic state, phytoplankton biomass and annual trend (Fonda Umani, 1996; Franco and Michelato, 1992): (i) the NA, including a narrow coastal belt along the western coast, which is characterized by high production, (ii) the open waters characterized by low production, (iii) the eastern coastal waters with moderate production and (iv) the zones (lagoons and embayments) under strong coastal influences.

 The bulk of knowledge about phytoplankton trends and community composition of the NA is concentrated in coastal areas of both western (Bernardi Aubry et al., 2004, 2006, 2012; Penna et al., 2004; Pugnetti et al., 2004, 2008; Totti et al., 1999, 2005; 2019; Zoppini et al., 1995) and eastern (Cabrini et al., 2012; Cerino et al., 2019; Fuks et al., 2012; Mozetič et al., 1998, 2010, 2012; Viličić et al., 2009) sides, where monitoring activities are easier and more frequent than offshore, where data are collected with an irregular frequency. The phytoplankton annual cycle varies among the NA areas. Along the northwestern coast, there is an intense diatom winter bloom, which is responsible for the highest values of abundance and biomass of the year, followed by classic spring and autumn blooms (Bernardi Aubry et al., 2004; Totti et al., 2019). Instead, the eastern part of the NA is characterized by typical spring and autumn blooms (Cerino et al., 2019; Marić et al., 2012). Anyway, at interannual scale, several studies have reported changes in the phytoplankton annual maximum and shifts in the seasonality of blooms in the entire NA (Cerino et al., 2019; Marić et al., 2012; Mozetič et al., 2010; Totti et al., 2019).

 The Senigallia-Susak transect (SS), located in the lower part of the NA, represents a LTER (Long- Term Ecosystem Research) site, where physico-chemical parameters and phytoplankton abundance, 87 biomass and species composition have been recorded since 1988. In the SS, the WAC is sharper than in the northernmost part of the NA (Russo and Artegiani, 1996), and the position of the frontal system separating nutrient rich coastal waters from oligotrophic offshore waters is located near the 10 nM. In this study, we analysed a long-term data set referred to a station located at 15 nM, beyond the border of the WAC, with the aim to depict (i) the temporal variability of physico-chemical parameters and phytoplankton both at an intra-annual and interannual scale, (ii) the phytoplankton community

 composition and (iii) the relationships between phytoplankton and environmental parameters in an offshore area not directly affected by the coastal nutrients input.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Sampling

 Sampling was carried out at the SG05 station (43°54.460 N, 13°26.941 E) (bottom depth 55 m) located at 15 nM from the Italian coast (Fig.1) on board of several oceanographic vessels (S. Lo Bianco, G. Dallaporta, Tethis, Copernaut Franca, Urania, Alliance, Minerva, Bannock, D'Ancona) since July 1988 to December 2018 with a variable time frequency (from monthly to quarterly) and with periods of interruptions the longest of which was between 2003 and 2012 for phytoplankton sampling. A total of 11,339 samples were collected. Sampling dates for each parameter are indicated in the Table S1.

 From 1988 to 1991, Conductivity-Temperature-Depth (CTD) data were acquired by a Neil Brown Instrument System (NBIS), while after 1992 with a SeaBird Electronic SBE 911plus. Dissolved oxygen was directly analyzed on board according to Winkler (1888), and samples were immediately fixed and stored in the dark and analyzed within 24 h. From 1988 to 1998 the oxygen concentrations were determined using titration and then after 1998 by potentiometric method (Furuya and Harada, 1995).

111 Water samples for determination of dissolved inorganic nutrients (nitrite- $NO₂$, nitrate- $NO₃$, 112 ammonia-NH₄, orthophosphate-PO₄ and orthosilicate-Si(OH)₄) and for phytoplankton analysis were collected by Rosette system equipped with Niskin bottles. Filtration was done directly on board and significant sample alterations were excluded as: (i) as soon as the Niskin bottle was on board, water was sampled with a closed filtration system without any contact with air, (ii) the filtrated was closed in a 4 ml compressed polyethylene tube and rapidly frozen, (iii) unfreezing phase was as rapidly and the analysis was done directly on the tube, without further pouring. Sampled quotes for nutrients and phytoplankton were: surface (0-5 m layer), 1-2 intermediate depths, generally at the base of mixed layer and the maximum fluorescence depth (between 10 and 40 m) and 1 m above the bottom (54 m).

 Samples for nutrient analysis were filtered (GF/F Whatman, 0.7 μm), and stored at −22 °C in polyethylene vials until analysis. Water samples for phytoplankton analysis were collected in 250 ml dark glass bottles and preserved by adding 0.8% formaldehyde (prefiltered and neutralized with hexamethylenetetramine) (Throndsen, 1978) until analysis.

 Fig. 1. Map of the study area indicating the position of sampling station. In the small box, the Senigallia-Susak transect is shown and the study station is indicated by the red color.

2.2 Nutrient analysis

 Nutrient analysis was carried out using a Perkin Elmer spectrophotometer 550A model in the period 1988 to 1998, an autoanalyzer TRAACS 800 BRAN+LUEBBE in 1999 to 2005 and an autoanalyzer QUAATRO Technicon (Strickland and Parsons, 1972) after 2005. Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen 132 (DIN) is intended as the sum of $NO₂$, $NO₃$ and $NH₄$ concentrations.

-
- *2.3 Phytoplankton analysis*

 Identification and counting of phytoplankton were carried out using an inverted microscope (ZEISS Axiovert 135) equipped with phase contrast, following the Utermöhl method (Edler and Elbrachter, 2010). Counting was carried out at 400x magnification, along transects or in random visual fields, depending on cell abundance, to count a minimum of 200 cells. Moreover, a half of the Utermöhl chamber was analyzed at 200x magnification for a more precise estimation of less abundant microphytoplanktonic taxa.

 Phytoplankton taxa were grouped into major groups (diatoms, dinoflagellates, coccolithophores, 142 phytoflagellates and others), and abundances were expressed as cells $1⁻¹$. Biomass measurements through biovolumes did not cover all the sampling period, therefore they were not considered in this study. Dinoflagellates were considered as a taxonomical group and both autotrophic and heterotrophic species were included in counting. Phytoflagellates are an informal group that includes haptophytes (except coccolithophores), cryptophytes, chrysophytes, dictyochophytes, raphidophytes, chlorophytes and euglenophytes. Others include cyanophytes and *incertae sedis*.

2.4 Statistical analysis

 The surface layer (0-5 m) was considered for the statistical analysis. The interannual anomalies of physico-chemical parameters were calculated by the difference between each monthly value and the mean monthly value of the entire series. Then linear regression was calculated by means of the ordinary least square method. Unfortunately, due to the interruption in the phytoplankton sampling from 2003 to 2012, we could not analyze the interannual variability of phytoplankton using the same method as for physico-chemical parameters. Therefore, we compared, in terms of phytoplankton abundances, two periods (1988–2002 and 2013–2018), by a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Abundances were ranked transformed prior to analysis. When significant differences for the main effect were observed (*p* < 0.05), a Tukey's pairwise comparison test was also performed.

 Relationships between environmental parameters and phytoplankton group abundances were studied through Principal Component Analysis (PCA) performed on a correlation matrix of ranked monthly values of physico-chemical parameters, as active variables, and phytoplankton group abundances, as

 supplementary variables. Furthermore, Pearson's correlations were performed on the same ranked-transformed variables.

 The above statistical analyses were conducted using Statistica 12 (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA) software.

 To identify phytoplankton key species, the Indicator Value (IndVal) was applied, which combines the relative abundance of a species with its relative frequency of occurrence in a given period (Dufrêne and Legendre, 1997). The IndVal was here calculated on a seasonal basis, considering climatological seasons, as done in other oceanographic studies (Bernardi-Aubry et al., 2006; Grilli et al., 2005, 2020; Totti et al., 2019): winter = January, February, March; spring = April, May, June; summer = July, August, September; autumn = October, November, December.

