

# UNIVERSITÀ POLITECNICA DELLE MARCHE Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Automated measurement system for detecting carbonation depth: Image-processing based technique applied to concrete sprayed with phenolphthalein

This is the peer reviewd version of the followng article:

Original

Automated measurement system for detecting carbonation depth: Image-processing based technique applied to concrete sprayed with phenolphthalein / Giulietti, N.; Chiariotti, P.; Cosoli, G.; Mobili, A.; Pandarese, G.; Tittarelli, F.; Revel, G. M.. - In: MEASUREMENT. - ISSN 0263-2241. - STAMPA. - 175:(2021). [10.1016/j.measurement.2021.109142]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11566/287929 since: 2025-01-29T16:28:54Z

Publisher:

Published DOI:10.1016/j.measurement.2021.109142

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. The use of copyrighted works requires the consent of the rights' holder (author or publisher). Works made available under a Creative Commons license or a Publisher's custom-made license can be used according to the terms and conditions contained therein. See editor's website for further information and terms and conditions. This item was downloaded from IRIS Università Politecnica delle Marche (https://iris.univpm.it). When citing, please refer to the published version.

1 2 3	Automated measurement system for detecting carbonation depth: image-processing based technique applied to concrete sprayed with phenolphthalein
4 5	N. Giulietti <sup>1</sup> , P. Chiariotti <sup>2*</sup> , G. Cosoli <sup>1</sup> , A. Mobili <sup>3</sup> , G. Pandarese <sup>1</sup> , F. Tittarelli <sup>3, 4</sup> , G. M. Revel <sup>1</sup>
6	<sup>1</sup> Department of Industrial Engineering and Mathematical Science (DIISM),
7	Università Politecnica delle Marche, 60131, Ancona, Italy.
8	<sup>2</sup> Department of Mechanical Engineering,
9	Politecnico di Milano, 20156 Milano, Italy.
10	<sup>3</sup> Department of Materials, Environmental Sciences and Urban Planning (SIMAU),
11	Università Politecnica delle Marche – INSTM Research Unit, 60131, Ancona, Italy.
12	<sup>4</sup> Institute of Atmospheric Sciences and Climate,
13	National Research Council (ISAC-CNR), Via Gobetti 101, 40129 Bologna, Italy.
14	
15	*Corresponding author: paolo.chiariotti@polimi.it (Paolo Chiariotti).
16	
17	Abstract
18	This paper aims at discussing an automated measurement system for detecting carbonation depth in concrete
19	sprayed with phenolphthalein. Image processing and Convolutional Neural Networks strategies are exploited
20	to accurately separate the carbonated and non-carbonated areas and to remove those aggregates on the
21	carbonation front that could bring to a wrong evaluation of the carbonation depth. Very strong correlation ( $R^2$
22	> 0.98) is found between results provided by the proposed approach and the method suggested by the EN
23	13295 standard. The expanded uncertainty (coverage factor k =2) of this novel approach is 0.08 mm. ANOVA
24	analysis performed in multi-operator tests proved that the highest source of uncertainty is the measurement
25	system, which, on the other hand, is robust to changes in the operator performing the measurement.
26	Keywords
27	Carbonation depth measurement system, Concrete durability, Image-processing, Convolutional Neural

28 Networks

#### 29 1. Introduction

30 Durability of concrete is defined as its ability to resist weathering action, chemical attack, abrasion, or any 31 other process of deterioration, by retaining the original form, quality, and serviceability when concrete itself 32 is exposed to a certain environment [1].

In the late 20<sup>th</sup> century, an increasing number of reinforced concrete structures showed major deterioration due to durability problems, causing huge costs for repair and rehabilitation. This has also become an economic issue, with estimated direct and indirect costs of 3–4% of gross national product in developed countries, connected to maintenance and repair operations.

