

UNIVERSITÀ POLITECNICA DELLE MARCHE Repository ISTITUZIONALE

District heating potential in the case of low-grade waste heat recovery from energy intensive industries

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original

District heating potential in the case of low-grade waste heat recovery from energy intensive industries / Cioccolanti, L.; Renzi, M.; Comodi, G.; Rossi, M.. - In: APPLIED THERMAL ENGINEERING. - ISSN 1359-4311. -191:(2021). [10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2021.116851]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11566/289411 since: 2024-06-24T10:49:19Z

Publisher:

Published DOI:10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2021.116851

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. The use of copyrighted works requires the consent of the rights' holder (author or publisher). Works made available under a Creative Commons license or a Publisher's custom-made license can be used according to the terms and conditions contained therein. See editor's website for further information and terms and conditions. This item was downloaded from IRIS Università Politecnica delle Marche (https://iris.univpm.it). When citing, please refer to the published version.

| 2 | District Heating potential in the case of low-grade Waste Heat |
|----|--|
| 3 | Recovery from energy intensive industries |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | Luca Cioccolanti ^{a,*} , Massimiliano Renzi ^b , Gabriele Comodi ^c , Mosè Rossi ^c |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | ^a eCampus University, Centro di Ricerca per l'Energia, l'Ambiente e il Territorio, Via Isimbardi 10, Novedrate (CO) - |
| 10 | 22060, Italy |
| 11 | ^b Free University of Bozen/Bolzano, Faculty of Science and Technology, Piazza Università 5, Bolzano - 39100, Italy |
| 12 | ^c Marche Polytechnic University, Department of Industrial Engineering and Mathematical Sciences, Via Brecce Bianche |
| 13 | 12, Ancona - 60131, Italy |
| 14 | *Corresponding author's email: luca.cioccolanti@uniecampus.it. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |

18 ABSTRACT

Waste Heat Recovery (WHR) from energy intensive industries has a great potential in curbing CO₂ emissions. Among the different solutions, District Heating (DH) is considered of major interest, satisfying the heating demand of users in the proximity of power plants. Considering the energy intensity of the pulp and paper industry, a methodology for evaluating the recovery potential of its low-grade waste heat from cogeneration plants in DH is presented. The proposed methodology allows to evaluate the thermal power by cogeneration plants to end users and to assess the potential maximum number of residential buildings that could be connected to each DH network. Based on the proposed methodology, the benefits of the WHR are evaluated from both energy and environmental points of view. More precisely, considering 50 pulp and paper mills in Italy under investigation in the present analysis, a yearly natural gas saving corresponding to 143.76 kTonnes of Oil Equivalent (TOE) and 333.11 ktCO₂ is obtained. In case of WHR, the average Primary Energy Saving (PES) of the cogeneration plants increases from 0.14 up to 0.22. In particular, cogeneration units based on steam turbine technology show the greatest improvement, since its average PES moved from 0 up to almost 0.1.

KEYWORDS

Combined Heat and Power; Cogeneration; District Heating; Low-Carbon Districts; Energy
 Efficiency; Primary Energy Savings;

37 NOMENCLATURE

- $A_{floor,avg}$ = Average floor area of a building [m²]
- $A_{floor,avg h}$ = Average heated floor area of a building [m²]
- $A_{bldng,ovrll}$ = Overall surface of a building [m²]
- C_d = Thermal power lost by transmission per unit volume and degree temperature [kW/m³K]
- C_{ν} = Thermal power required to heat the exchanged air per unit volume and degree temperature [kW/m³K]
- $c_{p,exs}$ = Specific heat transfer capacity of the exhausts [kW/kgK]
- $E_{input,CHP}$ = Input energy to the cogeneration unit [MWh]
- $E_{e,CHP}$ = Electric energy output from the cogeneration unit [MWh]
- $E_{th,CHP}$ = Thermal energy output from the cogeneration unit [MWh]
- E_{input} = Input energy to a power plant or an industrial boiler [MWh]
- E_e = Electric energy output from a power plant [MWh]
- E_{th} = Thermal energy output from an industrial boiler [MWh]
- $E_{th,end users}$ = Available thermal energy for end users connected to a District Heating network [MWh]
- $E_{th,max}$ = Maximum thermal energy required by a building [MWh]
- h_{bldng} = Average height of a residential building [m]
- h_{hs} = Heating hours in the winter season [h]
- $L_{th,DH}$ = Heat losses along the DH network and at the heat exchangers [kW]
- $L_{l,DH}$ = Linear heat losses along the pipes of a DH network [kW/km]
- Le_{DH} = Maximum length of a District Heating network [km]
- $m_{fuel,CHP}$ = Mass of the fuel entering the cogeneration unit [kg]
- $V_{NG,WHR}$ = Volume of natural gas saved through Waste Heat Recovery [m³]
- \dot{m}_{exs} = Mass flow rate of the exhausts from the cogeneration unit [kg/s]
- N_{bldng} = Maximum number of buildings connected to a DH network [-]
- P_{input} = Input power to the paper mill plant [MW]
- $P_{input,CHP}$ = Input power to the cogeneration unit [MW]
- $P_{th,gross}$ = Available thermal power from the cogeneration unit [MW]

- $P_{th,net}$ = Available thermal power downstream the process [MW]
- $P_{e,CHP}$ = Electric power provided by the cogeneration unit [MW]
- $P_{th,end users}$ = Available thermal power for end users connected to a District Heating network
- 67 [MW]
- $P_{th,max}$ = Maximum thermal power required by a building [kW]
- ΔT = Temperature difference of the hot water between the inlet and the outlet sections of a heat
- 70 exchanger [$^{\circ}$ C]
- T_{amb} = Mean ambient temperature during winter season [°C]
- $T_{DH,supplied}$ = Transfer medium inlet temperature to a DH network [°C]
- $T_{ex,CHP}$ = Average outlet temperature of the waste heat from the cogeneration unit [°C]
- T_{min} = Minimum outdoor temperature [°C]
- T_{indoor} = Indoor temperature of a building [°C]
- S/V = Shape factor of a building [1/m]
- $\eta_{e,CHP}$ = Electric efficiency of the cogeneration unit [-]
- $\eta_{th.CHP}$ = Thermal efficiency of the cogeneration unit [-]
- η_{CHP} = Total efficiency of the cogeneration unit [-]
- η_{e,CHP_avg} = Average electric efficiency of the cogeneration units considering the 50 Italian paper
- 81 mills [-]
- η_{th,CHP_avg} = Average thermal efficiency of the cogeneration units considering the 50 Italian paper
- 83 mills [-]
- $\eta_{e,ref}$ = Reference electric efficiency of power plants [-]
- $\eta_{th,ref}$ = Reference thermal efficiency of industrial boilers [-]
- 87 Acronyms
- 88 CHP = Combined Heat and Power
- DH = District Heating
- 90 DHT = Direct Heat Transfer
- 91 EEC = Energy Efficiency Class
- 92 EPI = Energy Performance Index
- 93 HDD = Heating Degree Days
- 94 HEN = Heat Energy Network

| 95 | HRES = Hybrid Renewable Energy Systems |
|-----|--|
| 96 | ICE = Internal Combustion Engine |
| 97 | LNG = Liquid Natural Gas |
| 98 | ORC = Organic Rankine Cycle |
| 99 | PES = Primary Energy Saving |
| 100 | SFS = Specific Fuel Saving [m ³ /kW] |
| 101 | WHR = Waste Heat Recovery |
| 102 | <i>LHV_{fuel}</i> = Lower Heating Value of the fuel [kWh/kg] |
| 103 | |
| 104 | |
| 105 | |
| 106 | |
| 107 | |
| 108 | |
| 109 | |
| 110 | |
| 111 | |
| 112 | |
| 113 | |
| 114 | |
| 115 | |
| 116 | |
| 117 | |
| 118 | |
| 119 | |
| 120 | |
| 121 | |
| 122 | |
| 123 | |
| 124 | |
| 125 | |
| | |

126 **1. INTRODUCTION**

Climate change effects are affecting more and more both the environment and human beings, despite 127 several measures have been taken so far to contrast them. Emissions of CO₂, which is the main 128 greenhouse gas produced by human activities, need to be reduced in order to mitigate the increasing 129 global warming. As reported in [1], CO₂ emissions flattened in 2018 and 2019 at around 33 Gt; in 130 2019, advanced economies lowered the CO_2 production from the power sector of about 3.5% with 131 respect to 2018 due to the expanding role of renewables [2]. According to Brandoni et al. [3], a 132 133 reduction of almost 58% on CO₂ emissions has to be achieved by 2050 to prevent the global temperature rise beyond the threshold of 3°C. To this aim, the use of Hybrid Renewable Energy 134 Systems (HRESs), which consist of multiple renewable-based energy conversion technologies 135 136 usually combined with storage systems to provide a more reliable power supply, is considered a suitable pathway to have self-sufficient districts for both electric and thermal demand, thus making 137 138 cities efficient, carbon-neutral and climate-resilient [4, 5]. Therefore, the application of these systems leads to the so-called modern energy districts, where the local energy production matches the local 139 140 demand required by residential buildings and industrial activities. Besides the use of HRESs, also energy efficiency measures would give an important contribution to this goal. 141

