

## UNIVERSITÀ POLITECNICA DELLE MARCHE Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Validated innovative approaches for energy-efficient resource recovery and re-use from municipal wastewater: From anaerobic treatment systems to a biorefinery concept

This is the peer reviewd version of the followng article:

Original

Validated innovative approaches for energy-efficient resource recovery and re-use from municipal wastewater: From anaerobic treatment systems to a biorefinery concept / Akyol, Cagri; Foglia, Alessia; Ozbayram, E. G.; Frison, N.; Katsou, E.; Eusebi, A. L.; Fatone, F. - In: CRITICAL REVIEWS IN ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY. - ISSN 1547-6537. - 50:9(2020), pp. 869-902. [10.1080/10643389.2019.1634456]

Availability: This version is available at: 11566/268502 since: 2024-03-29T08:29:53Z

Publisher:

Published DOI:10.1080/10643389.2019.1634456

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. The use of copyrighted works requires the consent of the rights' holder (author or publisher). Works made available under a Creative Commons license or a Publisher's custom-made license can be used according to the terms and conditions contained therein. See editor's website for further information and terms and conditions. This item was downloaded from IRIS Università Politecnica delle Marche (https://iris.univpm.it). When citing, please refer to the published version.

Copyright © 2019 Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group. This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology on 03 Jul 2019, available online: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/10643389.2019.1634456 made available on this repository under a Creative Commons CC BY-NC attribution licence (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

1	Validated innovative approaches for energy-efficient resource recovery and re-use from
2	municipal wastewater: from anaerobic treatment systems to a biorefinery concept
3	
4	Çağrı Akyol <sup>1</sup> , Alessia Foglia <sup>2</sup> , Emine Gozde Ozbayram <sup>3</sup> , Nicola Frison <sup>4</sup> , Evina Katsou <sup>5</sup> , Anna
5	Laura Eusebi <sup>2,*</sup> , Francesco Fatone <sup>2</sup>
6	
7	<sup>1</sup> Institute of Environmental Sciences, Boğaziçi University, 34342, Istanbul, Turkey
8	<sup>2</sup> Department of Materials, Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Urban Planning-SIMAU, Faculty of Engineering,
9	Polytechnic University of Marche, 60121, Ancona, Italy
10	<sup>3</sup> Department of Environmental Engineering, Istanbul Technical University, 34469, Istanbul, Turkey
11	<sup>4</sup> Department of Biotechnology, University of Verona, 37134, Verona, Italy
12	<sup>5</sup> Department of Civil Engineering and Environmental Engineering; Institute of Environment, Health and Societies,
13	Brunel University London, Uxbridge Campus, Middlesex, UB8 3PH, Uxbridge, UK
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20	
21	
22	
23	* Corresponding author (A.L. EUSEBI)
24	Department of Materials, Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Urban Planning-SIMAU, Faculty of Engineering,
25	Polytechnic University of Marche, 60121, Ancona, Italy
26	E-mail: a.l.eusebi@univpm.it
27	Phone: +39 071 2204911 Fax: +39 071 2204729

### 1 Abstract

The development of innovative technologies in wastewater treatment create the concept of biorefinery in wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs), placing anaerobic processes in the highlight. Starting from the conventional anaerobic treatment processes to "closing the loop" scheme, next generation WWTPs are ready to serve for water, energy and materials mining. While bioenergy is still dominating the resource recovery, recovery of value-added materials (i.e. struvite, biopolymers, cellulose) are receiving significant attention in recent years. So, what are the state-of-the-art approaches for energy-efficient resource recovery and re-use from municipal wastewater? This paper follows a critical review on the validated technologies in operational environment available and further suggests possible market routes for the recovered materials in WWTPs. Considering the development and verification of a novel technology together with the valorisation of the obtained products, biorefinery and resource recovery approaches were gathered in this review paper from a circular economy point of view. General currently-faced barriers were briefly addressed to pave the way to a create to-the-point establishments of resource recovery facilities in the future. 

Keywords: anaerobic treatment, biorefinery, energy recovery, material recovery, municipal
wastewater, valorisation

## 1 **1. Introduction**

During the last years, wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) have moved from the concept of "waste treatment", aimed at discharging treated wastewater into surface waters, to the concept of "water resource recovery facility" (WRRF). This transformation from pollutants removal to valuable resources frames wastewater management in the broader context of the circular economy. The question that arises is which are the possible recovered and safely reusable resources to help closing the loop in WRRF?

8 First of all, the reclaimed water: Water reuse is particularly important because it is considered as an 9 effective approach to address water shortage problems and water quality deterioration issues (Sun et 10 al. 2016). Water reuse can be one of the methods of recycling treated wastewater for beneficial 11 purposes, such as agricultural and landscape irrigation, industrial processes, non-potable domestic use (e.g. toilet flushing), and groundwater replenishing. At EU level the minimum quality standards 12 13 for water reuse have been proposed in May 2018; this proposal for regulation lays down minimum requirements for water quality and monitoring and the obligation to carry out specified key risk 14 management tasks. Classes of reclaimed water quality, minimum treatment requirements, allowed 15 and minimum requirements 16 uses. irrigation methods for water quality are set (http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2018/625171/EPRS\_BRI(2018)625171\_EN. 17 18 pdf).

On site energy recovery, particularly as biogas production, in WWTP is widely diffused as an 19 alternative source of energy, for the recovery of thermal, electrical and mechanical energy, to be 20 21 consumed either inside (also achieving energy self-sufficiency) or outside the plant. Nowadays energy recovery takes place mostly in the sludge line and actions in water line are much rarer but 22 23 more and more of interest (Papa et al. 2017). Biogas is the main resource of anaerobic treatment systems. In the last years; however, two-step bioconversion comes into prominence as more value is 24 25 derived to volatile fatty acids (VFAs) production before ending up to other end-products. Moreover, 26 anaerobic processes offer much more than conventional wastewater treatment, provide recovering

sustainable energy and valuable biochemicals. This scenario helps to recognize conventional and
 innovative (i.e. anaerobic membrane bioreactor - AnMBR) anaerobic processes as core of biorefinery
 general concept (Puyol et al., 2017, Krzeminski et al., 2017).

Nutrient recovery and recycling take an important role in circular economy. Recovered nutrients 4 5 from the wastewater can be utilized as soil amendments or fertilizers for beneficial uses in agriculture. In particular, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> form is advantageous because it predominates in anaerobic reactor effluents and 6 7 can be useful for fertigation purposes. Phosphorus recovery (i.e. in the form struvite or phosphorous 8 salts) becomes essential for preventing eutrophication in the aquatic environment and for alleviating 9 economic dependence on phosphate rocks. Addressing raw materials conservation, arising from 10 phosphorus scarcity is described as one of the greatest global challenges of the 21<sup>st</sup> Century (Peng et 11 al. 2018).

The resources mentioned above are those most commonly recovered in WWTPs; in addition to them 12 there are more innovative ones that can be originated from cellulosic primary sludge (CPS) and 13 polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) rich sludge. The cellulosic sludge can be separated by upstream 14 dynamic sieving. The CPS can then be anaerobically digested to produce biogas, or, under optimal 15 acidogenic fermenting conditions it produces VFAs. Here the propionate content can be more than 16 30% and can optimize the enhanced biological phosphorus removal (BPR) processes (Crutchik et al. 17 18 2018). Long-term operation indicated that anaerobic alkaline fermentation for VFA production from sewage sludge is both technically and economically feasible (Liu et al., 2018). Regarding PHA 19 recovery, primary and secondary sewage sludges are potential feedstock for its recovery (Kumar et 20 21 al. 2018). PHAs have comparable properties to petrochemical plastics and can also serve as a biofuel or building blocks for the synthesis of various chemicals (Kleerebezem et al. 2015). 22

While some of the above-mentioned reuse and recovery approaches towards wastewater are already efficiently implemented, some of them still lack the convenient technology together with socialtechnological planning and design methodology to identify their potential end-use and market requirements (Van Der Hoek et al. 2016).

At European level there are several EU projects founded by Horizon 2020 that aim at recovering 1 2 materials from centralized and decentralized WWTPs. For example, SMART-Plant is an Innovation Action that aims at reducing the energy and environmental footprint and, contemporary, at recovering 3 valuable materials (SMART-Products are water, cellulose, biopolymers, nutrients) that are valued in 4 construction, chemical and agriculture supply chain (smart-plant.eu). POWERSTEP is another 5 Innovation Action aims at energy positive WWTP, biogas production and carbon extraction 6 7 (powerstep.eu). P-REX, similarly, aimed at sustainable sewage sludge management promoting 8 phosphorus recycling and energy efficiency (p-rex.eu).

9 This review critically analyses innovative anaerobic processes to recover materials and energy from 10 municipal wastewater, state of the art WWTPs and future aspects. The energy-efficient resource 11 recovery is examined by the critical analysis only of the anaerobic processes. Moreover, the discussed technologies are selected based on the validation criteria of the demonstrative or full scales 12 applications to ensure the robustness of the technologies supporting the characteristics, as quantity 13 and quality, of the products to be marketed. Hence, this review paper aims to provide a comprehensive 14 overview to the biorefinery concept, recent leading technologies and further sustainable scenarios to 15 fulfil circular economy goals. Although great previous efforts have been done towards anaerobic 16 processes and the concept of resource recovery, environmental technology verification (ETV) has 17 18 further reviewed innovative technologies together with the possible valorisation market alternatives, bottlenecks or barriers of recovered products. 19

## 20 2. Brief evolution of anaerobic schemes as the core of the biorefinery approach

Anaerobic treatment is one of the most promising treatment technologies for developing more sustainable sanitation; it is considered to be the core technology for resource and energy recovery (Stazi and Tomei 2018). Upflow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) was successfully implemented and established within a wide acceptance in municipal WWTPs, especially in tropical and subtropical regions where the temperature of the wastewater is usually above 20 °C (Lohani et al. 2016). Expanded granular sludge bed (EGSB) was further developed to enhance substrate-biomass

interaction within the treatment system by expanding the sludge bed and increasing hydraulic mixing 1 2 compared to UASB (Niwa et al. 2016; Cuff et al. 2018). Although well-established UASB and/or 3 EGSB configurations mostly meet the requirements necessary for anaerobic treatment, unfavourable conditions regarding the disintegration of granules led to the development of AnMBR by coupling 4 membrane technology with anaerobic treatment. Meanwhile, combined heat and power (CHP) 5 6 systems using the anaerobic digestion (AD) of sludge has become the most adopted technology in the 7 existing energy self-sufficient WWTPs. Based on life cycle comparison, AnMBR technology was 8 found to produce more net energy as biogas compared to conventional activated sludge coupled with 9 AD (Gu et al. 2017). The main advantage of AnMBR as a mainline wastewater treatment process is 10 the capacity to recover most of the energy potential in the wastewater rather than the fraction currently 11 recovered by the aerobic-anaerobic treatment. The recent results obtained from pilot-scale AnMBRs treating domestic wastewaters were reviewed and discussed in detail (Shin and Bae 2018). 12

Table 1 provides a schematic representation of different flow schemes to enhance the role of anaerobic processes as core of biorefinery approach. Table 2 refers to resource recovery associated with the schemes in Table 1; all of them recover methane, which is the main produced-resource in WWTPs where anaerobic processes are implemented. Moreover, some of them recover N, P and VFAs due to coupling with the membrane technology. The optimization of anaerobic processes brings the key towards energy self-sufficient WWTPs.

