



UNIVERSITÀ POLITECNICA DELLE MARCHE
Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Transfusion independence after lenalidomide discontinuation in patients with del(5q) myelodysplastic neoplasm: a HARMONY Alliance study

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original

Transfusion independence after lenalidomide discontinuation in patients with del(5q) myelodysplastic neoplasm: a HARMONY Alliance study / Crisà, E., Mora, E., Germing, U., Bally, C., Diez Campelo, M., Myllymäki, M., Jädersten, M., Komrokji, R., Platzbecker, U., Haase, D., Hofmann, W., Al Ali, N.H., Barraco, D., Bargay, J.J., Bernal, T., López Cadenas, F., Calvisi, A., Capodanno, I., Cerrano, M., Ciancia, R., et al.. - In: LEUKEMIA. - ISSN 0887-6924. - 38:10(2024), pp. 2259-2265. [10.1038/s41375-024-02360-1]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11566/333739 since: 2024-08-26T09:07:16Z

Publisher:

Published

DOI:10.1038/s41375-024-02360-1

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. The use of copyrighted works requires the consent of the rights' holder (author or publisher). Works made available under a Creative Commons license or a Publisher's custom-made license can be used according to the terms and conditions contained therein. See editor's website for further information and terms and conditions.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università Politecnica delle Marche (<https://iris.univpm.it>). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

Transfusion independence after lenalidomide discontinuation in patients with del(5q) myelodysplastic syndrome: a HARMONY Alliance study

Elena Crisà

`elena.crisa@ircc.it`

Candiolo Cancer Institute, FPO-IRCCS <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1912-0574>

María Díez-Campelo

Hospital Universitario y Centro de Investigación del Cáncer

Ulrich Germing

Medical Faculty and University Hospital Düsseldorf, Heinrich Heine University

Cécile Bally

Saint-Louis Hospital, AP-HP, Paris

Mikko Myllymäki

Hematology research unit Helsinki, University of Helsinki

Martin Jädersten

Karolinska University Hospital

Rami Komrokji

H. Lee Moffitt Cancer center <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1876-5269>

Anne Sophie Kubasch

University Hospital Leipzig <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0747-4941>

Detlef Haase

University Medicine Goettingen

Wolf-Karsten Hofmann

University of Mannheim

Najla Al Ali

Moffitt Cancer Center

Daniela Barraco

ASST Sette Laghi

Joan Bargay

Hospital Son Llatzer

Teresa Bernal

Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias

Félix López-Cadenas

Hospital Universitario de Salamanca

Anna Calvisi

Ospedale San Francesco

Isabella Capodanno

Hematology, AUSL-IRCCS Reggio Emilia

Marco Cerrano

University of Torino <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1666-3100>

Rosanna Ciancia

Centro di Riferimento Oncologico di Aviano (CRO) IRCCS

Monica Crugnola

Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Parma

Andrea Kündgen

Universitätsklinikum Düsseldorf

Carlo Finelli

IRCCS - Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Bologna, Institute of Hematology <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9372-1197>

Claudio Fozza

Università di Sassari

Chiara Frairia

Azienda Ospedaliera S. Giovanni Battista

Freja Ebeling

Helsinki University Hospital Comprehensive Cancer Center

Christina Ganster

University Medical Center Göttingen <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7566-5985>

Maria Jose Jimenez

Hospital Germans Trias i Pujol <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5469-5237>

Roberto LATAGLIATA

Ematologia

Francisca Hernandez-Mohedo

Hospital San Cecilio

Antonieta Molero

Vall d'Hebron Institute of Oncology (VHIO), University Hospital Vall d'Hebron

Elvira Mora

Hospital la Fé

Miriam Vara

Hospital Universitario de Cruces.

Clara aparicio Perez

Hematology Department. Hospital Universitario Reina Sofia,

Giuseppe Pietrantuono

IRCCS-CROB, Referral Cancer Center of Basilicata

Antonella Poloni

Dipartimento di Scienze Cliniche e Molecolari, Clinica di Ematologia, Università Politecnica delle Marche, Ancona <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4221-4125>

Helena Pomares

Institut Català d'Oncologia (ICO), Hospital Duran i Reynals

Valle Recasens

Hematology, Hospital Miguel Servet

Axel Rüfer

Luzerner Kantonsspital

Alessio Signori

University of Genova

Eva Hellström-Lindberg

Karolinska Institutet <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7839-3743>

Pierre Fenaux

Hopital Saint-Louis, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Université Paris Diderot, 75475 Paris, France and Université de Paris, and Inserm U944

Guillermo Sanz

Hospital Universitari i Politècnic La Fe <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2767-8191>

Valeria Santini

University of Florence <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5439-2172>

Uwe Platzbecker

University Hospital Leipzig <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1863-3239>

Article**Keywords:**

Posted Date: April 19th, 2024

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-4257149/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Additional Declarations: Yes there is potential conflict of interest.