37 One of the main causes of degradation is the carbonation of concrete. This is considered a critical problem, 38 particularly in those regions characterized by a warm and relatively humid environment, since moisture content 39 accelerates the carbonation mechanism. The carbonation of cement paste lowers the pH of the pore solution, 40 thus contributing in depassivating steel reinforcements and in making them prone to corrosion. The pH value 41 of non-carbonated cement-based material is approximately 13, but it moves around 9 when carbon dioxide 42 diffuses inside the material itself. This occurs since calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>) contained in the pore 43 solution, reacting with carbon dioxide, is converted into calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) [2], with a significant 44 carbonation rate when relative humidity (RH) ranges between 45-95%. In this way, the passive layer protecting 45 the steel rebars is damaged and steel starts to corrode if it gets in contact with moist air [3]. The initiation of 46 reinforcement corrosion is the main responsible for shortening the service life of reinforced concrete structures 47 (RCS) [4,5]. Therefore, monitoring RCS is of utmost importance to prevent irreversible damages that may also 48 end up in structural failure. Some authors have started developing strategies for monitoring the corrosion 49 process in concrete structures. These strategies ranges from more standard strain measurements by Fiber Bragg 50 Grating (FBG) sensors [6] or electrochemical approaches [7] to recent machine learning-based methods [8]. 51 Nevertheless, despite the hot topic, the accepted approach to identify the likelihood of corrosion relates on the 52 measurement of carbonation depth, and the test used for determining carbonation depth is regulated by the EN 53 13295 standard [3]. This standard suggests spraying a phenolphthalein solution on the target to highlight the 54 presence of carbonated/non-carbonated areas. The phenolphthalein solution changes its colour in relation to 55 the pH of the material: in the non-carbonated part of the specimen, where concrete has still a highly alkaline 56 behaviour (pH > 9), a purple-red coloration is obtained, whereas in the carbonated area of the specimen, where

57 pH < 9, no colour change is observed [9]. The standard describes a procedure in which the operator is asked 58 to manually measure the carbonation depth  $(d_k)$ , i.e. "the average distance, measured in mm, from the surface 59 of the concrete or mortar where the CO<sub>2</sub> has reduced the alkalinity of the hydrated cement to an extent such 60 that an indicator solution based on phenolphthalein remains colourless", by using rulers, callipers, etc. [3]. 61 However, it should be underlined that, even if this method is easy to perform, it suffers from subjectivity, due 62 to operator's experience, colours perception, and manual ability, low repeatability and low reproducibility. 63 This paper aims at overcoming the aforementioned limits by discussing an automated and objective approach, 64 based on machine vision, for measuring carbonation depth of concrete. 65 There are very few papers dealing with the automated detection of carbonation depth in scientific literature. 66 Segura et al. [10] developed an automatic digital image-processing algorithm that filters the image of the 67 specimen, after calibration and background removal, to enhance the contour of the carbonated area and hence 68 calculate carbonation depth. The algorithm appears to be accurate and strongly correlated to manual

69 measurements ( $R^2 = 0.96$ ). Yet, the main drawback is that the heterogeneity of the specimen requires different 70 thresholding/segmentation approaches when using direct sunlight illumination to separate the carbonated from 71 the non-carbonated areas.

72 Choi et al. [11] developed an image-processing technique to automatically detect the carbonated region 73 highlighted by phenolphthalein solution; their algorithm consists of two subsequent detection processes: an 74 initial binarization followed by a convex hull operation. The algorithm seems to be quite robust, but 75 unfortunately, no quantitative results on carbonation depth are provided.

76 Ruiz Madera [12] developed a dedicated vision system to take pictures in homogeneous light conditions and 77 two different algorithms to detect the carbonated area in concrete specimens. The former is an image-78 processing based algorithm for image segmentation in the RGB space; the latter exploits neural networks and 79 deterministic image-processing strategies to improve detection of carbonation depth; indeed, this coupled 80 approach causes an improvement in accuracy of 20% with respect to the sole use of deterministic approaches. 81 However, the use of neural networks seems to exceed the requirements for this application, which could be 82 afforded in a simpler way. In fact, neural networks have a significant computational time and require the 83 definition of proper parameters, whose value considerably affects the output, so that an imprecise setting could 84 cause considerable measurement errors (also in terms of repeatability).

85 This paper presents a measurement system (hardware and software) targeted to the automated detection of carbonation depth in concrete specimens. Results obtained with the system have been compared to those 86 87 performed by adopting the manual procedure defined in the EN 13295 standard. This comparison has been 88 made in terms of carbonation depth values, repeatability and time consumption. Four different concrete 89 compositions developed within the European project EnDurCrete (New Environmental friendly and Durable 90 conCrete, integrating industrial by-products and hybrid systems, for civil, industrial and offshore applications) 91 have been tested to prove the robustness of the system to concrete colour variation (e.g. due to the presence of 92 carbon-based additions).

The paper is organised as follows: Section 2 discusses the preparation of the concrete specimens and the phenolphthalein test for measuring carbonation depth; Section 3 describes the developed automated measurement system, focusing both on its hardware and software parts; Section 4 reports the metrological characterisation of the automated system and the comparison with manual measurements carried out on different concrete mixes; finally, Section 5 reports the main conclusions on the performance evaluation of the developed automated algorithm.