- 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24
- -
- 25
- 26

Main units	Scheme and resource recovery	Anaerobic process	Reference	Number scheme
Anaerobic Process + Post-	Influent DUASB DEffuent	UASB in main line	(Li and Yu 2016)	la
treatment	influent CH4	UASB in main line	(Verstraete et al. 2009)	1b
	Influent AnMBR	AnMBR in main line	(Song et al. 2018)	2a
AnMBR + Post-treatment	Wastewater	AnMBR in main line	(Batstone and Virdis 20	14) 2b
Aerobic Membrane + AD + dewatering	Ngh carbon digestate Domestic sexage Mentarian g plob-licestater Domestic sexage Mentarian g plob-licestater Domestic sexage Domestic licestater Domestic s	AD in sludge line	(Batstone et al. 2015)	3a

# **Table 1.** Flow schemes with resource recovery - role of anaerobic processes





Legend: MES=microbial electrochemical systems; MAP= magnesium ammonium phosphate; MD=membrane distillation, UF=ultrafiltration; RO=reverse osmosis; FO= forward

<sup>2</sup> osmosis; VFA=volatile fatty acids.

Item	Scheme numbers
CH <sub>4</sub>	1,2,3,4,5
WR	2,3,4
VFA	5b
NS	3,4
PS	3,4
NW	4
PW	None
MAP	1b
	Item CH4 WR VFA NS PS NW PW MAP

Table 2. Resource recovery for different plant schemes.

1 2

#### 4 **3.** Resource recovery in anaerobic processes

## 5 **3.1. Wastewater treatment line**

The biogas produced during anaerobic treatment of wastewater can be utilized as an energy source 6 7 (Table 3). However, a significant amount of methane cannot be recovered since a major proportion 8 is dissolved in the effluent, even if the biogas exhibits high CH<sub>4</sub> content (Liu et al., 2018; Souza et 9 al., 2011). Anaerobic wastewater treatment processes such as UASB is therefore limited because of low liquid upflow velocity and inadequate mixing (Yeo and Lee 2013). CH<sub>4</sub> losses recorded in 10 different anaerobic reactors at a pilot-scale were listed and discussed and average CH<sub>4</sub> loss in the 11 effluents were stated between 19-85% (Crone et al. 2017). In municipal wastewater treatment up to 12 13 30-40% of the produced methane was reported to be loss in the application of AnMBR (Krzeminski et al. 2017). Although many efforts were directed towards recovering dissolved CH<sub>4</sub> from anaerobic 14 effluents, such as hollow fibre membrane contactors (Cookney et al. 2016) or down-flow hanging 15 sponge reactors (Hatamoto et al. 2011), scaling up is still missing and validation is required. 16

In the concept of valorisation of municipal wastewater, two-step bioconversion stands as an attractive alternative route (Li and Yu 2011) in the fermentation reactors (Table 3). Complex organic matter in wastewater is simply converted to VFAs before ending up as other valuable end-products (Zhou et al. 2018). This allows a separated optimization of bioconversion mechanisms into a more straight-

forward bioproduction process (Pan et al. 2018). The concentration and speciation of VFAs during 1 2 this process often determines the desired quality of the end-products (Reyhanitash et al. 2017). 3 Although VFAs are so-called intermediate products, they have various potential applications within the WWTP. Acetate is the most-preferred VFA product for denitrification within WWTP followed 4 by butyrate and propionate (Elefsiniotis and Wareham 2007). Propionate can enhance BPR processes 5 in biological nutrient removal systems (Chen et al. 2004). However, further application, either within 6 7 WWTP or the product value, will determine the desired VFA concentration and speciation (Peces et 8 al. 2016) as discussed in the following section. Microbial fuel cells (MFCs) is also another option to 9 produce electricity from VFAs by fermentative hydrogen production (Teng et al. 2010); however, 10 this technology has not yet been validated. Low power density and high operating cost of MFCs limits 11 their implementations on a large-scale (He et al. 2017).

AnMBRs have been successfully implemented to treat municipal wastewaters with high COD 12 removal rates for the main water reuse purpose. However, the discharge of the treated effluents into 13 the aquatic environment or water reuse is usually not possible without further nutrient removal (Ruiz-14 Martinez et al. 2012; Batstone et al. 2015). In this regard, nutrient removal from AnMBR effluent 15 using microalgae was proposed (Viruela et al. 2016). In addition, within the context of EU LIFE 16 17 Project MEMORY (life-memory.eu), submerged AnMBR was demonstrated to combine AD and 18 membrane technology. Such innovative pilot-scale implementations suggest promising technologies for municipal wastewater treatment and resource recovery (https://ec.europa.eu/info/research-and-19 innovation/law-and-regulations/identifying-barriers-innovation\_en). 20

## **1 Table 3.** Recovered products in anaerobic wastewater line.

## 

Wastewater line	Influent	Scale	Volume	HRT	OLR	Т	Recovered products	Amounts/Composition	Location	Reference
Process			m <sup>3</sup> xline	h	kg COD/m³/d	°C				
Fermentation reactor	sewage WW	Pilot	0.5	4.6–5.9 (d)		35	VFA	7453 mg COD/L	Italy	(Longo et al. 2015)
Fermentation reactor	sewage WW	Pilot	1			42	VFA	120 mg VFA/g VS/d	Belgium	(Morgan- Sagastume et al. 2015)
Fermentation reactor	sewage WW	Pilot	0.05	8 (d)		25	VFA	2825 mg COD/L	China	(Li et al. 2011)
UASB	sewage WW	Full	605x8	8			CH <sub>4</sub>	390.1 Nm <sup>3</sup> /d, 78.2% CH <sub>4</sub>	Brazil	(Rosa et al. 2018)
UASB	sewage WW	Pilot	0.12	4		24	CH <sub>4</sub>	0.072 L CH <sub>4</sub> /g COD <sub>removed</sub>	Brazil	(Barbosa and Sant'Anna 1989)
UASB	sewage WW	Pilot	20.36	10	0.5	20	CH <sub>4</sub>	0.3-0.9 Nm <sup>3</sup> /d, 78% CH <sub>4</sub>	Spain	(Álvarez et al. 2008)
UASB	sewage WW	Pilot	0.021	4.7			CH <sub>4</sub>	0.22 L CH4/g COD <sub>removed</sub>		(Uemura and Harada 2000)
UASB	sewage WW	Pilot	0.11	9	0.73	25	$CH_4$	212 L CH <sub>4</sub> /g COD <sub>removed</sub>		(Agrawal et al. 1997)
UASB	sewage WW	Full	14	12	0.88		CH <sub>4</sub>	0.24 L CH <sub>4</sub> /g COD <sub>removed</sub>	Brazil	(Souza et al. 2011)
UASB	sewage WW	Pilot	0.36	5	2.12	25	CH <sub>4</sub>	0.22 L CH <sub>4</sub> /g COD <sub>removed</sub>	Brazil	(Souza et al. 2011)
UASB	sewage WW	Full	1200	6	2.35	25	CH <sub>4</sub>	0.075 L CH <sub>4</sub> /g COD <sub>removed</sub>	India	(Draaijer et al. 1992)
								Т		

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	iu et al., 2018) (Crutchik et al. 2018)
FERM     WAS     Full     30     3 35     VFA     1261 kg VFA/d     Wuxi city of China     (Ling China)       FERM+A     Cellulosic PS     Pilot     n.a     n.a     n.a     n.a     Na     VFA     100-120 mg COD/g VS:d     Verona, Italy     (Cina)       D     Struvite     0.15 kg struvite per capita/year	iu et al., 2018) (Crutchik et al. 2018)
FERM+A       Cellulosic PS       Pilot       n.a       n.a       n.a       n.a       Na       Na       Na       VFA       100-120 mg COD/g VS d       Verona, Italy       (         D       5truvite       0.15 kg struvite per capita/year       capita/year       capita/year	(Crutchik et al. 2018)
D Struvite 0.15 kg struvite per	2018)
capita/vear	N 17 1 1 1
cupita jea	× • • • •
CH <sub>4</sub> n.a.	N N7 1 / 1
AD PS+WAS Full n.a 4400x2 20 1-2 M Electricity 25-55% COD <sub>converted</sub> Tilburg- (D	Je vrieze et al.
Ammonia 143 kg N/d Noord, Netherlands	2016)
Struvite 36 kg P/d	
AD WAS Full n.a 2900 25 1-2 M Electricity 38% COD <sub>converted</sub> Land van (D	De Vrieze et al.
Ammonia 175 kg N/d Cuijk, Netherlands	2016)
Struvite 137 kg P/d	
AD PS+WAS Full n.a 5430x2 20 1-2 M Electricity 0.82 m <sup>3</sup> CH <sub>4</sub> /m <sup>3</sup> /d , Bath, Netherlands (D	De Vrieze et al.
25-55% COD <sub>converted</sub>	2016)
Ammonia 440 kg N/d	
Struvite 112 kg P/d	
AD WAS Full n.a 12000x6 20 M Biogas 0.4 m <sup>3</sup> biogas/VSS Castiglione Torinese, (	(Traversi et al.
Italy	2015)
AD PS+WAS Full n.a 12000x6 25 M Biogas 0.49 m <sup>3</sup> biogas/VSS Castiglione Torinese, (	(Traversi et al.
Italy	2015)
TH+AD Sewage sludge Full n.a 100 15-18 $3.5$ 55 CH <sub>4</sub> $0.35$ m <sup>3</sup> CH <sub>4</sub> /kg VS Namyangju city, Korea (He	(an et al. 2017)
Co-DA PS+WAS+OFM Full n.a n.a. 45 1.3 35 Electricity 400 kW Rovereto.Italy	(Nghiem et al.
SW	2017)
Co-DA PS+WAS+OFM Full 120 n.a. 0.78 70 Electricity 125 kW Treviso,Italy	(Nghiem et al.
SW	2017)
Co-DA PS+WAS+OFM Full 95 n.a. n.a. 55 Electricity 95 kW Kurobe, Japan	(Nghiem et al.
SW	2017)
Co-DA PS+WAS+OFM Full 2700 n.a. 18 n.a. 35 Electricity 11000 kW East Bay MUD, USA	(Nghiem et al.
SW	2017)

**Table 4.** Recovered products in anaerobic sludge line

## 1 **3.2. Sludge treatment line**

Sewage sludge management constitutes a major part of the operating expenses of municipal WWTPs.
In full-scale WWTPs sewage sludge usually undergoes anaerobic digestion to recover energy (CH<sub>4</sub>rich biogas), and thus produce heat and electricity within the concept of combined heat and power
plants. There is also a growing trend to use sludge as a feedstock in other value-added processes
together with bioenergy (Zacharof and Lovitt, 2013) as shown in Table 4.