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Leukemia on August 5th, 2024. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41375-024-02360-1>.

Abstract

Lenalidomide (LEN) can induce RBC transfusion independence (RBC-TI) in 60–70% of del(5q) myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) patients. Current recommendation is to continue LEN in responding patients until failure or progression, with likelihood of toxicity and a high cost for healthcare systems. This HARMONY Alliance study investigated the outcome of MDS del(5q) patients who discontinued LEN in RBC-TI. We enrolled 118 patients with an IPSS-R low-intermediate risk. Seventy patients (59%) discontinued LEN for intolerance, 38 (32%) per their physician decision, nine (8%) per their own decision and one (1%) for unknown reasons. After a median follow-up of 49 months from discontinuation, 50/118 patients lost RBC-TI and 22/30 who underwent cytogenetic re-evaluation lost complete cytogenetic response. The median RBC-TI duration was 56 months. In multivariate analysis RBC-TI duration after LEN discontinuation correlated with low transfusion burden before LEN therapy, treatment ≥ 12 LEN cycles, younger age and higher Hb level at LEN withdrawal. Forty-eight patients were re-treated with LEN for loss of response and 28 achieved RBC-TI. These data show that stopping LEN therapy in MDS del(5q) patients in RBC-TI allows prolonged maintenance of TI in a large subset of patients.

Background

Del(5q) is one of the most common cytogenetic abnormalities in *de novo* MDS, occurring in approximately 10–20% of MDS patients (1–3). Treatment with lenalidomide (LEN) can lead to red blood cell-transfusion independence (RBC-TI) in 60 to 70% of patients with RBC-transfusion dependent (RBC-TD) MDS with lower-risk and isolated del(5q), and to complete cytogenetic response (CCyR) in 30–40% of them (4–7). Current practice in patients who attain RBC-TI is to continue LEN treatment indefinitely until loss of response or disease progression. However, the optimal duration of LEN treatment has never been formally addressed. Although LEN treatment in MDS with del(5q) does not appear to increase the risk of AML transformation (8–10), other long-term effects of immunomodulation have not been clearly elucidated and a possible intermittent use or discontinuation of LEN may benefit some patients. Moreover, although treatment with LEN is usually well tolerated, serious side effects may occur. Indeed, the most common grade 3/4 adverse events (AEs) reported in del(5q) MDS are cytopenias, which may be a result of direct cytotoxic effects of LEN on the del(5q) clone, and are more frequent and severe during the first cycles of treatment (11). Among extra-hematological toxicities, cutaneous rash and diarrhea are the most common AEs. More rarely thromboembolic events, in particular deep vein thrombosis, and hypothyroidism have also been reported (7, 12, 13). Furthermore, albeit a report by the Moffitt Cancer Center involving a large cohort of MDS patients did not show an increased risk of secondary malignancies in those treated with LEN, some concerns about its long-term cancerogenic potential still exist (14, 15). Besides, despite the reduction in the cost of LEN in recent years, there is still concern about the healthcare resources utilization associated with the monitoring of potential side effects and the appearance or increase in clonal size of *TP53* mutations. Indeed, LEN treatment in patients with *TP53* mutations, present in 12–25% of del(5q) patients, could trigger disease progression, due to the selective expansion of *TP53* mutant clones that are less sensitive to LEN (16–19).

Some retrospective case reports or series involving a small number of patients have shown that most RBC-TI patients who stopped LEN maintained the hematological response. This finding was more evident in patients who had received at least 6–12 months of LEN and who were RBC-TI and had achieved a CCyR (20–22).

This study, the largest to date, aimed to assess the outcome of patients with del(5q) MDS who discontinued LEN while in RBC-TI response based on a large international data set.

Methods

Within the MDS working group of the European Innovative Medicines Initiative HARMONY project, we included cases from centers and registries of seven European countries (Italy, Germany, Spain, France, Finland, Switzerland, and Sweden) and from one US center (Moffitt Cancer Center) with the diagnosis of MDS with isolated del(5q) according to 2016 WHO classification (blasts < 5%, presence of del(5q) alone or with 1 additional abnormality except – 7 or del(7q)), who discontinued therapy after achieving and maintaining RBC-TI, according to IWG criteria 2006 (23–25).