-
- **3. Results**
- *3.1 Physico-chemical parameters*

3.1.1 Temporal variability in the water column

 The time evolution of physico-chemical parameters in the water column from 1988 to 2018 at the station SG05 is shown in Figs. 2 and 3.

 Temperature (Fig. 2A) showed a strong interannual signal. An overall increase of temperature can be visually detected, with prolonged cooler periods in the bottom layer at the beginning of the series (e.g., 1988–1994) and shorter periods of warmer temperatures more frequent in the second part of the series (e.g., 2000–2001, 2007, 2014 and 2017). Maximum values (around 26-27 °C) at the surface were reached in summer 1998, 2000 and 2012. Regarding the salinity, prolonged periods of high salinity (i.e., >38) were observed below 10 m depth (e.g., 1988–1991, 2002–2007 and 2012–2013, 2015–2018), interrupted by shorter periods of lower salinity values (e.g., 1996, 1998–1999, 2001 and 2009–2011, 2014) (Fig. 2B).

186 Seawater density (Fig. 2C) showed values higher than 29.2 kg m^{-3} (considered the threshold for the

Adriatic dense waters, Artegiani et al., 1989) measured from 30 m down to the bottom in winter 1993,

188 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2017 and 2018. The highest value (>29.6 kg m⁻³ corresponding to NAdDW)

 was recorded at the bottom layer in 2012 in accordance with the well documented exceptional dense water formation on the Adriatic shelf (Mihanović et al., 2013).

 Oxygen saturation showed values under 100% in the bottom layer of the entire series and along the water column in 1999, 2010-2011 and 2013-2014. The deeper part of the station SG05 with lower

dissolved oxygen values (Fig. 2D) was characterized by higher concentration of Si(OH)⁴ (Fig. 3D).

195 Fig. 2. Temporal and vertical variations of (A) temperature ($\rm{°C}$), (B) salinity, (C) density (kg m⁻³), 196 where the 29.2 kg m⁻³ isoline is thicker marked, and (D) dissolved oxygen (% sat) at station SG05.

 Nutrient concentrations in the water column along the study period are shown in Fig. 3. High concentrations of DIN (Fig. 3A) were recorded in correspondence of lower salinity values (Fig. 2B) related to the higher Po River flow (not shown) in November 2010, May 2013, and November 2014. In winter 2012, a cold and high-salinity water mass with high DIN values was observed at 20 m depth (Fig. 3A), and this water mass extended even eastward (data not shown). Time evolution of orthophosphate (Fig. 3B) showed higher values in the last decade. High values were often observed below 40 m depth, and at 0-10 m layer in December 2007 and June 2008, corresponding to relatively 205 low salinity values. Concentrations of silicate were higher (> 3 μM) below the 30 m depth, with peaks 206 in 2001, 2010 and 2014. Only in 1989 a higher value (6 μ M) was recorded at 10 m (Fig. 3C).

- 208 Fig. 3. Temporal and vertical variations of (A) Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen (DIN), (B)
- 209 orthophosphate (PO₄) and (C) orthosilicate (Si(OH)₄) concentrations (μ M).
- 210
- 211 3.1.2 Mean annual cycle
- 212 The annual cycle of physico-chemical parameters at the surface layer is shown in Fig. 4, where mean
- 213 monthly values (mean \pm standard error) are shown.
- 214 Seawater temperature showed minimum mean values in February (11.7 \pm 0.3 °C) and maximum mean
- 215 values in August $(24.8 \pm 0.4 \degree C)$ (Fig. 4A).

216
217 Fig. 4. Mean annual cycle of physico-chemical parameters calculated on a mean monthly basis from 1988 to 2018 in SG05 station at surface: (A) water temperature (°C), (B) salinity, (C) density (kg m-218 219 3), (D) oxygen saturation (%), (E) Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen (DIN, μ M), (F) orthophosphate (PO₄, 220 μ M) and (G) silicate concentrations (Si(OH)₄, μ M). Box plots report the data distribution with the 221 mean $(+)$, the median $(□)$, the interquartile range (box), the non-outlier range (vertical bars), the 222 outliers (\circ) and the extremes $(*).$

- 223 Salinity showed the maximum in February (38.43 \pm 0.06), followed by a decreasing trend, reaching
- 224 the minimum in June (35.84 \pm 0.53), after which an increasing trend was observed (Fig. 4B).
- 225 The mean annual trend of water density at surface showed the highest values in February (29.31 \pm
- 226 0.08 kg m⁻³), then a decrease was observed in spring and the minimum (24.38 \pm 0.38 kg m⁻³) was
- 227 recorded in July (Fig. 4C).
- 228 On average, at surface dissolved oxygen showed oversaturation values (above 100%) from April to 229 August (Fig. 4D) indicating a predominance of oxygen production than consumption.
- 230 The mean annual cycle of Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen (DIN) showed the minimum values in
- 231 September (0.95 \pm 0.21 µM), and an increase in spring and autumn, with maximum in October (3.19
- 232 \pm 1.54 µM, Fig. 4E). Orthophosphate showed the minimum in May (0.03 \pm 0.01 µM) and maximum
- 233 in June (0.13 \pm 0.06 µM) followed by a decrease in summer and a new peak in September (Fig. 4F).
- 234 Silicate values ranged from $0.85 \pm 0.15 \mu$ M in March and $3.23 \pm 0.78 \mu$ M in January (Fig. 4G).
- 235 On a mean monthly basis, the N:P ratio showed lower values in summer with the minimum in July 236 (23.42 \pm 6.83), whilst the maximum was found in October (143.41 \pm 81.23) (Fig. S1A). Regarding 237 the Si:N ratio, the lowest values were observed in winter (minimum in February, 0.66 ± 0.20), 238 followed by an increase in spring with the maximum in June (6.35 ± 3.11) , high values in summer 239 and a new peak in October (Fig. S1B).
- 240
- 241 3.1.3 Interannual trend
- 242 The trends of physico-chemical parameters in terms of anomalies are shown in Figure 5. Temperature 243 anomaly (Fig. 5A) showed a significant increase ($p < 0.05$). On the contrary, the salinity trend did 244 not reveal any significant interannual variations (Fig. 5B).
- 245 Regarding nutrients, both DIN and PO₄ (Fig. 5C,D) showed a significantly increasing trend $(p < 0.01)$,
- 246 whilst silicate (Fig. 5E) did not reveal any significant interannual variations. Considering N:P and
- 247 Si:N ratios (Fig. S1C,D), no significant interannual trend was observed $(p > 0.05)$.
- 248

 Fig. 5. Trend of anomalies of physical and chemical parameters at surface layers: (A) water 251 temperature (° C), (B) salinity, (C) Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen concentrations (DIN) (μM), (C) 252 PO₄ concentration (μ M) and (E) silicate concentration (μ M).

-
- *3.2 Phytoplankton*
- 3.2.1 Temporal variability in the water column
- The vertical distribution of phytoplankton is showed in Figure S2. Diatom abundances (Fig. S2A)
- showed that in the period 1988 to 1998 higher values were observed in the 0–20 m layer than in the
- underlying water column, while in the period 2013–2018 high abundances were concentrated in a

 narrower layer (i.e., 0–10 m). Subsurface maxima were found in the layer 20–30 m in June 2002 and in December 2018. Lower abundances were observed in years 2013 and 2015.

 Dinoflagellates showed higher values in the upper water column than in the underlying layers, particularly in the 1988–2002 period and in 2018 (Fig. S2B). A subsurface maximum (20 m) was observed in June 2002. The lowest values were found below 35 m since 2015 (minimum in autumn 2017, 40m).

