



UNIVERSITÀ POLITECNICA DELLE MARCHE  
Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Spatial conflict simulator using game engine technology and Bayesian networks for workspace management

This is a pre print version of the following article:

*Original*

Spatial conflict simulator using game engine technology and Bayesian networks for workspace management / Messi, Leonardo; García de Soto, Borja; Carbonari, Alessandro; Naticchia, Berardo. - In: AUTOMATION IN CONSTRUCTION. - ISSN 0926-5805. - STAMPA. - 144:(2022). [10.1016/j.autcon.2022.104596]

*Availability:*

This version is available at: 11566/312707 since: 2024-06-05T14:19:46Z

*Publisher:*

*Published*

DOI:10.1016/j.autcon.2022.104596

*Terms of use:*

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. The use of copyrighted works requires the consent of the rights' holder (author or publisher). Works made available under a Creative Commons license or a Publisher's custom-made license can be used according to the terms and conditions contained therein. See editor's website for further information and terms and conditions.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università Politecnica delle Marche (<https://iris.univpm.it>). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

# Spatial conflict simulator using game engine technology and Bayesian networks for workspace management

Leonardo Messi<sup>a,b</sup>, Borja García de Soto<sup>b</sup>, Alessandro Carbonari<sup>a</sup>, and Berardo Naticchia<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> DICEA Department, Construction Division, Faculty of Engineering, Polytechnic University of Marche (UNIVPM), Via Brecce Bianche 12, 60131, Ancona, Italy

<sup>b</sup> S.M.A.R.T. Construction Research Group, Division of Engineering, New York University Abu Dhabi (NYUAD), Experimental Research Building, Saadiyat Island, P.O. Box 129188, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates

E-mail: [l.messi@staff.univpm.it](mailto:l.messi@staff.univpm.it), [garcia.de.soto@nyu.edu](mailto:garcia.de.soto@nyu.edu), [alessandro.carbonari@staff.univpm.it](mailto:alessandro.carbonari@staff.univpm.it),  
[b.naticchia@staff.univpm.it](mailto:b.naticchia@staff.univpm.it)

## Abstract

Workspace demand changes across space and time, stressing the need to consider space as a limited and renewable resource. Traditional scheduling techniques have not fully handled this issue. This study proposes a workspace management framework using a game engine to address that. The simulator detects spatial interferences by combining geometric computations and physics simulations. The detected conflicts are filtered through Bayesian inference to detect non-critical scenarios and avoid overestimation. The proposed spatial conflict simulator was tested using a real use case and compared to commercial tools. Results showed that the Navisworks approach detected 58 spatial conflicts (of which only 25% were relevant), the Synchro approach detected 1 spatial conflict, and the proposed approach detected 1 “direct” and 4 “indirect” spatial conflicts. Results show its capability to detect more relevant spatial issues than the state-of-the-art tools and avoid overestimations. Construction management teams can adjust or confirm the schedule with that information.

## Keywords

Construction Management; Workspace Scheduling; Spatial Conflicts; BIM; Game Engine; 4D tool.

## 1. Introduction

In the Architecture, Engineering and Construction (AEC) industry, construction sites, which usually involve large numbers of workers, equipment, adjacent buildings, and facilities, and are affected by weather, are very dynamic operating environments. Consequently, safety and constructability issues are usually contextual, as they depend on building and resource displacement, spatial-temporal dependencies, and ever-changing site conditions.

In such a dynamic environment, any activity requires a specific workspace to be executed [1], defined as the suitable space crews and/or equipment occupy execution [2]. As the construction progresses, the space occupied by completed activities will be released and reused by other operations [3]. Consequently, the space required for construction operation continuously changes over time [4], leading to a sequence of workspaces associated with the project’s activities [5]. When the same workspace is occupied simultaneously by two or more activities, a spatial interference occurs, which might lead to significant problems such as construction delay, loss in productivity and labor safety hazards. As suggested by [6], this evidence demonstrates that space in the construction site must be considered as a limited but renewable resource, similar to workers, equipment, and materials [3]. Impacts due to spatial interferences have been measured qualitatively and quantitatively. To cite a few statistics, a study related to masonry works has reported that congested workspaces and restricted access cause efficiency losses of up to 65% [7]. In addition to the productivity impacts, another study conducted in the US private industry sector associated the death of 323 workers over 12 years with poor workspace planning [8].

43 However, automating the identification of workspaces is a challenging task itself due to several reasons. The  
44 first one is that operational workspaces are seldom limited to the volume surrounding the building  
45 components interested in ongoing tasks (i.e., the so-called main workspace). Rather, they include additional  
46 volumes used for ancillary tasks such as materials storage, passageways, etc. The second reason is that there  
47 might occur indirect clashes even between non-overlapping workspaces, hence not detectable using mere  
48 geometric intersection checks, because some actions occurring in one space could interfere indirectly with  
49 the activity carried out in another detached space (e.g., struck-by hazard from falling objects, electrical  
50 hazard). Another reason is that contextual variables often determine the actual occurrence of a risk and its  
51 severity. In these cases, expert knowledge can contribute to refining and enhancing the assessment of  
52 detected spatial interferences. In other words, two identical clashes detected at different points in time or  
53 occurring due to different concurrent activities can result in remarkably different severity levels.

54 Furthermore, the dynamic nature of construction activities makes the management of workspaces  
55 challenging using conventional planning methods. The authors in [9] assert that conventional planning  
56 methods do not adequately represent and communicate interferences between construction activities and  
57 do not consider space constraints in the planning process. They typically focus on the time and cost aspects  
58 [9–12]. In fact, traditional construction scheduling techniques, such as Gantt charts and network diagrams,  
59 are inadequate for managing site workspaces, mainly due to the lack of spatial representation [3]. Similarly,  
60 traditional safety planning relies on manual observation, which is labor-intensive, time-consuming, and  
61 potentially highly inefficient [8]. The resulting safety plans are often error-prone due to subjective judgments  
62 of the available decision-makers. As of now, workspace planning has often been performed through  
63 judgment or with the aid of 2D sketches [2]. Commercial 4D visual planning software tools (e.g., Autodesk  
64 Navisworks, Synchro, etc.) have improved display functionalities that can aid construction managers and field  
65 engineers in their tasks but still lack automated assessment capabilities in favor of workspace management  
66 [5].

67 This study will investigate the use of spatial simulation tools with advanced visualization functionalities to  
68 detect clashes among workspaces, including looking beyond the case of geometric clashes between  
69 overlapping main workspaces. An analysis regarding the advantages that this tool can provide to those in  
70 charge of work planning will be performed, and an enhanced workflow will be suggested. In addition, a  
71 methodology to develop an expert knowledge system to assess the severity of detected conflicts will be  
72 reported and preliminarily tested and compared with current state-of-the-art technologies.

73 In Section 2, the scientific background about the latest research progresses in workspace management, the  
74 application of the serious gaming technology in the AEC industry, and the basics of the Bayesian inference  
75 are provided. Section 3 describes the methodology proposed by this study, whereas Section 4 presents the  
76 adopted use case. The implementation of the developed prototype, described in Section 5, is followed by the  
77 design of the experiments presented in Section 6. Finally, Sections 7 and 8 are devoted to the results of the  
78 experiments, the discussion, and the conclusions, respectively.