142 Among the different solutions to improve the overall energy efficiency of the industrial sector, cogeneration, which is the simultaneous production of electricity and heat where the latter is 143 considered as a useful effect, has a huge potential [6, 7]. The technologies to be used for power 144 generation, energy recovery and distribution depend on many factors, such as the amount of electric 145 and thermal energy demands as well as the related temperatures required by the end users [8-10]. The 146 operation of cogeneration units is widely analysed in literature, but at present, even in presence of 147 energy recovery solutions, there are still several energy intensive industries worldwide wasting 148 significant amounts of low-grade heat downstream their processes [11, 12]. Such waste heat could be 149 recovered, at least partially, for further electric power generation and/or thermal power applications 150 [13], thus playing a key role in curbing CO₂ emissions in modern energy districts [14]. Industrial 151 sectors such as petrochemical, food-processing, textile, pulp and paper, marine transportation and 152 153 Liquid Natural Gas (LNG) supply have the highest potential for low-grade waste heat recovery. In this regard, the pulp and paper sector is characterised by a large amount of raw materials and high 154 155 energy consumption that account for almost half of the paper mill costs [15]. Precisely, the sector is the fourth-largest energy intensive industry worldwide consuming about 6% of the global industrial 156 energy [16]. Despite the energy intensity of the sector has been reduced in the last decade thanks to 157 several energy efficiency improvements on both papermaking processes and equipment (fans, pumps, 158

motors and air compressors), the power generation and distribution systems still have some 159 operational inefficiencies [17]. For instance, Marshman et al. [18] have investigated the energy 160 management of a steam turbine cogeneration unit having the two-fold goal of supplying heat to the 161 pulping process and generating electricity, whose surplus is sold to providers nearby. More precisely, 162 163 the authors of this paper have developed an optimization algorithm that took into account several aspects, including the electricity price fluctuation, to improve the energy efficiency of the analysed 164 pulp mill. In the past, instead, some of the authors of the present paper [19] presented a survey on the 165 state-of-the-art of the Combined Heat and Power (CHP) plants installed in the Italian pulp and paper 166 industries from 1986 to 2010. Results showed that nearly all the considered plants (61 in total) worked 167 with a positive PES index, meaning that they were using less primary energy compared to the separate 168 production of both electric and thermal energy. Nevertheless, the authors found out that benefits for 169 170 the competitiveness of the sector could be achieved through further recovering the excess thermal 171 energy. Hence, the pulp and paper sector has high potential in curbing energy consumptions: indeed, 172 Pandey et al. [16] found out that the yearly energy saving potential is about 6% of the yearly energy consumption. In this context, Costa et al. [20] carried out a study related to the use of eucalyptus, 173 which undergoes to a gasification process in a bubbling fluidized bed in order to obtain biomass to 174 175 feed a 50 MW thermal power plant of a pulp and paper mill in Portugal. The heat is then used to produce the steam that is required by the papermaking process. Eventually, Ruohonen et al. [21] 176 investigated the use of the low temperature secondary heat in different heat exchanger networks 177 retrofits. In this regard, fuel drying can increase the heating value of fuels and, as a consequence, the 178 energy efficiency of both heat and power production. 179

Residual waste heat can be used also at low temperatures for producing further electric power through 180 technologies like thermoelectric generators and systems based on Kalina cycle and Organic Rankine 181 Cycle (ORC) [22]. While ORC systems obtained a relative technological maturity and several 182 products are already available in the market, the other technologies have still limited applications. In 183 general, the low penetration of all these technologies is due to the intrinsic low efficiency of the 184 185 waste-to-energy conversion and the additional complexity of the plant [23]. Therefore, in this perspective the direct exploitation of the low-grade waste heat for further thermal applications 186 represents the easiest and most effective solution on both energy and economic points of view. 187

Among the different applications, District Heating (DH) is considered one of the best solutions [24]. Indeed, DH is able to provide multiple benefits i) to the environment by increasing the overall efficiency of centralised plants, thus lowering the harmful emissions into the atmosphere; ii) from an economic point of view by reducing the primary energy consumptions and taking advantages of the

long lifetime up to 50 years; iii) in terms of safety since no flue gases nor fuel-related risks are present 192 at end users premises; iv) from the reliability point of view due to the interconnection of multiple heat 193 sources and, eventually, v) from the maintenance side since the centralised plants can be continuously 194 195 monitored and pro-actively maintained. For these reasons, in the recent years many researchers have focused their attention on DH networks. For example, Fitó et al. [25] studied the design of a DH 196 network in Grenoble (France) by investigating three different waste heat temperatures, namely 35°C, 197 50°C and 85°C. Two different results were obtained: the demand-oriented optimal design suggested 198 to recover waste heat at 35°C in order to supply 49% of the residential need, while the source-oriented 199 optimal design recommended a waste heat temperature of 85°C with the final aim of maximizing the 200 201 waste recovery up to 55%. Among them, the first one was selected since the highest global exergy 202 efficiency of 27% was possible to be achieved. Sun et al. [26], instead, proposed a DH network based 203 on WHR from industries, where natural gas fired boilers with absorption heat exchangers are present. In particular, the decrease of the return temperature of the heat transfer fluid doubled the primary 204 205 energy efficiency of the DH system analysed in their work. Pelda et al. [27] assessed the potential of 206 both industrial waste heat and solar thermal power in DH networks, showing high unused industrial waste heat sources and solar thermal power that could satisfy the end users' demand completely. 207 208 Wang et al. [28] investigated the WHR potential in DH systems and used the tangency analysis, which is a kind of pinch analysis optimizing the interconnections between multiple-grade industrial waste 209 210 heat sources, to enhance the direct-heat-exchange systems with multi-heat sources through the exergy analysis of heat recovery systems with heat pumps. Results showed that the developed process 211 212 optimization principles led to the decrease of the energy input by more than 70%. Along the same line, Fang et al. [29] discussed issues related to a DH system using two or more kinds of low-grade 213 industrial waste heat, ranging from 20°C to 90°C and applying three different approaches i) tangency 214 analysis; ii) lowering the return temperature of the water in the primary circuit and iii) using systems 215 able to integrate both industrial waste heat for the base load and fossil-fuel heat in DH networks. 216 Results showed that overall 390,000 GJ of waste heat was recovered and thus 35,000 tCO₂ were 217 218 saved.

Despite there are several papers in literature that analyse the exploitation of the waste heat from energy intensive industries for DH applications, to the best of the authors' knowledge there is no work that addresses the potential of the low-grade waste heat from cogeneration plants of energy intensive industries in DH networks. Indeed, the exhausts exit the cogeneration units within a temperature range of 150°C-200°C [30] after the energy conversion process and this waste heat could be further recovered for DH applications. Hence, in this paper, based on the authors' expertise in the

Italian pulp and paper sector, a methodology to assess the potential of the waste heat from the installed 225 cogeneration plants for DH application has been developed. Precisely, the DH potential of the existing 226 cogeneration units has been investigated from both energy and environmental points of view using 227 operational data of 50 Italian paper mills. The waste heat of the cogeneration plants and the net one 228 available to DH networks have been assessed taking into account the related heat losses. Then, the 229 thermal energy required by the end users, according to the building Energy Efficiency Classes 230 (EECs), and the maximum number of buildings connected to each DH network have been obtained. 231 Eventually, a detailed analysis of the PES and of the tCO_2 avoided has been performed. Hence, the 232 main novelties of the present work rely on i) a methodology to assess the low-grade waste heat from 233 cogeneration plants of energy intensive industries for DH applications and ii) a methodology to 234 235 preliminary evaluate the benefits of the DH network in terms of end users to be connected and energy and environmental savings. 236

Therefore, the paper is organized as follows: after the Introduction, Section 2 provides an overview of the European and Italian pulp and paper industry, while Section 3 reports the WHR potential for end users to be connected to the DH networks. Section 4 describes the methodology applied to this study in order to assess the energy savings deriving from the use of the WHR in DH networks. Section 5 presents and discusses the main findings of the work, focusing the attention on the profitability of coupling cogeneration units with DH networks and eventually Section 6 reports the conclusions.

243 244

245 **2. THE EUROPEAN AND ITALIAN PULP AND PAPER INDUSTRY**

Europe is the second largest producer and the third consumer of paper and board, reaching about 246 41.8% Mt/year of wood pulp that correspond to about 22% of the total production worldwide. The 247 main grades of wood pulp for papermaking are sulphate pulp (60% of total production), mechanical 248 and semi-chemical pulp (32% of total production) and sulphite pulp (5% of total production) [31]. 249 Among the European countries, Sweden, Finland, Germany and Portugal are the four biggest pulp 250 producers (Sweden and Finland produce together about 57% of the total pulp production), while Italy, 251 252 Germany, France and the UK are the four biggest markets. Italy produces only 0.7 Mt/year of pulp that is obtained mainly from mechanical and semi-chemical pulping processes, while the remaining 253 254 comes from sulphate pulping. Regarding the paper sector (graphic papers, sanitary, household paper and packaging paper), Germany, Finland, Sweden and Italy are the four biggest producers with a total 255 production of 22.8 Mt/year, 13.1 Mt/year, 11.7 Mt/year and 9.1 Mt/year, respectively [31]. With 256

reference to the size, more than 50% of the paper mills in Italy have a paper production <25 kt/year
and many of them belong to private or family-owned businesses.