99

## 100 2. Materials and experimental methods

#### 101 2.1. Preparation of concrete specimens

A Portland blended cement was used to cast four concrete compositions (C1, C2, C3, and C4).
Limestone/quartz river sand (0/4 mm) was used as fine aggregate, whereas intermediate (5/10 mm) and coarse
(10/15 mm) river gravels were used as coarse aggregates. Two polycarboxylate (PC)-based water reducers
were used to reach the desired workability class (S5).

The reference concrete, labelled as C1, was produced with a cement content of 375 kg/m<sup>3</sup> and water/cement (w/c) ratio equal to 0.42 by weight. Aggregates were dosed at 48% for sand, 19% for intermediate gravel and 33% for coarse gravel, respectively, on the total aggregates volume. Three increasing percentages of carbonbased additions were added in order to change the colour of specimens, which from the lighter to the darker are identified as C2, C3, and C4, respectively.

- 111 The concrete batches were mixed in a concrete mixer by adding at first powder materials in the following
- 112 order: aggregates, carbon-based addition, and cement (mixed for 2 minutes). Afterwards, water was added and

- 113 mixed for 3 minutes, then PC admixtures were incorporated to reach the same workability class (S5) and mixed
- 114 for 15 minutes.
- 115 Concretes were poured into cubic moulds of 10 cm per side and cured at a temperature (T) of  $20 \pm 1$  °C and a
- 116 relative humidity (RH) higher than 95% for 28 days.
- 117
- 118 2.2. Accelerated carbonation and phenolphthalein test
- 119 After 28 days of curing, the concrete specimens were exposed to accelerated carbonation in an environmental
- 120 test chamber (Figure 1) at  $T = 21 \pm 1$  °C,  $RH = 60 \pm 10\%$  and  $CO_2$  concentration =  $3 \pm 0.2$  vol.% [13]. This
- 121  $CO_2$  concentration, much higher than the one suggested by the EN 13295 standard (i.e.  $CO_2 = 1\%$ ), was adopted
- 122 to accelerate the carbonation process of the specimens.
- 123



Figure 1 Environmental CO2 chamber hosting concrete specimens

126 127 The carbonation depth was measured on the specimens according to the EN 13295 standard at 7 days after the 128 curing period. This operation was performed by cutting the specimens and treating the internal surface with 129 1% solution of phenolphthalein in alcohol. Indeed, the specimens (cubes) were split into two halves, internally 130 sprayed with phenolphthalein solution, and pictures taken of the sprayed surfaces. The maximum carbonation 131 depth (d<sub>max</sub>) was also measured, even if the standard requires to measure it only when the carbonation profile 132 is irregular and d<sub>k</sub> > 4 mm. Manual measurements were performed using a Vernier calliper (accuracy  $\pm$  0.01 133 mm).

## 135 3. Automated measurement system for carbonation depth of concrete

- 136 The automated measurement system discussed in this paper (Figure 2a) aims to objectively measure the
- 137 carbonation depth on concrete specimens.



Figure 2 Measurement system setup for carbonation depth measurement system: overall setup (a) and detailed representation (b)

140

138 139

The measurement setup, excluding the specimen to be tested, consists of the following elements (Figure 2 b):
Camera (1) and diffuse illumination system (2): the camera is a standard full-HD (1920x1080 pixel)
webcam with auto-focus capability. The choice of such a camera is to demonstrate the possibility of
having accurate measurement results also with a low-cost device. Two slightly tilted (compared to the
camera optical axis) LED strips covered with a diffusing panel were used for diffuse illumination.

- Bar with fiducial markers (5): five markers are placed on a bar whose height can be adjusted, with 147 reference to the height of the specimen (4). The bar can slide on a dovetail guide (6). The positioning of 148 the markers at the same height of the sprayed surface of the specimen makes it possible to perform a 149 pixel-to-mm conversion. The markers adopted are squared markers targeted to be recognised by the 150 Python OpenCV ArUco library ([14,15]) and they are needed to perform an automated camera 151 calibration [16];
- Back-light LED illumination plate (3): the homogeneous high-contrast background created by back light illumination makes it possible to ease the detection of the external contour of the specimen.