## 79 2. Scientific background

80 Nowadays, the need to consider the spatial dimension to ensure the schedule's feasibility and avoid critical  
81 issues, such as safety, productivity, and constructability, is unanimously accepted by field experts. Stemming  
82 from this assumption, researchers have spent many efforts on workspace definition, conflicts detection, and  
83 severity assessment. As emerged from the literature review, several approaches and technologies, mainly  
84 based on geometric intersection tests between workspaces, have been proposed.

85 The workspace management process refers to three main phases [3,5]. The first one is the generation and  
86 allocation of workspaces. The second one is the detection of congestion and spatial-temporal conflicts.  
87 Finally, the third phase is the resolution of identified conflicts. Since this study focused on detecting spatial

88 conflicts and severity assessment, these topics will be the subject of the following Subsections 2.1 and 2.2.  
 89 Afterward, Subsection 2.3 focuses on simulation environments adopted by past studies. Subsection 2.4  
 90 provides a literature review of Bayesian inference application in the AEC industry. Finally, Subsection 2.5  
 91 formalizes the research questions answered by this study.

92

Table 1. Overview of workspaces' classification, conflicts taxonomies, and metrics from literature.

Zhang et al., 2015 [8]	Dawood et al., 2006 [12]	Akinci et al., 2002 [15]	Getuli et al., 2020 [16]	Thabet et al., 1994 [17]
Building component space (BCS)	Product space	Building component space (BCS)	Workers space	Object-based (Physical surrounding space)
Worker space	Workspace	Labor crew space (LCS)	Equipment space	Manpower
Equipment/temporary structure space	Equipment space	Equipment space (ES)	Safety space (outward hazard)	Equipment
Space for material handling path	Equipment path	Temporary structure space (TSS)	Hazard space (inward hazard)	Material
Protective space	Path space	Protected space (PS)		Space-based
	Storage space	Hazard space (HS)		Work blocks (Zone + Layer)
	Process space			
	Support space			
	Protected space			
Design clashes	Design conflict	Design conflict (BCS-BCS)		Can share workspace (Class B)
Congestion	Safety hazard	Safety hazard (HS-LCS)		Cannot share workspace (Class A, C)
Safety hazard	Congestion	Damage conflict (PS-LCS/ES/HS)		
	Access blockage	Congestion (LCS/ES-LCS/ES/TSS)		
	Damage			
	Space obstruction			
	Work interruption			
Conflict Ratio	Space Criticality	Conflict Ratio		Space Capacity Factor
$C_R=V_c/V_R$	$S_C=V_d/V_A$	$C_R=V_c/V_R$		$S_C=V_d/V_R$
where $V_c$ =conflicting volume, $V_R$ =required volume	where $V_d$ =required volume, $V_A$ =available volume	where $V_c$ =conflicting volume, $V_R$ =required volume		where $V_d$ =available volume, $V_R$ =required volume
Space Criticality	Space Criticality			
$S_C=V_d/V_A$	$S_C=V_d/V_A$			
where $V_d$ =required volume, $V_A$ =available volume	where $V_d$ =required volume, $V_A$ =available volume			

Mirzaei et al., 2018 [1]	Ma et al., 2020 [3]	Kassem et al., 2015 [5]
Product space	Entity space (ES)	Object space
Labor crew space	Efficient working space (EWS)	Main space
Equipment space	Safety working space (SWS)	Support space
Temporary structure space		Safety space
Material storage space		
Hazard space		
Labor congestion (RSD<50%)	ES-ES (h=100)	Temporal/Schedule conflict
Constructability issue (RSD>50%)	ES-SWS (h=50)	Spatial/Physical/Workspace conflict
	SWS-SWS (h=20)	Workspace congestion
	ES-SWS (h=40)	
	SWS-EWS (h=5)	
	EWS-EWS (h=1)	
Required Space Decrease per person $RSD=1-(A_{wp}/A_{sp})$ where $A_{wp}$ =available workspace per person for activity, $A_{sp}$ =required workspace per person for activity	Severity of the Spatio-Temporal Conflict $I_{12}=h(k_1*S_{1,t1}+k_2*S_{1,t2}-S_{12})$ where $h$ =severity grade (1-100), $k_1, k_2$ =urgency/danger grades (1-10), $S_{1,t1}, S_{1,t2}$ =ratio of spatial conflict relative to $V_1, V_2$ , $S_{12}$ =ratio of coincident period relative to $t_1, t_2$	Severity of Conflict $S_C=T_C/T_A$ where $T_C$ =conflict duration, $T_A$ =activity duration Severity of Workspace Conflict $S_{WC}=V_C/V_A$ where $V_C$ =conflicting volume, $V_A$ =available volume Congestion of Severity $C_{gs}=V_R/V_A$ where $V_R$ =required volume, $V_A$ =available volume Workspace Criticality Level $W_{CL}=S_{WC}+C_{gs}$

93 **2.1. Workspace definition and conflicts detection**

94 The hierarchical classification of workspaces, known as Location Breakdown Structure (LBS), can help develop  
 95 work plans and manage the project’s physical size and complexity [13,14]. 2D working areas have been  
 96 defined irrespective of the activities to be performed. According to [13], an LBS should include the five levels  
 97 of detail, namely: (1) project, (2) buildings or sections, (3) floors, (4) stage of implementation, and (5) zones.  
 98 Another application divides the floor into same-size areas (zone-LBS) or considers the position of seismic  
 99 joints (area-LBS) [14]. However, the limitation of this approach is that it cannot classify non-structured  
 100 buildings, such as large open spaces lacking demarcating zones or renovation works.

101 Due to the wide variability of scenarios, other works have suggested several classifications, which adopt  
 102 different approaches, including object-, activity-, space- and process-based classifications. The object-based  
 103 classification uses a 3D visualization of workspaces and requires allocating volumes adjacent to the building  
 104 element under construction for specific functions [5,12,15]. An example can be provided by the micro-level  
 105 discretization defined in [15], which includes the following workspaces: building component space, labor  
 106 crew space, equipment space, hazard space, protected space, and, finally, temporary structure space (Table  
 107 1). Complementarily, the concepts of macro-level (e.g., storage areas) and paths (e.g., equipment’s and  
 108 crews’ paths) discretization have been introduced [12]. An activity-based classification focused on Health and  
 109 Safety (H&S) management has been defined in [15,16], where safety and hazard spaces correspond  
 110 respectively to outward and inward hazards (Table 1). Another unique classification for the three  
 111 discretization categories was proposed (Table 1) [12]. Also, a macro- and micro-level discretization can help  
 112 differentiate labor crew workspaces into static and dynamic ones [1]. In the first case, the entire workspace  
 113 is required throughout the activity duration; in the second case, the labor crew occupies a specific portion of  
 114 the space during each time interval. Four execution patterns have been defined to simulate labor movement  
 115 through the subspaces. In [8], a micro-level discretization and the material handling path space have been  
 116 introduced. In the research presented in [3], the workspaces defined by the studies mentioned above have