#### 7 **3.2.1 Recovered materials: VFA**

8 More attention has been paid in the recent years to recover VFAs through the acidogenic fermentation 9 of sewage sludge on down-stream processes (Liu et al. 2012; Longo et al. 2015). In a wider 10 biorefinery concept, carbon upgrading to VFAs seems an energy-efficient and cost-effective strategy. 11 However, utmost importance lies here when considering the WWTP as an integrated process, since extracting VFA will reduce the amount of organic matter fed to AD, which will eventually decrease 12 the energy recovery (Peces et al. 2016). In this regard, the benefits of VFA production and extraction 13 from sewage sludge should be well-designed and optimized in order not to outshadow methane 14 recovery. The optimization should focus on two main criteria: (i) the cost (capital investment and 15 operating expenses) of the fermentation and extraction process and further earnings from VFA use or 16 sale; and (ii) the impact on CH<sub>4</sub> generation (Peces et al. 2016). 17

18 Depending on the selective production of VFAs from the acidogenic fermentation of sewage sludge, VFAs also have high economic values such as materials used in the production of bioplastics and 19 biotextiles (Zacharof and Lovitt 2013; Lin et al. 2018). For instance, acetate and butyrate are preferred 20 21 for polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB) production, while propionate is required when producing polyhydroxyvalerate (PHV) (Shen et al. 2014; Peces et al. 2016). In addition, some important 22 23 characteristics such as higher flexibility, low stiffness and brittleness, and higher tensile strength and toughness are highlighted to promote the production of co-polymers using VFAs with higher 24 propionate/acetate ratio (Frison et al. 2015; Crutchik et al. 2018). However, establishing consistence 25 26 VFA concentrations and proportion remains a significant challenge.

#### **1 3.2.2 Recovered materials: Nutrients**

As mentioned earlier, there is large interest in decreasing costs and elevating sustainability by energyefficient resource recovery in the concept of biorefinery (Raheem et al. 2018). So far, validated
biorefinery products from WWTPs include nutrients (i.e. N, P), biopolymers (i.e. PHA) and cellulose
(Zijp et al. 2017; Raheem et al. 2018).

The recovered nutrients from WWTPs can be utilized for struvite and/or Ca-P precipitation (Cieślik 6 and Konieczka 2017; Melia et al. 2017) or biochar adsorption (Huggins et al. 2016). Struvite 7 8 (MgNH<sub>4</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) crystallization has been successfully used for simultaneous recovery of nutrients from 9 wastewater (Hermassi et al. 2018) together with calcium phosphate (Ca<sub>3</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) (Le Corre et al. 10 2009). Struvite is more preferred in agricultural use due to the fact that magnesium (Mg), N and P are 11 released simultaneously (1:1:1 M ratio), and that the rate of nutrient release is slow compared to other fertilizers (Puchongkawarin et al. 2015). Deficient concentrations of phosphorus, on the other hand, 12 limit the struvite precipitation. Even the presence of toxic compounds and/or micropollutants in 13 wastewater restrain its purity and further agricultural application. Hence, alternative nutrient recovery 14 technologies (i.e. membrane, electrodialysis) should be considered to improve the quality of the 15 recovered nutrients (Xie et al. 2016). Several other benefits are also associated with P recovery by 16 crystallization. For instance, the volume of the sludge produced together with other undesired 17 18 precipitates diminishes, which eventually decreases the cost of sludge disposal (Hermassi et al. 2018). Integration of the Short Cut Enhanced Nutrient Abatement (SCENA) system into the Carbonera 19 WWTP, Italy, was previously evaluated (Longo et al. 2017) and the results motivated the Horizon 20 21 2020 'SMART-Plant' action which is currently running and investigates the optimization of the best 22 scenario for SCENA within SMARTech4a and SMARTech4b. Briefly, the SCENA system integrates the following processes: optional upstream concentration of cellulosic sludge, fermentation of 23 dynamic thickened sewage sludge to produce VFAs as carbon source, and nitrogen and phosphorus 24 removal (by P-bioaccumulation) via nitrite from sludge reject water using an SBR. In this 25 configuration, nitrogen is removed through the bioprocesses of nitritation/denitritation, and Enhanced 26

BPR (EBPR) via nitrite using the VFAs from sludge fermentation liquid as carbon source. 1 2 SMARTech4b is another validated SCENA pilot-scale system at the WWTP of Psyttalia, Greece. It enables the integration of the enhanced biogas recovery (by thermal pressure hydrolysis) of sewage 3 sludge with side stream energy-efficient and compact nitrogen removal and phosphorus recovery. 4 The CAMBI<sup>™</sup> thermal hydrolysis process has been installed to treat 50% of the produced sludge 5 before this is sent for AD. The integration of CAMBITM with anaerobic digestion produces, after 6 7 dewatering, a reject water stream that has a very high ammonium nitrogen concentration (>1.2 gN/L) 8 to be removed in the SCENA unit.

9 Furthermore, many technologies are applied in full size for specific objective of phosphorous salts recovery. In fact, CalPrex<sup>TM</sup> reactor (Pre-digestion P-recovery) placed between the acid phase and 10 gas phase digesters enables dissolved phosphorus in dewatered centrate precipitates and is recovered 11 as a brushite crystal. Similarly, the AirPrex<sup>TM</sup> reactor (Sludge optimization and P-recovery) placed 12 between the anaerobic digester and the dewatering equipment converts the orthophosphate into 13 struvite crystals, which are harvested from the bottom of the reactor. Both the WASSTRIP<sup>TM</sup> and 14 Ostara Pearl<sup>TM</sup> processes are already in operation in a number of municipal installations and achieve 15 efficient P recovery (Point et al. 2017). Examples of commercial processes for P recovery and the 16 different final P products derived are thoroughly listed and discussed (Melia et al. 2017). Potassium 17 18 recovery from wastewater has not been substantially considered and is an emerging issue (Batstone et al. 2015). There are also quite number of other promising nutrient recovery technologies that are 19 yet invalidated, such as microbial recovery cell- anaerobic osmotic membrane bioreactor ((MRC)-20 21 AnOMBR system (Hou et al. 2017), reactive sorbents (Hermassi et al. 2018) and microalgae (Viruela et al. 2016). 22

## 23 3.2.2 Recovered materials: PHA

Biopolymers are a group of polymers with similar properties to petroleum-based plastics, produced
from renewable sources also by different types of bacteria using carbon as a substrate (Raheem et al.
2018). The main advantages of PHAs are the possibility of being completely biodegradable and non-

1 toxic. PHA-storing bacteria are well-known to grow in activated sludge processes of WWTPs that 2 store these polymers as carbon source and energy reserve (Frison et al. 2015). The series of operations needed for microbial production of PHAs are substantially described in the literature (Tamis et al. 3 2014; Anjum et al. 2016). Ongoing pilot-scale demonstrations in recent years offer fundamental 4 experience to produce PHA from waste materials in enough quantities to inspire value chains and 5 investment within first bio-based value chains. To launch the private and public relationships that 6 7 will drive the economic and regulatory framework, it is a crucial to verify and explore technology 8 process basis, to validate recovered material flows to marketable renewable resources (Valentino et 9 al. 2017). SMARTech2b stands as the key to enable secondary mainstream energy-efficient resource 10 recovery in Manresa WRRF, Spain. It applies the mainstream SCEPPHAR (Short-cut Enhanced 11 Phosphorus and PHA Recovery) and consists of two sequencing batch reactors (SBRs); one for heterotrophic bacterial growth operated under anaerobic/anoxic/aerobic sequence (HET-SBR), and 12 another SBR for autotrophic nitrifiers growth (AUT-SBR), an interchange vessel and a chemical 13 system for P-recovery as struvite. The integrated system accomplishes enhanced N-removal and P-14 recovery in municipal WWTP. PHA is recovered from the anaerobic purge of the SBR. SMARTech5 15 also applies the SCEPPHAR concept in Carbonera WWTP, Italy, which was conceived as a modified 16 version of SCENA where PHA recovery is an economically sustainable option. It accounts of the 17 18 following subprocesses: (i) cellulosic primary sludge fermentation to enhance the production of VFAs and release nitrogen and phosphorus in soluble forms (i.e. ammonia and phosphate); (ii) solid and 19 liquid separation of the fermentation products and recovery of struvite form the sewage sludge 20 21 fermentation liquid by the addition of Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> to favour the precipitation; (iii) ammonium conversion to nitrite accomplished in a SBR; (iv) selection of PHA storing biomass in a SBR by the 22 alternation of aerobic feast conditions and followed by anoxic famine conditions for denitritation 23 driven by internally stored PHA as carbon source; (v) PHA accumulation using a fed-batch reactor to 24 maximize the cellular PHA content of the biomass harvested from the selection stage. Within the 25 26 context of the INCOVER Project, pilot-scale mainstream phototrophic PHA recovery is conducted in

Viladecans, Spain. PHA is produced through photo-bioreactors in which microalgae and 1 2 cyanobacteria communities grow in a symbiotic relationship, removing pollutants from urban and agricultural wastewaters and accumulating PHA. Produced biomass is then fed into the AD with 3 sewage sludge or other biomass sources as co-substrate for biogas production (incover-project.eu). 4 An innovative biogas upgrading technology is also implemented, based on the symbiosis between 5 microalgae and bacteria and the photosynthetic fixation of CO, which removes CO and HS to produce 6 7 biomethane of 92%. In El Torno WWTP, Spain, PHA production is produced through two-stage 8 anaerobic-photosynthetic high rate algae pond systems that are consisting of pulse feeding of 9 municipal wastewater pre-treated in an UASB reactor with molasses as COD source. Similarly, after PHA production, the remaining biomass is converted into biogas using thermal pre-treatment and an 10 11 anaerobic co-digestion process followed by biogas upgrade.