156157 As for the measurement procedure, it consists in three main steps: a) once the specimen is positioned on the

lighting plate with the sprayed surface facing the camera, b) the sliding bar is adjusted to have the markers at the same level of the target surface; c) the operations reported in Figure 3 are performed by a software specifically developed in Python programming language that exploits the OpenCV library [17]. More in details, once the raw image is acquired by the software, the processing algorithm performs the following operations:

163	•	ArUco marker detection for image calibration: the script autonomously detects the 5 ArUco markers
164		and measures, for each of them, the length of the sides of the markers in terms of pixels. Then, the
165		average value of the 20 measured sides is calculated. Knowing that the side of each marker is 25 mm,
166		the pixel-to-mm conversion constant is obtained, and the picture is calibrated;

- ROI (Region-Of-Interest) selection: a ROI is extracted from the image acquired in order to exclude all
   the disturbing elements that are presents in the background of the picture;
- Specimen contour extraction: To ease the extraction of the specimen's contours (in Computer Vision
- 170 contours are curves obtained by joining all the continuous points with similar intensity along the
- boundary of a target), a binarization of the image is usually recommended [17]; this step requires a
- 172 proper selection of a threshold on the intensity values associated to the pixels of the image.





Figure 4 Histogram representation of pixel intensity level of specimen image: Comparison between diffuse (a) and diffuse plus back-light (b) illumination.

176 The combined diffuse and back-light illumination adopted in the system makes it possible a smoother 177 identification of two distinct areas in the histogram intensity values of the image: the darkest area of 178 the specimen, on the left, and the lightest area of the background, on the right. Figure 4 reports a 179 comparison of the histogram representation of pixel intensity between a specimen illuminated by 180 diffuse illumination (Figure 4a) and the same specimen illuminated via both diffuse and back-light 181 illumination (Figure 4b). The wider separation between these two areas is well evident in the latter configuration. Indeed, this eases the identification of a proper pixel intensity threshold that brings to a 182 183 correct separation of the specimen contour with respect to the background in the binarized image. 184 The length of this contour is the external perimeter of the specimen and the area within the contour 185 represents the specimen overall surface area;

- 186 Purple area identification: the purple contour representing the carbonation front is identified through 187 a binary threshold on the HSV (hue, saturation, value) colour space [18]. Contrarily to the RGB colour 188 spaces, which codes colour through three channels, the HSV colour spaces, which separates luma, i.e. 189 image intensity, from chroma, i.e. colour information, codes colour only by the hue channel; in fact, 190 the other two channels express the saturation, from unsaturated (shades of grey) to fully saturated, and 191 the brightness (value) of the colour. This justifies the use of the HSV colour space for colour-based 192 segmentation [18]. Indeed, processing the image in the HSV colour space makes it possible to widen 193 the colour separation between the carbonated and the non-carbonated area, since those pixels 194 belonging to the image region targeted to be considered non-carbonated will therefore fall into a 195 specific range of hue, saturation and value.
- The threshold ranges in the HSV colour space have been chosen by asking an operator expert in testing according to the EN 13295 standard, but not expert in computer vision, to analyse 24 different specimens through a dedicated graphical user interface (Figure 5). The set of 24 specimens was created by including specimens cast with the four concrete mixes in order to have different concrete and purple colour tone. The optimal thresholds in the HSV colour space have been identified as those producing the wider min-max intervals of Hue, Saturation and Value and guaranteeing a good quality label on the automatically thresholded images when revised by the expert.

203	As shown in Figure 6a, once thresholding in the HSV colour space is performed, the binarized image
204	obtained is effective for isolating the contour of the non-carbonated area and thus for calculating the
205	area within this contour. It is worth mentioning that aggregates within the contour are considered holes
206	and properly filled to be included in the calculation of the overall non-carbonated area.
207	



Figure 5 Graphic user interface adopted for interactive selection of the thresholds in the HSV colour space. The blue contour represents the specimen external perimeter, whereas the green contour represents the purple area detected

211

208 209 210



(a)



(b)

Figure 6 Binary image obtained through HSV range threshold (a), green biggest contour which well individuates non-carbonated area (b).

214

215	•	Carbonation depth ( $d_k$ ) calculation: the EN 13295 standard recommends to perform the following steps
216		to estimate the carbonation depth: a) identify five measurement points on each side of the sprayed
217		surface of the specimen; b) measure carbonation depth with a ruler/calliper, rounded to the nearest 0.1
218		mm, in correspondence of the five identified points; c) compute the average value on the five measures,
219		rounded to the nearest 0.5 mm; d) repeat the process for each side of the specimen and e) compute $d_k$
220		as the mean value of the depths calculated for each one of the target sides of the specimen.