117 been grouped into two main categories: entity and working spaces. The first includes the space occupied by  
118 laborers, mechanical equipment, and building components, whereas the second corresponds to the spaces  
119 required to ensure smooth operation and tasks. In [17], the authors compare an object-based and a space-  
120 based workspace definition to quantify workspace demand and availability. The authors include some of the  
121 space categories seen in previous works in the object-based workspace definition, such as manpower,  
122 equipment, and material spaces. In the space-based workspace definition, a work block is the combination  
123 of a zone (i.e., the portion of the architectural layout of the floor) and a layer (i.e., the status of construction  
124 work progress in a zone within a specific time). In order to include the effects of dynamicity, a novel method  
125 for look-ahead equipment workspace during earthworks was developed in [18]. For this purpose, Dynamic  
126 Equipment Workspaces (DEWs) and Look-Ahead Equipment Workspaces (LAEWs) have been defined. The  
127 two types of workspaces differ in that while DEWs are generated based on the equipment pose, state,  
128 geometry, and speed in real-time (to form a safety buffer around the equipment that can help to prevent  
129 collisions), LAEWs are built based on the predicted future motion of equipment and operator visibility in  
130 near-real-time (to help finding a collision-free path for equipment). This method enables different pieces of  
131 equipment to ensure that their initially planned paths are collision-free, or it adjusts their path planning to  
132 avoid potential collisions.

133 Inspired by the manufacturing industry, a shift from object-based to process-based workspace definition has  
134 been proposed in [5]. In addition to the workspaces occupied by building elements and reserved as safety  
135 distance, the working area is discretized considering the value added by the activities (Table 1). For example,  
136 building a wall requires a “main workspace” since it adds tangible value to the project; on the contrary,  
137 transferring materials requires a “support workspace”, a preparatory activity supporting the first one.

138 Another challenge relies on the estimation of the shape and size of workspaces. A first approach is to  
139 represent workspaces as user input rectangular prisms [15], whereas in other applications, they are  
140 represented as user-inputted bounding boxes [2,3,5,16]. In the studies mentioned above, workspace  
141 occupation is either estimated based on the authors' background or experience or estimated by the user as  
142 input values during simulation. On the contrary, the authors in [8] implement an occupancy model to define  
143 distance offsets from the building components under construction; in this way, they infer the workspace  
144 allocation based on historical workforce location data densities.

145 For the sake of a realistic estimation of clashes and temporal dependences, once workspaces have been  
146 defined, they must be associated with specific time slots in which each correspondent activity is scheduled.  
147 In other words, the 3D model is extended towards the fourth dimension, i.e., time [1–3,5,8,12,15].

148 Running 4D simulations can lead to the identification of potential interferences within the project schedule  
149 and their visualization. This approach assumes that time-space conflicts may occur only between concurrent  
150 activities [15]. In addition to the temporal detection, some authors report other approaches available in the  
151 literature to identify spatial issues. For example, the approximation detection compares the length of the line  
152 connecting center points for every pair of adjacent workspaces against the combined lengths of workspaces'  
153 radii [2]. In the topographical detection, each workspace is assigned a spatial matrix, and the entry-wise  
154 product of matrices would mark the collisions [2]. Finally, geometrical intersection tests check each  
155 workspace against all other ones (pairwise comparison) for detecting eventual overlaps, called  
156 Spatial/Physical/Workspace conflicts [2,5], as reported in Table 1.

157 Lately, several studies have attempted to classify spatial interferences between tasks that share the same  
158 workspace. One of the first time-space conflict taxonomy in construction differentiates design conflicts,  
159 safety hazards, damage conflicts, and congestions [15] (Table 1). The first category occurs when a conflict  
160 between two building components' geometries occurs. Since existing commercially available applications  
161 (e.g., clash detection and coordination) already solve this issue [8], design clashes are outside the scope of  
162 this research. According to [15], a safety hazard occurs when the space required by a hazardous activity (e.g.,

163 hazard space) conflicts with the space allocated to a labor crew. Indeed, sharing a space, which should be left  
164 free to protect a building component, with a labor crew, a piece of equipment, or a hazardous space may  
165 cause damage conflicts. The mutual sharing of space between labor crews, equipment and temporary  
166 structures identifies a more or less severe congestion [5,15]. On the contrary, the authors in [17] differentiate  
167 the activities that can share the workspace and those that cannot share it to define a work schedule. The  
168 taxonomy presented in [15] has been adopted by the authors in [8,12] and extended in [12], with path-  
169 related conflicts (e.g., access blockage and space obstruction). Other authors consider two types of spatial  
170 interferences, namely labor congestion and constructability issue [1], corresponding respectively to  
171 Acceptable (ASI) and Unacceptable Spatial Interferences (USI) [19]. Finally, a time-space conflict taxonomy,  
172 including the three available combinations between the Entity Spaces (ES) and Working Spaces (WS), is  
173 presented in [3]. As long as two different entity spaces (ES-ES) overlap, a breakage in the building element is  
174 caused [15]. In case an entity crashes into a working space (ES-WS), delays of construction and, in some cases,  
175 accidents occur. Finally, an interference between working spaces (WS-WS) occurring between parallel  
176 activities, corresponds to a particular scenario of congestion [2,15].

177 As mentioned earlier in this text, spatial conflicts are detected in existing studies by simply carrying out  
178 geometric intersection tests between defined workspaces. Although being able to provide early valuable  
179 results and enabled process automation, this approach overestimates the results and misses to detect those  
180 clashes that are not purely geometric.

181 In addition, most existing studies consider object-based workspace taxonomies that allocate static  
182 workspaces around building elements under construction for very specific purposes. Due to this strong  
183 assumption, these studies look for spatial conflicts between static object-based workspaces. The possibility  
184 of crews and equipment moving and eventually getting into conflicts within the construction site has been  
185 sporadically considered [1,4,8,18]. This gap must be addressed by considering more realistic simulations.

186 Finally, a workflow that integrates currently available construction planning methods and the most advanced  
187 simulation systems (e.g., based on physics simulations, expert knowledge, etc.) for detecting spatial conflicts  
188 must be defined. This would improve existing construction planning approaches by covering their gaps and  
189 ease the assessment of the added values provided by novel spatial conflict simulators.

## 190 2.2. Conflict's severity assessment

191 In order to rank lists of conflicts generated as a result of an automated conflict detection, the activities'  
192 conflicting status must be evaluated by adopting metrics that concisely describe the severity of conflicts and  
193 their overall trend. For this purpose, several metrics for evaluating the magnitude of the collisions are  
194 available in the literature. Some can assess the conflicting status between workspaces by computing ratios  
195 between volumes and/or setting arbitrary thresholds for different congestion severity levels [5,8,12,15,17]  
196 (Table 1). More sophisticated metrics also consider temporal, severity, urgency and danger parameters [3]  
197 (Table 1). Other metrics assess the conflict severity based on the decrease of workspace per person for a  
198 given activity [1] (Table 1). The main limitation of this assumption is that some spatial conflicts may occur  
199 even if workspaces are not reduced or do not intersect each other (e.g., struck-by risk from falling objects,  
200 electrical risk, etc.).