Despite the production of the pulp and paper industry increased between 2000 and 2018 worldwide 259 [32], the European production continued the negative trend with a reduction of about 3% in 2019. In 260 particular, the EU paper and board production followed the 2019 EU economy downward trend due 261 to the global instability and trade tensions, in contrast with a significant uptick of the market pulp 262 production (+0.8%) as a result of the export market demand and investments in new capacities [33]. 263 According to ASSOCARTA [34], in 2019 the Italian pulp and paper production accounted for almost 264 9.1 Mt, which represented about 7.2% of the EU production. Although the EU and Italian economic 265 crisis, the pulp and paper industry still plays a key role in the EU and Italian industry sector. 266

The pulp and paper industry is considered a high energy intensive one and, as a consequence, the 267 competitiveness of the sector is strongly affected by the energy bills [35]. The used fuels depend on 268 the location of the pulp and paper mills. For example, the Italian pulp and paper mills make use of 269 270 natural gas (95%) and oil (5%) according to [36]. With regard to the energy consumptions, in 2019 271 the overall natural gas and electric energy consumptions of the pulp and paper sector in Italy were about 2.40 Gm³ and 7.00 TWh respectively [37]. With reference to the energy production, 85 out of 272 153 [38] Italian pulp and paper mills have cogeneration plants and 50 of them have been analysed in 273 detail in this study. In 2019, their overall natural gas and electricity consumptions were around 0.45 274 Gm³ and 1.46 GWh, which correspond to almost 19% and 2.1% of the natural gas and electricity 275 requirements, respectively, of the entire Italian pulp and paper industry. Particular attention has been 276 paid to the CHP plants of the analysed paper mills, whose electric power capacity ranges between 1-277 105 MW, for a total amount of 613 MW that corresponds to about 13% of the overall electric power 278 capacity installed in the pulp and paper sector in Italy. Hence, the considered paper mills constitute a 279 280 representative sample of the Italian pulp and paper sector and the analysis provides reliable estimations on the further exploitation of the low-grade waste heat recovered from CHP systems. 281

282 283

284 **3. DISTRICT HEATING POTENTIAL**

District heating has a great potential in exploiting huge amounts of low temperature waste heat [39].
Currently, both 4th and 5th DH generations networks are based on inlet fluid temperatures lower than
70°C, which both makes the direct use of the low-grade waste heat possible and reduces the energy
losses of DH networks [40].

In Europe, the residential sector accounts for almost 40% of the total energy consumption, thus having 290 291 a significant impact on the overall CO₂ emissions [41]. In the rest of the World, despite the fact that 292 such amounts may differ, the building sector has an important impact in the total energy consumption 293 as well. Therefore, the use of DH networks in urban areas would contribute to mitigate the energy consumptions of the building sector and, at the same time, to reduce both installation and maintenance 294 costs of traditional boilers and heating systems. In Italy, DH networks are installed in more than 295 hundred city centers mainly located in the North. In particular, five regions (Lombardy, Piedmont, 296 Veneto, Emilia Romagna and Trentino-South Tyrol) account for almost 95% of the build volume 297 connected to DH networks in Italy. At present, DH networks extend for almost 4,600 km, where hot 298 water is used as heat transfer fluid. According to [42], almost 292 Mm³ of hot streams in Italy have 299 been used in residential, tertiary and industrial sectors in 2019. In the same year, almost 11.5 TWh, 300 301 which correspond to 726 kTOE, have been saved by means of the thermal energy supplied by DH 302 networks, whose 66% has derived from CHP plants [43].

303 As regards DH networks, two different types can be distinguished: open and closed networks. In the 304 former, the heat fluid transfer is extracted through hydraulic pumps and then discharged after the fluid has transferred its thermal energy content. Therefore, in this case the fluid is always renewed after 305 306 completing a cycle. In the latter type of network, the heat transfer fluid is heated up and exchanges its thermal energy by means of adequate heat exchangers in a closed-loop circuit without being 307 308 renewed [44]. Hence, the connection with the end users can be either direct or indirect. An 309 intermediate configuration is sometimes adopted, where the main network is divided into different 310 secondary circuits and the thermal energy is transferred by means of several heat exchangers. Despite their huge potential in curbing energy consumptions, present DH networks have some intrinsic 311 criticalities: for instance, the heat demands of the connected end users can fluctuate during the day 312 causing non optimal operating conditions of the generating system and the network. To this purpose, 313 Gopalakrishnan et al. [45] proposed a Mixed-Integer Non-Linear Programming (MINLP) formulation 314 to optimally schedule the system operation, while Gladysz et al. [46] presented a complex algorithm 315 capable of selecting the optimal coefficient of the share of cogeneration in DH systems. Despite some 316 improvements in the operation and control of CHP plants are still needed to enhance their energy 317 efficiency when used in DH networks, it is expected that the cogeneration capacity will play a 318 fundamental role in DH systems also in the next future as in the Croatian case [47]. This study aims 319 at assessing the potential recovery of the unexploited low-grade waste heat from the exhausts of the 320 CHP units in the pulp and paper sector. The recovery scheme is shown in Figure 1 and its potentiality 321 322 is analysed considering 50 pulp and paper mills in Italy to be used as heat source for DH networks.



323 324

325

Figure 1: Scheme of the waste heat recovery from CHP plants for DH applications

327 **4. METHODOLOGY**

For the sake of the present analysis, the DH potential has been assessed according to the following procedure. Initially, the low-grade waste heat discharged by the CHP plant of each paper mill has been evaluated; hence, the heat available to the residential buildings by means of a DH network is calculated taking into account the related thermal losses of the network. Then, the overall amount of the heated floor area together with the maximum number of buildings connected to the DH network are assessed and, eventually, both energy and emissions savings in terms of TOE and tCO₂ have been evaluated considering the replacement of traditional residential boilers with DH networks.

335

336 4.1 Assessment of the thermal power available to end users

337 In order to evaluate the thermal power potentially available to the end users, the waste heat from a 338 CHP plant ($P_{th,gross}$) has been calculated according to Eq. (1):

339

340
$$P_{th,gross} = P_{input,CHP} - (P_{e,CHP} + P_{th,CHP}) = P_{input,CHP} \cdot (1 - \eta_{e,CHP} - \eta_{th,CHP}) [MW]$$
(1)

341

where $P_{e,CHP}$ and $P_{th,CHP}$ are the electric and thermal powers of the CHP unit, η_e and η_{th} are the electric and thermal efficiencies of the CHP unit, respectively, and $P_{input,CHP}$ is the input power to the CHP unit. However, since the exhausts from a CHP unit cannot be cooled down to the ambient temperature,
only part of this waste heat can be usefully recovered. Precisely, the percentage of the recoverable
waste heat to be supplied in the DH network has been calculated considering the following
assumptions:

- the CHP unit operates at constant load throughout the winter season, which actually occurs in
 paper mills that have a strong duty cycle;
- an average temperature of the waste heat equal to $170^{\circ}C(T_{ex,CHP})$ based on the data provided by the 50 paper mills and also according to the literature review [48];
- a transfer medium inlet temperature to the DH network of 100°C $(T_{DH,supplied})$ [48], conservatively considering the case of old generation networks;
- a temperature difference (ΔT) of the hot water between the inlet and the outlet sections of the heat exchanger equal to 10°C according to [48];

• a constant specific heat transfer capacity of the exhausts in the considered temperature range;

- no additional thermal power is introduced in the DH from either the papermaking process or
 the boilers.
- With these hypotheses, the percentage of the low-grade WHR that can be effectively recovered corresponds to:
- 361

362
$$WHR = \frac{\dot{m}_{exs} \cdot c_{p,exs} \cdot [T_{ex,CHP} - (T_{DH,supplied} + \Delta T)]}{\dot{m}_{exs} \cdot c_{p,exs} \cdot (T_{ex,CHP} - T_{amb})} = \frac{T_{ex,CHP} - (T_{DH,supplied} + \Delta T)}{T_{ex,CHP} - T_{amb}} [\%]$$
(2)

363

364 where, \dot{m}_{exs} and $c_{p,exs}$ are the mass flow rate and the specific heat transfer capacity of the exhausts and T_{amb} is the mean winter temperature of the locations where the paper mills are located, which 365 ranges between 5.9°C and 14.2°C in the considered case. The numerator of Eq. (2) stands for the 366 367 thermal power recoverable from the exhausts and to be used in DH networks, whereas the denominator of the same equation represents the thermal power related to 100% of WHR from the 368 exhausts. Since both numerator and denominator multiply the same amount of exhausts mass flow 369 rate and the specific heat transfer capacity of the exhausts is constant, Eq. (2) can be written as the 370 371 ratio between two temperature differences. Hence, the amount of the recovered thermal power from a CHP unit is equal to: 372