- 221 The availability of the image of the specimen makes it possible to increase the statistical basis on
- 222 which calculating the carbonation depth, since this value can be obtained as the ratio between the area
- 223 of the carbonated zone and the perimeter of the same zone see equation 1.

$$d_c = \frac{A_c}{p} \tag{1}$$

- where d<sub>c</sub> is the carbonation depth (pixel), A<sub>c</sub> is the carbonated area (pixel<sup>2</sup>), and p is the perimeter
  (pixel) of the carbonated area.
- The area of the carbonated zone can be estimated by subtracting the area of the non-carbonated zone from the total area within the external contour of the specimen, according to equation 2.

$$A_c = A_t - A_{nc} \tag{2}$$

- 228 where  $A_t$  is the total area (pixel<sup>2</sup>), and  $A_{nc}$  is the non-carbonated area (pixel<sup>2</sup>).
- The carbonation depth in dimensional units can be calculated by applying the pixel-to-mm conversionparameter according to equation 3.

$$d_{c-mm} = \frac{d_c}{p_mm} \tag{3}$$

where d<sub>c-mm</sub> is the carbonation depth (mm) and p\_mm is the conversion constant (pixel/mm).
Maximum carbonation depth (d<sub>max</sub>) calculation: when the distribution of aggregates is dense over the specimen surface, they may likely lie on the carbonation front. If this is the case, since aggregates react differently to phenolphthalein and remain uncoloured, they can induce in misleading interpretation of d<sub>max</sub> [11]. On the one hand, a human operator could be able to discard aggregates in the calculation of the maximum carbonation depth; on the other hand, this choice is highly subjective, since it also relates to the colour-perception of each operator. To make the whole approach robust and highly objective, a

238	dedicated classification process based on Convolutional Neural Network (CNN [19] was developed.
239	The VGG16 [20] classifier (Keras's implementation [21]) was trained by selecting manually ROIs
240	containing/not containing aggregates from a dataset of 1000 pictures (500 of each class). Each dataset
241	of 500 images was then divided between training, validation and test sets, in a ratio of 8:1:1. Tests
242	with different sizes of the dataset were also performed. In fact, trials both/either adding to the former
243	dataset a dataset of 5000 images of aggregates taken from the Pebble-Dataset [22] and/or classic data
244	augmentation approaches were performed. However, it turned out that the improvement in accuracy
245	was practically null when considering the maximum carbonation depth (reported in Section 4.2), this
246	proving that there was no reason to increase the size of the initial dataset of 1000 pictures.
247	The classifier was then integrated in an algorithm that scans the specimen area horizontally and
248	vertically, starting from the centre of gravity of the specimen face in order to find the maximum

distance of the carbonation profile from the external contour; if an aggregate is present within the
 profile, the value is discarded.



*Figure 7 Dense aggregate detection (red square) and correction of the identified maximum carbonation value*An example of the output is reported in Figure 7, where the correct value of the maximum carbonation
depth is identified by the red line. The red rectangle highlights the max depth that would have been
identified if aggregates were not removed: this would result in a miscalculation of the maximum
carbonation depth, which would result in 10.70 mm (overestimated value) with respect to 5.90 mm

258 259

(correct value).

#### 260 4. Results and discussion

#### 261 4.1. Metrological characterization

The metrological performance of the measurement system was assessed in terms of repeatability and reproducibility [23]. The Type A uncertainty was assessed by taking 100 pictures of the same specimen while its angular position is slightly varying on the lighting plane for back-light illumination (i.e. rotating the specimen around the vertical axis perpendicular to the lighting plane). The reproducibility of the measurement system was assessed by asking 3 different operators to perform ten measurements each. It should be highlighted that the specimens used for the two analyses were different. This choice was undertaken to demonstrate the robustness of the approach.

The distribution, normalised with respect to their mean value, related to the values measured in the intraoperator analysis is reported in Figure 8. The Type A uncertainty associated to the measurement is estimated to be 0.04 mm. If considering a coverage factor k = 2, an expanded uncertainty value of 0.08 mm is identified.

272



274 Figure 8 Distribution normalised with respect to the mean value of carbonation depth values measured on 100 275 images by the same operator

276

273

277 Data belonging to the inter-operator tests were analysed performing an ANOVA single factor analysis [24].

278 Table 1 and Table 2 report, respectively, the Variance analysis for each operator and the results of the ANOVA

- 279 test.
- 280

C	<i>C i</i>	Sum	Average	Variance
Groups	Count	[mm]	[mm]	[mm <sup>2</sup> ]
Operator 1	10	32.97	3.29	0.0021
Operator 2	10	32.80	3.28	0.0018
Operator 3	10	32.84	3.28	0.0014

281 282

284

#### Table 2 ANOVA table of inter-operator test

	Sum of Squares	Degree of	Variances		Standard Deviations		
Source of Variation	$[mm^2]$	freedom	$[mm^2]$	F	[mm]	P-value	F crit
Between Groups	0.0015	2	0.0007	0.4184	0.02	0.6622	3.3541
Within Groups	0.0479	27	0.0017		0.04		
Total	0.0494	29					