Other inclusion criteria were age > 18 years, RBC-TD before LEN start, bone marrow (BM) blast percentage at diagnosis and at study entry < 5%, IPSS-R very low, low or intermediate risk at LEN treatment start and RBC-TI at LEN discontinuation (26).

The main exclusion criteria were concomitant treatments for MDS in addition to LEN (excluding the use of growth factors), lack of response to LEN, disease progression, or death before LEN discontinuation.

Clinical data were collected retrospectively and prospectively from January 2019 to June 2023. In some cases, molecular analysis by next generation sequencing (NGS) panel and isolated Sanger for *TP53* mutation, were performed at diagnosis, at LEN start, at LEN discontinuation and in case of disease progression.

The study complied with the declaration of Helsinki and all the patients signed an informed consent form before being included in the study.

The primary endpoint of the study was RBC-TI duration after LEN discontinuation. Secondary end points were event-free survival (EFS) (events included for this endpoint were loss of transfusion independence, re-challenge with LEN therapy, disease progression, acute myeloid leukemia (AML) evolution or death), overall survival (OS), progression-free survival (PFS), time to AML transformation, response rate to LEN re-challenge, and identification of clinical or biological predictors of response duration after LEN discontinuation.

Statistical methods

The median value and range were used for reporting continuous variables and the absolute and relative frequency were reported for categorical variables.

RBC-TI duration, EFS, PFS and OS survival curves were calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method.

The comparison among groups for EFS, RBC-TI duration, PFS and OS curves was performed by the log-rank test. Cox proportional hazards regression models were performed to estimate hazard ratios (HR) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). All characteristics with a p-value < 0.10 at univariable analyses were selected to be considered in the multivariable model. Only those characteristics with a p-value < 0.1 in the multivariable model were retained.

Cumulative incidence of RBC-TI loss was calculated considering death and lenalidomide retreatment as competing events.

All statistical tests were two-tailed, and the significance level was set to 0.05. Stata software (v.16; StataCorp) was used for all statistical analyses.

Results

Patient population

One hundred-eighteen patients (80% females) fulfilled the study criteria and were included in the analysis. LEN treatment had been started between December 2003 and September 2022 and this treatment had been discontinued between July 2004 and January 2023.

At LEN treatment start patients were receiving a median of 4 RBC units per month. Of note, 21 (18%) patients were receiving less than 4 RBC units in the 8 weeks before starting treatment and could not be strictly defined as RBC-TD by 2006 IWG criteria. According to IPSS-R categories, 26 patients (22%), 68 (58%) and 24 (20%) were very low, low, and intermediate risk respectively.

As per protocol eligibility criteria, all patients had achieved RBC-TI during LEN therapy. Cytogenetic analysis on bone marrow aspirate was evaluated after treatment in 95 patients and 15 (16%) had achieved partial CyR while 48 (51%) CCyR.

The median number of LEN cycles received before discontinuation was 12 (range 1–72, median treatment duration 12 months) and 85 patients (71%) had experienced hematological or non-hematological treatment-related AEs during treatment. Seventy-nine (67%) AEs were grade 1–2 and 59 (50%) grade 3–4, respectively. In 42 patients (35%) LEN dose had to be reduced due to toxicity.

Median age at LEN discontinuation was 77 years (range, 33–93 years). The reason for stopping treatment was intolerance in 70 patients (59%), physician decision due to optimal response in 38 (32%), patient's decision in nine (8%) and unknown in one patient (1%).

Among the 70 patients who discontinued treatment for intolerance, 48 had a non-hematological toxicity (31/48 of grade 3/4).

Patient characteristics at lenalidomide start/stop are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1

Patients main characteristics at lenalidomide start, over treatment and at lenalidomide discontinuation.

Patients characteristics			
At lenalidomide start			
		N°	%
Patients		118	
Gender	Male	24	20%
	Female	94	80%
Age (years)	median (range)	77 (42–93)	
Therapy related	no	112	95%
	yes	6	5%
IPSS	low	59	56%
	intermediate I	46	44%
IPSS-r	very low	26	22%
	low	68	58%
	Intermediate I	24	20%
Bone marrow blasts %	median (range)	2 (0–5)	
RBC-transfusion burden > 4 units in 8 weeks	no	21	17%
	yes	97	82%
Best response to lenalidomide	RBC-TI	55	47%
	RBC-TI + CCy	48	40%
	RBC-TI + PCy	15	13%
Hematological toxicity	Grade 1–2	36	30.5%
	Grade 3–4	27	23%
Extra-hematological toxicity	Grade 1–2	43	36%
	Grade 3–4	32	27%
Dose reduction		42	36%
Abbreviations: RBC red blood cells; IPSS International Prognostic Scoring System ; IPSS-R International Prognostic Scoring System revised; CCyR Complete Cytogenetic Response; PCyR Partial Cytogenetic Response			