## 12 **3.2.2 Recovered materials: Cellulose**

Municipal wastewater contains high amounts of cellulose fibre (30–50% of the total suspended solids) 13 that is mainly originated from toilet papers (Behera et al. 2018). These cellulose fibres easily enter 14 biological treatment systems of WWTPs if they are not separated during the primary treatment; 15 biodegradation of cellulose is comparatively difficult and depends on many factors (Ruiken et al. 16 2013; Crutchik et al. 2018). On the other hand, cellulose fibres hold a great potential as a resource 17 18 which can be recovered from wastewater by sieving (Ruiken et al. 2013). The benefits of cellulose 19 dewatering sludge are: minimization of chemical consumption, lower electricity consumption for aeration, less chance of phosphate release and much lower sludge volume to discharge that reduces 20 21 sludge handling and management cost. Cellulose harvesting is expected to have added benefits to the WWTP's downstream biological process and provided outside the WWTP for the downstream 22 23 blending with PHA and processing for final biocomposite production. SMARTech1 comprises an innovative integration of dynamic fine-sieving together with in-situ post-processing that is currently 24 validated in the municipal WWTP of Geestmerambacht, Netherlands. CirTec has developed flow 25 scheme with filter for primary treatment (Salsnes Filter<sup>TM</sup>) and separating cellulosic fibres to produce 26

a highly-concentrated sludge. The produced cake layer or fine sieved fraction (FSF) harvested from
Salsnes Filter<sup>TM</sup> has a very heterogeneous composition containing mainly cellulosic fibres originating
from toilet paper. The result is a market-ready cellulose that has been cleaned, dried and disinfected.
Examples of the recovered materials from WWTPs in SMART-Plant are shown in Fig. 1.

## 5 4. Technologies to the market: focus on the environmental technology verification and other

## 6 performance certifications

At EU level, innovative environmental technologies are validated by Environmental Technology 7 8 Verification (ETV) Program to prove the reliability of the developed claims and help technology 9 purchasers identify innovations that suit their needs. Hence, the best long-term technical, 10 environmental and economic performances are validated by ETV protocol. ETV ensures that the 11 performance claims are as structured and complete in order to present a clear assessment of the technology's potential and value. However, it does not cover the evaluation of the technology's 12 performance against standard or pre-defined criteria. More information can be found at ETV's 13 official website (https://ec.europa.eu/environment/ecoap/etv\_en). 14

15 In addition to validation of a technology, the functional properties of recovered materials should be

16 determined using specific functional tests to compare recovered products with industrial products.

17 The use of phosphate salts, biochar and pyrolysis materials, is more controlled and regulated

18 compared to other recovered materials. The European Sustainable Phosphorus Platform (ESPP) are

19 implementing many activities for the sustainable management of phosphorus and other nutrients.

20 STRUBIAS - EU Fertilisers Regulation - sets criteria for nutrient recovery rules within EU

21 Fertilising Products Regulation. At national level, authorisations of struvite/recovered phosphates as

22 fertilisers together with phosphorus recycling legislations are in force in some EU countries. The

23 main challenge of these organic-based fertilizers is to ensure that their application is not resulting in

24 an accumulation of different organic non-biogenic and inorganic compounds (e.g., toxic metals and

non-metals) (Hermassi et al. 2018). Strong debates continue regarding the social awareness of

consumers and framework regularities about food security (3rd European Nutrient Event, 2018).

Inconsistency and high-variability of available sources for recovered PHA quality in routine 1 2 production is still a hidden gem (Valentino et al. 2017). For instance, the extracted PHA from municipal secondary wastewater was examined using <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy (Kumar et al. 2018). 3 Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) and differential scanning calorimetry (SDC) were also used 4 for the characterization of the recovered PHA (molecular number, molecular weight, glass transition 5 temperature etc.) (Frison et al. 2015). However, consistent quality of recovered PHA derived from 6 wastewater as feedstock has not been proven or refuted presenting a big challenge in scaled-up 7 8 implementations (Valentino et al. 2017).

## 9 5. Valorisation of recovered materials to consumer/industrial products

10 Sustainability assessment of the recovered materials from wastewater was conducted (Zijp et al. 2017) with respect to 6 different categories as follows: economic welfare, resource depletion, 11 environmental and biological quality, technical welfare, human health and social welfare. It was 12 concluded that PHA and struvite seemed economically feasible in terms of production costs and 13 market values. However, PHA needs urgent and further investigations as it exhibits some critical 14 barriers, which have to do with the possible emissions of toxic compounds during the production 15 stage and concerns regarding the perception of the market on the food security. Similarly, struvite 16 utilization also depends on location-specific aspects and legislations and needs further assessment. 17 18 Cellulose recovery and application, on the other hand, seems less feasible due to the costs of extra hygiene step when used in the paper and carton industry. This step is not required in construction 19 applications which makes cellulose recovery more beneficial for all resource themes. In a recent 20 21 study, better value was derived from valorising CPS to VFAs and struvite from the fermentation liquid, then CH<sub>4</sub> was further recovered after AD of remaining fermentation solids (Crutchik et al. 22 2018). The authors made a simple comparison by assuming CH<sub>4</sub> market price of 0.11 €/m<sup>3</sup>, the best 23 valorisation of CH<sub>4</sub> from CPS could be up to 0.46 €/capita year. Acetate and propionate price could 24 be as high as 0.45 and 1.01  $\notin$ /kg, respectively, meanwhile struvite could be sold up to 0.76  $\notin$ /kg. 25 26 Therefore, the VFAs and struvite route before biomethanization have the potential to increase the market value potential of CPS up to 1.55-1.95 €/capita year (Crutchik et al. 2018). Overall, potential
end-use of the recovered materials with respect to market requirements highly influence its role within
circular economy. Some of the potential end-uses of the recovered materials from WWTPs are
discussed with existing market values and possible valorisation alternatives.

5 The different market possibilities and the discussion of the advantages and disadvantages for the
6 recovered materials commercialization opportunities are summarized in Table 5.

#### 7 5.1. Market possibilities for recovered materials: Nutrients

Adding nutrient-loaded sorbents enhances the soil quality in terms of agricultural yield and nutritional quality. However, socioeconomic conditions highly influence whether such materials can be applicable in commercial agriculture. The key factors that influence the application of post-sorbent fertilizers are the availability of feedstock, the technology to manufacture fertilizers, and the investment costs and capacity. (Hermassi et al. 2018). In addition, soil measurements together with plant bioavailability indices can help to determine fertilizer performance (Peng et al. 2018). Effects of struvite as fertilizer on various plants can be found (Kataki et al. 2016).

The market value of struvite varies from 188 to 763 €/t struvite in the recent years (Molinos-Senante 15 et al. 2011; Desmidt et al. 2015). Although economic feasibility of struvite recovery is limited by 16 high operational costs, it was also determined that when the struvite sale price is assumed as 560 17 18 €/ton, the net profit of 445.62 €/day was obtained for a full-scale fertilizer production industry with a 500 m<sup>3</sup>/day capacity. (Yetilmezsoy et al. 2017). The European Commission's draft "market study" 19 assesses the possible sources of raw materials for nutrient recycling, STRUBIAS technologies and 20 21 economic aspects. High quality of these struvite-based products enables them to be used as effective slow-release fertilizers for agriculture practices. Furthermore, P recovery also aids to cease 22 23 eutrophication in aquatic environments. In this regard, if economic aspects for P recovery are not satisfactory, environmental benefits and government regulations could be the driving force (Peng et 24 al. 2018). During the market development strategy for struvite, focus should be based on a holistic 25 approach considering pricing, demand, purity, size, storage, transportation and distribution with 26

respect to the existing regulatory framework of contaminants and eco-toxicity (Desmidt et al. 2015;
 Kataki et al. 2016).

#### **3 5.2.** Market possibilities for recovered materials: Biopolymers

Biopolymers must compete with petroleum-based polymers, which are available in high amounts at 4 relatively low prices. Biogas could be also considered the main competitor for biopolymer production 5 6 in WWTPs since organic carbon from waste material will not be diverted for the production of 7 biopolymers when the production of biogas is more convenient (Kleerebezem et al. 2015). Thus, the 8 market potential of bioplastics seems limited so far (Van Der Hoek et al. 2016). However, (EEA 9 report No 8/2018) reported that the global production of plastics is estimated to account for about 7 10 % of the world's fossil fuel consumption. The proportion of bioplastics is still low, currently below 1 11 %. However, the worldwide biopolymer production capacity is forecast to increase from 6.6 million tonnes in 2016 to 8.5 million tonnes in 2021. 12

Production of biopolymers from waste feedstock seems advantageous and economical depending on 13 the market requirements. It has multiple applications especially in material and packaging industries 14 and utilisation of waste feedstock as substrate makes a great contribution to waste management and 15 reduces environmental pollution. For instance, these waste materials are proved to be efficient 16 substrates producing significant amounts of PHA or extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) that 17 18 can help to reduce the production cost by eliminating the usage of pure carbon sources. Research is 19 still on-going for the lower-cost production of PHAs by utilization of such low cost wastes and using wild and mutant strains of microorganisms (Anjum et al. 2016). Optimization of the processing 20 21 techniques can pave the way to take PHA formation from waste materials to industrial level and then into the market (Pakalapati et al. 2018). At the moment, the bottleneck of the process seems to be the 22 23 extraction of PHA from the biomass which requires thermal and/or chemical processes which are usually expensive. 24

#### 25 5.2.1 Market possibilities for recovered materials: PHA

1 PHA has gained greater attraction in the recent years due to their many advantages such as biodegradability, biocompatibility, controllable thermal and mechanical properties as well as 2 molecular weight diversity, which allow them to be used as bioimplant materials for medical and 3 therapeutic applications (Zhang et al. 2018). Although the utilization of waste materials for the 4 synthesis of high-class materials such as PHAs has led to cost reduction as previously mentioned, the 5 final products cannot be used in medical applications where high purity products with non-toxic 6 nature are of utmost considerations (Raza et al. 2018). PHAs recovered from waste materials can 7 8 contain viral, plasmid, bacterial or genetic contaminations that hinder their potential usage for medical 9 applications. Impurities in PHA regarding proteins, lipids, endotoxins, antifoam agents, DNA and hypochlorite have been previously reported (Koller et al. 2013b, a). Such impurities require specific 10 11 post recovery washing procedures that eventually cause a major increase in product cost (Raza et al. 12 2018).

In this regard, the majority of recovered PHA applications take place in a wide range of products including paper coatings, bags, containers, food packaging materials, bottles, cup etc. (Muhammadi et al. 2015). For instance, water-resistant layer for paper, film or cardboard can be produced out of the latex of PHAs (Anderson and Dawes 1990; Bourbonnais and Marchessault 2010). PHAs can also be used to replace petrochemical polymers in toner and developer compositions as well as ionconducting polymers (Muhammadi et al. 2015).

Industrial PHAs and their applications were discussed by Anjum and colleagues (Anjum et al. 2016). 19 Among these materials and their applications, recovered PHA can find its own place in such practices: 20 21 Biopol (co-polymer of poly (3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyvalerate)), currently produced by Metabolix (Cambridge, MA, USA), can be used in packaging materials, shampoo bottles, disposable 22 razors, disposable cups, disposable knives and forks. Nodax (PHA copolymer family consisting of 3-23 hydroxybutyrate) (P&G Chemicals, USA/Japan) is available as foams, fibres or nonwovens, films 24 and latex among others. Biogreen (Mitsubishi Gas Chemicals) developed the production of P (3HB) 25 26 from methanol, and markets it under the trade name Biogreen. Furthermore, PHAs can be used to make foils and diaphragms, pressure sensors for keyboards, stretch and acceleration measuring
instruments, material testing, shockwave sensors, lighters, gas lighters; acoustics, and for ultrasonic
therapy and atomization of liquids (Anjum et al. 2016). A high performance of PHA biopolymer,
Minerv-PHA (Minerv, Italy), takes the place of highly pollutant materials such as PET, PP, PE, HDPE
and LDPE. The most known commercially-available PHA products can be found elsewhere
(Bugnicourt et al. 2014).