- The vertical distribution of coccolithophores abundance showed that the highest values were observed in the mid water column and at bottom, from 1988 to 2000 (Fig. S2C). In the second period, very low 267 values were found from 2013 to 2015, particularly at bottom, and from 2016 coccolithophore maxima occurred at surface.
- Phytoflagellates (Fig. S2D) were always the most abundant group. Their vertical distribution showed several subsurface maxima that occurred with those of diatoms.
-

3.2.2 Mean annual cycle

 The annual cycle (on a monthly basis) of phytoplankton groups calculated at the surface layer from 1988 to 2018 in SG05 station is shown in Fig. 6. Considering the total phytoplankton (Fig. 6A), the 275 annual maximum was observed in June $(1,838,830 \pm 400,360 \text{ cells } 1^{-1})$, whilst the minimum was 276 recorded in November (533,496 \pm 71,092 cells l⁻¹). Phytoflagellates were the most abundant group throughout the year representing from 44 to 78 % of the total community. The minimum contribution of phytoflagellates was observed in July when diatom maximum occurred. Diatoms represented the second group with a percent contribution ranging from 13 to o 45%. Dinoflagellate and coccolithophore contribution ranged from 3 to 5% and from 1 to 5% respectively.

282 Fig. 6. Mean annual cycle of phytoplankton abundance (cells l^{-1}) calculated on a mean monthly basis 283 from 1988 to 2018 in SG05 station at surface: (A) total phytoplankton, (B) diatoms, (C) 284 dinoflagellates, (D) coccolithophores and (E) phytoflagellates. Box plots report the data distribution 285 with the mean $(+)$, the median $(□)$, the interquartile range (box), the non-outlier range (vertical bars), 286 the outliers (\circ) and the extremes $(*).$

287

288 Observing the mean annual trend of diatoms (Fig. 6B), low values were observed in winter months,

289 then abundances increased in spring reaching their maximum in July $(526,278 \pm 234,168 \text{ cells } l^{-1})$.

- 290 At the end of summer, values decreased, and in August minimum was observed $(83,125 \pm 27,492)$
- cells l^{-1}). A second peak was found in autumn (October, $228,213 \pm 75,201$ cells l^{-1}).
- 292 Dinoflagellate abundances showed minimum values in February (16,496 \pm 2,670 cells l⁻¹) and an 293 increase in spring, showing the maximum in June $(72,381 \pm 14,495 \text{ cells } l^{-1})$ (Fig. 6C).

The mean annual cycle of coccolithophores was characterize by minimum values in summer (August,

- 295 $5,060 \pm 1,201$ cells l⁻¹), an increase in autumn and winter, and the maximum in April (55,192 \pm 38,987 296 cells l^{-1}) (Fig. 6D).
- Being the dominant group in the total community, phytoflagellates' trend paralleled that of total 298 phytoplankton, with the annual peak in June $(1,110,694 \pm 300,909$ cells 1^{-1}) and the minimum in 299 November $(294,238 \pm 45,046 \text{ cells } 1^{-1})$ (Fig. 6E).
-
- 3.2.3 Phytoplankton community composition

 In the whole study period, the number of identified taxa was 320: 153 diatoms, 112 dinoflagellates, 32 haptophytes (among which 28 coccolithophores), 3 cryptophytes, 5 chrysophytes, 5 dictyochophytes, 2 raphidophytes, 5 chlorophytes, 2 euglenophytes, 1 cyanophyte (Table S2). The IndVal analysis, calculated for the surface layer, revealed the taxa that were significant for each season in terms of both abundance and frequency of occurrence (Table 1). In winter, significantly high values were observed for *Emiliania huxleyi*, *Skeletonema marinoi*, *Dactyliosolen phuketensis, Pseudosolenia calcar avis* and *Chaetoceros affinis*. In spring, significant taxa were *Dactyliosolen fragilissimus*, *Chaetoceros throndsenii*, *C. simplex*, and *Cyclotella* spp. In summer, the most representative taxa were *Calycomonas vangoorii*, *Pseudoscourfieldia marina* and *Chaetoceros anastomosans*. *Nitzschia gobbii* showed a high Ind-Val although not significant. In autumn, a high number of taxa resulted significant: *Guinardia striata*, *Lioloma pacificum*, *Pseudo-nitzschia* cfr. *delicatissima*, *Chaetoceros danicus*, *C. curvisetus*, *C. costatus*, *C. socialis*, *Asterionellopsis glacialis*, *Pleurosigma* sp., *Cylindrotheca closterium*, *Navicula* spp.

- 315 Table 1. List of phytoplankton taxa characterized by the highest IndVal for each season calculated
- 316 for the surface layer. Values indicated in italic are significant at $p < 0.05$, those in bold italic are
- 317 significant at $p < 0.01$, those in bold italic and underlined are significant at $p < 0.001$. The shades of

318 colour are proportional to the IndVal values, from dark green to white, in decreasing order.

 Due to the interruption in the phytoplankton sampling from 2003 to 2012, to assess if changes occurred in the phytoplankton communities along the study period, we compared the mean seasonal abundances at surface between two periods 1988-2002 and 2013-2018, through one-way ANOVA test. Results highlighted that the winter abundances of dinoflagellates and coccolithophores were 325 significantly higher ($p < 0.01$ and $p < 0.05$, respectively) in first period than in the second one (Table 2), while no significant differences were observed for the other groups and for the total phytoplankton).

329 Table 2. Results of ANOVA and Tukey's tests performed on the abundances (cells 1^{-1}) of diatoms, 330 dinoflagellates, coccolithophores, flagellates and total phytoplankton in the period 1988–2002 and 331 2013-2018 at surface layer. Mean values \pm standard error (SE) are shown. As: ns, not significant; $*$ 332 *p* < 0.05; ** *p* < 0.01; *** *p* < 0.001.

Parameter	Season	1988-2002	2013-2018	p-level	Tukey test
		$Avg \pm SE$	$Avg \pm SE$		
Diatoms (cells l^{-1})	Winter	$270,576 \pm 52,820$	$112,754 \pm 29,515$	ns	ns
	Spring	$438,586 \pm 145,388$	$155,989 \pm 96,916$	ns	ns
	Summer	$258,821 \pm 100,686$	$108,899 \pm 54,128$	ns	ns
	Autumn	$213,083 \pm 51,227$	$106,662 \pm 43,421$	ns	ns
Dinoflagellates $(cells l-1)$	Winter	$40,017 \pm 3,801$	$27,285 \pm 6,612$	$***$	$88-02 > 13-18$
	Spring	$57,554 \pm 6,560$	$60,226 \pm 23,258$	ns	ns
	Summer	$44,532 \pm 9,241$	$30,974 \pm 4,161$	ns	ns
	Autumn	$25,818 \pm 3,479$	$17,767 \pm 1,915$	ns	ns
Coccolithophores $(cells l-1)$	Winter	$23,228 \pm 4,348$	$15,081 \pm 4,040$	$***$	$88-02 > 13-18$
	Spring	$38,151 \pm 14,430$	$26,275 \pm 15,682$	ns	ns
	Summer	$8,501 \pm 1,766$	$3,974 \pm 1,693$	ns	ns
	Autumn	$15,640 \pm 2,654$	$17,722 \pm 2,843$	ns	ns
Flagellates (cells $l-1$)	Winter	$552,546 \pm 42,610$	$594,488 \pm 104,196$	ns	ns
	Spring	$846,407 \pm 111,278$	$1,009,411 \pm 398,669$	ns	ns
	Summer	$456,606 \pm 59,475$	$622,905 \pm 176,270$	ns	ns
	Autumn	$354,789 \pm 47,219$	$437,893 \pm 27,120$	ns	ns
Total (cells l^{-1})	Winter	$941,984 \pm 79,779$	$750,418 \pm 134,936$	ns	ns
	Spring	$1,486,528 \pm 202,217$	$1,253,552 \pm 528,283$	ns	ns
	Summer	$839,434 \pm 132,973$	$769,163 \pm 128,399$	ns	ns
	Autumn	$629,423 \pm 79,279$	$580,201 \pm 50,405$	ns	ns

3.3 Relationships between phytoplankton and environmental parameters

 The PCA analysis, performed for the surface layer, showed a 53.02% of explained variance of the first two components (Fig. 7). The first component (PC1) showed salinity opposite to temperature, highlighting the seasonal behaviour of the site. The second axis (PC2) was determined by nutrient concentrations. Diatom abundances were directly related to temperature and inversely related to salinity. On the contrary, coccolithophores were found to be directly associated to salinity and inversely related to temperature and PO4. Flagellates and dinoflagellates were found both to be associated to temperature and oxygen saturation, being inversely related to salinity.