Patients characteristics			
At lenalidomide start			
		N°	%
N of lenalidomide cycles	median (range)	12 (17–72)	
At lenalidomide discontinuation			
Age (years)	median (range)	77 (33–97)	
Absolute Neutrophils Count x10 ⁹ /μl	median (range)	1.51 (0.19–5.1)	
Hemoglobin g/l	median (range)	12 (7.8–15,5)	
Platelets x10 ⁹ /μl	median (range)	150 (3-386)	
Bone marrow blasts %	median (range)	1(0–5)	
RBC transfusion-dependence		0	0%
Cytogenetic response	CCyR (95 evaluable patients)	45	47%
	PCyR (92 evaluable patients)	57	62%
Reason for lenalidomide discontinuation	intolerance	70	59%
	optimal response according to physician	38	32%
	patient decision	9	8%
	unknown	1	1%
Abbreviations: RBC red blood cells; IPSS International Prognostic Scoring System ; IPSS-R International Prognostic Scoring System revised: CCyR Complete Cytogenetic Response; PCyR Partial Cytogenetic Response			

Follow-up after lenalidomide discontinuation

Median observation time from diagnosis was 82 months (range 5-302) and median follow-up after LEN discontinuation was 49 months. Overall, 50 (42%) patients lost RBC-TI. Median duration of RBC-TI was 56.2 months (range 1-120, Fig. 1A). When considering death or LEN restart as competing events for loss of RBC-TI, cumulative incidence of RBC-TI loss was 45% at 60 months. (Fig. 1 supplementary material)

RBC-TI duration was longer for patients who had received > 12 LEN cycles (median, 85.8 vs 31 months; $p = 0.001$; Fig. 1B) and for those who had received < 2 RBC units per month before LEN start (median 56.2 vs 44.1 $p = 0.024$, Fig. 1C). LEN dose reduction did not impact on RBC-TI after LEN discontinuation.

At multivariable analysis other prognostic factors for RBC-TI length were age ($p = 0.024$) and hemoglobin level ($p = 0.092$) at the time of LEN discontinuation (Table 2).

Table 2
Prognostic factors for Red Blood Cell Transfusion Independence loss on multivariate analysis.

Variables	HR	95,0% CI			p value
		Lower	Upper		
Age *	1.04	1.00	1.07		0.024
RBC unit/8 weeks > 4 at lenalidomide start	3.34	1.18	9.47		0.024
Lenalidomide cycles ≥ 12	0.34	0.18	0.65		0.001
Hemoglobin level at lenalidomide stop*	0.86	0.71	1.03		0.092
*(continuous variable)					
Abbreviations:					
RBC red blood cells					

During study follow-up 23 patients (19%) progressed to higher-risk MDS (high or very high IPSS-R) or AML and 47 (39%) died.

Median EFS was 40.8 months (range 1-130, Fig. 2A) and at multivariate analysis it was affected by age ($p = 0.019$), transfusion burden (packed RBC units per month, $p = 0.013$), hemoglobin level ($p = 0.028$), IPSS-R risk category at LEN start ($p = 0.004$), as well as treatment duration ($>$ or ≤ 12 cycles; $p = 0.031$), (Results shown in Table 3).

Table 3
Prognostic factors for event-free survival on multivariate analysis

Variables	HR	95,0% CI		
		Lower	Upper	p value
Age at diagnosis*	1.04	1.01	1.07	0.005
RBC unit/8 weeks > 4 at lenalidomide start	1.28	1.05	1.56	0.013
IPSS-R very low vs low/intermediate	0.33	0.16	0.70	0.004
Lenalidomide cycles $\geq$ 12	0.55	0.32	0.95	0.031
Hemoglobin level at lenalidomide stop*	0.82	0.69	0.98	0.028
*(continuous variable)				
Abbreviations: RBC red blood cells;IPSS International Prognostic Scoring System; IPSS-R IPSS revised				

In particular, median EFS of patients who were treated with LEN for > 12 cycles was 58.0 months as compared with 18.8 months for patients who received $\leq$ 12 cycles (Fig. 2B)

Patients who were in CCyR at LEN discontinuation had a longer EFS and RBC-TI at univariable analysis (median ,53.3 vs 31.0; $p = 0.031$ and 85.8 vs 50.4; $p = 0.011$, respectively), however these data were not confirmed in multivariable analysis.