373

$$374 \quad P_{th,net} = P_{th,gross} \cdot WHR \ [MW] \tag{3}$$

The thermal power available to the end users ($P_{th,end\ users}$) has been obtained by subtracting the related thermal losses of the DH network and of the heat exchangers to the $P_{th,net}$ previously calculated. In particular, in this study the heat losses along the DH network and those in the heat exchangers have been accounted equal to 6.5% and 3.5% of the overall available thermal power, respectively, according to [49]. Hence, the $P_{th,end\ users}$ corresponds to:

383
$$P_{th,end\,users} = P_{th,net} - L_{th} = 90\% P_{th,net} [MW]$$
 (4)
384

As regards the maximum length of the DH network, it has been calculated through Eq. (5), considering a linear heat loss along the pipes ($L_{l,DH}$) of 0.203 kW/km as reported in [48]:

$$388 Le_{DH} = \frac{P_{th,end\,users}}{L_{l,DH}} [km] (5)$$

Eventually, the thermal energy available to the end users $(E_{th,end users})$ is calculated as the product of the thermal power available to the end users and the number of heating hours in the winter season (h_{hs}) , which in Italy is defined by the national legislation [50]. For sake of conciseness, data related to 4 out of 50 paper mills investigated in this study, which refer to four different CHP technologies having the highest electric power per each category, are presented hereinafter.

Table 1: Percentage of WHR, P_{th,net} [MW], Le_{DH} [km] and E_{th,end users} [MWh] (4 out of 50)

| # paper mill | CHP technology | Pe [MW] | ηe [-] | P _{input} [MW] | ηњ [-] | WHR [%] | P _{th,net} [MW] | η [-] | Tamb [°C] | Pth,end users [MW] | L _{th,DH} [MW] | Lеон [km] | h _{hs} [h] | Eth,end users [MWh] |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|------------|-----------|----------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------------------------|----------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 1 | Gas turbine | 9.2 | 0.33 | 27.88 | 0.44 | 37.5 | 2.41 | 0.86 | 10.1 | 2.17 | 0.24 | 7.7 | 2,548 | 5,517.55 |
| 2 | Internal Combustion Engine | 6.6 | 0.43 | 15.49 | 0.42 | 37.3 | 0.87 | 0.91 | 9.2 | 0.78 | 0.09 | 2.8 | 2,548 | 1,987.41 |
| 3 | Steam turbine | 10.0 | 0.16 | 62.50 | 0.62 | 36.6 | 5.03 | 0.86 | 9.9 | 4.52 | 0.51 | 15.5 | 3,582 | 16,203.017 |
| 4 | Combined cycle | 96.0 | 0.44 | 218.18 | 0.36 | 37.4 | 16.32 | 0.87 | 9.6 | 14.69 | 1.63 | 52.0 | 2,548 | 37,424.85 |

412 **4.2** Assessment of the overall heated floor area served by District Heating network

The yearly thermal energy demand of a building for heating is usually expressed by the "winter 413 Energy Performance Index" (EPI) [kWh/m²year]. In the present work, this parameter has been used 414 to evaluate the average floor area that can be served by the DH network. In particular, the specific 415 energy consumption has been calculated according to the European Directive on buildings energy 416 performance [51]. For each place where the paper mills are located, the following parameters have 417 been considered i) the average floor area $(A_{floor,ava})$ of a residential building according to the data 418 reported in the last census of the National Statistics [52] and (ii) the Heating Degree Days (HDD) 419 which quantify how cold a location is. The average floor area of a residential building times the 420 minimum height of a residential apartment (h_{bldng}) , which is equal to 2.7 m according to [53], leads 421 to the net volume of a building. The gross volume of a building, instead, has been calculated by adding 422 to the net volume its 30% according to the standard UNI 10379/2005. On the other hand, the overall 423 surface of the residential building has been calculated through Eq. (6), according to [54]: 424

425

426
$$A_{bldng,ovrll} = 2 \cdot \left(\frac{A_{floor,avg} \cdot h_{bldng}}{10} + 10 \cdot h_{bldng} + A_{floor,avg}\right)$$
(6)
427

Hence, the shape factor of a building (S/V), which is the ratio between the overall surface of a building 428 and its gross volume, has been evaluated and subsequently the main climatic characteristics of the 429 buildings, based on the HDD and S/V values, have been calculated according to [50]. In particular, 430 the EEC of a building ranges from A (the most performing) to G (the less performing) [54]. Finally, 431 the EPI_{lim}, being a function of HDD, S/V and the main climatic characteristics of the buildings, was 432 obtained for each climatic zone as reported in [50]. Once the EPIlim has been obtained, the 433 corresponding EPI of each EEC of the buildings has been evaluated by multiplying the EPI_{lim} with 434 435 the respective coefficients reported in [54]. For further details on this methodology, interested readers are invited to see the norm UNI/TS 11300. Table 2 reports the values of these parameters for the 436 locations where the 4 paper mills reported in Table 1 are placed. 437

- 438
- 439
- 440
- 441
- 442
- 443
- ---
- 444

| # | paper | HDD | S/V | A _{floor,avg} | EPI _{lim} | EI | PI [kW] | h/m²year |] for eacl | n EEC of | the build | lings |
|---|-------|-------|-------|------------------------|---------------------------|----|---------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|-------|
| | mill | [-] | [1/m] | [m ²] | [kWh/m²year] | А | В | С | D | Е | F | G |
| | 1 | 2,892 | 0.88 | 96 | 111 | 41 | 70 | 97 | 125 | 166 | 236 | 277 |
| | 2 | 2,234 | 0.88 | 80 | 92 | 35 | 60 | 83 | 107 | 142 | 202 | 238 |
| | 3 | 3,071 | 0.86 | 101 | 114 | 42 | 72 | 100 | 129 | 172 | 243 | 286 |
| | 4 | 2,936 | 0.88 | 97 | 112 | 41 | 70 | 97 | 126 | 167 | 237 | 279 |

445 Table 2: HDD, S/V [1/m], $A_{floor,avg}$ [m²], EPI_{lim} and the EPI [kWh/m²year] per each building EEC (4 out of 50)

Then, the share of the different energy efficiency classes of the buildings has been calculated in terms 447 of the overall average floor area per each location. More precisely, starting from the data made 448 available by the Italian census for the year 2019, the buildings percentage for each EEC has been 449 evaluated taking into account the construction year of the buildings [52] with the related envelops 450 and typologies [55] in order to obtain an overall EPI equal to the national average, which corresponds 451 to 157 kWh/m²year [51]. It is worth noting that the buildings percentage for each EEC was considered 452 453 the same for all the locations where the analysed paper mills are located. The total floor area of each building EEC has been calculated by dividing the thermal energy available to the end users with the 454 EPI of each building EEC reported in Table 2, while the total average floor area has been assessed by 455 taking into account the percentage previously obtained. Eventually, the ratio between the thermal 456 energy available to the end users and the total average floor area gives the EPI_{lim}. Table 3 sums up 457 the results obtained for the location of the 4 paper mills considered so far. 458

459

460

Table 3: Total $A_{floor,avg}$ [m²] and EPI_{lim} [kWh/m²year] per each location (4 out of 50)

| # | | $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{floo}}$ | Total | EDI | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------|------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|---|
| # paper mill | Α | В | С | D | Е | F | G | $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{floor},\mathbf{avg}}$ | EPI _{lim} [kWh/m ² vear] |
| | 2% | 2% | 3% | 7% | 8% | 40% | 38% | [m ²] | [] |
| 1 | 139,798 | 82,104 | 59,115 | 45,978 | 34,484 | 24,341 | 20,690 | 29,787 | 192 |
| 2 | 61,576 | 36,163 | 26,038 | 20,252 | 15,189 | 10,721 | 9,113 | 13,120 | 157 |
| 3 | 397,462 | 233,430 | 168,070 | 130,721 | 98,041 | 69,205 | 58,824 | 84,689 | 199 |
| 4 | 941,633 | 553,023 | 398,176 | 309,692 | 232,270 | 163,955 | 139,362 | 200,638 | 194 |

461

462 **4.3** Maximum thermal energy available to end users

Independently from the EEC of the building, the maximum thermal power required by a building was

464 calculated through Eq. (7):

465

467
$$P_{th,max} = \frac{(C_d + C_v) \cdot V \cdot (T_{indoor} - T_{min})}{1,000} \ [MW]$$
(7)

where C_d and C_v are the thermal powers per unit volume and degree temperature dispersed by transmission and required to heat the exchanged air respectively, calculated according to the standard UNI 7357/1974, T_{min} is the minimum outdoor temperature defined by [56], while T_{indoor} is the internal comfort temperature of a residential apartment assumed equal to 20°C during the heating season as imposed by the Italian legislation [57]. Hence, the maximum number of residential buildings connected to the DH network has been obtained by dividing the thermal power available to the end users by the maximum thermal power required by a building as in Eq. (8):

477
$$N_{bldng} = \frac{P_{th,end\ users}}{P_{th,max}}$$
 (8)

478

476

Eventually, the maximum thermal energy required by a building is obtained as the product of the
maximum thermal power required by the building and the related heating hours during the winter
season. Table 4 reports a summary of these calculations.