 The analysis of correlation between environmental parameters and phytoplankton abundances 343 highlighted a negative correlation between salinity and diatoms $(r = -0.38, p < 0.05)$, dinoflagellates 344 ($r = -0.47$, $p < 0.05$) and phytoflagellates ($r = -0.27$, $p < 0.05$). A positive and negative correlation 345 was detected between temperature and dinoflagellates ($r = 0.32$, $p < 0.05$), and temperature and coccolithophores (r = -0.37, *p* < 0.05), respectively. Furthermore, a negative correlation between 347 coccolithophores and PO₄ ($r = -0.23$, $p < 0.05$) was found.

 Fig. 7. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) based on correlation matrix of ranked environmental variables and main phytoplankton group abundance values, used as supplementary variables, 351 performed for the surface layer. (A) Loading plot ($T =$ temperature, $S =$ salinity, $DIN =$ Dissolved 352 Inorganic Nitrogen, $PO_4 = Orthophosphate$, $Si(OH)_4 = silicates$, O_2 sat = oxygen saturation, Diato = Diatoms, Dino = Dinoflagellates, Cocco = Coccolithophores, Flage = Flagellates. (B) Score plot

354 (colours and markers represent the seasons: blue \blacksquare = winter, black \blacklozenge = spring, red \blacktriangle = summer, green 355 \bullet = autumn).

4. Discussion

 In this study, we highlighted for the first time the intra and interannual variability of phytoplankton, the community composition, and their relationships with the oceanographic and trophic condition, in an offshore area of the northern Adriatic basin based on a long-term dataset.

4.1 Mean annual cycle

 The mean annual cycle of phytoplankton in an open-water station of the LTER Senigallia-Susak transect (NA) indicated that highest abundances occurred in early summer mainly due to phytoflagellates' and diatoms' maxima. Minimum abundances occurred in autumn and winter.

 This cycle markedly differed from that recorded both in the western and eastern NA coastal waters. In the E Adriatic coast, spring and autumn peaks are commonly observed mainly related to diatom proliferation (Cerino et al., 2019; Maric et al., 2012; Mozetič et al., 2010). Instead, in the coastal waters of the NW Adriatic Sea, the winter diatom bloom represents the most relevant plankton increase throughout the year (Totti et al., 2019), as already observed in other Mediterranean areas (Goffart et al., 2015), followed by the classic peaks in spring and autumn again due to diatoms and phytoflagellates. The observed differences between the offshore and costal sites of the Senigallia- Susak transect could be explained considering the different oceanographic conditions. In the coastal station, the strong winter bloom is related to the shallowness of water column (11 m depth) that allows phytoplankton to remain in the photic zone even in winter mixing conditions, allowing the bloom of *Skeletonema marinoi*, a small colonial diatom with a marked seasonal behaviour (Totti et al., 1999). On the contrary, in the deeper offshore station, the winter phytoplankton abundance is low, according to the classical Sverdrup model (Sverdrup, 1953). Furthermore, the offshore station is located in the waters beyond the coastal front, not directly affected by the coastal input, except than during the

 stratification period (see below). In the study area the nutrient source is partly autochthonous, i.e. represented by the regeneration processes occurring in the water column and by the release from bottom sediments (Baric et al., 2002), and partly allochthonous due to the spreading south-eastward of the floods of the Po River in stratified conditions as demonstrated by several recorded events of plume extension beyond the midline (Campanelli et al., 2011; Grilli et al., 2020).

 As regards as DIN, the allochthonous source is highlighted by the June peak coinciding with the minimum of salinity, while the autochthonous (i.e. resuspension) due to the thermocline rupture, is highlighted by the October peak. The same peaks were observed for the silicates, suggesting the importance of both allocthonous and autochthonous (i.e. weathering of rocks and sediments) source also for this nutrient. The Si:N ratio in the study area was higher than in other areas of the NA (Cozzi et al., 2020; Giani et al., 2012). N:P values were comparable to those recorded in other NA areas (Accoroni et al., 2015; Cozzi et al., 2020; Degobbis et al., 2005; Djakovac et al., 2012; Giani et al., 2012), highlighting the P-limitation condition typical of the NA. Concentrations of orthophosphate in the study area were often below 0.2 µM as typically recorded in all the long-term data records in the Adriatic Sea (Grilli et al., 2020). The high P concentrations often recorded below 40 m depth suggests that the main inorganic P source in the study area would be the remineralization processes at the bottom, although even an allochthonous source was revealed by low salinity values at surface. The fact that the autumn peak was observed for the DIN but not for the PO⁴ would suggest that the resuspension process is less effective for P than for DIN, probably due to phosphate absorption into particles (Boldrin et al., 2009; Tengberg et al., 2003). In warm months, the water stratification was often associated to the decrease of salinity and the increase of nutrients' concentration (mainly P), indicating the spreading eastwards of the riverine waters. Such conditions in which nutrients became available in a stratified photic zone represent optimal conditions for phytoplankton to proliferate. Similar conditions in which phytoplankton was influenced by riverine plumes were observed in other studies (Diaz et al., 2008; O'Connor et al. 2016; Tang et al., 2004).

 In our study, almost all phytoplankton groups showed their maximum abundances in early summer and multivariate statistical analysis highlighted an inverse relation between their abundances and salinity. These maxima were pointed out by oxygen oversaturation during the same period. Diatoms and phytoflagellates represented the dominant groups, while dinoflagellates showed abundances one order of magnitude lower than the others. This behaviour agrees with that observed in the coastal areas of the NA Sea (Cerino et al., 2019; Totti et al., 2019). On the contrary, coccolithophores' mean annual cycle showed the peak in April, while in the coastal station they occurred with high abundances even from February to April (Totti et al., 2019).

4.2 Community structure

 The phytoplankton community composition in the offshore area of the Senigallia-Susak transect has been characterized for the first time in this study. Despite the low abundances, the winter community is characterized by taxa expressing a marked seasonal behaviour, such as the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi*, and the diatom *Skeletonema marinoi*, which have been reported in winter even in the coastal station (Totti et al., 2019), as well as in other Mediterranean coastal areas (Cerino et al., 2019; Turkoglu, 2010; Zingone et al., 2010a). The occurrence of *E. huxleyi* together with rapid growth/small-chained diatoms as *S. marinoi* would indicate the aptitude of that species to proliferate in mixing in turbulence regime, as already observed in other areas (Guerreiro et al., 2013). Surprisingly even some large-sized diatom species, i.e., *Pseudosolenia calcar avis*, and *Dactyliosolen phuketensis* resulted significant for winter. Spring communities were dominated by phytoflagellates and only a few indicator taxa were observed, e.g. some nanoplanktonic species belonging to *Chaetoceros* and *Cyclotella* genera and the large sized *Dactyliosolen fragilissimus*. The composition of summer communities was characterized by small nanoplanktonic species as expected in oligotrophic conditions, such as the chrysophyte *Calycomonas vangoorii*, the prasinophyte *Pseudoscourfieldia marina* and the diatom *Chaetoceros anastomosans*. In autumn, a number of taxa resulted significant, including some autumn species also typical in coastal stations, such as

 Asterionellopsis glacialis and *Chaetoceros curvisetus* (Bernardi Aubry et al., 2004; Totti et al., 2019). The presence of *Pseudo-nitzschia delicatissima* species complex as autumn taxa was surprising, as in coastal areas it is typical of late winter (Bernardi Aubry et al., 2004; Giulietti et al.,2021a; Totti et al., 2019). However, we should consider that the *Pseudo-nitzschia delicatissima* species complex actually includes several cryptic species, each expressing different seasonal behaviour also in geographically close areas (Turk Dermastia et al., 2020; Giulietti et al., 2021b).