## 201 2.3. Simulation environments of spatial conflicts

202 Past studies address spatial conflict challenges by adopting different technological approaches. Low-tech  
203 workspace management applies LBS and spreadsheet applications (e.g., Microsoft Excel) [13,14]. The familiar  
204 and easy-to-use interface of such applications represents the strength of this approach. In contrast, the  
205 approximate 2D-modelling of space and the too rigid and arbitrary workspace discretization provided by LBS  
206 affect the results.

207 These issues are overcome by high-tech workspace management approaches that apply BIM for a continuous  
208 3D modeling of space and the definition of the 4D model by linking tasks and building elements. In this  
209 context, serious game engines are promising tools to integrate semantically rich models (e.g., BIM models)  
210 and simulation engines. The first application of gaming technology can be found in the aircraft industry, using  
211 Microsoft Flight Simulator for educational purposes [20].

212 Later, serious game engines also became widespread in the AEC industry, demonstrating that mere  
213 entertainment is not the only feasible nor the only promising application. The success of this approach is due  
214 to the difficulty in carrying out real field experiments in some research areas, such as construction  
215 management, which usually requires quite a huge budget and time efforts to set up an experimental study.  
216 The use of game engines facilitates the deployment of virtual testbeds and test execution.

217 In the construction industry, game engine usage was first limited to construction safety training purposes. In  
218 2009, Torque 3D game engine was applied to develop a tool aiming to enhance electrical safety awareness  
219 within the construction industry [21]. Virtual safety learning platforms have been developed using Unity3D™  
220 and head-mounted display (HMD) technologies [22]. A similar technological stack can be applied to develop  
221 a virtual learning environment for multiplayer lean training [23], with the possibility of collecting run-time  
222 feedback [24].

223 Several studies applied serious game engines to improve collaboration and communication in construction.  
224 A tool based on the Java-based jMonkeyEngine 3.0 game enabled clients to navigate in first-person design-  
225 in-progress environments [25]. Another example is the Database-supported VR/BIM-based Communication  
226 and Simulation (DVBCS), a middleware and communication system between the design team and  
227 stakeholders, developed in [26] using the Unreal game engine and tested in healthcare design. Similar-  
228 purpose systems have been developed using Unity3D™ too [27,28] and adopting openBIM principles (i.e.,  
229 IFC format rather than a vendor-specific one) [29]. The integration in Unity3D™ of BIM models and as-built  
230 images, processed via various computer vision techniques, enables the definition of a 3D virtual environment  
231 of the construction site that can be updated automatically according to work progress [30]. Another tool,  
232 developed in Unity3D™ and tested for modular-based construction projects, integrates four main project  
233 teams (i.e., design, production, transportation, and construction teams) and supports them by providing a  
234 virtual environment to visualize their process to make better-informed decisions [31].

235 The application of serious game engines recently embraces simulations of physical building dynamics and  
236 behaviors of virtual building users, such as in the framework called Design-Play and based on the Microsoft  
237 XNA game engine, for design validation [32]. An open-source gaming engine, namely Blender, has been  
238 applied to develop parallel and loosely coupled simulation-driven visualizations of industrial construction  
239 operations [33]. An Industry Foundation Class (IFC) compliant 4D tool has been developed using the Microsoft  
240 XNA game engine as a holistic solution for workspace management, including workspace allocation, conflicts  
241 detection and real-time resolution [5]. A holonic emergency management system, based on Unity3D™, can  
242 compute the most effective way out by pathfinding algorithms (i.e., A\*) and enhance the contribution given  
243 by standard emergency plans [35]. Unity3D™ has been applied to simulate activities and analyze the  
244 productivity difference between conventional and robotics-based modular construction [34]. Other  
245 Unity3D™ game engine applications have resulted in a digital twin mock-up that implements a BN for the  
246 real-time assessment of runover hazards by drilling machines [35] and fall hazards [36]. Unity3D™ spatial  
247 simulators aim to detect conflicts, among main and support workspaces, to address COVID-19 threats [37]  
248 and struck-by hazards [38,39].

249 Previous studies prove the possibility of importing Building Information Models by an open file format,  
250 namely IFC, into a serious gaming environment [26,28,29]. The 4D BIM model has been recreated within the  
251 gaming environment [28] and specifically for workspace management [5]. A proof-of-concept of a reasoner,  
252 implemented using a BN within a serious game engine, has been presented [35]. Some authors have



253 demonstrated the possibility of integrating simulation functionalities with game engines [40]. This can carry  
254 out dynamics and physics simulations directly within a BIM-based construction site environment recreated  
255 in Unity3D™ [36]. Unity3D™ game engine, being widely adopted by past studies and supporting C# scripting  
256 for endless functionalities implementation, represents the candidate tool for this study.

## 257 2.4. Bayesian inference and its applications in AEC

258 A system that assesses the severity of spatial-temporal conflicts must reproduce how humans perform  
259 cognitive tasks. This implies developing applications that can perform both steps of inference reasoning  
260 conditioned upon contextual evidence and knowledge elicitation from experts. The core claim of Bayesian  
261 reasoning, called conditionalization, is that it can adjust prior beliefs given new evidence [54]. This is suitable  
262 for those scenarios in which a model describing a set of events can be defined in advance. However, the  
263 severity of the outcomes is conditioned upon a set of pieces of evidence that change over time. In this  
264 context, the advantages of Bayesian networks (BNs) are largely in simplifying conditionalization, planning  
265 decisions under uncertainty, and explaining the outcome of stochastic processes [55]. Basically, BNs are  
266 graphical models for reasoning under uncertainty, where the nodes represent variables and arcs represent  
267 the quantitative strength of those direct connections, allowing probabilistic beliefs to be updated  
268 automatically as new information becomes available [54].

269 Several studies applying BNs to manage construction-related issues have been published in the last 20 years.  
270 A literature review mapped articles selected within the last two decades against the 12 construction  
271 management functional areas defined by [41] to identify the major areas of Bayesian application [42].  
272 Bayesian approaches are most frequently applied in safety management, followed by risk management,  
273 contract management and process control, demonstrating the merits of Bayesian approaches to deal with  
274 uncertainties and the interdependencies of multiple factors. Most of the selected studies apply BN for  
275 predictive reasoning, whereas the Bayesian diagnostic function is relatively underutilized compared to  
276 prediction.

### 277 2.4.1. Application to safety management

278 As reported in [42], the application of Bayesian approaches to safety management is mainly related to safety  
279 performance [43–46], the selection of effective safety management strategies [46–48], and safety  
280 supervision [49–53].