482

483

Table 4: Pth,max [kW], Nbldng and Eth,max [MWh] required by a residential building

| # paper mill | Cd [kW/m ³ K] | Cv [kW/m ³ K] | T _{min} [°C] | T _{indoor} [°C] | P _{th,max} [kW] | N _{bldng} | h _{hs} [h] | E _{th,max} [MWh] |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1 | 0.00072 | 0.00017 | 0 | 20 | 6.13 | 306 | 2,548 | 15.63 |
| 2 | 0.00076 | 0.00017 | 0 | 20 | 6.38 | 106 | 2,548 | 16.27 |
| 3 | 0.00070 | 0.00017 | -10 | 20 | 10.17 | 385 | 2,548 | 36.43 |
| 4 | 0.00072 | 0.00017 | -5 | 20 | 7.91 | 1,607 | 3,582 | 20.16 |

484

However, in practice it is quite rare that all the connected end users need the maximum thermal power
simultaneously. On the contrary, the average thermal power required by the end users is usually lower
than the sum of the maximum thermal powers of each building. Hence, the average heated floor area
with a simultaneity factor of 100% has been calculated as follows:

489

490
$$A_{floor,avg h} = A_{floor,avg} \cdot N_{bldng}$$
 (9)

491

and then the effective simultaneity factor has been assessed as the ratio between the average heatedfloor area with a simultaneity factor of 100%, calculated according to Eq. (9), and the average floor

area connected to the DH network [58], as reported in Table 3. These values are summarised in Table

495 5.

496

497

Table 5: $A_{\text{floor}, \text{avg h}}[m^2]$ and the simultaneity factor

| # paper mill | Afloor,avg_h [m ²] | Simultaneity factor [-] |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | 29,859 | 1.00 |
| 2 | 10,335 | 0.79 |
| 3 | 42,591 | 0.50 |
| 4 | 163,131 | 0.81 |

498

499 **4.4 Primary energy saving (PES)**

According to [59], cogeneration stands for the simultaneous production of both electrical and thermal energies, where the latter is considered as a useful effect. Since cogeneration leads benefits from both energy and environmental points of view, the dimensionless index PES is used to quantify the amount of primary energy saved by the simultaneous production. In particular, the PES is calculated through Eq. (10), where both the electric ($\eta_{e,CHP}$) and the thermal ($\eta_{th,CHP}$) efficiencies of the CHP unit are divided by the reference electric ($\eta_{e,ref}$) and thermal ($\eta_{th,ref}$) efficiencies related to power plants and industrial boilers, respectively [59].

507

508
$$PES = 1 - \frac{1}{\frac{\eta_{e,CHP}}{\eta_{e,ref}} + \frac{\eta_{th,CHP}}{\eta_{th,ref}}}$$
(10)

509

510 It is worth noting that Eq. (10) takes into account both input and output energies of a CHP unit; in 511 particular, the input primary energy is evaluated through Eq. (11), while the output electric and 512 thermal ones are calculated through of Eqs. (12) and (13), respectively.

513

514
$$E_{input,CHP} = m_{fuel,CHP} \cdot LHV_{fuel} \tag{11}$$

515
$$E_{e,CHP} = \eta_{e,CHP} \cdot E_{input,CHP}$$
 (12)

516
$$E_{th,CHP} = \eta_{th,CHP} \cdot E_{input,CHP}$$
(13)

517

Along the same line, the electric and thermal energies obtained through separate technologies are calculated through of Eqs. (14) and (15), similarly to Eqs. (12) and (13), respectively. In this case, the only variance is that different amounts of primary energy inputs are considered, based on the samereference electric and thermal efficiencies.

522

523
$$E_e = \eta_{e,ref} \cdot E_{input} \tag{14}$$

524
$$E_{th} = \eta_{th,ref} \cdot E_{input}$$
(15)

525

526 Generally, a CHP unit has a PES higher than zero: if this occurs for a micro or small-scale power 527 plant, the unit is considered a high efficiency cogeneration system. In case of large scale (>1MW), 528 instead, in order to be considered a high efficiency cogeneration system the CHP must have a PES 529 higher than 0.1 [60].

530 531

532 **5. RESULTS AND COMMENTS**

This section reports the energy and the environmental benefits of the proposed low-grade WHRapplication taking into account all the 50 Italian paper mills investigated in this work.

535

536 5.1 Energy and environmental advantages of CHP units

Independently from the WHR application considered in this analysis, cogeneration is usually able to 537 provide energy and environmental benefits compared to the separate production of the same amount 538 of thermal and electrical energies. Table 6 lists the data related to the use of the CHP units installed 539 540 in the 50 Italian paper mills for producing electricity and thermal energies simultaneously and their separate production (boilers) without considering the advantages of the low grade WHR. It is worth 541 noting that average electric $(\eta_{e,CHP ava})$ and thermal $(\eta_{th,CHP ava})$ efficiencies of the CHP units 542 analysed in this work are equal to 0.31 and 0.45 respectively, while the reference electric and thermal 543 efficiencies are 0.46 and 0.9 respectively according to [61]. Despite the lower values of the average 544 electric and thermal efficiencies of the CHP units compared to the reference ones, the use of 545 546 cogeneration allows reducing the fuel consumption significantly. Indeed, the yearly natural gas saving is equal to 175,322.42 km³ per year, which means avoided 143.76 kTOE or 333.11 ktCO₂. As regards 547 the PES, an average value of 0.14 is obtained, highlighting that the energy efficiency target is 548 achieved by the considered cogeneration plants also in case the low-grade heat is wasted. 549

- 550
- 551
- 552

| Technology | Natural gas consumption [km ³] | Tonnes of Oil Equivalent [kTOE] | Tonnes of CO2 [ktCO2] |
|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| CHP units | 452,739.43 | 371.25 | 860.21 |
| Separate production (boilers) | 628,061.85 | 515.01 | 1,193.32 |
| CHP vs separate production | | -27.91% | |

553

In case of WHR, an additional amount of 308.45 GWht is still available to the end users for DH 555 applications. More precisely, such value has been calculated considering that the heating hours of the 556 winter season range between 1,360 h and 3,582 h in the areas where the paper mills are located. In 557 558 particular, this amount corresponds to about 7.1% and 16.2% of the overall input and output thermal energies of the CHP units, respectively. Considering the use of conventional boilers with a $\eta_{th,ref}$ of 559 0.9 [61], it also corresponds to a natural gas consumption of 35,924.51 km³ or, in other terms, to 560 avoided 29.46 kTOE or 68.26 ktCO2. Therefore, in case of WHR, the thermal efficiency of the CHP 561 562 units increases from an average value of 0.45 up to about 0.54, thus resulting in an increase of the PES from 0.14 up to 0.22 that is a very remarkable value. Table 7 highlights the relevance of the 563 564 waste heat from the CHP plants with respect to the separate production through conventional gas boilers. It is worth noting that the thermal energy E_{th} [MWh] of the separate production takes into 565 566 account the heat required by both the papermaking process and the end users of the DH networks.

567 568

Table 7: Energy consumptions of separate production and cogeneration in case of WHR

| Technology | Einput [GWh] | E _{th} [GWh] | WHR [GWh] |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| CHP units | 4,319.13 | 1,898.47 | 308.45 |
| Separate production (boilers) | 5,629.91 | 2,211.13 | 0.00 |

569

570 5.2 Sensibility analysis on the profitability of using District Heating (DH) networks

571 Despite the thermal energy deriving from the low-grade waste heat as evaluated in Subsection 5.1 is 572 significant, the convenience of DH cannot be easily determined a priori since new infrastructures are 573 required in most of the cases. Based on Eq. (5), the overall maximum length of DH networks that 574 would be required in this case study is approximately 470 km, ranging from 1.5 to 56.9 km for the considered paper mills and thus entailing significant economic investments that do not always leadto a favourable economic return of the DH application.