 On the whole, the community of the offshore site reflected only in part that of the coastal area and only some species were found to be key taxa of a certain season in both stations (e.g. *Skeletonema marinoi* in winter and *Chaetoceros costatus* in autumn), whilst many taxa were found to be indicative of a different season (e.g. *Guinardia striata* for the summer and autumn community in the coastal and offshore site, respectively) or significant only in the offshore site (e.g. *Chaetoceros throndsenii* in spring, *C. anastomosans* in summer, *C. danicus* in autumn).

4.3 Interannual variations

 The interannual trend of the physico-chemical parameters highlighted a significant increase in the temperature values, as previously reported in the NA basin (Vilibić et al., 2019). On the contrary, salinity did not show significant trends. A significant increase was observed even for inorganic nitrogen, as already observed by Grilli et al. (2020) through a basin-scale interannual analysis. This could be explained by the increasing inputs of anthropogenic nitrogen in the drainage basin of the Po River, which cause high nitrogen loads during those years of high river waters discharge (Cozzi et al., 2018; Cozzi and Giani, 2011; Viaroli et al., 2018) coupled with a limited N use by a P-limited phytoplankton community. Although in coastal areas of the NA, silicates showed an increasing trend (Cozzi et al., 2020; Totti et al., 2019), in the offshore station of the SS they did not show a significant trend, as already observed by Grilli et al. (2020). The temporal trend of inorganic phosphorous concentrations in the study area increased significantly, as already found by Totti et al. (2019) in the coastal station of the same transect. However, Grilli et al. (2020) did not find any significant trend

 for orthophosphate at a whole sub-basin scale, highlighting that the evaluation of P trend is particularly controversial. In fact, the NA is in an almost permanent P-deficient status (Brush et al., 459 2021), and the availability of phosphate, particularly in offshore waters not directly affected by the riverine runoff, depends mainly on the regenerative processes in the water column and on the sediment resuspension (see above). Moreover, it is becoming increasingly clear that an important source of phosphorus is its organic form (not investigated in this study), whom availability has been proved in many studies by high values of alkaline phosphatase activity in sea water (Ivančić et al., 2012, 2016; Tanković et al., 2018). In addition, Baturin (2003) suggested that phosphorus cycling is tightly connected to carbon dioxide on the atmosphere. An increase in carbon dioxide led to warming and the rise of the phosphorus input into the sea, an accelerated rate of sinking of organic matter and 467 phosphorus. No significant trends were found for DIN/PO₄ and Si(OH)₄/DIN. The latter has been suggested as able to affect the phytoplankton community, facilating flagellate growth at the expense of diatoms (Gilpin et al., 2004; Turner et al., 1998). This could be one reason why no changes in the study periods were detected in terms of phytoflagellate and diatom abundances.

 Unfortunately, in this study the interannual variations in the phytoplankton communities in the whole period was not represented, as data in the period 2002-2013 were too irregular. Comparing abundance values of 1988-2002 period with 2013-2018 on a seasonal basis, we did not observe significant changes. However, considering groups, we observed significant lower values in winter during the second period for both dinoflagellates and coccolithophores, in agreement with that reported in the coastal area of the transect (Totti et al., 2019). The major reason of the coccolithophore decrease is the decrease of *Emiliania huxleyi* abundance in winter. The observed decreasing trend of coccolithophores is difficult to explain. Coccolithophores are a complex group with diverse life strategies and, although they have been traditionally associated to oligotrophic conditions, they also proliferate in very diverse trophic conditions (Balch, 2018; Guerreiro et al., 2013; Moita et al., 2010). Moreover, their haplodiplontic life cycle complicates a correct estimation of their occurrence. This is particularly problematic with *Emiliania huxleyi* for which the haploid life phase does not bear

 coccoliths (Frada et al., 2012), and a hypothetical occurrence and proliferation of that phase would surely go completely unnoticed, unless other methodological approaches are used. Regarding the observed decrease of dinoflagellates, we need to evaluate it carefully in a longer data set.

 The long-time changes in the trophic state and phytoplankton communities have been highlighted in a number of Mediterranean sites (Goffart et al., 2002, 2015; Mercado et al., 2005; Ninčević Gladan et al., 2010; Totti et al., 2019; Zingone et al., 2010b), where to date longer and longer temporal series are becoming available. In the Adriatic Sea, long-term studies sometimes have highlighted tendencies apparently contrasting, depending on the studied area and/or on the considered starting and ending points to trace the traits. For example, a number of studies highlighted a tendency to oligotrophication (Cabrini et al., 2012; Djakovac et al., 2012; Mozetič et al., 2010), whereas others did not (Bernardi Aubry et al., 2012; Totti et al., 2019). In any case, all agree that the main forcing that is responsible for this variability is the rate of the Po River outflow, i.e., the rain regime. In that sense, it has recently been highlighted that an increase in the frequency of intense rains and extremely high Po River discharges have occurred during the last decade, and that could have affected the annual availability of nutrients, particularly of DIN, in the NA (Grilli et al., 2020; Totti et al., 2019).

5. Conclusions

 In this study, the phytoplankton communities in their oceanographic scenario in an LTER-offshore station not directly affected by riverine inputs, were highlighted over 30 years. The mean monthly cycle of the phytoplankton was found to be highly dependent on the oceanographic conditions of the water column, as during water stratification the spreading of the fresher and nutrient-rich waters beyond the coastal front allows the phytoplankton annual peak. This study confirmed the P limiting conditions of the N Adriatic Sea, suggesting the importance of organic P sources and remineralization processes along the water column. Instead, the resuspension processes seemed less effective for P than for DIN. Species composition in the offshore station was found to reflect only in part the coastal community, as many taxa were significant only in the offshore site or related to a different season.

 Temperature, DIN and P showed a significant increase, highlighting long-term changes in the environmental conditions in this offshore station as highlighted in other Mediterranean sites. A decreasing of winter diatoms and dinoflagellates was observed, although more data and a longer time series would be necessary to better understand the phytoplankton trends.

Acknowledgements

 This study was partly funded by EU 2014–2020 Interreg V-A Italy-Croatia CBC project ECOSS (Observing System in the Adriatic Sea: oceanographic observations for biodiversity) ID: 10042301 and was carried out in the framework LTER-ITALY (Italian Long-Term Ecological Research Network).