Moreover, patients who discontinued LEN for physician choice or for their own decision had a better outcome in terms of EFS and RBC-TI duration compared with patients who stopped treatment for toxicity (median EFS, 54.3 and 26.4 months, respectively, $p = 0.006$ and median RBC-TI, 85.8, and 59 months, respectively, $p = 0.004$, Fig. 2 Supplementary material). Of note, MDS del(5q) patients who discontinued LEN for toxicity in 39% had experienced of cases an adverse event grade 3/4, had received less LEN cycles (median 8 vs 16, $p < 0.001$), and did not achieve CCyR in most cases (30% vs 75% for the other patients, $p < 0.001$).

After LEN discontinuation cytogenetic re-evaluation was performed in 30 patients, among these, 22 lost CCyR (73%). Twenty-three of 118 patients (19%) progressed to higher risk MDS ($n = 15$) or AML ($n = 8$) after a median time of 102 months (range 28–151) from diagnosis and of 29 months (range 1-125) from LEN discontinuation. Median PFS after LEN discontinuation was not reached and 5 years PFS rate was 83%. Twenty/23 patients progressed after having lost RBC-TI, of whom 4 progressed notwithstanding their response to LEN re-treatment; 3/23 patients underwent disease progression without losing TI, after 20, 28, and 40 months from LEN discontinuation.

Median OS after LEN discontinuation was 78.4 months (range 2-186) and was affected by age, transfusion burden at LEN start, and number of LEN cycles. Moreover, patients who lost RBC-TI had a

shorter survival (median 71.3 vs 102.1 months, $p = 0.003$) as 70% of them subsequently progressed as compared with 30% of patients maintaining RBC-TI ($p = 0.003$).

Finally, 48 patients were re-treated with LEN because of loss of response. Forty-two patients were evaluable for response and 28 of them (67%) achieved RBC-TI again. Cytogenetic analysis in these cases was rarely available. However, 3 and 2 patients attained CCyR and partial CyR, respectively. The efficacy of LEN re-challenge correlated with the length of RBC-TI after LEN suspension (median RBC-TI after LEN stop 52 months in patients responding to LEN rechallenge vs 12 months in non-responsive patients, $p = 0.008$).

Molecular data

Molecular data for a large number of somatic mutations by NGS were available for 30 patients whereas *TP53* mutations by NGS or Sanger were available in 82 cases. Before LEN discontinuation, somatic mutations other than *TP53* were detected by NGS in 20/30 (67%) patients (5/30 *SF3B1*, 4/30 *TET2*, 3/30 *DNMT3A*, *ASXL1* and *JAK2*, 1/30 *BCOR*, *IKZF* and *SETBP1*). *TP53* mutations were present in 13 of 82 screened patients. A patient who presented a *TP53* mutation with a variable allele frequency (VAF) of 7% at LEN discontinuation, experienced loss of RBC-TI after 4 months. This was accompanied by an increase to 26% in the *TP53* mutation VAF and an acquisition of a new cytogenetic abnormality (del(20q)). This patient progressed to MDS with excess blasts after 22 months from LEN withdrawal.

The presence of *TP53* mutations did not impact on OS or AML transformation.

Discussion

In this study we present the largest series in our knowledge of MDS del(5q) cases who had discontinued LEN treatment while transfusion independent, with a long follow up (49 months). In large randomized prospective studies RBC-TI duration upon continued LEN therapy was around 2 years (4–7). In our cohort median duration of RBC-TI from LEN discontinuation was 56 months. In particular, 70% of the patients treated for > 12 LEN cycles were still RBC-TI at 5 years.

Since its serendipitous demonstration of an exceptional efficacy in MDS with del(5q) almost 20 years ago, LEN has been largely and successfully employed in the clinics with erythroid and cytogenetic response, leading to prolongation of OS (27). With its activity in promoting erythropoiesis in MDS del(5q), LEN has demonstrated an acceptable profile of tolerability, but it is not completely devoid of toxicity. Although myelotoxicity induced in the first cycles of therapy is known to herald good response, still in rare cases is not self-resolving and may appear after several months of therapy, requiring use of growth factors or LEN dose reduction, facilitating infections or bleeding. Beside hematological toxicity, that may be acceptable in the majority of cases in the balance with benefits (transfusion independence), some patients experience extra-hematological toxicity as hypothyroidism or more frequently skin rash, renal insufficiency of various grade, as well as gastrointestinal symptoms like diarrhea, not always responding to symptomatic medications (5–7). LEN treatment is recommended to be continued until loss of

response, therefore, the above-mentioned side effects can be an obstacle and impair quality of life of patients.