However, in order to better assess the profitability of the DH, two different analyses have been carried 577 out as follows. Firstly, the paper mills have been sorted by technology, namely gas turbine, combined 578 cycle, steam turbine and Internal Combustion Engine (ICE). Since for a given technology both the 579 electric and the thermal efficiencies vary with its size, feasible ranges have been considered for each 580 technology previously mentioned. It is worth noting that the CHP unit having a rated electric power 581 lower than 1 MW has not been considered due to the limited available waste heat; hence, the number 582 of the analysed paper mills has been reduced to 49. Table 8 reports the ranges of the input thermal 583 power, the output electric and thermal powers and the electric and thermal efficiencies, related to the 584 CHP units of the 49 paper mills without considering the recovery of the low-grade waste heat. 585 Furthermore, also the range of the PES values is reported. 586

587

588

| | | - | | | • | | | |
|-------------------|------|----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| Technology | #/49 | $\pmb{\eta}_{e,CHP}$ | $oldsymbol{\eta}_{th,CHP}$ | P _{input,CHP} [MW] | P _{e,CHP} [MW] | P _{th,CHP} [MW] | PES [-] | PES _{avg} [-] |
| Gas turbine | 26 | 0.30 - 0.33 | 0.40 - 0.46 | 12.67 - 27.88 | 3.80 - 9.20 | 5.07 - 12.27 | 0.09 - 0.17 | 0.130 |
| Combined cycle | 17 | 0.24 - 0.44 | 0.36 - 0.55 | 18.13 - 236.36 | 4.35 - 104.00 | 7.98 - 85.09 | 0.01 - 0.26 | 0.135 |
| Steam turbine | 3 | 0.12 - 0.16 | 0.62 - 0.64 | 16.67 - 62.50 | 2.00 - 10.00 | 10.67 - 38.75 | -0.03 - 0.04 | 0.005 |
| ICE | 3 | 0.43 - 0.44 | 0.42 - 0.44 | 4.88 - 15.49 | 2.15 - 6.66 | 2.15 - 6.51 | 0.29 - 0.31 | 0.300 |

Table 8: Main performance of the paper mills analysed in this study sorted by technology

589

As regards the PES, the CHP units based on steam turbine technology show the lowest values. Moreover, in some cases PES values lower than zero have been recorded which confirms that in light of the natural gas and electricity prices the use of a CHP unit may be economically convenient also when the primary energy consumption is higher than that of the separate production. Table 9, instead, presents the natural gas consumptions and the related savings, sorted by CHP technology, in the absence of WHR and in case of WHR for DH applications.

- 596
- 597
- 598
- 599
- 600

| CHP technology \ Consumption & Saving | Natural gas consumption in case of separate production [km ³] | Natural gas consumption by CHP [km ³] | Natural gas saving by CHP without WHR [km ³] | Natural gas saving by CHP in case of WHR [km ³] |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Gas turbine | 153,641.62 | 116,801.88 | 36,839.73 | 10,907.44 |
| Combined cycle | 424,244.94 | 295,349.22 | 128,895.73 | 21,978.25 |
| Steam turbine | 39,025.75 | 33,411.95 | 5,613.80 | 2,667.19 |
| ICE | 11,149.54 | 7,176.38 | 3,973.16 | 371.63 |
| TOTAL | 628,061.85 | 452,739.43 | 175,322.42 | 35,924.51 |

601

As it can be clearly noticed, the natural gas savings obtained by the combined cycle cogeneration units are much higher than those of the other technologies. However, data presented in Table 9 strictly depend on the number and the capacity of the cogeneration plants in each category and do not clearly provide any significant insight into the benefits of the WHR with respect to the kind of the CHP technology.

Therefore, to this aim, together with the PES also the Specific Fuel Saving (SFS) $[m^3/kW]$, as expressed in Eq. (16), is considered:

610

$$611 \quad SFS = \frac{V_{NG,WHR}}{P_{input}} \tag{16}$$

612

where $V_{NG,WHR}$ is the natural gas saving by CHP in case of WHR and P_{input} the thermal power input to the related CHP unit. Hence, based on these two indexes, useful considerations can be drawn according to Figures 2 and 3.

- 616
- 617
- 618
- 619
- 620
- 621
- 622
- 623



values of the PES in all the analysed cogeneration plants. More precisely, ICEs show the lowest
improvement of the PES, although yet the highest; in case of steam turbines, instead, the average PES
increases from 0.005 to almost 0.1. As a consequence, WHR has the largest impact in case of steam

turbine CHP units. This trend is confirmed also when the SFS is considered. Indeed, according to 634 Figure 3, steam turbine CHP units have the highest SFS of about 25.15 m³/kW in case of WHR, 635 followed by gas turbines units that have a SFS of 23.85 m³/kW. These values are 20.6% and 14.4% 636 higher than the average SFS by all the analysed cogeneration plants (about 20.84 m³/kW), 637 respectively. On the contrary, the SFS is limited in case of the ICE technology (equal to about 13.46 638 m^{3}/kW) that could lead to unprofitable results of WHR application. 639

Afterwards, only the paper mills located in the areas with HDD higher than 2,000 have been 640 considered. This threshold value has been selected since it corresponds to the HDD of a mid-town 641 located in the Centre of Italy, where a DH network already exists and for which some of the authors 642 of the present paper have already evaluated both the energy and the economic benefits [19], finding 643 out that lower values of HDD may not be sufficient for achieving the overall sustainability of the 644 project. Therefore, according to this criterion, 31 out of 49 paper mills have been considered. All 645 these paper mills are located in Central and Northern Italy, where both autumn and winter seasons 646 647 are colder. In particular, the benefit of the WHR for DH application has been also analysed with reference to three different ranges of the HDD, as reported in Table 10. Among the 31 paper mills, 648 20 of them are located in areas with HDD in the range 2,000-2,500, 7 in areas with HDD of 2,500-649 3,000 and eventually 4 in areas with HDD higher than 3,000. 650

651

10 11

| Table 10: Natural gas consumption and saving of 31 paper mills without and with WHR sorted by F | HDD range |
|---|-----------|
|---|-----------|

| HDD range \ Consumption & Savings | Natural gas consumption in case of separate production [km ³] | Natural gas consumption by CHP [km ³] | Natural gas saving by CHP without WHR [km ³] | Natural gas saving in case of WHR [km³] |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 2,000-2,500 | 242,283.96 | 174,776.95 | 67,507.01 | 14,083.61 |
| 2,500-3,000 | 132,837.54 | 91,929.37 | 40,908.17 | 7,015.54 |
| >3,000 | 69,464.57 | 55,246.91 | 14,217.66 | 4,355.55 |
| TOTAL | 444,586.07 | 321,953.23 | 122,632.84 | 25,454.70 |

653

The total amount of the natural gas yearly consumed by the CHP units of the selected 31 paper mills 654 is equal to 321,953.23 km³, which corresponds to 71.11% of the natural gas consumed yearly by the 655 CHP units of all the 50 paper mills. Considering the related low-grade waste heat, 224.64 GWh of 656 heat is available to the end users of the DH networks, which corresponds to 7% and 15.8% of the 657 input and output thermal energies respectively of the 31 out of 49 CHP units. In case the same amount 658

of thermal energy is produced by conventional boilers, a consumption of about 25,454.70 km³ of natural gas is required. In other terms, this natural gas saving corresponds to 20.87 kTOE and 49.56 ktCO₂ of avoided emissions. Hence, this last analysis has shown that a noticeable increase in the use of the low-grade waste heat from CHP units can be obtained by those plants located in areas with high HDD values, granting a better exploitation of the recovered heat.

To better appreciate the impact of the location on the potential saving, the SFS of the 31 paper mills are reported in Figure 4 sorted by the HDD range.



As expected, the SFS due to WHR application increases with HDD. In particular, a SFS value of 29.60 m³/kW is obtained in case of HDD>3,000 which is 34.86% higher than the average SFS. With respect to the values reported in Figure 4, it is worth to noticing that the obtained average value of the SFS by the CHP units located in areas with HDD in the range 2,500-3,000 is lower than that of the CHP units located in areas with HDD in the range 2,000-2,500, because in the former most of the capacity is constituted by combined cycle power plants that exhibit a lower SFS than steam turbines and gas turbines plants, as reported in Figure 3.

681 6. CONCLUSIONS

Among the different energy intensive industries, the pulp and paper sector requires a significant amount of process-energy, which accounts for almost half of the overall cost of the production. In pulp and paper mills, cogeneration plants are usually present and, despite positive PES values, the low temperature heat of the exhausts from these plants could be further recovered for other applications.

- In this work, the potential of the low-grade waste heat from the CHP units of 50 different Italian paper
 mills, representing almost 60% of the overall Italian pulp and paper mills having cogeneration plants,
 for DH application has been evaluated by means of an ad-hoc methodology.
- In general, the considered CHP units have an average PES of 0.14 which increases up to 0.22 if lowgrade WHR is applied. In this regard, about 308 GWht would be available to end users of DH networks connected to the 50 paper mills considered in this analysis which corresponds to 35,924.51 km³ of natural gas consumption if conventional boilers were used.
- A further analysis, based on the values of the HDD of the locations where the paper mills are placed, 694 695 has shown that 31 out 49 paper mills are located in areas having HDD equal or higher than 2,000 for which the profitability of DH is proven. These 31 paper mills have a natural gas consumption of 696 697 321,953.23 km³ and, in case of WHR for DH, about 224.64 GWht would be available to the end users corresponding to a natural gas saving of 25,454.70 km³. Obviously, WHR increases the PES of all 698 the CHP technologies and, in case of steam turbine technology, the benefit is remarkable moving 699 from 0 to about 0.1, which means that also such plants could take advantage of the incentives offered 700 by the Italian legislation for the high efficiency cogeneration systems. In other terms, the steam 701 turbine CHP units exhibit the highest values of SFS equal to 25.15 m³/kW in case of WHR 702 application. Eventually, the average SFS is 29.60 m³/kW if paper mills located in areas with 703 HDD>3,000 are considered. 704
- Hence, the proposed methodology has allowed i) to assess the potential of low-grade waste heat 705 706 recovery from cogeneration plants of energy intensive industries for DH application and ii) to preliminary evaluate the number of end users to be connected to the DH network and the 707 708 corresponding energy and environmental savings. For example, by roughly extending the proposed 709 methodology to all the 85 Italian pulp and paper mills having CHP units, about 62,320 km³ of natural gas savings could be achieved connecting about 26,037 buildings to the DH networks. Furthermore, 710 the present analysis could be easily extended to many other energy intensive industries having 711 cogeneration plants thus obtaining a first estimation of their potential in curbing overall CO₂ 712 emissions of the residential sector and supporting the implementation of low carbon districts. 713