281 The full potential of Bayesian approaches to analyze the interdependencies of a wide range of physical and  
282 psychosocial hazards is yet to be exploited [42]. Existing Bayesian research on safety performance has mainly  
283 adopted a static approach, whereas the potential to use dynamic BNs to capture the changes in safety  
284 performance over time (e.g., before and after implementation of safety interventions or in different project  
285 phases) is underutilized.

### 286 2.4.2. Application to risk management

287 Risk assessment is the most popular application field of Bayesian approaches in risk management [42]. BNs  
288 have the advantages of showing the propagation influence of risks in a network and updating the  
289 interdependency among risks when new information is available, overcoming the limitation of structural  
290 equation modeling, artificial neural networks and other simulation techniques in analyzing risks [54].

291 As reported in [55], risk assessment includes two main processes: estimating the occurrence probability [56–  
292 60] and impacts [60–62] of certain events to calculate risk. Although Bayesian approaches are widely applied  
293 to manage risks in construction-related research, the interaction and propagation of risks throughout the  
294 whole lifecycle of construction projects is relatively understudied [63]. To solve this, [63] proposes a modified  
295 BN to consider risk propagation in different stages.

296 Bayesian approaches for risk management are applied to various types of projects, such as excavation  
297 projects [57,60,64], deep foundation pit construction [65], buried infrastructure [66] and high-speed rail  
298 projects [67]. For these projects, the historical data are limited and difficult to obtain. Bayesian approaches  
299 are able to combine both objective data from field observation and subjective data from expert knowledge,  
300 which can improve the quality of input data and achieve a relatively high assessment precision even with a  
301 small number of samples [56,65].

302 Generally, applying Bayesian approaches to risk management still has room for improvement in dynamic risk  
303 management (i.e., covering all stages of the project), whole process risk management (i.e., covering all steps  
304 of risk management) and comprehensive consideration of the risk occurrence probability and impact degree.

#### 305 2.4.3. Application to contract management

306 Bayesian approaches are used in the contract management field to analyze construction contractual risks  
307 [68,69], deal with disputes [70–77], improve the effectiveness of bidding decisions [78–81] and the efficiency  
308 of required contractual text extraction [82].

309 Further studies are needed to explore the application of Bayesian approaches in contract management, such  
310 as expanding the influence of a single contractual risk to a set of contractual risks in a construction project  
311 and applying the established model to more scenarios (e.g., different types of construction projects and  
312 market conditions) [42].

#### 313 2.4.4. Application to process control

314 Process control includes various activities, such as management of project schedule [59,83,84], productivity  
315 and resource allocation [85–90] for achieving project success.

316 Although Bayesian approaches have been adopted in the above areas of process control, the application in  
317 each area still needs to be further investigated in different contexts [42]. There is limited application of  
318 Bayesian approaches for efficient allocation of resources and the workforce in specific construction projects,  
319 which concerns process control.

### 320 2.5. The research questions answered by this study


321 This study shows that by combining physics simulations with geometric computations, even those spatial-  
322 temporal conflicts that are not caused by direct overlapping of main workspaces can be detected. Then, an  
323 implementation of this tool in a serious gaming environment has been reported, along with the development  
324 of an interface between the simulation environment and the BIM model of the building under construction.  
325 In addition, a methodology and a demonstrator concerning the integration of a Bayesian reasoner in the form  
326 of a BN are developed. The combined simulator embedding the BN is showcased to automatically update the  
327 severity assessment of detected spatial-temporal conflicts due to workspace displacement and the scheduled  
328 work plan. This is applied in the specific case of crews that may be struck by falling objects. Finally, a  
329 comparison between the performance of this novel system and the state-of-the-art commercial software  
330 tools is provided.

## 331 3. Methodology

### 332 3.1. System architecture

333 In order to cover these research gaps, this study presents a novel methodology that integrates the work  
334 planning phase with a spatial conflict simulator and a Bayesian reasoner. The resulting system architecture  
335 is depicted in Figure 1. The BIM authoring and the project management software provide the BIM model and  
336 the work schedule to the spatial conflicts simulator. The latter embeds mechanical physics and carries out  
337 physics simulations and geometric computations. Simulation results are transferred as a list of spatial

338 conflicts to the Bayesian reasoner fed by expert knowledge and sent back to the expert for further  
 339 consideration. At this point, the expert can resolve detected spatial conflicts by carrying out the required  
 340 action, such as updating the BIM model, the work schedule, or workspace size. In Figure 1, solid arcs  
 341 represent the interfaces implemented in this study.



342  
 343

Figure 1. System architecture.

### 344 3.2. Workspace management framework

345 The implementation of the proposed system architecture leads to the definition of a novel workspace  
 346 management framework, described by the Business Process Model (BPM), reported in Figure 2. The top lane  
 347 of the BPM includes the tasks executed by the project management team during the construction planning  
 348 phase, whereas the bottom lanes depict the functioning of the proposed spatial conflict simulator and the  
 349 Bayesian reasoner.

350  
 351  
 352




Figure 2. Overview of the proposed workspace management framework with the implementation of the proposed system architecture (please use color in print).

353 As indicated by the parallel gateway reported at the beginning of the BPM, the construction manager  
354 executes three main tasks in parallel. Green nodes describe the process of loading the BIM model within the  
355 serious gaming environment (i.e., “Load BIM model” task). Blue nodes describe the process of importing the  
356 work schedule in the spatial conflict simulator (i.e., “Import work schedule” task). Orange nodes summarize  
357 the milestones involving expert knowledge formalized in Bayesian networks (BNs). Basically, this expert  
358 knowledge is applied to define the BNs’ structure (i.e., cause-effect relationships between node variables)  
359 and then the conditional probability tables (CPTs) (i.e., “Feed Bayesian network” task).

360 At this point, the spatial conflict simulator can be considered as initialized. Red nodes are related to the  
361 workspaces’ generation and related physics simulations and geometric computation. First, the workspaces  
362 are generated within the serious gaming environment (i.e., “Instantiate workspaces” task), given as inputs  
363 both the BIM model and the work schedule. The instantiated workspaces are the input of the physics  
364 simulations (i.e., “Run physics simulation” task) and geometric computations (i.e., “Run geometric  
365 computation” task). Geometric intersection tests between main workspaces are carried out, considering  
366 them in their static position and then falling down under the law of gravity. As a result, spatial conflicts are  
367 detected and labeled as either “direct” in the first case or “indirect” in the second one. Their criticality level  
368 is computed by the Bayesian reasoner (i.e., “Run Bayesian inference” task) in order to support the project  
369 management team in refining the work schedule. The decision-making process is represented by purple  
370 nodes and the exclusive gateway. The project management team adjusts the work schedule if any non-  
371 negligible spatial interference is detected; otherwise, they can give instructions on the field.

### 372 3.3. Integration with existing technologies

373 One of the key features of the proposed methodology is the integration with existing technologies (Figure 2).  
374 In fact, a BIM model can be generated by using any of the BIM authoring software tools available in the  
375 market (e.g., Autodesk Revit in our implementation) and then exported as an IFC file. Similarly, the work  
376 schedule can be generated by using one of the commercial project management software tools (e.g.,  
377 Microsoft Project in our implementation). A resource-constrained schedule is generated by defining first the  
378 baseline and allocating available resources. Then, the resulting work schedule can be exported into the CSV  
379 or XML format. The information in machine-readable file formats, like IFC, CSV and XML, is used to define the  
380 4D model required to generate workspaces within the proposed spatial conflicts simulator. In Section 4, this  
381 kind of integration is done in a real use case. A BIM model and a work schedule related to the execution of  
382 construction works will be presented.