285

286 From the ANOVA analysis, it is clear that the null-hypothesis is verified. Moreover, the reproducibility 287 variance is quite small (0.0007 mm<sup>2</sup>) if compared with the repeatability one (0.0017 mm<sup>2</sup>). This means, on the 288 one hand, that the main amount of variation is due to the algorithm itself; on the other hand, that the algorithm 289 is quite robust to position changes of the specimen over the lighting table. Indeed, in performing inter-operator 290 tests, each operator was asked to remove the specimen from the lighting table and to place it again over the 291 table to perform the measurement. This sequence was intended to randomize the way the specimen could have 292 been framed by the camera. Figure 9 reports the variation of the specimen centre point (a) over the image and 293 the rotation angle of the specimen around the camera optical axis (b). Hence, despite a translational variation 294 of 15 mm and 9 mm (horizontal and vertical variations of the centre of the specimen) and an angular variation 295 of  $\pm 3^{\circ}$  in the positioning of the specimen, the algorithm can calculate the carbonation depth of the specimen 296 with the same uncertainty identified in the inter-operator test. The main source of uncertainty is therefore the 297 one associated to the algorithm itself, and it turns out to be U = 0.08 mm (expanded uncertainty calculated 298 with coverage factor k = 2).



Figure 9 Variation of the specimen position over the lighting table during the inter-operator test: location of the centre of the specimen obtained as distance from the origin of the ROI of the image (a); rotation angle of the specimen around the camera optical axis (b)

300 301 302

## 304 4.2. Comparison with manual measurement results

305 Table 3 reports the carbonation depth values measured on the four different specimens after 7 days of

306 accelerated carbonation test.

307 Measurement were performed both with the method recommended by the EN 13295 (manual measurement),

308 and the new developed automated system. Results are reported both in terms of non-approximated values and

309 with 0.5 mm accuracy, this latter value being the resolution recommended by the EN 13295 standard.

- 310
- 311

Table 3 Carbonation depth [mm] results obtained with calliper and automated algorithm

	C1			C2				C3				C4				
dk		d <sub>max</sub>		dĸ		d <sub>max</sub>		dĸ		d <sub>max</sub>		dĸ		d <sub>max</sub>		
	Non- approximated	With 0.5 mm accuracy														
Calliper	1.51	1.50	6.20	6.00	2.93	3.00	8.20	8.00	2.06	2.00	7.90	8.00	2.01	2.00	7.60	7.50
Automated	1.42	1.50	6.24	6.00	2.86	3.00	8.10	8.00	2.01	2.00	8.23	8.00	2.18	2.00	7.71	7.50

313 It is evident that the automated method well complies to the EN 13295 requirements: if rounding to 0.5 mm 314 accuracy, the carbonation depth values of the automated system perfectly match those measured manually. 315 Concerning maximum carbonation values, it can be noticed that the automated system well matches the calliper 316 results. Indeed, if comparing the raw  $d_k$  and  $d_{max}$  results provided by the two methods (Figure 10), a correlation 317 coefficient  $R^2 = 0.96$  is obtained, indicating a very strong correlation between the two approaches. The linear 318 fitting of the dk values shows a small offset of about 0.03 mm, apparently indicating an overestimation of 319 results given by the automated system. This could be due, for instance, to the different calculation methods 320 adopted by the two approaches, since the whole carbonation profile is considered in the automated system, 321 whereas just 5 points are analysed in the manual measurement; this could explain the absence of offset in the 322 one-shot measurement for evaluating the  $d_{max}$  value. If rounding data to the nearest value with 0.5 mm 323 resolution, as suggested by the EN 13295 standard, a perfect correlation is found, since the two approaches 324 provide the same values for  $d_k$  and  $d_{max}$ .

It should be highlighted that the automated algorithm is also robust to changes in concrete colour, ranging from
bright – reference C1 – to dark (because of carbon-based additions) – C2, C3, C4.

327





Figure 10 Correlation between automated algorithm and calliper results:  $d_k$  (a) and  $d_{max}$  (b) values

329

330 As measurement time is concerned, it is worthy to note that the manual procedure requires time not only for 331 the measurement itself, but also for evaluating all the different case studies reported in the standard (presence 332 of a dense aggregate lying within the carbonation front, side length lower than 3 cm, etc.), whereas these are all implemented in the automated algorithm. With the manual method, the measurement requires approximately 240 s per specimen (considering an expert operator), whereas the automated algorithm takes just 5 s per specimen (Intel Core i5-6600 CPU 3.30 GHz machine). Therefore, the time saving with the automated method is of about 98%.