7 Other than being used mainly as environmentally friendly plastics for packaging purposes, PHAs are 8 considered as a source for the synthesis of chiral compounds which highlights them as raw materials 9 for the production of paints (Reddy et al. 2003; Muhammadi et al. 2015). Furthermore, PHA can be 10 hydrolysed chemically, and the monomers can then be converted into molecules such as 2-alkenoic 11 acids, ß-hydroxy acids, ß-hydroxyalkanols, ß-hydroxyacid esters, ß-acyllactones, ß-amino acids, which hold great potential as biodegradable solvents (Madison and Huisman 1999; Muhammadi et 12 al. 2015). Other important, industrial applications of PHAs are printing and photography, art-smart 13 gels, heat-sensitive-adhesives and also fishing equipment (Pakalapati et al. 2018). 14

Blending PHAs, in particular polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB), with other polymers, or with plasticizers, creates opportunities to enhance their properties by decreasing the processing temperature and lowering the brittleness of PHAs based plastics. So far many blends containing PHB/PHAs have been investigated and several types of plasticizers have been proposed (Bugnicourt et al. 2014).

In addition, nanocomposites of PHA are also reported (Anjum et al. 2016). For example, the preparation of biodegradable nanocomposites using NFC and poly(3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyvalerate, PHBV) as the polymer matrix has been investigated (Srithep et al. 2013). This can be a good alternative to valorise two types of recovered materials from WWTPs in one application.

the global market (Możejko-Ciesielska and Kiewisz 2016). The selected end-use of PHA often

PHA yield on carbon source, its productivity and downstream costs determine their introduction into

24 the global market (Wozejko-clesiciska and Kiewisz 2010). The selected chu-use of TTIA often

25 determines the market specifications and requirements.

23

1 The current cost of PHAs production and recovery with aqueous two-phase extraction method is 2 stated as 5.77 USD/kg. However, utilizing a cheaper carbon source such as sludge has the potential to reduce the final PHA production price significantly (Leong et al. 2017). Hence, the theoretical 3 price of PHAs produced in fed-batch mode using waste materials could reach up to 3.51 €/kg. Yet, 4 they are still not cost-efficient when compared to their synthetic alternatives such as polypropylene 5 and polyethylene, which cost 1.47 and 1.15 €/kg, respectively (Możejko-Ciesielska and Kiewisz 6 7 2016). The current PHA price ranges between 2.2-5.0 €/kg, which depends on monomer composition 8 and is usually higher for the copolymers. In spite of having several environmental advantages, the 9 PHA prices are still not commercially-competitive with conventional petroleum-based polymers, 10 which typically cost less than  $1.0 \notin$ kg (Valentino et al. 2017).

### 11 5.2.2 Market possibilities for recovered materials: EPS

EPS are biopolymers that are considered eco-friendly, cost effective and sustainable alternatives to 12 substitute the existing chemical flocculants. Potential environmental applications of EPS can be listed 13 as follows as summarized from More et al., 2014): Water treatment (Wang et al. 2012), wastewater 14 treatment (Li et al. 2013), colour removal from wastewater (Liu et al. 2009), sludge dewatering (Yang 15 et al. 2012), metal removal or recovery (Mikutta et al. 2012), removal of toxic organic compounds 16 (Zhang et al. 2011), landfill leachate treatment (Zouboulis et al. 2004) and soil remediation and 17 18 reclamation (Chandran and Das 2011). Existing literature is limited to lab-scale applications and further research is still needed to be scaled up to field applications since EPS can be used as a cost-19 effective treatment alternative. The cost of the EPS extraction and purification can be the limiting 20 21 factor in the field application considered of various sectors with chemistry, structure and properties of interest (More et al. 2014). 22

## 23 **5.3.** Market possibilities for recovered materials: Cellulose

Classification of cellulosic materials as well as current and emerging markets for cellulose-based products have been thoroughly discussed (Keijsers et al. 2013). Accordingly, cellulose markets were classified into 9 categories: Textile, non-woven, wood and timber, pulp/paper and board, cellulose

dissolving pulp, cellulosic films, building materials, cellulosic fibre composites and green chemicals. 1 2 The selected end-use of a certain lignocellulosic raw material often specifies the market requirements. Hence, the end-use of cellulose determines the market prices and volumes, which are directly linked 3 to the cellulose quality and defined over physical properties, chemical composition, unwanted 4 components, prior treatments of raw material, and physical, chemical, biological stability of the 5 cellulose. For instance, end-uses for cellulose such as pulp, paper and board are pointed on brightness, 6 tensile and tear, freeness, write-ability; the price range is between 450-650 raw material price €/ton. 7 8 Polymeric cellulose will eventually have higher chemical purity requirements. Meanwhile, market 9 requirement of building materials for extracted cellulose is based on its strength, moisture absorbency 10 and fire retardancy. Details of market volume and market price of purified celluloses can be found in 11 the literature (Keijsers et al. 2013).

Considering its nature and high energy content, fine sieved fraction (FSF) has started to gain attraction in countries such as the Netherlands (Ghasimi et al. 2016). The recovered cellulose can be used either as raw material to make paper products, adhesion binders for asphalts (Crutchik et al. 2018) or as the fibrous reinforcement material in bricks (Kim et al. 2017) when properly separated and refined. For instance, cellulosic material recovered from screenings were used as an ingredient in the production of asphalt to create a bike path near Beemster WWTP, the Netherlands (Selster A.S., 2018). Similarly, Makron (Finland) uses recycled cellulose fibre additives for asphalt.

The use of natural fibres as the adsorbent is another emerging trend in environmental engineering 19 applications including environmental remediation and water filtration membranes as the fibres are 20 21 abundant, readily available and are more environmentally friendly compared to carbon based materials (Carpenter et al. 2015). They are used as adsorbents in wastewater treatment (i.e. in the 22 form of membrane) and for the removal of adsorbates such as oil, dyes, heavy metals and ionic 23 compounds (Rahman et al. 2018). As the production of effective adsorbents at low cost and low 24 energy consumption is placed at the centre of many researches, the properties and possible 25 26 modifications of recovered cellulose need to be thoroughly investigated and understood.

1 Furthermore, market projections of cellulose nanomaterial-enabled products are estimated (Cowie et 2 al. 2014) and recent developments in production of cellulose nanofibrils (CNF) were discussed (Nechyporchuk et al. 2016). Fluorescent cellulose bio-based plastics were successfully fabricated 3 based on the strong hydrogen bonding interaction between cellulose chains and conjugated dye 4 molecules, and further suggested as a good candidate for making anti-counterfeiting banknotes (Wang 5 et al. 2016). In another study, the transparent and flexible cellulose-based nanocomposite papers were 6 7 fabricated to be used as solar cell substrates (Cheng et al. 2018). However, smooth surface was 8 proposed to be maintained on the cellulosic material to avoid problems during the coating process. 9 The use of cellulose nanocrystals for thermal insulation can be another option to value recovered 10 cellulose from WWTPs (Septevani et al. 2017). CNF can be combined with clay for the preparation 11 of nanopaper to obtain unique brick-and mortar structure (Carosio et al. 2016). Cellulose can be efficiently used to produce valuable chemicals or biofuels, such as VFAs (Guo et 12 al. 2015), poly lactic acid (Graupner et al. 2009; Jamshidian et al. 2010) and bioethanol (Zabed et 13

al. 2017). However, it should be noted that the use of cellulose for biogas and bioenergy production
are positioned at the bottom of the biomass value pyramid (Gavrilescu 2014).

The possibility to use the separated materials to safely produce toilet paper was also suggested as a real cradle to-cradle application, but difficulties in relation to social acceptance were also highlighted (Ruiken et al. 2013). In all conditions and possible applications, extraction and purification methods of cellulose must be thoroughly studied to assess its feasibility to meet the criteria of end-use markets.

## **Table 5.** Market possibilities, quality indicators and market price range for recovered struvite, PHA and cellulose.

Product	Market possibilities	Quality indicators	Price range (€/t)	Reference
Struvite	• Fertilizer	• Solubility, purity, plant bioavailability, metal content, crystal size	• 188-763	(Molinos-Senante et al. 2011; Peng et al. 2018)
PHA	Paper (coating)	• Purity, toxicity, chemical constituents,	• 2.2-5.0 €/kg	(Anjum et al. 2016;
	<ul> <li>Packaging (foils)</li> </ul>	blending	-	Valentino et al. 2017)
	• Electronics (headphones, keyboards)			
	<ul> <li>Printing and photography</li> </ul>			
	• Others			
Cellulose	• Textiles	<ul> <li>Purity/colour/fibre length/distribution/lustre/ softness/hygienic</li> </ul>	• 1200-1900	(Keijsers et al. 2013)
	• Non-woven	<ul> <li>Purity/fibre length/ distribution/ absorbency</li> </ul>	• 200-400	
	• Wood, timber	<ul> <li>Density/strength and modulus/durability/hardness/colour</li> </ul>	• 450-600 €/m <sup>3</sup>	
	• Pulp, paper and board	• Brightness, tensile and tear, freeness, writability	• 450-650	
	<ul> <li>Cellulose dissolving pulp</li> </ul>	• α Cellulose %, polymerisation degree	• 1600-2000	
	• Cellulosic films	• α Cellulose %, polymerisation degree	• 3000-3500	
	<ul> <li>Building materials</li> </ul>	• Strength, moisture absorbency, fire retardancy	• -	
	<ul> <li>Cellulosic fibre composites</li> </ul>	Compatibility	• 200-400	
	• Green chemicals	• Glucose yield/extractability	• 50-100	

#### **1 5** Barriers to resource recovery and reuse and solutions to overcome

Actually, notwithstanding the important research activities and the developed technologies for
resources recovery from the real WWTPs, many bottlenecks for the market uptake and for their
application could be identified.

In fact, the law for water reuse, actually, regulates only the irrigation purpose. None specific indications and legislations were clearly promoted for fertigation objective as highlighted even by EU Innovation Deal on sustainable wastewater treatment combining anaerobic membrane technology and water reuse. Many lacks have been identified both in the legal definition of the term discharge and for quality standards provisions adopted for wastewater effluents to be used for agriculture. Moreover, recognition of the economic and environmental benefits of water reuse within reclaimed water pricing have to be implemented.

For phosphorous and ammonia salts, more detailed studies and programs have been developed at
European level to overcome regulatory barriers. Not similar evidences have been identified for PHA
and cellulose potential recovery.