### 383 3.4. Development of the spatial conflicts simulator

384 The first added value of the proposed approach is the integration of 4D BIM data, provided by commercial  
385 tools, into an environment carrying out physics simulations and geometric computation. The literature  
386 review reported in Section 2.3 indicates serious game engines as a proper technical solution. In fact, game  
387 engines embed mechanical physics and enable the execution of physics simulations and can enhance the  
388 range of spatial conflicts detected by existing commercial tools. Contrarily to the rule-based approach usually  
389 adopted by currently available 4D software, serious game engines enable the adoption of an agent-based  
390 approach to effectively simulate the interaction among involved agents.

391 In this study, the Unity3D™ game engine was chosen to develop the proposed spatial conflicts simulator.  
392 Unity3D™ has been widely adopted by past studies (Section 2.3) and industries beyond video gaming, such  
393 as film, automotive, architecture, engineering, construction, and the United States Armed Forces [91]. This  
394 game engine, supporting C# scripting, ensures the implementation of endless functionalities. The integration  
395 of multiple spatial conflict simulator’s C# scripts with the overall workspace management framework is  
396 depicted in Figure 3. Every task of the Business Process Model, labeled by a squared brackets’ caption,  
397 represents a component of the serious gaming tool. In addition, for each task, input and output are  
398 represented, respectively, by an ingoing and an outgoing arrow.

399 The “File Chooser” C# script (Figure 3), developed in-house by the authors based on the IFC Engine DLL library  
400 [92], enables importing the Building Information Model in IFC format into the gaming environment. The  
401 advantage of importing the IFC model is that topological information, materials properties, and semantic  
402 information are directly applied to the building model in the serious gaming environment. This IFC Loader  
403 models the environment using one of the most powerful techniques in solid modeling: boundary  
404 representation (B-REP). B-REP represents a solid as a collection of connected surface elements, which are the  
405 boundary between solid and non-solid.

406 The “Model Input” C# script imports the works schedule in CSV format to define, along with the building  
407 model, the 4D BIM model. The latter is received as an input by the “Instantiate main workspace from IFC” C#  
408 script to generate main workspaces linked to the work schedule tasks. At this point, the “Intersection test”  
409 C# script uses workspaces-related information to run physics simulations and geometric computations. This  
410 script includes several methods. The “FindSpatialConflict()” (Figure 4 (a)) method carries out a geometric  
411 intersection test between main workspaces in their initial static position and provides a list of so-called  
412 “direct” spatial conflicts. The “FindAllOverlaps()” (Figure 4 (b)) method, instead, carries out a geometric  
413 intersection test during physics simulations in a gravitational environment. The “OnTriggerEnter(Collider  
414 other)” (Figure 4 (c)) method, attached to each main workspace, detects spatial conflicts between the main  
415 workspaces while the physics simulation is running.

416 An application example of the presented spatial conflicts simulator is provided in Section 5.1.

417  
418  
419




Figure 3. Simulation workflow describing the integration of the spatial conflict simulator's C# scripts for Unity3D™ with the overall workspace management framework.

```

FindSpatialConflict()
CREATE empty workspaces array(gameobject)
GET gameobjects having tag equal to "Workspace"
ADD gameobjects having tag equal to "Workspace" to workspaces array
CREATE conflicts dictionary(integer, gameobject array)
GET display conflicts material
FOREACH i-th gameobject in workspaces array
    FOREACH j-th gameobject in workspaces array
        COMPUTE hash sum of i-th gameobject and j-th
        gameobject
            GET i-th crew string
            GET j-th crew string
            IF i-th gameobject is different from j-th
            gameobject AND conflicts dictionary does
            not contain hash sum AND i-th crew string
            is different from j-th crew string AND i-th
            gameobject intersect j-th gameobject
                ADD conflict(hash sum, (i,j)) to conflicts
                dictionary
                SET i-th gameobject material equal to display
                conflicts material
                SET j-th gameobject material equal to display
                conflicts material
            END IF
        END FOREACH
    END FOREACH
END FOREACH
END

FindAllOverlaps()
CREATE empty workspaces array(gameobject)
GET gameobjects having tag equal to "Workspace"
ADD gameobjects having tag equal to "Workspace" to workspaces array
CREATE overlaps dictionary(integer, gameobject array)
GET display conflicts material
GET simulation duration
START COROUTINE PhysicsSimulation()
    FOREACH i-th gameobject in workspaces array
        GET i-th gameobject initial position
        SET Rigidbody useGravity as true
        WAIT for seconds (simulation duration)
        SET Rigidbody useGravity as false
        SET Rigidbody constraints as freezeAll
        SET i-th position equals to initial
        position
    END FOREACH
END COROUTINE

OnTriggerEnter(Collider other)
IF other has tag equals to "Workspace" AND game object crew string
is different from other crew string THEN
    COMPUTE hash sum overlaps of gameobject and other
    CREATE overlaps array(collider) containing gameobjects and
    other colliders
    IF overlaps dictionary does not contain hash sum overlaps
    THEN
        ADD (hash sum overlaps, overlaps array (collider))
    END IF
END IF
END

```

Figure 4. Pseudo-codes of the methods defining the "Intersection test" C# script for Unity3D™.

420

### 421 3.5. Development of the Bayesian reasoner

422 Bayesian networks (BNs) represent a powerful knowledge representation and reasoning tool to visually  
423 model conditional probabilistic relationships among a set of variables [93]. As already mentioned in  
424 Subsection 2.4, they are made of connected nodes and can perform both diagnostics and predictive  
425 reasoning. In this study, the second type of reasoning has been applied. It flows along the path pointing from  
426 new information about causes, that is, evidence included in the network through the instantiation of the set  
427 of query nodes associated with the variables representing causes, towards new beliefs about query nodes,  
428 i.e., the severity of a detected conflict. In fact, as soon as variables are instantiated with new evidence, the  
429 corresponding variables are set at a particular value. For BNs' basics and examples of computing posterior  
430 probability, given conditional probability tables (CPTs), the authors refer to [56,57,93].

431 BNs have many advantages, such as suitability for small and incomplete data sets, the combination of  
432 different sources of knowledge, the ability to model causal relationships among variables, and the explicit  
433 handling of uncertainty for decision analysis [93].

434 In this study, a BN for assessing struck-by hazards of objects that may fall and constitute a threat for laborers  
435 at a lower level is developed. The results of the simulations represent the input of the Bayesian inference  
436 (i.e., "Run Bayesian inference" node). Its role is to estimate the severity of each detected spatial conflict.  
437 Each spatial conflict will be assessed by running Bayesian inference and estimating its criticality level as "low",  
438 "medium", or "high". This approach has the potential to label any detected spatial conflicts in the simulator  
439 that are not critical.