337

### 338 5. Conclusions

339 An automated measurement system for detecting carbonation depth in concrete specimens has been proposed 340 and discussed in this paper. By exploiting machine vision and Convolutional Neural Networks, the system is 341 able to automatically calculate the carbonation depth  $(d_k)$  and the maximum carbonation depth  $(d_{max})$  of a 342 specimen, rejecting the eventual presence of aggregates lying on the carbonation front. The system proved to 343 be accurate (estimated expanded uncertainty U = 0.08 mm) and robust to changes in the operator performing 344 the measurement. Moreover, the approach also proved to be robust to changes in the colour of the concrete 345 specimen analysed. Correlation analysis performed with the method suggested by the EN 13295 standard 346 proved the efficacy of the whole method, with great time saving (approximately -98%). One would have 347 expected also a higher resolution with a vision-based system than the one achieved with a calliper. Indeed, the 348 resolution adopted in the paper has been chosen specifically to ease comparison of results between the manual 349 and the automated approach. It should be highlighted that the resolution of the vision-based system presented 350 in the paper can be improved by adopting higher-resolution cameras (sensor with different size and higher 351 pixel content) and different optics. In fact, a Full-HD webcam was specifically chosen for the system to 352 demonstrate the feasibility of the approach also with a low-cost device. Nevertheless, two further aspects 353 should be considered: a) given the absence of reference data for the carbonation depth it was mandatory to 354 stick to the reference process recommended by the EN 13295 standard, hence to the resolution 0.5 mm 355 suggested by the standard; b) such a resolution is currently accepted to assess the carbonation depth in concrete. 356 For these reasons, the time saving and the objectivation capabilities of the measurement should be really 357 considered as the main advantages that the vision-based system presented in the paper can bring to a user who 358 is approaching the problem of assessing the carbonation depth in concrete.

## 360 Acknowledgements

This research activity was carried out within the EnDurCrete (New Environmental friendly and Durable
conCrete, integrating industrial by-products and hybrid systems, for civil, industrial and offshore applications)
project, funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant
agreement n° 760639.

365

### 366 References

367	[1]	Durability of Concrete, (n.d.). https://www.concrete.org/topicsinconcrete/topicdetail/durability of
368		concrete?search=durability of concrete (accessed June 3, 2020).
369	[2]	S.C. Paul, B. Panda, Y. Huang, A. Garg, X. Peng, An empirical model design for evaluation and
370		estimation of carbonation depth in concrete, Meas. J. Int. Meas. Confed. 124 (2018) 205-210.
371		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2018.04.033.
372	[3]	EN 13295:2004 Products and systems for the protection and repair of concrete structures - Test
373		methods - Determination of resistance to carbonation, n.d. http://store.uni.com/catalogo/en-13295-
374		2004.
375	[4]	L. Bertolini, B. Elsener, P. Pedeferri, R. Polder, Corrosion of Steel in Concrete: Prevention,
376		Diagnosis, Repair, Wiley Blackwell, Weinheim, 2005. https://doi.org/10.1002/3527603379.
377	[5]	F. Tittarelli, A. Mobili, C. Giosuè, A. Belli, T. Bellezze, Corrosion behaviour of bare and galvanized
378		steel in geopolymer and Ordinary Portland Cement based mortars with the same strength class
379		exposed to chlorides, Corros. Sci. 134 (2018) 64-77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2018.02.014.
380	[6]	O. Almubaied, H.K. Chai, M.R. Islam, K. Lim, C.G. Tan, Monitoring Corrosion Process of
381		Reinforced Concrete Structure Using FBG Strain Sensor, IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas. 66 (2017)
382		2148-2155. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIM.2017.2676218.
383	[7]	G. Sun, G. Qiao, B. Xu, Corrosion Monitoring Sensor Networks With Energy Harvesting, IEEE Sens.
384		J. 11 (2011) 1476–1477. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSEN.2010.2100041.
385	[8]	B.A. Salami, S.M. Rahman, T.A. Oyehan, M. Maslehuddin, S.U. Al Dulaijan, Ensemble machine
386		learning model for corrosion initiation time estimation of embedded steel reinforced self-compacting
387		concrete, Meas. J. Int. Meas. Confed. 165 (2020) 108141.