Moreover, the quality, the purity and the characteristics of the recovered resources change on the basis of the implemented process in the WWTPs. From the other hand, the different market sectors request inlet materials with diverse standards on the basis of the final productive application. For this reason the certification of the technologies, which also has to include the main properties of the recovered products, seems necessary to couple the recovery processes to the industrial sectors.

In this direction, the European criteria of "End-of-waste" could be identified as possible legislative solution to support the resources recovery application in the WWTPs. In fact, this approach (Waste Framework Directive 2008/98/EC) specifies when certain waste ceases to be waste and obtains a status of a product or a secondary raw material. The obtainment of the end of waste status has to be supported by several conditions: 1- the substance or object is commonly used for specific purposes; 2- there is an existing market or demand for the substance or object; 3- the use is lawful (substance or object fulfils the technical requirements for the specific purposes and meets the existing legislation and standards applicable to products); 4- the use will not lead to overall adverse environmental or human health impacts. Starting from this point, specific regulations, centred on the end of waste concept, could be implemented to support the regulatory framework of the resources recovery. This approach can justify and encourage the technological investments in the WWTPs to economically address and support the resources recovery and to promote the circular economy in the water sector (Guest, et al., 2009).

8 Finally, public perception and social acceptance are insufficiently developed for all the described
9 materials. Therefore, specific formative and public dissemination activities have to be strongly
10 supported.

## 11 6 Conclusions

12 This paper has provided a commentary on recent advances in energy-efficient resource recovery approaches in WWTPs. Anaerobic processes stand as the "gold mine" in wastewater mining strategy 13 while AnMBRs are the "gold diggers". While the biorefinery concept has been widely recognized, 14 lab-scale studies are gradually evolving into validated pilot/full scale implementations. Onsite energy 15 recovery is still getting most attention. However, recently-developed and validated processes, such 16 17 as SCENA and SCEPPHAR, derive more value to VFAs, while achieving satisfactory nutrients and 18 PHA recovery, respectively. Together with nutrients and PHA, cellulose is another value-added 19 material to be recovered in WWTPs. Among all the energy and material recovery methods, some are 20 consistently considered to be beneficial to improve sustainability, and some of them still need further 21 research to achieve desired feasibility. Struvite has a comparatively large market, also brings strong 22 debates on food security that needs to be addressed in the near future. Valorisation of PHA and 23 cellulose, by the way, should not be overlooked since there are huge market alternatives. Therefore, there is a need to develop the regulatory framework for resource recovery and carry out 24 socioeconomic assessments considering the market potential and specific requirements. 25

## **1** Acknowledgements

This study was carried out within the framework of the "SMART-Plant" Innovation Action which
has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme
under grant agreement No 690323.

### 5 **References**

- 6 3rd European Nutrient Event, 2018. ECOMONDO 8-9 November 2018, Rimini, Italy.
- Agrawal LK, Harada H, Okui H (1997) Treatment of dilute wastewater in a UASB reactor at a
  moderate temperature: Performance aspects. J Ferment Bioeng 83:179–184. doi:
  10.1016/S0922-338X(97)83579-9
- Álvarez JA, Armstrong E, Gómez M, Soto M (2008) Anaerobic treatment of low-strength municipal
   wastewater by a two-stage pilot plant under psychrophilic conditions. Bioresour Technol
   99:7051–7062. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2008.01.013
- Anderson AJ, Dawes EA (1990) Occurrence, metabolism, metabolic role, and industrial uses of
   bacterial polyhydroxyalkanoates. Microbiol Rev 54:450–472. doi: 0146-0749/90/040450 23\$02.00/0
- 16 Anjum A, Zuber M, Zia KM, et al (2016) Microbial production of polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs)
- and its copolymers: A review of recent advancements. Int J Biol Macromol 89:161–174. doi:
  10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2016.04.069
- Ansari AJ, Hai FI, Price WE, et al (2017) Forward osmosis as a platform for resource recovery from
   municipal wastewater A critical assessment of the literature. J Memb Sci 529:195–206. doi:
- 21 10.1016/j.memsci.2017.01.054
- Barbosa RA, Sant'Anna GL (1989) Treatment of raw domestic sewage in an UASB reactor. Water
  Res 23:1483–1490. doi: 10.1016/0043-1354(89)90112-7
- Batstone DJ, Hülsen T, Mehta CM, Keller J (2015) Platforms for energy and nutrient recovery from
  domestic wastewater: A review. Chemosphere 140:2–11. doi:

- 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.10.021
- Batstone DJ, Virdis B (2014) The role of anaerobic digestion in the emerging energy economy. Curr
  Opin Biotechnol 27:142–149. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2014.01.013
- Behera CR, Santoro D, Gernaey K V., Sin G (2018) Organic carbon recovery modeling for a rotating
  belt filter and its impact assessment on a plant-wide scale. Chem Eng J 334:1965–1976. doi:
  10.1016/j.cej.2017.11.091
- Bourbonnais R, Marchessault RH (2010) Application of polyhydroxyalkanoate granules for sizing of
  paper. Biomacromolecules 11:989–993. doi: 10.1021/bm9014667
- 9 Bugnicourt E, Cinelli P, Lazzeri A, Alvarez V (2014) Polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA): Review of
  10 synthesis, characteristics, processing and potential applications in packaging. Express Polym
  11 Lett 8:791–808. doi: 10.3144/expresspolymlett.2014.82
- Carosio F, Cuttica F, Medina L, Berglund LA (2016) Clay nanopaper as multifunctional brick and
   mortar fire protection coating-Wood case study. Mater Des 93:357–363. doi:
   10.1016/j.matdes.2015.12.140
- Carpenter AW, De Lannoy CF, Wiesner MR (2015) Cellulose nanomaterials in water treatment
   technologies. Environ Sci Technol 49:5277–5287. doi: 10.1021/es506351r
- Chandran P, Das N (2011) Degradation of diesel oil by immobilized Candida tropicalis and biofilm
  formed on gravels. Biodegradation 22:1181–1189. doi: 10.1007/s10532-011-9473-1
- Chen Y, Randall AA, Mccue T (2004) The efficiency of enhanced biological phosphorus removal
   from real wastewater affected by different ratios of acetic to propionic acid. 38:27–36. doi:
   10.1016/j.watres.2003.08.025
- 22 Cheng Q, Ye D, Yang W, et al (2018) Construction of Transparent Cellulose-Based Nanocomposite
- Papers and Potential Application in Flexible Solar Cells. ACS Sustain Chem Eng 6:8040–8047.
  doi: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b01599
- 25 Cieślik B, Konieczka P (2017) A review of phosphorus recovery methods at various steps of

1	wastewater treatment and sewage sludge management. The concept of "no solid waste
2	generation" and analytical methods. J Clean Prod 142:1728-1740. doi:
3	10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.11.116
4	Cookney J, Mcleod A, Mathioudakis V, et al (2016) Dissolved methane recovery from anaerobic
5	effluents using hollow fibre membrane contactors. J Memb Sci 502:141-150. doi:
6	10.1016/j.memsci.2015.12.037
7	Cowie JOHN, Bilek EMTED, Wegner TH (2014) Market projections of cellulose nanomaterial-
8	enabled products - Part 2: Volume estimates. 13:57-69
9	Crone BC, Garland JL, Sorial GA, Vane LM (2017) Significance of dissolved methane in effluents
10	of anaerobically treated low strength wastewater and potential for recovery as an energy product:
11	A review. Water Res 111:420. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2017.01.035
12	Crutchik D, Frison N, Eusebi AL, Fatone F (2018) Biorefinery of cellulosic primary sludge towards
13	targeted Short Chain Fatty Acids, phosphorus and methane recovery. Water Res 136:112-119.
14	doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2018.02.047
15	Cuff G, Turcios AE, Mohammad-pajooh E, et al (2018) High-rate anaerobic treatment of wastewater
16	from soft drink industry: Methods, performance and experiences. J Environ Manage 220:8–15.
17	doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.05.015
18	De Vrieze J, Smet D, Klok J, et al (2016) Thermophilic sludge digestion improves energy balance
19	and nutrient recovery potential in full-scale municipal wastewater treatment plants. Bioresour
20	Technol 218:1237–1245. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2016.06.119
21	Desmidt E, Ghyselbrecht K, Zhang Y, et al (2015) Global Phosphorus Scarcity and Full-Scale P-
22	Recovery Techniques: A Review. Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol 45:336-384. doi:
23	10.1080/10643389.2013.866531
24	Draaijer H, Maas JAW, Schaapman JE, Khan A (1992) Performance of the 5 MLD UASB reactor for
25	sewage treatment at Kanpur, India. Water Sci Technol 25:123–133

1	Elefsiniotis P, Wareham DG (2007) Utilization patterns of volatile fatty acids in the denitrification
2	reaction. Enzyme Microb Technol 41:92–97. doi: 10.1016/j.enzmictec.2006.12.006
3	Frison N, Katsou E, Malamis S, et al (2015) Development of a Novel Process Integrating the
4	Treatment of Sludge Reject Water and the Production of Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs).
5	Environ Sci Technol 49:10877–10885. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.5b01776
6	Gavrilescu M (2014) Biomass Potential for Sustainable Environment, Biorefinery Products and
7	Energy. In: Visa I (ed) Sustainable Energy in the Built Environment - Steps Towards nZEB.
8	Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp 169–194
9	Ghasimi DSM, Zandvoort MH, Adriaanse M, et al (2016) Comparative analysis of the digestibility
10	of sewage fine sieved fraction and hygiene paper produced from virgin fibers and recycled fibers.
11	Waste Manag 53:156–164. doi: 10.1016/j.wasman.2016.04.034
12	Graupner N, Herrmann AS, Müssig J (2009) Natural and man-made cellulose fibre-reinforced
13	poly(lactic acid) (PLA) composites: An overview about mechanical characteristics and
14	application areas. Compos Part A Appl Sci Manuf 40:810-821. doi:
15	10.1016/j.compositesa.2009.04.003
16	Guest, J. S., Skerlos, s. J., Barnard, J. L., Beck, M.B., Daigg, G.T. (2009) New planning and design
17	paradigm to achieve sustainable resource recovery from wastewater. Environ. Sci. Technol., 43,
18	6126–6130
19	Gu Y, Li Y, Li X, et al (2017) The feasibility and challenges of energy self-sufficient wastewater
20	treatment plants. Appl Energy 204:1463-1475. doi: 10.1016/J.APENERGY.2017.02.069
21	Guo Z, Zhou A, Yang C, et al (2015) Enhanced short chain fatty acids production from waste
22	activated sludge conditioning with typical agricultural residues: Carbon source composition
23	regulates community functions. Biotechnol Biofuels 8:1-14. doi: 10.1016/j.crad.2017.10.014
24	Han D, Lee CY, Chang SW, Kim DJ (2017) Enhanced methane production and wastewater sludge
25	stabilization of a continuous full scale thermal pretreatment and thermophilic anaerobic