 Authors acknowledge the crews of all the oceanographic vessels involved in the sampling cruises: S. Lo Bianco, Tecnopesca 2, G. Dallaporta, Tethis, Copernaut Franca, Urania, Alliance, Minerva, Bannock, D'Ancona. This long-term research was supported by the following projects: Italian Ministry of Merchant Navy monitoring program (1988–1990); ELNA (Eutrophic Limits of the NA Sea), Rome, European Commission (1992–1994); PRISMA (Program of Research and Experimentation in the Adriatic Sea) Italian Ministry of University and Research (1994–1998); MAT (Processes of formation of mucilage aggregates in the Adriatic and Tyrrhenian Seas) Italian Ministry of Environment (1999–2002); SINAPSI - Seasonal, Interannual and decAdal variability of the atmosPhere, oceanS and related marIne ecosystems Italian Ministry of University and Research (1997–2001); EU/FP6-SUSTDEV SESAME (Southern European Seas: Assessing and Modelling Ecosystem changes) European Commission (2006–2010); RITMARE (Ricerca ITaliana in MARE) Italian Ministry of University and Research (2012–2016); BALMAS IPA Adriatic Cross-Border Cooperation Programme - strategic project Ballast Water Management System for Adriatic Sea Protection (2014–2016). We thank the reviewers for helpful comments.

References

- Accoroni, S., Glibert, P.M., Pichierri, S., Romagnoli, T., Marini, M., Totti, C., 2015. A conceptual model of annual *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* blooms in the Northern Adriatic Sea based on the synergic
- effects of hydrodynamics, temperature, and the N:P ratio of water column nutrients. Harmful
- Algae, 45, 14–25. doi:10.1016/j.hal.2015.04.002
- Artegiani, A., Azzolini, R., Salusti E., 1989. On the dense water in the Adriatic Sea. Oceanologica Acta, 12(2), 151–160.
- Balch, W.M., 2018. The ecology, biogeochemistry, and optical properties of coccolithophores. Annual Review of Marine Science 10, 71–98. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-121916- 063319
-
- Baric, A., Kuspilic, G., Matijevic, S., 2002. Nutrient (N, P, Si) fluxes between marine sediments and water column in coastal and open Adriatic. Hydrobiologia 475/476, 151–159.
- Baturin, G., 2003. Phosphorus cycle in the ocean. Lithology and Mineral Resources 38, 101–119.
- Bergamasco, A., Oguz, T., Malanotte-Rizzoli, P., 1999. Modelling dense water mass formation and
- winter circulation in the northern and central Adriatic Sea. Journal of Marine Systems 20, 279–
- 300. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-7963(98)00087-6
- Bernardi Aubry, F., Berton, A., Bastianini, M., Socal, G., Acri, F., 2004. Phytoplankton succession
- in a coastal area of the NW Adriatic, over a 10-year sampling period (1990–1999). Continental Shelf Research 24, 97–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2003.09.007
- Bernardi Aubry, F., Acri, F., Bastianini, M., Bianchi, F., Cassin, D., Pugnetti, A., Socal, G., 2006.
- Seasonal and interannual variations of phytoplankton in the Gulf of Venice (Northern Adriatic
- Sea). Chemistry and Ecology 22, 71–91. https://doi.org/10.1080/02757540600687962
- Bernardi Aubry, F., Cossarini, G., Acri, F., Bastianini, M., Bianchi, F., Camatti, E., De Lazzari, A.,
- Pugnetti, A., Solidoro, C., Socal, G., De Lazzari, A., Pugnetti, A., Solidoro, C., Socal, G., 2012.
- Plankton communities in the northern Adriatic Sea: Patterns and changes over the last 30 years.
- Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 115, 125–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2012.03.011
- Boldrin, A., Carniel, S., Giani, M., Marini, M., Bernardi Aubry, F., Campanelli, A., Grilli, F., Russo, A., 2009. Effects of bora wind on physical and biogeochemical properties of stratified waters in the northern Adriatic. Journal of Geophysical Research 114, 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1029/2008jc004837
- Brush, M.J., Giani, M., Totti, C., Testa, J.M., Faganeli, J., Ogrinc, N., Kemp, W.M., Fonda Umani,
- S., 2021. Eutrophication, Harmful Algae, Oxygen Depletion, and Acidification. In: Malone,
- T.C., Malej, A., Faganeli, J. (Eds.), *Coastal Ecosystems in Transition: A Comparative Analysis*
- *of the Northern Adriatic and Chesapeake Bay*. *Geophysical Monograph 256*. John Wiley &

Sons, Inc., pp. 75–104. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119543626.ch5

- Cabrini, M., Fornasaro, D., Cossarini, G., Lipizer, M., Virgilio, D., 2012. Phytoplankton temporal changes in a coastal northern Adriatic site during the last 25 years. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 115, 113–124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2012.07.007
- Campanelli, A., Grilli, F., Paschini, E., Marini, M., 2011. The influence of an exceptional Po River flood on the physical and chemical oceanographic properties of the Adriatic Sea. Dynamics of
- Atmospheres and Oceans 52, 284–297. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dynatmoce.2011.05.004
- Cerino, F., Fornasaro, D., Kralj, M., Giani, M., Cabrini, M., 2019. Phytoplankton temporal dynamics
- in the coastal waters of the north-eastern Adriatic Sea (Mediterranean Sea) from 2010 to 2017.
- Nature Conservation 372, 343–372. https://doi.org/10.3897/natureconservation.34.30720
- Cozzi, S., Giani, M., 2011. River water and nutrient discharges in the Northern Adriatic Sea: Current importance and long-term changes. Continental Shelf Research 31, 1881–1893. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2011.08.010
- Cozzi, S., Ibáñez, C., Lazar, L., Raimbault, P., Giani, M., 2018. Flow regime and nutrient-loading
- trends from the largest South European watersheds: Implications for the productivity of Mediterranean and Black Sea's coastal areas. Water 11, 1–27.
- <https://doi.org/10.3390/w11010001>