388		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2020.108141.
389	[9]	M. Collepardi, The New Concrete, Tintoretto, 2010. https://www.encosrl.it/the-new-concrete/.
390	[10]	I. Segura, M. Molero, S. Aparicio, A. Moragues, Measurement of the degraded depth in cementitious
391		materials by automatic digital image processing, Meas. Sci. Technol. 21 (2010).
392		https://doi.org/10.1088/0957-0233/21/5/055103.
393	[11]	J. Il Choi, Y. Lee, Y.Y. Kim, B.Y. Lee, Image-processing technique to detect carbonation regions of
394		concrete sprayed with a phenolphthalein solution, Constr. Build. Mater. 154 (2017) 451-461.
395		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.07.205.
396	[12]	C.C. Ruiz Madera, Implementación de algoritmos de inteligencia artificial y procesamiento digital de
397		imágenes en la determinación de la profundidad de carbonatación en estructuras de concreto, (2018).
398	[13]	A. Mobili, A. Belli, C. Giosuè, T. Bellezze, F. Tittarelli, Corrosion behavior of galvanized steel
399		reinforcements in geopolymeric and cementitious mortars at the same strength class
400		[Comportamento a corrosione di armature zincate in malte geopolimeriche e cementizie a parità di
401		classe di resistenza], Metall. Ital. 109 (2017) 47-50.
402	[14]	F.J. Romero-Ramirez, R. Muñoz-Salinas, R. Medina-Carnicer, Speeded up detection of squared
403		fiducial markers, Image Vis. Comput. 76 (2018) 38-47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.imavis.2018.05.004.
404	[15]	S. Garrido-Jurado, R. Muñoz-Salinas, F.J. Madrid-Cuevas, R. Medina-Carnicer, Generation of
405		fiducial marker dictionaries using Mixed Integer Linear Programming, Pattern Recognit. 51 (2016)
406		481-491. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patcog.2015.09.023.
407	[16]	A. Valmorbida, M. Mazzucato, M. Pertile, Calibration procedures of a vision-based system for
408		relative motion estimation between satellites flying in proximity, Meas. J. Int. Meas. Confed. 151
409		(2020) 107161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2019.107161.
410	[17]	G. Bradski, A. Kaehler, Learning OpenCV: Computer Vision with the OpenCV Library, O'Reilly
411		Media, Inc, USA, ISBN 978-0-596-51613-0
412	[18]	H.D. Cheng, X.H. Jiang, Y. Sun, J. Wang, Color image segmentation: Advances and prospects,
413		Pattern Recognit. 34 (2001) 2259-2281. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-3203(00)00149-7.
414	[19]	A Comprehensive Guide to Convolutional Neural Networks — the ELI5 way, (n.d.).
415		https://towardsdatascience.com/a-comprehensive-guide-to-convolutional-neural-networks-the-eli5-

416		way-3bd2b1164a53 (accessed November 26, 2019).	
417	[20]	K. Simonvan, A. Zisserman, Very Deep Convolutional Networks for Large-Scale Image Recognition,	
418		in: ICLR2015, International Conference on Learning Representations, May 7 - 9, 2015, San Diego	
419		(USA)	
420	[21]	Keras: the Python deep learning API, (n.d.). https://keras.io/ (accessed June 3, 2020).	
421	[22]	A machine learning dataset consisting of 5000 images of pebbles, (n.d.).	
422		https://github.com/jeffThompson/Pebble-Dataset.	
423	[23]	BIPM - Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement (GUM), (2017).	
424		http://www.bipm.org/en/publications/guides/gum.html.	
425	[24]	R.A. Fisher, Statistical Methods for Research Workers, in: S. Kotz, N.L. Johnson (Eds.), Break. Stat.,	
426		Springer Series in Statistics (Perspectives in Statistics), Springer, New York, NY, 1992.	
427		https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-4380-9_6.	
428			Commentato [PC1]: Bisogna riscrivere le seguenti
429			[17] G. Bradski, A. Kaehler, Learning OpenCV: Computer Vision with the OpenCV Library - O'Reilly Media, Inc, USA, ISBN 978-0-596-51613-0

[20] K. Simonvan, A. Zisserman, Very Deep Convolutional Networks for Large-Scale Image Recognition, ICLR2015, International Conference on Learning Representations, May 7 - 9, 2015, San Diego (USA)

Non c'è un'alternativa alla [13] A. Mobili, A. Belli, C. Giosuè, T. Bellezze, F. Tittarelli, Comportamento a corrosione di armature zincate in malte geopolimeriche e cementizie a parità di classe di resistenza, Metall. Ital. 109 (2017) 47–50. Che è una pubblicazione italiana? Stiamo pubblicando su rivista internazionale e non è il massimo mettere qualcosa in italiano