1	digestion. Bioresour Technol 245:1162–1167. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2017.08.108
2	Hatamoto M, Miyauchi T, Kindaichi T, et al (2011) Dissolved methane oxidation and competition
3	for oxygen in down-flow hanging sponge reactor for post-treatment of anaerobic wastewater
4	treatment. Bioresour Technol 102:10299-10304. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2011.08.099
5	He L, Du P, Chen Y, et al (2017) Advances in microbial fuel cells for wastewater treatment. Renew
6	Sustain Energy Rev 71:388–403. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2016.12.069
7	Hermassi M, Dosta J, Valderrama C, et al (2018) Simultaneous ammonium and phosphate recovery
8	and stabilization from urban sewage sludge anaerobic digestates using reactive sorbents. Sci
9	Total Environ 630:781–789. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.02.243
10	Hou D, Lu L, Sun D, et al (2017) Microbial electrochemical nutrient recovery in anaerobic osmotic
11	membrane bioreactors. Water Res 114, 181–188. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2017.02.034
12	Huggins TM, Haeger A, Biffinger JC, Ren ZJ (2016) Granular biochar compared with activated
13	carbon for wastewater treatment and resource recovery. Water Res 94:225-232. doi:
14	10.1016/j.watres.2016.02.059
15	Jamshidian M, Tehrany EA, Imran M, et al (2010) Poly-Lactic Acid: Production, applications,
16	nanocomposites, and release studies. Compr Rev Food Sci Food Saf 9:552-571. doi:
17	10.1111/j.1541-4337.2010.00126.x
18	Joo JY, Park CH, Han GB (2016) Optimization of two-phased anaerobic sludge digestion using the
19	pressurized ultra filtration membrane with a mesh screen (MS-PUFM). Chem Eng J 300:20–28.
20	doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2016.04.078
21	Kataki S, West H, Clarke M, Baruah DC (2016) Phosphorus recovery as struvite: Recent concerns
22	for use of seed, alternative Mg source, nitrogen conservation and fertilizer potential. Resour
23	Conserv Recycl 107:142–156. doi: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2015.12.009
24	Keijsers ERP, Yilmaz G, Van Dam JEG (2013) The cellulose resource matrix. Carbohydr Polym
25	93:9–21. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2012.08.110

1	Kim M, Lee E-K, Choi C-J, et al (2017) Brick insulation composite and method for manufacturing
2	same. US Pat 2017/0191264 A1. doi: 10.1016/j.(73)
3	Kleerebezem R, Joosse B, Rozendal R, Van Loosdrecht MCM (2015) Anaerobic digestion without
4	biogas? Rev Environ Sci Biotechnol 14:787-801. doi: 10.1007/s11157-015-9374-6
5	Koller M, Niebelschütz H, Braunegg G (2013a) Strategies for recovery and purification of poly[(R)-
6	3-hydroxyalkanoates] (PHA) biopolyesters from surrounding biomass. Eng Life Sci 13:549-
7	562. doi: 10.1002/elsc.201300021
8	Koller M, Sandholzer D, Salerno A, et al (2013b) Biopolymer from industrial residues: Life cycle
9	assessment of poly(hydroxyalkanoates) from whey. Resour Conserv Recycl 73:64-71. doi:
10	10.1016/j.resconrec.2013.01.017
11	Krzeminski P, Leverette L, Malamis S, Katsou E (2017) Membrane bioreactors – A review on recent
12	developments in energy reduction, fouling control, novel configurations, LCA and market
13	prospects. J Memb Sci 527:207–227. doi: 10.1016/j.memsci.2016.12.010
14	Kumar M, Ghosh P, Khosla K, Thakur IS (2018) Recovery of polyhydroxyalkanoates from municipal
15	secondary wastewater sludge. Bioresour Technol 255:111-115. doi:
16	10.1016/j.biortech.2018.01.031
17	Le Corre KS, Valsami-Jones E, Hobbs P, Parsons SA (2009) Phosphorus recovery from wastewater
18	by struvite crystallization: A review. Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol 39:433-477. doi:
19	10.1080/10643380701640573
20	Leong YK, Show PL, Lan JCW, et al (2017) Economic and environmental analysis of PHAs
21	production process. Clean Technol Environ Policy 19:1941-1953. doi: 10.1007/s10098-017-
22	1377-2
23	Li O, Lu C, Liu A, et al (2013) Optimization and characterization of polysaccharide-based
24	bioflocculant produced by Paenibacillus elgii B69 and its application in wastewater treatment.
25	Bioresour Technol 134:87–93. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2013.02.013

1	Li W, Yu H (2011	l) Fron	n wastewa	ter to bioenerg	y and biochemi	cals via t	wo-stage bioconv	rersion
2	processes :	А	future	paradigm.	Biotechnol	Adv	29:972–982.	doi:
3	10.1016/j.biot	techadv	2.2011.08.0	012				
4	Li WW, Yu HQ (2	2016) /	Advances	in Energy-Proc	lucing Anaerobi	c Biotech	nologies for Mu	nicipal
5	Wastewater T	reatme	nt. Engine	ering 2:438–44	6. doi: 10.1016/	J.ENG.20	)16.04.017	
6	Li X, Chen H, H	Hu L,	et al (20	11) Pilot-scale	waste activate	d sludge	alkaline fermen	tation,
7	fermentation	liquid	separation	, and applicati	on of fermentat	ion liquio	1 to improve biol	logical
8	nutrient remov	val. En	viron Sci 7	Fechnol 45:183	4–1839. doi: 10	.1021/es1	031882	
9	Lin L, Li R, Li X (	2018) F	Recovery of	of organic resou	rces from sewag	ge sludge	of Al-enhanced p	rimary
10	sedimentation	by alk	ali pretreat	ment and acido	ogenic fermentati	on. J Clea	an Prod 172:3334-	-3341.
11	doi: 10.1016/j	jclepro	o.2017.11.	199				
12	Liu H, Han P, Liu	H, et a	l (2018a) l	Full-scale prod	uction of VFAs	from sew	age sludge by ana	erobic
13	alkaline ferme	entation	to improv	e biological nu	trients removal i	n domesti	c wastewater. Bio	resour
14	Technol 260:	105–11	4. doi: 10.	1016/j.biortech	.2018.03.105			
15	Liu H, Wang J, Liu	ı X, et	al (2012) A	Acidogenic fer	mentation of pro	teinaceou	is sewage sludge:	Effect
16	of pH. Water	Res 46	:799–807.	doi: 10.1016/j.	watres.2011.11.	047		
17	Liu WJ, Yuan HL	., Yang	g JS, Li E	Z (2009) Cha	racterization of	biofloccu	lants from biolog	gically
18	aerated filter	backwa	shed slud	ge and its appl	ication in dying	wastewa	ter treatment. Bio	resour
19	Technol 100:2	2629–2	632. doi: 1	0.1016/j.biorte	ech.2008.12.017			
20	Liu Y, Huang L, D	ong G	, et al (201	.8b) Enhanced	granulation and	methane	recovery at low lo	oad by
21	downflow slue	dge cire	culation in	anaerobic trea	tment of domest	ic wastew	ater. Bioresour To	echnol
22	249:851-857.	doi: 1(	).1016/j.bi	ortech.2017.10	.091			
23	Lohani SP, Wang S	S, Lack	ner S, et al	(2016) ADM1	modeling of UA	SB treati	ng domestic wast	ewater
24	in Nepal. Ren	ew Ene	ergy 95:26	3–268. doi: 10.	1016/j.renene.20	)16.04.01	4	
25	Longo S, Frison N	I, Renz	i D, et al	(2017) Is SCH	ENA a good app	proach for	r side-stream inte	grated

treatment from an environmental and economic point of view? Water Res 125:478-489. doi:

2 10.1016/j.watres.2017.09.006

- Longo S, Katsou E, Malamis S, et al (2015) Recovery of volatile fatty acids from fermentation of
  sewage sludge in municipal wastewater treatment plants. Bioresour Technol 175:436–444. doi:
  10.1016/j.biortech.2014.09.107
- Madison LL, Huisman GW (1999) Metabolic engineering of poly(3-hydroxyalkanoates): from DNA
  to plastic. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 63:21–53. doi:
- Melia PM, Cundy AB, Sohi SP, et al (2017) Trends in the recovery of phosphorus in bioavailable
  forms from wastewater. Chemosphere 186:381–395. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.07.089
- Mikutta R, Baumgärtner A, Schippers A, et al (2012) Extracellular polymeric substances from
   bacillus subtilis associated with minerals modify the extent and rate of heavy metal sorption.
   Environ Sci Technol 46:3866–3873. doi: 10.1021/es204471x
- Molinos-Senante M, Hernández-Sancho F, Sala-Garrido R, Garrido-Baserba M (2011) Economic
   feasibility study for phosphorus recovery processes. Ambio 40:408–416. doi: 10.1007/s13280 010-0101-9
- More TT, Yadav JSS, Yan S, et al (2014) Extracellular polymeric substances of bacteria and their
   potential environmental applications. J Environ Manage 144:1–25. doi:
   10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.05.010

19 Morgan-Sagastume F, Hjort M, Cirne D, et al (2015) Integrated production of polyhydroxyalkanoates

- (PHAs) with municipal wastewater and sludge treatment at pilot scale. Bioresour Technol
  181:78–89. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2015.01.046
- Możejko-Ciesielska J, Kiewisz R (2016) Bacterial polyhydroxyalkanoates: Still fabulous? Microbiol
   Res 192:271–282. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2016.07.010
- 24 Muhammadi, Shabina, Afzal M, Hameed S (2015) Bacterial polyhydroxyalkanoates-eco-friendly
- 25 next generation plastic: Production, biocompatibility, biodegradation, physical properties and

1	applications. Green Chem Lett Rev 8:56-77. doi: 10.1080/17518253.2015.1109715
2	Nechyporchuk O, Belgacem MN, Bras J (2016) Production of cellulose nanofibrils: A review of
3	recent advances. Ind Crops Prod 93:2-25. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2016.02.016
4	Nghiem LD, Koch K, Bolzonella D, Drewes JE (2017) Full scale co-digestion of wastewater sludge
5	and food waste: Bottlenecks and possibilities. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 72:354-362. doi:
6	10.1016/j.rser.2017.01.062
7	Niwa T, Hatamoto M, Yamashita T, et al (2016) Demonstration of a full-scale plant using an UASB
8	followed by a ceramic MBR for the reclamation of industrial wastewater. Bioresour Technol
9	218:1-8. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2016.06.036
10	Pakalapati H, Chang CK, Show PL, et al (2018) Development of polyhydroxyalkanoates production
11	from waste feedstocks and applications. J Biosci Bioeng 126:282-292. doi:
12	10.1016/j.jbiosc.2018.03.016
13	Pan X, Li W, Huang L, et al (2018) Recovery of high-concentration volatile fatty acids from
14	wastewater using an acidogenesis-electrodialysis integrated system. Bioresour Technol 260:61-
15	67. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2018.03.083
16	Papa M, Foladori P, Guglielmi L, Bertanza G (2017) How far are we from closing the loop of sewage
17	resource recovery? A real picture of municipal wastewater treatment plants in Italy. J Environ
18	Manage 198:9–15. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.04.061
19	Peces M, Astals S, Clarke WP, Jensen PD (2016) Semi-aerobic fermentation as a novel pre-treatment
20	to obtain VFA and increase methane yield from primary sludge. Bioresour Technol 200:631-
21	638. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2015.10.085
22	Peng L, Dai H, Wu Y, et al (2018) A comprehensive review of phosphorus recovery from wastewater
23	by crystallization processes. Chemosphere 197:768–781. doi:
24	10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.01.098
25	Point S, Kemp J, Marten B (2017) Current Trends in Biosolids Management & Treatment. 35th Annu