714 **REFERENCES**

- [1] International Energy Agency (IEA) Global CO₂ emissions in 2019. Available at:
 https://www.iea.org/articles/global-co2-emissions-in-2019 (last accessed on the 10/02/2021).
- 717 [2] M.A. Mirzaei, M. Nazari-Heris, K. Zare, B. Mohammadi-Ivatloo, M. Marzband, S. Asadi, A.
- 718 Anvari-Moghaddam, Evaluating the impact of multi-carrier energy storage systems in optimal
- 719 operation of integrated electricity, gas and district heating networks, Applied Thermal Engineering,
- 720 Vol. 176, Year 2020, Article 115413.
- [3] C. Brandoni, M. Renzi, F. Caresana, F. Polonara, Simulation of hybrid renewable microgeneration
- systems for variable electricity prices, Applied Thermal Engineering, Vol. 71, Year 2014, Pages 667-676.
- [4] J. Allison, Robust multi-objective control of hybrid renewable microgeneration systems withenergy storage, Applied Thermal Engineering, Vol. 114, Year 2017, Pages 1498-1506.
- [5] J.C. Alberizzi, J. Meléndez Frigola, M. Rossi, M. Renzi, Optimal sizing of a Hybrid Renewable
- 727 Energy System: Importance of data selection with highly variable renewable energy sources, Energy
- 728 Conversion and Management, Vol. 223, Year 2020, Article 113303.
- [6] G. Comodi, M. Rossi, Energy versus economic effectiveness in CHP (combined heat and power)
- applications: Investigation on the critical role of commodities price, taxation and power grid mix
- riciency, Energy, Vol. 109, Year 2016, Pages 124-136.
- [7] Y. Wu, L. Fu, S. Zhang, D. Tang, Study on a novel co-operated heat and power system for
 improving energy efficiency and flexibility of cogeneration plants, Applied Thermal Engineering,
 Vol. 163, Year 2019, Article 114429.
- [8] H.A. Moussawi, F. Fardoun, H. Louahlia, Selection based on differences between cogeneration
- and trigeneration in various prime mover technologies, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews,
- 737 Vol. 74, Year 2017, Pages 491-511.
- [9] I. Shabbir, M. Mirzaeian, Carbon Emissions Reduction Potentials in Pulp and Paper Mills by
 Applying Cogeneration Technologies, Energy Procedia, Vol. 112, Year 2017, Pages 142-149.
- 740 [10] F. Armanasco, L.P.M. Colombo, A. Lucchini, A. Rossetti, Techno-economic evaluation of
- commercial cogeneration plants for small and medium size companies in the Italian industrial and
 service sector. Applied Thermal Engineering, Vol. 48, Year 2012, Pages 402-413.
- 743 [11] Z. Cheng, Z. Tan, Z. Guo, J. Yang, Q. Wang, Technologies and fundamentals of waste heat
- recovery from high-temperature solid granular materials, Applied Thermal Engineering, Vol. 179,
- 745 Year 2020, Article 115703.

- 746 [12] Y. Men, X. Liu, T. Zhang, Performance comparison of different total heat exchangers applied
- for waste heat recovery, Applied Thermal Engineering, Vol. 182, Year 2021, Article 115715.
- 748 [13] S. Brückner, S. Liu, L. Miró, M. Radspieler, L. F. Cabeza, E. Lävemann, Industrial waste heat
- recovery technologies: An economic analysis of heat transformation technologies, Applied Energy,
- 750 Vol. 151, Year 2015, Pages 157-167.
- [14] L. Cioccolanti, A. Savoretti, M. Renzi, F. Caresana, G. Comodi, Design and test of a single effect
- thermal desalination plant using waste heat from m-CHP units, Applied Thermal Engineering, Vol.
- 753 82, Year 2015, Pages 18-29.
- [15] A. Gebremedhin, The role of a paper mill in a merged district heating system, Applied ThermalEngineering, Vol. 23, Year 2003, Pages 769-778.
- [16] A. Pandey, R. Prakash, Energy Conservation Opportunities in Pulp & Paper Industry, Open
- Journal of Energy Efficiency, Vol. 7, Year 2018, Pages 89-99.
- [17] L. Kong, J. Zhao, J. Li, R. Lou, Y. Zhang, Evaluating energy efficiency improvement of pulp
 and paper production: Case study from factory level, Journal Of Cleaner Production, Vol. 277, Year
 2020, Article 124018.
- [18] D.J. Marshman, T. Chmelyk, M.S. Sidhu, R.B. Gopaluni, G.A. Dumont, Energy optimization in
 a pulp and paper mill cogeneration facility, Applied Energy, Vol. 87, Year 2010, Pages 3514-3525.
- [19] G. Comodi, L. Ciccolanti, L. Pelagalli, M. Renzi, S. Vagni, F. Caresana, A survey of
 cogeneration in the Italian pulp and paper sector, Applied Thermal Engineering, Vol. 54, Year 2013,
 Pages 336-344.
- [20] V.A.F. Costa, L.A.C. Tarelho, A. Sobrinho, Mass, energy and exergy analysis of a biomass
 boiler: A portuguese representative case of the pulp and paper industry, Applied Thermal
 Engineering, Vol. 152, Year 2019, Pages 350-361.
- 769 [21] P. Ruohonen, I. Hippinen, M. Tuomaala, P. Ahtila, Analysis of alternative secondary heat uses
 770 to improve energy efficiency-case: A Finnish mechanical pulp and paper mill, Resources,
 771 Conservation and Recycling, Vol. 54, Year 2010, Pages 326-335.
- [22] H. Jouhara, N. Khordehgah, S. Almahmoud, B. Delpech, A. Chauhan, S.A. Tassou, Waste heat
- recovery technologies and applications. Thermal Science Engineering Progress, Vol. 6, Year 2018,
 Pages 268-289.
- 775 [23] Z. Su, M. Zhang, P. Xu, Z. Zhao, Z. Wang, H. Huang, T. Ouyang, Opportunities and strategies
- for multigrade waste heat utilization in various industries: A recent review, Energy Conversion and
- 777 Management, Vol. 229, Year 2021, Article 113769.

- [24] EUROHEAT & POWER, The International network for district energy, promoting sustainable
- heating and cooling in Europe and beyond. M6 Energy Distribution: District HEating and Cooling -
- 780 DHC. Available at: https://www.euroheat.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/UP 781 RES_M6_District_Heating_and_Cooling.pdf (last accessed on the 10/02/2021).
- [25] J. Fitó, S. Hodencq, J. Ramousse, F. Wurtz, B. Stutz, F. Debray, B, Vincent, Energy- and exergy-
- based optimal designs of a low-temperature industrial waste heat recovery system in district heating,
- Energy Conversion and Management, Vol. 211, Year 2020, Article 112753.
- [26] F. Sun, L. Cheng, L. Fu, J. Gao, New low temperature industrial waste heat district heating
 system based on natural gas fired boilers with absorption heat exchangers, Applied Thermal
 Engineering, Vol. 125, Year 2017, Pages 1437-1445.
- 788 [27] J. Pelda, F. Stelter, S. Holler, Potential of integrating industrial waste heat and solar thermal
- energy into district heating networks in Germany, Energy, Vol. 203, Year 2020, Article 117812.
- [28] J. Wang, Z. Wang, D. Zhou, K. Sun, Key issues and novel optimization approaches of industrial
 waste heat recovery in district heating systems, Energy, Vol. 188, Year 2019, Article 116005.
- [29] H. Fang, J. Xia, Y. Jiang, Key issues and solutions in a district heating system using low-grade
 industrial waste heat, Energy, Vol. 86, Year 2015, Pages 589-602.
- [30] E. Macchi, M. Astolfi, Organic Rankine Cycle (ORC) Power Systems Technologies andApplications, Woodhead Publishing Series in Energy, Vol. 107, Year 2017, Pages 679.
- [31] M. Suhr, G. Klein, I. Kourti, M.R. Gonzalo, G.G. Santonja, S. Roudier, L.D. Sancho, Best
- 797 Available Techniques (BAT) Reference Document for the Production of Pulp, Paper and Board, JRC
- 798 Science and Policy Reports, Industrial Emissions Directive 2010/75/EU (Integrated Pollution
- 799 Prevention and Control, Year 2015, Pages 906.
- [32] Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Pulp and paper capacities
- survey 2013-2018. Available at: <u>http://www.fao.org/3/a-i3961t.pdf</u> (last accessed on the 10/02/2021).
- [33] McKinsey & Company Pulp, paper and packaging in the next decade: transformational change.
 Available
 at:
- 804 <u>https://www.mckinsey.com/~/media/McKinsey/Industries/Paper%20and%20Forest%20Products/O</u>
- 805 ur%20Insights/Pulp%20paper%20and%20packaging%20in%20the%20next%20decade%20Transfo
- 806 <u>rmational%20change/Pulp-paper-and-packaging-in-the-next-decade-Transformational-change-</u>
- 807 $\underline{2019}$ -vF.pdf (last accessed on the 10/02/2021).
- 808 [34] ASSOCARTA, the trade organization regrouping and working on behalf of the Italian Paper 809 Industry representing pulp, paper and board manufacturing companies in Italy, "Carta, cultura