440 The approach adopted here for developing the BN comes from the basic concept presented in [93]. An  
441 accident due to struck-by hazards can be described as originated from a combination of triggering conditions  
442 and acts. An act can be defined as the possibility that whatever element falls to a lower level. The triggering  
443 condition can be defined as the vulnerability of laborers to be hit by elements that may potentially fall down.  
444 This general model is based on a risk factors classification into four levels: external (e.g., factors related to



445 political or external issues), policy (e.g., factors related to contracting strategy, ownership and control, and  
446 construction company culture), organizational (e.g., factors related to site organization and local  
447 management), and direct ones (e.g., factors related to site technicians).

448 The BN depicted in Figure 5 originates from both the basic cause-effect relationship between the event and  
449 triggering acts/conditions and the general BN model introduced by [93]. For simplicity, three out of four risk  
450 factor levels defined in [93] have been considered in this first implementation.




Figure 5. BN, proposed by this study, for assessing the probability that struck-by hazards may occur.

453 Listing the variables of the proposed BN (Figure 5) from the bottom to the top, the first variable is  
 454 “Struck\_by\_hazard”. It models the possibility that laborers in the Exposed-to-Risk-Activities (ETRA)  
 455 workspace (i.e., the lower one) may be struck-by falling objects from the Cause-of-Risk-Activities (CORA)  
 456 workspaces (i.e., the higher one). The “Direct factors” level’s variables are “Falling\_elements” and  
 457 “Vulnerable\_laborers”. According to [93], the first one is the possible occurrence, whereas the second one is  
 458 the triggering condition. The “Organizational factors” level’s variables of the proposed Bayesian network are  
 459 “Construction\_fence”, “Geometric\_spatial\_conflict\_detected”, “CORA\_skilled\_laborers”,  
 460 “ETRA\_skilled\_laborers”, “CORA\_walkable\_surface\_distance”, and “ETRA\_walkable\_surface\_distance”. The  
 461 “External factor” level’s variable of the proposed Bayesian network is “Bad\_weather\_condition”.

462 Once the Bayesian network is defined, it must be trained with data from experts [94]. This process is  
 463 commonly defined elicitation of expert opinion. The authors have carried out this process by filling every CPT  
 464 according to their experience. In order to make the Bayesian inference fully operational, the overall CPTs,  
 465 reported in Table 2, are obtained by averaging the probability density functions provided by each author  
 466 during the survey. These values, representing the authors’ knowledge, are assumed only for validation  
 467 purposes and do not have to be considered as the unique possible configuration. For a reading example of  
 468 CPTs, reported in Table 2, the reader is referred to [38].

469 Once the Bayesian network is trained, it is implemented in the serious game engine Unity3D™ by developing  
 470 the “Struck by hazard BN” C# script (Figure 3). The script automatically gets the results of geometric  
 471 computations and physics simulations from the spatial conflict simulator and updates the criticality levels of  
 472 spatial conflicts. In this study, the commercial Discrete Bayesian Network library [95] for Unity3D™ is applied  
 473 to implement the struck-by hazard BN in the serious gaming environment. The “Struck By Hazard BN” C#  
 474 script (Figure 3) implements the developed Bayesian network and the methods for carrying out physical  
 475 simulations and getting the Bayesian network variables’ evidence.

476 An example of the presented Bayesian reasoner is provided in Section 5.2.

477 *Table 2. CPTs, obtained as the average of the authors’ ones, corresponding to each child node: (a) “Falling\_elements”, (b)*  
 478 *“Vulnerable\_laborers”, and (c) “Struck\_by\_hazards”.*

Falling_elements																	
CORA_skilled_laborers		False								True							
Bad_weather_condition		False				True				False				True			
CORA_walkable_surface_distance		0-2	2-inf		0-2	2-inf		0-2	2-inf		0-2	2-inf		0-2	2-inf		
Construction_fence		False	True	False	True	False	True	False	True	False	True	False	True	False	True	False	True
False		0.1	0.8	0.8	0.9	0	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.2	0.9	0.9	1	0.2	0.8	0.8	0.9
True		0.9	0.2	0.2	0.1	1	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.8	0.1	0.1	0	0.8	0.2	0.2	0.1
(a)																	
Vulnerable_laborers																	
Construction_fence		False					True										
ETRA_walkable_surface_distance		0-2		2-inf			0-2		2-inf								
ETRA_skilled_laborers		False	True	False	True	False	True	False	True	False	True						
False		0.05	0.15	0.75	0.85	0.75	0.85	0.85	0.95								
True		0.95	0.85	0.25	0.15	0.25	0.15	0.15	0.05								
(b)																	
Struck_by_hazard																	
Geometric_spatial_conflict_detected		False					True										

Vulnerable_laborers	False		True		False		True	
Falling_elements	False	True	False	True	False	True	False	True
High	0	0	0	0.1	0.1	0.33	0.33	1
Medium	0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.33	0.33	0
Low	1	0.9	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.33	0.33	0


(c)

#### 479 4. Use case

480 The workspace management framework, presented in Section 3.2, has been tested on the management of  
 481 the construction of a public building (known as Eustachio), which hosts the Faculty of Medicine in the campus  
 482 of the Polytechnic University of Marche (Figure 6 (a)). This building is located in the town of Ancona (Italy).  
 483 The building is arranged on six floors above ground, it has an area of 16,900 m<sup>2</sup>, and it is devoted to  
 484 classrooms, offices, laboratories, a library, and other faculty-related activities. It dates back to the nineties  
 485 and comprises two longitudinal blocks, whose longer sides are the main facades, facing north and south.

486 The technical and project documents necessary to develop the BIM model and a resource-constrained work  
 487 schedule were made available for this study. A 3D view of the resulting BIM model is depicted in Figure 6 (b).  
 488 The overall work schedule includes works related to the installation of precast elements, like pillars and  
 489 facades, and the execution of industrial flooring. For simplicity, three crews, one for each work category,  
 490 have been assumed. Crews composition and productivities have been derived from one of the most complete  
 491 Italian price lists for public tenders (i.e., the Florence price list), rectified according to data provided by RS  
 492 Means [96]. Quantities for each work have been computed according to the BIM model. At this point, the  
 493 duration of each activity is computed by multiplying productivity and quantity. Afterward, the automatic  
 494 leveling function and a final manual adjustment were executed in Microsoft Project.

495 For this demonstrator, a time span as long as two days (i.e., from May 27<sup>th</sup> at midnight until May 29<sup>th</sup>  
 496 at midnight), highlighted in yellow in Figure 7, was considered. During those days, four activities were planned:  
 497 the installation of pillars and facades on the north wing and the execution of two portions of industrial  
 498 flooring.