Spring Biosolids Symp Wisconsin,:

- 2 Puchongkawarin C, Gomez-Mont C, Stuckey DC, Chachuat B (2015) Optimization-based methodology for the development of wastewater facilities for energy and nutrient recovery. 3 Chemosphere 140:150-158. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.08.061 4 Puyol D, Batstone DJ, Hï, ½lsen T, et al (2017) Resource recovery from wastewater by biological 5 technologies: Opportunities, challenges, and prospects. Front Microbiol 7:1-23. doi: 6 7 10.3389/fmicb.2016.02106 Raheem A, Sikarwar VS, He J, et al (2018) Opportunities and challenges in sustainable treatment and 8 9 resource reuse of sewage sludge: A review. Chem Eng J 337:616-641. doi: 10 10.1016/j.cej.2017.12.149 Rahman NSA, Yhaya MF, Azahari B, Ismail WR (2018) Utilisation of natural cellulose fibres in 11 12 wastewater treatment. Cellulose 25:4887-4903. doi: 10.1007/s10570-018-1935-8 Raza ZA, Abid S, Banat IM (2018) Polyhydroxyalkanoates: Characteristics, production, recent 13 developments Biodeterior Biodegrad 14 and applications. Int 126:45-56. doi: 10.1016/j.ibiod.2017.10.001 15 Reddy CSK, Ghai R, Rashmi, Kalia VC (2003) Polyhydroxyalkanoates: An overview. Bioresour 16 17 Technol 87:137–146. doi: 10.1016/S0960-8524(02)00212-2 Reyhanitash E, Kersten SRA, Schuur B (2017) Recovery of Volatile Fatty Acids from Fermented 18 19 Wastewater by Adsorption. ACS Sustain Chem Eng 5:9176-9184. doi: 20 10.1021/acssuschemeng.7b02095 Rosa AP, Chernicharo CAL, Lobato LCS, et al (2018) Assessing the potential of renewable energy 21 sources (biogas and sludge) in a full-scale UASB-based treatment plant. Renew Energy 124:21-22 23 26. doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2017.09.025 24 Ruiken CJ, Breuer G, Klaversma E, et al (2013) Sieving wastewater - Cellulose recovery, economic
- and energy evaluation. Water Res 47:43–48. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2012.08.023

1	Ruiz-Martinez A, Martin Garcia N, Romero I, et al (2012) Microalgae cultivation in wastewater:
2	Nutrient removal from anaerobic membrane bioreactor effluent. Bioresour Technol 126:247-
3	253. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2012.09.022
4	Septevani AA, Evans DAC, Annamalai PK, Martin DJ (2017) The use of cellulose nanocrystals to
5	enhance the thermal insulation properties and sustainability of rigid polyurethane foam. Ind
6	Crops Prod 107:114–121. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2017.05.039
7	Shen L, Hu H, Ji H, et al (2014) Production of poly(hydroxybutyrate-hydroxyvalerate) from waste
8	organics by the two-stage process: Focus on the intermediate volatile fatty acids. Bioresour
9	Technol 166:194–200. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2014.05.038
10	Shin C, Bae J (2018) Current status of the pilot-scale anaerobic membrane bioreactor treatments of
11	domestic wastewaters: A critical review. Bioresour Technol 247:1038-1046. doi:
12	10.1016/j.biortech.2017.09.002
13	Song X, Luo W, McDonald J, et al (2018) An anaerobic membrane bioreactor – membrane distillation
14	hybrid system for energy recovery and water reuse: Removal performance of organic carbon,
15	nutrients, and trace organic contaminants. Sci Total Environ 628-629:358-365. doi:
16	10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.02.057
17	Souza CL, Chernicharo CAL, Aquino SF (2011) Quantification of dissolved methane in UASB
18	reactors treating domestic wastewater under different operating conditions. Water Sci Technol
19	64:2259–2264. doi: 10.2166/wst.2011.695
20	Srithep Y, Ellingham T, Peng J, et al (2013) Melt compounding of poly (3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-
21	hydroxyvalerate)/ nanofibrillated cellulose nanocomposites. Polym Degrad Stab 98:1439–1449.
22	doi: 10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2013.05.006
23	Stazi V, Tomei MC (2018) Enhancing anaerobic treatment of domestic wastewater: State of the art,
24	innovative technologies and future perspectives. Sci Total Environ 635:78-91. doi:
25	10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.071

1	Sun Y, Chen Z, Wu G, et al (2016) Characteristics of water quality of municipal wastewater treatment
2	plants in China: Implications for resources utilization and management. J Clean Prod 131:1-9.
3	doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.05.068
4	Tamis J, Marang L, Jiang Y, et al (2014) Modeling PHA-producing microbial enrichment cultures-
5	towards a generalized model with predictive power. N Biotechnol 31:324-334. doi:
6	10.1016/j.nbt.2013.11.007
7	Teng SX, Tong ZH, Li WW, et al (2010) Electricity generation from mixed volatile fatty acids using
8	microbial fuel cells. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 87:2365–2372. doi: 10.1007/s00253-010-2746-
9	5
10	Traversi D, Romanazzi V, Degan R, et al (2015) Microbial-chemical indicator for anaerobic digester
11	performance assessment in full-scale wastewater treatment plants for biogas production.
12	Bioresour Technol 186:179–191. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2015.03.042
13	Uemura S, Harada H (2000) Treatment of sewage by a UASB reactor under moderate to low
14	temperature conditions. Bioresour Technol 72:275–282. doi: 10.1016/S0960-8524(99)00118-2
15	Valentino F, Morgan-Sagastume F, Campanari S, et al (2017) Carbon recovery from wastewater
16	through bioconversion into biodegradable polymers. N Biotechnol 37:9-23. doi:
17	10.1016/j.nbt.2016.05.007
18	Van Der Hoek JP, De Fooij H, Struker A (2016) Wastewater as a resource: Strategies to recover
19	resources from Amsterdam's wastewater. Resour Conserv Recycl 113:53-64. doi:
20	10.1016/j.resconrec.2016.05.012
21	Verstraete W, Van de Caveye P, Diamantis V (2009) Maximum use of resources present in domestic
22	"used water." Bioresour Technol 100:5537-5545. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2009.05.047
23	Viruela A, Murgui M, Gómez-Gil T, et al (2016) Water resource recovery by means of microalgae
24	cultivation in outdoor photobioreactors using the effluent from an anaerobic membrane
25	bioreactor fed with pre-treated sewage. Bioresour Technol 218:447-454. doi:

1 10.1016/j.biortech.2016.06.116

- 2 Wang Q, Cai J, Chen K, et al (2016) Construction of Fluorescent Cellulose Biobased Plastics and their Potential Application in Anti-Counterfeiting Banknotes. Macromol Mater Eng 301:377-3 4 382. doi: 10.1002/mame.201500364 5 Wang Z, Hessler CM, Xue Z, Seo Y (2012) The role of extracellular polymeric substances on the sorption of natural organic matter. Water Res 46:1052–1060. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2011.11.077 6 7 Xie M, Shon HK, Gray SR, Elimelech M (2016) Membrane-based processes for wastewater nutrient recovery: Technology, challenges, and future direction. Water Res 89:210-221. doi: 8 9 10.1016/j.watres.2015.11.045 10 Yang Q, Luo K, Liao DX, et al (2012) A novel bioflocculant produced by Klebsiella sp. and its application to sludge dewatering. Water Environ J 26:560-566. doi: 10.1111/j.1747-11 12 6593.2012.00319.x Yeo H, Lee H-S (2013) The effect of solids retention time on dissolved methane concentration in 13 anaerobic Technol 14 membrane bioreactors. Environ 34:2105-2112. doi: 10.1080/09593330.2013.808675 15 Yetilmezsoy K, Ilhan F, Kocak E, Akbin HM (2017) Feasibility of struvite recovery process for 16 17 fertilizer industry: A study of financial and economic analysis. J Clean Prod 152:88–102. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.03.106 18 19 Zabed H, Sahu JN, Suely A, et al (2017) Bioethanol production from renewable sources: Current 20 perspectives and technological progress. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 71:475-501. doi: 21 10.1016/j.rser.2016.12.076 Zacharof MP, Lovitt RW (2013) Complex effluent streams as a potential source of volatile fatty acids. 22 23 Waste and Biomass Valorization 4:557-581. doi: 10.1007/s12649-013-9202-6 24 Zhang J, Shishatskaya EI, Volova TG, et al (2018) Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA) for therapeutic
  - applications. Mater Sci Eng C 86:144–150. doi: 10.1016/j.msec.2017.12.035

1	Zhang Y, Wang F, Yang X, et al (2011) Extracellular polymeric substances enhanced mass transfer
2	of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the two-liquid-phase system for biodegradation. Appl
3	Microbiol Biotechnol 90:1063–1071. doi: 10.1007/s00253-011-3134-5
4	Zhou M, Yan B, Wong JWC, Zhang Y (2018) Enhanced volatile fatty acids production from
5	anaerobic fermentation of food waste: A mini-review focusing on acidogenic metabolic
6	pathways. Bioresour Technol 248:68–78. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2017.06.121
7	Zijp MC, Waaijers-van der Loop SL, Heijungs R, et al (2017) Method selection for sustainability
8	assessments: The case of recovery of resources from waste water. J Environ Manage 197:221-
9	230. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.04.006
10	Zouboulis AI, Chai XL, Katsoyiannis IA (2004) The application of bioflocculant for the removal of
11	humic acids from stabilized landfill leachates. J Environ Manage 70:35-41. doi:
12	10.1016/j.jenvman.2003.10.003
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## **1** Figure captions

- 2 Fig. 1. Examples of the recovered products in the context of SMART-Plant Project (a) Recovered
- 3 PHA from SMARTech2b in Manresa WWTP, Spain (b) Recovered struvite from SMARTech5 in
- 4 Carbonera WWTP, Italy (c) Recovered cellulosic sludge from Geestmerambacht WWTP,
- 5 Netherlands