LEN acts in MDS with del5q with a specific mechanism of action by inducing the proteasomal degradation of casein kinase 1, and it preferentially affects del(5q) cells because they express this gene at haplo-insufficient levels (28). This specific modulation of ubiquitin ligase function leads to elimination/reduction of the neoplastic clone (29). In this sense, one may envisage an interruption of treatment once the burden of disease is significantly diminished, in analogy to what has been demonstrated for imatinib therapy in chronic myeloid leukemia (30).

In our study patients who were treated with LEN for at least 12 months showed the longest duration of RBC-TI after interruption, consistent with the hypothesis that reduction of the clone allowed achievement of stable response, as supported by the evidence that CCyR prolonged EFS at univariable analysis. Longitudinal cytogenetic analysis were available only in a minority of cases, reflecting clinical practice of avoiding repeated bone marrow evaluations in responding patients. However, 73% of the patients for whom CCyR was documented, lost it. On the other hand, 67% of the patients who were retreated with LEN at TI loss achieved it again. This data may suggest a potential strategy of intermittent administration of LEN, with possibly long period of time of interruption. Sequential cytogenetic data during follow up could help to better understand whether residual del(5q) clone size after LEN discontinuation is determinant for the duration of RBC-TI.

In the past, it has been postulated that LEN treatment could select resistant clones and drive disease progression, particularly in case of *TP53* mutation (16–19). More recently it has been shown that LEN treatment provides a selective advantage to *TP53*-mutant hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells in vitro and in vivo (31). On the other hand, it has been shown that the presence of biallelic *TP53* mutations has a negative impact on OS and response to LEN, therefore the evaluation of this molecular alteration needs to be interpreted carefully.

In our cohort only 19% of patients progressed to higher risk MDS or AML, and mainly after a long disease history. This progression rate is comparable to what reported in patients responding to LEN and was not related to *TP53* mutation (4). Establishing the optimal duration of lenalidomide treatment as well as its early inception to avoid clone expansion (Sintra-REV trial (32), could anyhow be relevant to define the risk of disease progression. Indeed, in our study patients who started LEN with a low transfusion burden, that could suggest lower burden of disease, had better outcome after LEN discontinuation.

In conclusion, this is the largest series to date exploring the outcome of MDS del(5q) patients who discontinued LEN while in RBC-TI response. The main limitations of our study are the heterogeneity of the population (time from diagnosis and length of lenalidomide treatment differ profoundly among cases), the absence of sequential cytogenetic/molecular evaluations and the possible bias due to the lack of a matched cohort of patients continuing LEN until progression or loss of response. Our data suggest that stopping LEN in RBC-TI patients, particularly after more than one year of treatment,

constitutes a possible option and may spare toxicities, likely improving patients' quality of life, as well as reduce the economic impact on National Healthcare Systems.

Some open issues remain, as whether CCyR achievement is a mandatory criterion to allow response maintenance after LEN discontinuation, although in our cohort patients who attained CCyR before treatment suspension seemed to have a more favorable outcome.

This retrospective study may provide the needed background for building a prospective study assessing the optimal duration of LEN treatment, also exploring clone size variations and presence/acquisition of somatic mutations during LEN treatment and after its discontinuation.

The possibility to discontinue LEN treatment in a substantial percentage of MDS del5q patients could be an innovative and cost-effective way to optimize available therapies.

Declarations

Acknowledgements

This project has received funding from the Innovative Medicines Initiative 2 Joint Undertaking under HARMONY Grant Agreement n° 116026. This Joint Undertaking receives support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme and EFPIA.

VS was partially supported by AIRC IG-26537-2021 Investigator Research Grant

M.D.C was partially supported by PI20/00970

Authors' Contributions

EC designed the study, collected and analyzed data, performed statistical analysis and wrote the paper; VS designed the study and wrote the paper; AS performed statistical analysis and wrote statistical methods of the paper; all the authors treated the patients, collected data, reviewed and approved the manuscript.

Competing Interests

U.P. declares research support and Honoraria from Geron, BMS and Janssen.

M.D.C. declares Honoraria from BMS

None of the other Authors has competing interest related to this study.