- circolare" (in Italian). Available at: http://www.assocarta.it/it/sala-stampa/comunicati-stampa/970-810 assemblea-annuale-assocarta-2019-carta-cultura-circolare.html (last accessed on the 10/02/2021). 811
- 812 [35] European Statistical Office (EUROSTAT). Available at: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/energy/data/database (last accessed on the 10/02/2021). 813
- [36] Methodology for the free allocation of emission allowances in the EU ETS post 2012, Sector 814 report for the pulp and paper industry, Study Contract 07.0307/2008/515770/ETU/C2, Project 815 Number PECSNL082164, Year 2009, Pages 37. 816
- [37] ASSOCARTA, the trade organization regrouping and working on behalf of the Italian Paper 817
- Industry representing pulp, paper and board manufacturing companies in Italy, "L'industria cartaria 818 2019" nel Italian). Available 819 (in at:

https://www.camera.it/application/xmanager/projects/leg18/attachments/upload file doc acquisiti/ 820 pdfs/000/002/599/Memoria_Assocarta.pdf (last accessed on the 10/02/2021). 821

- 822 [38] ASSOCARTA, the trade organization regrouping and working on behalf of the Italian Paper
- 823 Industry representing pulp, paper and board manufacturing companies in Italy, "Rapporto ambientale 824 dell'industria cartaria italiana del 2020" (in Italian). Available at: http://www.assocarta.it/it/pubblicazioni.html (last accessed on the 10/02/2021). 825
- 826 [39] B. Hu, H. Liu, R.Z. Wang, H. Li, Z. Zhang, S. Wang, A high-efficient centrifugal heat pump
- with industrial waste heat recovery for district heating, Applied Thermal Engineering, Vol. 125, Year 827 2017, Pages 359-365. 828
- [40] M. Wirtz, L. Kivilip, P. Remmen, D. Müller, 5th Generation District Heating: A novel design 829 approach based on mathematical optimization, Applied Energy, Vol. 260, Year 2020, Article 114158.
- 830
- [41] European Commission, Energy performance of buildings directive. Available at: 831 https://ec.europa.eu/energy/topics/energy-efficiency/energy-efficient-buildings/energy-832
- performance-buildings-directive_en (last accessed on the 10/02/2021). 833
- [42] Associazione Italiana Riscaldamento Urbano (AIRU) Il rapporto AIRU-LEGAMBIENTE (in 834
- Italian). Available at: https://www.airu.it/il-rapporto-airu-legambiente/ (last accessed on the 835 836 10/02/2021).
- [43] Gestore dei Servizi Energetici (GSE), Teleriscaldamento e teleraffrescamento 2017 (Nota di 837
- approfondimento Ottobre 2019). Available 838 at:
- https://www.gse.it/documenti_site/Documenti%20GSE/Rapporti%20statistici/Nota%20TLR%2020 839
- 19.pdf (last accessed on the 10/02/2021). 840

- [44] S. Buffa, M. Cozzini, M. D'Antoni, M. Baratieri, F. Fedrizzi, 5th generation district heating and
- cooling systems: A review of existing cases in Europe, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews,

843 Vol.104, Year 2019, Pages 504-522.

- [45] H. Gopalakrishnan, D. Kosanovic, Economic optimization of combined cycle district heating
- systems, Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments, Vol. 7, Year 2014, Pages 91-100.
- [46] P. Gladysz, A. Ziębik, Complex analysis of the optimal coefficient of the share of cogeneration
- in district heating systems, Energy, Vol. 62, Year 2013, Pages 12-22.
- [47] D. Loncar, I. Ridjan, Medium term development prospects of cogeneration district heating
 systems in transition country Croatian case, Energy, Vol. 48, Year 2012, Pages 32-39.
- [48] Upgrade DH, Upgrading the performance of district heating networks: Technical and nontechnical approaches. A Handbook, Available at: https://www.upgrade-
- 852 <u>dh.eu/images/Publications%20and%20Reports/D2.5_2019-07-02_Upgrade-DH_Handbook_EN.pdf</u>
- 853 (last accessed on the 10/02/2021).
- [49] E.A. Aziz, S.R. Wan Alwi, J.S. Lim, Z.A. Manan, J.J. Klemeš, An integrated Pinch Analysis
 framework for low CO₂ emissions industrial site planning, Journal of Cleaner Production, Vol. 146,
 Year 2017, Pages 125-138.
- [50] Gazzetta Ufficiale della Repubblica Italiana, DECRETO DEL PRESIDENTE DELLA
 REPUBBLICA 26 agosto 1993, n. 412 (in Italian). Available at:
 <u>https://www.gazzettaufficiale.it/eli/id/1993/10/14/093G0451/sg</u> (last accessed on the 10/02/2021).
- [51] DIRECTIVE 2002/91/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of
 16 December 2002on the energy performance of buildings. Available at: <u>https://eur-</u>
 lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32002L0091&from=IT (last accessed on
- 863 the 10/02/2021).
- [52] Istituto Nazionale di Statistica (Istat), Censimento della popolazione e delle abitazioni (in
 Italian). Available at: <u>https://www.istat.it/it/censimenti-permanenti/popolazione-e-</u>
 <u>abitazioni/documentazione</u> (last accessed on the 10/02/2021).
- [53] Gazzetta Ufficiale della Repubblica Italiana, DECRETO MINISTERIALE 5 luglio 1975 (in
 Italian). Available at: https://www.gazzettaufficiale.it (last accessed on the 10/02/2021).
- [54] Gazzetta Ufficiale della Repubblica Italiana, MINISTERO DELLO SVILUPPO ECONOMICO,
 DECRETO 26 GIUGNO 2015, (in Italian). Available at:
- https://www.gazzettaufficiale.it/eli/id/2015/07/15/15A05198/sg (last accessed on the 10/02/2021).
- 872 [55] Agenzia Nazionale per le Nuove Tecnologie, l'Energia e lo Sviluppo Economico Sostenibile
- 873 (ENEA). S.P. Corgnati, E. Fabrizio, F. Ariaudo, L. Rollino, Edifici tipo, indici di benchmark di

consumo per tipologie di edificio, ad uso scolastico (medie superiori e istituti tecnici) applicabilità di
tecnologie innovative nei diversi climi italiani (in Italian). Available at:
<u>https://www.enea.it/it/Ricerca_sviluppo/documenti/ricerca-di-sistema-elettrico/fabbisogni-consumi-</u>

877 <u>energetici/7-polito.pdf</u> (last accessed on the 10/02/2021).

[56] Gazzetta Ufficiale della Repubblica Italiana, Decreto 27/07/2005 (in Italian). Available at:
 http://www.gazzettaufficiale.it/eli/gu/2005/07/27/173/sg/pdf (last accessed on the 10/02/2021).

880 [57] Gazzetta Ufficiale della Repubblica Italiana, Decreto del Presidente della Repubblica 412/93:

Regolamento recante norme per la progettazione, l'installazione, l'esercizio e la manutenzione degli
impianti termici degli edifici ai fini del contenimento dei consumi di energia (in Italian). Available

at: https://www.gazzettaufficiale.it/eli/id/1993/10/14/093G0451/sg (last accessed on the 10/02/2021).

[58] E. McKenna, M. Thomson, High-resolution stochastic integrated thermal–electrical domestic
demand model, Applied Energy, Vol. 165, Year 2016, Pages 445-461.

[59] European Commission, Cogeneration of heat and power. Available at:
 <u>https://ec.europa.eu/energy/topics/energy-efficiency/cogeneration-heat-and-power_en</u> (last accessed
 on the 10/02/2021).

[60] European Commission, Directive 2004/8/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of

890 11 February 2004 on the promotion of cogeneration based on a useful heat demand in the internal energy market amending Directive 92/42/EEC. Available at: 891 and https://eur-892 lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2004:052:0050:0060:EN:PDF (last accessed on the 10/02/2021). 893

[61] Gestore Servizi Energetici (GSE) – Guida alla Cogenerazione ad Alto Rendimento CAR,
Aggiornamento dell'edizione 1, 2019 (in Italian). Available at:
https://gse.it/documenti_site/Documenti%20GSE/Servizi%20per%20te/COGENERAZIONE%20A

897 D%20ALTO%20RENDIMENTO/Guide/Aggiornamento%20Guida%20CAR%20-

898 <u>%20revisione%202019.pdf</u> (last accessed on the 10/02/2021).