- Degobbis, D., Precali, R., Ivancic, I., Smodlaka, N., Fuks, D., Kveder, S., 2000. Long-term changes in the northern Adriatic ecosystem related to anthropogenic eutrophication. International Journal of Environment and Pollution 13, 495–533.<https://doi.org/10.1504/IJEP.2000.002332>
- Degobbis, D., Precali, R., Ferrari, C. R., Djakovac, T., Rinaldi, A., Ivančić, I., Gismondi, M., & Smodlaka, N., 2005. Changes in nutrient concentrations and ratios during mucilage events in the period 1999-2002. Science of the Total Environment, 353(1–3), 103–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2005.09.010>
- Diaz, F., Naudin, J.J., Courties, C., Rimmelin, P., Oriol, L., 2008. Biogeochemical and ecological functioning of the low-salinity water lenses in the region of the Rhone River freshwater influence, NW Mediterranean Sea. Continental Shelf Research, Volume 28(12), 1511–1526. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2007.08.009
- Djakovac, T., Degobbis, D., Supic, N., Precali, R., 2012. Marked reduction of eutrophication pressure in the northeastern Adriatic in the period 2000-2009. Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science 115, 25–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2012.03.029
- D'Ortenzio, F., Ribera d'Alcalà, M., 2009. On the trophic regimes of the Mediterranean Sea: a satellite analysis. Biogeosciences 5, 139–148. https://doi.org/10.5194/bgd-5-2959-2008
- Dufrêne, M., Legendre, P., 1997. Species assemblages and indicator species: The need for a flexible asymmetrical approach. Ecological Monographs 67, 345–366. https://doi.org/10.2307/2963459
- Edler, L., Elbrachter, M., 2010. The Utermöhl method for quantitative phytoplankton analysis. In:
- Karlson, B., Cusack, C., Bresnan, E. (Eds.), *Microscopic and Molecular Methods for Quantitative Phytoplankton Analysis*. IOC UNESCO, Manuals and Guides, 55, pp. 13–20.
- Fonda Umani, S., 1996. Pelagic production and biomass in the Adriatic Sea. Scientia Marina 60, 65–
- 77.
- Frada, M.J., Bidle, K.D., Probert, I., de Vargas, C., 2012. *In situ* survey of life cycle phases of the
- coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi* (Haptophyta). Environmental Microbiology 14, 1558–1569. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2012.02745.x
- Franco, P., Michelato, A., 1992. Northern Adriatic Sea: oceanography of the basin proper and of the western coastal zone. Science of Total Environment Suppl. 199, 35–62.
- Fuks, D., Ivančić, I., Najdek, M., Lučić, D., Njire, J., Godrijan, J., Marić, D., Šilović, T., Paliaga, P.,
- Blažina, M., Precali, R., Orlić, S., 2012. Changes in the planktonic community structure related to trophic conditions: The case study of the northern Adriatic Sea. Journal of Marine Systems
- 96–97, 95–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2012.02.006
- Furuya, K., Harada, K., 1995. An automated precise Winkler titration for determining dissolved oxygen on board ship. Journal of Oceanography 51, 375–383. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02285173>
- Gilpin, L.C., Davidson, K., Roberts, E., 2004. The influence of changes in nitrogen: silicon ratios on diatom growth dynamics. Journal of Sea Research 51, 21–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2003.05.005
- Giulietti, S., Romagnoli, T., Campanelli, A., Totti, C., Accoroni, S., 2021a. Ecology and seasonality
- of *Pseudo-nitzschia* species (Bacillariophyceae) in the northwestern Adriatic Sea over a 30-year period (1988-2020). Mediterranean Marine Science 22(3), 505–520.
- Giulietti, S., Romagnoli, T., Siracusa, M., Bacchiocchi, S., Totti, C., Accoroni, S., 2021b. Integrative
- taxonomy of the *Pseudo-nitzschia* (Bacillariophyceae) populations in the NW Adriatic Sea, with
- a focus on a novel cryptic species in the *P. delicatissima* species complex. Phycologia. https://doi.org/10.1080/00318884.2021.1899733
- Goffart, A., Hecq, J.-H., Legendre, L., 2002. Changes in the development of the winter-spring phytoplankton bloom in the Bay of Calvi (NW Mediterranean) over the last two decades: a response to changing climate? Marine Ecology Progress Series 236, 45–60.
- Goffart, A., Hecq, J.H., Legendre, L., 2015. Drivers of the winter-spring phytoplankton bloom in a pristine NW Mediterranean site, the Bay of Calvi (Corsica): A long-term study (1979-2011). Progress in Oceanography 137, 121–139.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2015.05.027>
- Giani, M., Djakovac, T., Degobbis, D., Cozzi, S., Solidoro, C., Umani, S. F., 2012. Recent changes in the marine ecosystems of the northern Adriatic Sea. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science,
- 115, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2012.08.023
- Grilli, F., Paschini, E., Precali, R., Russo, A., Supić, N., 2005. Circulation and horizontal fluxes in the northern Adriatic Sea in the period June 1999–July 2002. Part I: Geostrophic circulation and current measurement. Science of the Total Environment 353, 57–67.
- Grilli, F., Accoroni, S., Acri, F., Aubry, F.B., Bergami, C., Cabrini, M., Campanelli, A., Giani, M.,
- Guicciardi, S., Marini, M., Neri, F., Penna, A., Penna, P., Pugnetti, A., Ravaioli, M., Riminucci,
- F., Ricci, F., Totti, C., Viaroli, P., Cozzi, S., 2020. Seasonal and interannual trends of oceanographic parameters over 40 years in the Northern Adriatic Sea in relation to nutrient loadings using the EMODnet chemistry data portal. Water 12, 2280. https://doi.org/10.3390/w12082280
- Guerreiro, C., Oliveira, A., De Stigter, H., Cachão, M., Sá, C., Borges, C., Cros, L., Santos, A.,
- Fortuño, J.M., Rodrigues, A., 2013. Late winter coccolithophore bloom off central Portugal in response to river discharge and upwelling. Continental Shelf Research 59, 65–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2013.04.016
- Ivančić, I., Godrijan, J., Pfannkuchen, M., Marić, D., Gašparović, B., Djakovac, T., Najdek, M., 2012.
- Survival mechanisms of phytoplankton in conditions of stratification-induced deprivation of
- orthophosphate: Northern Adriatic case study. Limnology and Oceanography 57, 1721–1731.
- https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2012.57.6.1721
- Ivančić, I., Pfannkuchen, M., Godrijan, J., Djakovac, T., Marić Pfannkuchen, D., Korlević, M., Gašparović, B., Najdek, M., 2016. Alkaline phosphatase activity related to phosphorus stress of
- microphytoplankton in different trophic conditions. Progress in Oceanography 146, 175–186. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2016.07.003
- Marić, D., Kraus, R., Godrijan, J., Supić, N., Djakovac, T., Precali, R., 2012. Phytoplankton response to climatic and anthropogenic influences in the north-eastern Adriatic during the last four decades. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 115, 98–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2012.02.003
- Marini, M., Russo, A., Paschini, E., Grilli, F., Campanelli, A., 2006. Short-term physical and chemical variations in the bottom water of middle Adriatic depressions. Climate Research 31, 227–237. https://doi.org/10.3354/cr031227
- Marini, M., Jones, B.H., Campanelli, A., Grilli, F., Lee, C.M., 2008. Seasonal variability and Po River plume influence on biochemical properties along western Adriatic coast. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 113, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JC004370
- Mercado, J.M., Ramírez, T., Cortés, D., Sebastián, M., Vargas-Yáñez, M., 2005. Seasonal and inter- annual variability of the phytoplankton communities in an upwelling area of the Alborán Sea (SW Mediterranean Sea). Scientia Marina 69, 451–465. https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.2005.69n4451
- Mihanović, H., Vilibić, I., Carniel, S., Tudor, M., Russo, A., Bergamasco, A., Bubić, N., Ljubešić,
- Z., Viličić, D., Boldrin, A., Malačič, V., Celio, M., Comici, C., Raicich, F., 2013. Exceptional dense water formation on the Adriatic shelf in the winter of 2012. Ocean Science 9, 561–572. https://doi.org/10.5194/os-9-561-2013
- Moita, M.T., Silva, A., Palma, S., Vilarinho, M.G., 2010. The coccolithophore summer-autumn assemblage in the upwelling waters of Portugal: Patterns of mesoscale distribution (1985-2005).
- Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 87, 411–419. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2010.01.025
- Mozetič, P., Fonda Umani, S., Cataletto, B., Malej, A., 1998. Seasonal and inter-annual plankton
- variability in the Gulf of Trieste (northern Adriatic). ICES Journal of Marine Science 55, 711–
- 722. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmsc.1998.0396
- Mozetič, P., Solidoro, C., Cossarini, G., Socal, G., Fonda Umani, S., 2010. Recent trends towards oligotrophication of the northern Adriatic: evidence from chlorophyll a time series. Estuaries and Coasts 33, 362–375. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-009-9191-7
- Mozetič, P., Francé, J., Kogovsek, T., Talaber, I., Malej, A., 2012. Plankton trends and community
- changes in a coastal sea (northern Adriatic): Bottom-up vs. top-down control in relation to environmental drivers. Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science 115, 138–148.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2012.02.009>