References

1. Haase D, Germing U, Schanz J, Pfeilstöcker M, Nösslinger T, Hildebrandt B, et al. New insights into the prognostic impact of the karyotype in MDS and correlation with subtypes: evidence from a core dataset of 2124 patients. *Blood*. 2007;110(13):4385-95.
2. Schanz J, Tüchler H, Solé F, Mallo M, Luño E, Cervera J, et al. New comprehensive cytogenetic scoring system for primary myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) and oligoblastic acute myeloid leukemia after MDS derived from an international database merge. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 2012;30(8):820-9.
3. Solé F, Espinet B, Sanz GF, Cervera J, Calasanz MJ, Luño E, et al. Incidence, characterization and prognostic significance of chromosomal abnormalities in 640 patients with primary myelodysplastic syndromes. *Grupo Cooperativo Español de Citogenética Hematológica. British Journal of Haematology*. 2000;108(2):346-56.
4. List AF, Bennett JM, Sekeres MA, Skikne B, Fu T, Shammo JM, et al. Extended survival and reduced risk of AML progression in erythroid-responsive lenalidomide-treated patients with lower-risk del(5q) MDS. *Leukemia*. 2014;28(5):1033-40.
5. Fenaux P, Giagounidis A, Selleslag D, Beyne-Rauzy O, Mufti G, Mittelman M, et al. A randomized phase 3 study of lenalidomide versus placebo in RBC transfusion-dependent patients with Low-/Intermediate-1-risk myelodysplastic syndromes with del5q. *Blood*. 2011;118(14):3765-76.
6. Schuler E, Giagounidis A, Haase D, Shirneshan K, Büsche G, Platzbecker U, et al. Results of a multicenter prospective phase II trial investigating the safety and efficacy of lenalidomide in patients with myelodysplastic syndromes with isolated del(5q) (LE-MON 5). *Leukemia*. 2016;30(7):1580-2.
7. List A, Dewald G, Bennett J, Giagounidis A, Raza A, Feldman E, et al. Lenalidomide in the myelodysplastic syndrome with chromosome 5q deletion. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 2006;355(14):1456-65.
8. Ades L, Le Bras F, Sebert M, Kelaidi C, Lamy T, Dreyfus F, et al. Treatment with lenalidomide does not appear to increase the risk of progression in lower risk myelodysplastic syndromes with 5q deletion. A comparative analysis by the Groupe Francophone des Myelodysplasies. *Haematologica*. 2012;97(2):213-8.
9. Sanchez-Garcia J, Del Canizo C, Lorenzo I, Nomdedeu B, Luno E, de Paz R, et al. Multivariate time-dependent comparison of the impact of lenalidomide in lower-risk myelodysplastic syndromes with chromosome 5q deletion. *Br J Haematol*. 2014;166(2):189-201.
10. Kuendgen A, Lauseker M, List AF, Fenaux P, Giagounidis AA, Brandenburg NA, et al. Lenalidomide does not increase AML progression risk in RBC transfusion-dependent patients with Low- or Intermediate-1-risk MDS with del(5q): a comparative analysis. *Leukemia*. 2013;27(5):1072-9.
11. Komrokji RS, List AF. Short- and long-term benefits of lenalidomide treatment in patients with lower-risk del(5q) myelodysplastic syndromes. *Annals of oncology: official journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology / ESMO*. 2016;27(1):62-8.
12. Figaro MK, Clayton W, Usuh C, Brown K, Kassim A, Lakhani VT, et al. Thyroid abnormalities in patients treated with lenalidomide for hematological malignancies: results of a retrospective case