- MSFD, 2008/56/EC, June 2008. 2008 /56/EC. Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and
- of the Council of 17 Establishing a Framework for Community Action in the Field of Marine
- Environmental Policy (Marine Strategy Framework Directive). ELIpp. 2008/. http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2008/56/oj.
- Ninčević Gladan, Ž., Marasović, I., Grbec, B., Skejić, S., Bužančić, M., Kušpilić, G., Matijević, S.,
- Matić, F., 2010. Inter-decadal variability in phytoplankton community in the middle Adriatic
- (Kaštela Bay) in relation to the North Atlantic Oscillation. Estuaries and Coasts 33, 376–383. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-009-9223-3>
- O'Connor, B.S., Muller-Karger, F.E., Nero, R.W., Hu, C., Peebles, E.B., 2016. The role of Mississippi
- River discharge in offshore phytoplankton blooming in the northeastern Gulf of Mexico during August 2010. Remote Sensing of Environment 173, 133–144. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2015.11.004
- Penna, N., Capellacci, S., Ricci, F., 2004. The influence of the Po River discharge on phytoplankton bloom dynamics along the coastline of Pesaro (Italy) in the Adriatic Sea. Marine Pollution Bulletin 48, 321–326. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2003.08.007
- Pugnetti, A., Acri, F., Alberighi, L., Barletta, D., Bastianini, M., Bernardi Aubry, F., Berton, A.,
- Bianchi, F., Socal, G., Totti, C., 2004. Phytoplankton photosynthetic activity and growth rates
- in the NW Adriatic Sea. Chemistry and Ecology 20, 399–409.
- https://doi.org/10.1080/02757540412331294902
- Pugnetti, A., Bazzoni, A.M., Beran, A., Bernardi Aubry, F., Camatti, E., Celussi, M., Coppola, J.,
- Crevatin, E., Negro, P. Del, Paoli, A., 2008. Changes in biomass structure and trophic status of
- the plankton communities in a highly dynamic ecosystem (Gulf of Venice, Northern Adriatic
- Sea) 29, 367–374. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0485.2008.00237.x
- Russo, A., Artegiani, A., 1996. Adriatic Sea hydrography. Scientia Marina 60, 33–43.
- Strickland, J.D.H., Parsons, T.R., 1972. *A practical handbook of seawater analysis*. *Bulletin 167, 2nd ed*. Supply and Services Canada, Ottawa; Canada. https://doi.org/10.1002/iroh.19700550118
- Sverdrup, H.U., 1953. On conditions for the vernal blooming of phytoplankton. ICES Journal of
- Marine Science 18, 287–295.<https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/18.3.287>
- Tang, D., Kawamura, H., Van Dien, T., Lee, M., 2004. Offshore phytoplankton biomass increase and its oceanographic causes in the South China Sea. Inter-Research Science Publisher 268, 31–41. https://www.int-res.com/abstracts/meps/v268/p31-41/
- Tanković, M.S., Baričević, A., Ivančić, I., Kužat, N., Medić, N., Pustijanac, E., Novak, T., Gašparović, B., Pfannkuchen, D.M., Pfannkuchen, M., 2018. Insights into the life strategy of the common marine diatom *Chaetoceros peruvianus* Brightwell. PLoS ONE 13. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0203634
- Tengberg, A., Almroth, E., Hall, P., 2003. Resuspension and its effects on organic carbon recycling
- and nutrient exchange in coastal sediments: in situ measurements using new experimental technology. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 285–286, 119–142. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981(02)00523-3
- Throndsen, J., 1978. Preservation and storage. In: Sournia, A. (Ed.), *Phytoplankton Manual. Monographs on Oceanographic Methodology*. UNESCO, Paris, pp. 69–74.
- Totti, C., Ghetti, A., Pariante, R., Hopkins, T., 1999. Biological coherence in the Western Adriatic
- Coastal Current: phytoplankton assemblages. In: Hopkins, T.S., Artegiani, A., Cauwet, G.,
- Degobbis, D., Malej, A. (Eds.), *Ecosystems Research Report, No.32. The Adriatic Sea*. (EUR
- 738 18834). pp. 385–400.
- Totti, C., Cangini, M., Ferrari, C., Kraus, R., Pompei, M., Pugnetti, A., Romagnoli, T., Vanucci, S., Socal, G., 2005. Phytoplankton size-distribution and community structure in relation to mucilage occurrence in the northern Adriatic Sea. Science of the Total Environment 353, 204–217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2005.09.028
- Totti, C., Romagnoli, T., Accoroni, S., Coluccelli, A., Pellegrini, M., Campanelli, A., Grilli, F., Marini, M., 2019. Phytoplankton communities in the northwestern Adriatic Sea: Interdecadal variability over a 30-years period (1988– 2016) and relationships with meteoclimatic drivers. Journal of Marine Systems 193, 137–153. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2019.01.007
- Turk Dermastia, T., Cerino, F., Stanković, D., Francé, J., Ramšak, A., Žnidarič Tušek, M., Beran, A.,
- Natali, V., Cabrini, M., Mozetič, P., 2020. Ecological time series and integrative taxonomy unveil seasonality and diversity of the toxic diatom *Pseudo-nitzschia* H. Peragallo in the northern Adriatic Sea. Harmful Algae 93, 101773.
- https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2020.101773
- Turkoglu, M., 2010. Winter bloom of coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi* and environmental conditions in the Dardanelles. Hydrology Research 41, 104–114. <https://doi.org/10.2166/nh.2010.124>
- Turner, R.E., Qureshi N., Rabalais, N.N., Dortch, Q., Justić D., Shaw, R.F., Cope, J., 1998. Fluctuating silicate:nitrate ratios and coastal plankton food webs. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 95 (22), 13048–13051. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.22.13048
- Viaroli, P., Soana, E., Pecora, S., Laini, A., Naldi, M., Fano, E.A., Nizzoli, D., 2018. Space and time variations of watershed N and P budgets and their relationships with reactive N and P loadings
- in a heavily impacted river basin (Po River, Northern Italy). Science of The Total Environment
- 639, 1574–1587. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.05.233
- Vilibić, I., Supić, N., 2005. Dense water generation on a shelf: The case of the Adriatic Sea. Ocean
- Dynamics 55, 403–415. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-005-0030-5

- Viličić, D., Djakovac, T., Burić, Z., Bosak, S., 2009. Composition and annual cycle of phytoplankton assemblages in the northeastern Adriatic Sea. Botanica Marina 52, 291–305. https://doi.org/10.1515/BOT.2009.004
- Viličić, D., Kuzmic, M., Tomažić, I., Ljubešić, Z., Bosak, S., Precali, R., Djakovac, T., Marić, D.,
- Godrijan, J., 2013. Northern Adriatic phytoplankton response to short Po river discharge pulses
- during summer stratified conditions. Marine Ecology 34, 451–466. https://doi.org/10.1111/maec.12046
- Zingone, A., Dubroca, L., Iudicone, D., Margiotta, F., Corato, F., Ribera d'Alcalà, M., Saggiomo, V., Sarno, D., 2010a. Coastal phytoplankton do not rest in winter. Estuaries and Coasts 33, 342–

361. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-009-9157-9

- Zingone, A., Phlips, E.J., Harrison, P.J., 2010b. Multiscale variability of twenty-two coastal phytoplankton time series: A global scale comparison. Estuaries and Coasts 33, 224–229. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-009-9261-x.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-009-9261-x)
- Zoppini, A., Pettine, M., Totti, C., Puddu, A., Artegiani, A., Pagnotta, R., 1995. Nutrients, standing crop and primary production in western coastal waters of the Adriatic Sea. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 41, 493–513.

Suppl. Figure 1

Click here to access/download e-Component [Suppl. Figure 1.docx](https://www.editorialmanager.com/csr/download.aspx?id=61537&guid=242db0c1-0c79-43ed-9dcf-7751de4e386a&scheme=1)

Click here to access/download e-Component [Suppl. Figure 2.docx](https://www.editorialmanager.com/csr/download.aspx?id=61533&guid=55dca20d-ab07-41a7-b9bb-b25558db0374&scheme=1)

Click here to access/download e-Component [Suppl. Table 1.docx](https://www.editorialmanager.com/csr/download.aspx?id=61534&guid=9f8fa15d-0642-4077-8c95-a90f5b83db74&scheme=1)

Suppl. Table 2

Click here to access/download e-Component [Suppl. Table 2.docx](https://www.editorialmanager.com/csr/download.aspx?id=61535&guid=d944241f-695d-4447-9ab2-d916c30fca04&scheme=1)