- review. *American Journal of Hematology*. 2011;86(6):467-70.
13. Zeidan AM, Gore SD, McNally DL, Baer MR, Hendrick F, Mahmoud D, et al. Lenalidomide performance in the real world: patterns of use and effectiveness in a Medicare population with myelodysplastic syndromes. *Cancer*. 2013;119(21):3870-8.
 14. Dimopoulos MA, Richardson PG, Brandenburg N, Yu Z, Weber DM, Niesvizky R, et al. A review of second primary malignancy in patients with relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma treated with lenalidomide. *Blood*. 2012;119(12):2764-7.
 15. Rollison DE, Shain KH, Lee J-H, Hampras SS, Fulp W, Fisher K, et al. Subsequent primary malignancies and acute myelogenous leukemia transformation among myelodysplastic syndrome patients treated with or without lenalidomide. *Cancer Medicine*. 2016;5(7):1694-701.
 16. Scharenberg C, Gai V, Pellagatti A, Saft L, Dimitriou M, Jansson M, et al. Progression in patients with low- and intermediate-1-risk del(5q) myelodysplastic syndromes is predicted by a limited subset of mutations. *Haematologica*. 2017;102(3):498-508.
 17. Jädersten M, Saft L, Smith A, Kulasekararaj A, Pomplun S, Göhring G, et al. TP53 mutations in low-risk myelodysplastic syndromes with del(5q) predict disease progression. *Journal of Clinical Oncology: Official Journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 2011;29(15):1971-9.
 18. Mossner M, Jann JC, Nowak D, Platzbecker U, Giagounidis A, Götze K, et al. Prevalence, clonal dynamics and clinical impact of TP53 mutations in patients with myelodysplastic syndrome with isolated deletion (5q) treated with lenalidomide: results from a prospective multicenter study of the german MDS study group (GMDS). *Leukemia*. 2016;30(9):1956-9.
 19. Jadersten M, Saft L, Pellagatti A, Gohring G, Wainscoat JS, Boulwood J, et al. Clonal heterogeneity in the 5q- syndrome: p53 expressing progenitors prevail during lenalidomide treatment and expand at disease progression. *Haematologica*. 2009;94(12):1762-6.
 20. Giagounidis Aa, Kulasekararaj A, Germing U, Radkowski R, Haase S, Petersen P, et al. Long-term transfusion independence in del(5q) MDS patients who discontinue lenalidomide. *Leukemia*. 2012;26(4):855-8.
 21. Vozella F, Latagliata R, Carmosino I, Volpicelli P, Montagna C, Romano A, et al. Lenalidomide for myelodysplastic syndromes with del(5q): how long should it last? *Hematological Oncology*. 2015;33(1):48-51.
 22. Hatzimichael E, Lagos K, Vassou A, Gougopoulou D, Papoudou-Bai A, Briasoulis E. Durable response to lenalidomide in a patient with myelodysplastic syndrome associated with isolated 5q deletion and JAK2 V617F mutation despite discontinuation of treatment. *Molecular and clinical oncology*. 2016;5(1):23-6.
 23. Cheson BD, Greenberg PL, Bennett JM, Lowenberg B, Wijermans PW, Nimer SD, et al. Clinical application and proposal for modification of the International Working Group (IWG) response criteria in myelodysplasia. *Blood*. 2006;108(2):419-25.
 24. Arber DA, Orazi A, Hasserjian R, Thiele J, Borowitz MJ, Le Beau MM, et al. The 2016 revision to the World Health Organization classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia. *Blood*.

2016;127(20):2391-405.

25. Vardiman JW, Thiele J, Arber DA, Brunning RD, Borowitz MJ, Porwit A, et al. The 2008 revision of the World Health Organization (WHO) classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia: rationale and important changes. *Blood*. 2009;114(5):937-51.
26. Greenberg PL, Tuechler H, Schanz J, Sanz G, Garcia-Manero G, Solé F, et al. Revised international prognostic scoring system for myelodysplastic syndromes. *Blood*. 2012;120(12):2454-65.
27. Santini V, Giagounidis A, Pelligra CG, Franco-Villalobos C, Tang D, Morison J, et al. Impact of Lenalidomide Treatment on Overall Survival in Patients With Lower-Risk, Transfusion-Dependent Myelodysplastic Syndromes. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk*. 2022;22(9):e874-e83.
28. Ribezzo F, Snoeren IAM, Ziegler S, Stoelben J, Olofsen PA, Henic A, et al. Rps14, Csnk1a1 and miRNA145/miRNA146a deficiency cooperate in the clinical phenotype and activation of the innate immune system in the 5q- syndrome. *Leukemia*. 2019;33(7).
29. Krönke J, Fink EC, Hollenbach PW, MacBeth KJ, Hurst SN, Udeshi ND, et al. Lenalidomide induces ubiquitination and degradation of CK1 α in del(5q) MDS. *Nature*. 2015;523(7559):183-8.
30. Sauße S, Richter J, Hochhaus A, Mahon FX. The concept of treatment-free remission in chronic myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia*. 2016;30(8):1638-47.
31. Sperling AS, Guerra VA, Kennedy JA, Yan Y, Hsu JI, Wang F, et al. Lenalidomide promotes the development of TP53-mutated therapy-related myeloid neoplasms. *Blood*. 2022;140(16):1753-63.
32. López Cadenas F, Lumbreras E, González T, Xicoy B, Sánchez-García J, Coll R, et al. Evaluation of Lenalidomide (LEN) Vs Placebo in Non-Transfusion Dependent Low Risk Del(5q) MDS Patients. Final Results of Sintra-REV Phase III International Multicenter Clinical Trial. *Blood*. 2022;140(Supplement 1):1109-11.

Figures




Figure 1

Red blood cell transfusion independence duration after lenalidomide discontinuation. A Overall. B. By N° of lenalidomide cycles. C. By transfusion burden before lenalidomide start




Figure 2

Event free survival (EFS) after lenalidomide discontinuation. A Overall. B. By N° of lenalidomide cycles

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Supplementarymaterial.pdf](#)