499 *Figure 6. Real (a) and BIM (b) view of the Eustachio building, located in Ancona (Italy).*

500

ID	Task Name	Duration	Start	Finish	24 May '21															
					M	T	W	T	F	S	S	M								
6	Install 3rd-level north-wing E-alignment pillars	2 days	Thu 27/05/21	Fri 28/05/21																
18	Install 3rd-level north-wing north facades	4 days	Tue 25/05/21	Fri 28/05/21																
36	Place ground-level north-wing part 3-4 industrial flooring	1 day	Thu 27/05/21	Thu 27/05/21																
40	Place ground-level north-wing part 4-5 industrial flooring	1 day	Fri 28/05/21	Fri 28/05/21																

501

502  
503

Figure 7. Excerpt of the overall work schedule reporting the activities scheduled on the selected working days (please use color in print).

### 504 5. Running the serious gaming tool

505 The developed serious gaming tool (Section 3.4) was regulated by the information model reported in Figure  
506 8. The Entity Relationship Diagram (ERD) notation adopted for the model representation makes it possible to  
507 express the cardinality of relationships between each pair of entities by the symbols at the ends of the links  
508 (e.g., one or many to one or many). The different colors in Figure 8 are referred to different entity domains,  
509 such as the BIM model (green), work schedule (blue), main workspaces and spatial conflicts (red), and  
510 Bayesian inference (orange).

511

512





Figure 8. ERD describing the information model that regulates the developed serious gaming tool.

513 **5.1. The spatial conflicts simulator**

514 In the developed simulator, the execution of spatial-temporal analysis starts with the definition of the 4D  
 515 BIM model by loading the IFC model of the building assumed as the use case onto Unity3D™ (Section 4) along  
 516 with the CSV-formatted work schedule. These simulation steps are enabled by the “File Chooser” and “Model  
 517 Input” C# scripts, respectively (Figure 3). In the 4D BIM model, 3D geometric data from the BIM model are  
 518 linked to temporal data provided by the work schedule. This is shown by the information model (Figure 8),  
 519 where each “4D model entity” corresponds to one activity (i.e., “Activity name”) and includes one or more  
 520 produced building elements (i.e., “Product tag”). Each building element, defined by the loaded IFC model, is  
 521 represented by the “building element” entity, whereas each activity, defined in the work schedule, is  
 522 represented by the “activity” entity (Figure 8).


523 At this point, the main workspaces can be generated within Unity3D™ (Figure 9). This simulation step is  
 524 enabled by the “Instantiate main workspace from IFC” C# script (Figure 3). A main workspace is obtained by  
 525 merging the main workspace units, instantiated for each one of the building elements (e.g., a pillar)  
 526 associated with the considered activity (e.g., installing an alignment of pillars). This is shown by the  
 527 information model (Figure 8), where the “Main workspace” entity is defined by merging one or more “Main  
 528 workspace unit” produced by the considered activity. Each main workspace unit is instantiated in the  
 529 geometric center of the corresponding building element. The main workspace unit dimensions are obtained  
 530 by expanding the ones of the considered building element of a given quantity, defined as “Main Workspace  
 531 Offset Array”, and set by default as 1 meter (Figure 9). These parameters can be customized by the user if a  
 532 bigger main workspace for operational or safety purposes is required. According to this, the information  
 533 model reports the “Main workspace unit” entity, that is, the workspace generated for each building element,  
 534 including the “Offset” parameter.



535  
 536 *Figure 9. Main workspaces generated assuming the “Main Workspace Offset Array” values filled by default with the 1-meter offset*  
 537 *in all three directions (please use color in print).*

538 Once main workspaces are instantiated, “direct” spatial conflicts can be detected by carrying out geometric  
 539 intersection tests among workspaces in their initial static position, inherited from the corresponding building  
 540 elements. This simulation step is executed by clicking on the “Find Geometric Spatial Conflict” button (Figure  
 541 10) implemented by the “Intersection test” C# script (Figure 3). A spatial conflict is detected between two  
 542 given workspaces only if their boundaries intersect each other and are assigned to different crews. The

543 developed tool displays a detected spatial conflict by changing the color of the relevant main workspaces  
544 from green (Figure 9) to red (Figure 10). In addition, a message reporting the pairs of the conflicting main  
545 workspaces is printed in the Unity3D™ console.




546

547 *Figure 10. "Direct" spatial conflicts detected by geometric intersection tests, triggered by the "Find Geometric Spatial Conflicts"*  
548 *button showing conflicts in red and associated message (please use color in print).*

549 As already mentioned, due to the construction site dynamics, "direct" spatial conflicts do not include the  
550 totality of spatial issues affecting a construction site. To make an example, main workspaces superimposed  
551 at different heights, also if not intersecting each other, can be affected by spatial conflicts. In fact, objects  
552 involved in the construction process may fall from the main workspace at higher levels and hit laborers  
553 working at lower levels. In order to consider this set of conflict scenarios, the proposed tool can carry out  
554 physical simulations of main workspaces and detect related spatial conflicts. These spatial conflicts are  
555 labeled as "indirect", meaning that they cannot be directly detected simply by conducting a geometric  
556 intersection test among workspaces in their initial static position. On the contrary, virtual physics simulations  
557 must be executed to consider "possible" future workspace configurations. In practice, each game object  
558 representing a main workspace is let fall down, according to the gravity law, to check if it hits, during the fall,  
559 any other main workspace(s) below assigned to another crew. The probability of "indirect" spatial conflicts  
560 that virtually occur must be assessed (Section 5.2) since we cannot state for certain if they occur in reality.  
561 The developed tool displays the detected spatial conflicts by changing the color of the main workspaces  
562 involved from green to red (Figure 11). In addition, a message reporting the pairs of the conflicting main  
563 workspaces is printed in the Unity3D™ console (Figure 11). This simulation step is executed by clicking on  
564 the "Find Workspace Overlaps By Physics Simulation" button (Figure 11) implemented by the "Intersection  
565 Test" C# script (Figure 3).

566 In the information model, both "direct" and "indirect" spatial conflicts are represented by the "Spatial  
567 conflict" entity, which includes the "Workspace ID1" and "Workspace ID2" parameters, inherited from the  
568 conflicting "Main workspace" entities' "ID" (Figure 8).





569


570

Figure 11. "Indirect" spatial conflicts detected by geometric intersection tests during physics simulations.

## 571 5.2. The integrated Bayesian network

572 The criticality of detected "indirect" spatial conflicts, introduced in the previous Subsection 5.1, is assessed  
 573 using the developed struck-by hazard Bayesian network (BN) (Section 3.5). In each "indirect" spatial conflict,  
 574 a pair of main workspaces is involved. The one having the highest initial position is the main workspace from  
 575 which falling objects may cause struck-by hazards. This workspace, being the source of the struck-by hazard,  
 576 can be defined as the "Cause-of-Risk Activities" (CORA) workspace. The other one in the pair, placed at the  
 577 lowest initial position, is the main workspace where falling objects can hit laborers. This workspace is defined  
 578 as the "Exposed-to-Risk Activities" (ETRA) workspace. The information model (Figure 8) maps this  
 579 classification, including, within the "Struck-by hazard" entity, both the "CORA workspace" and "ETRA  
 580 workspace" parameters.

581 The developed struck-by hazard BN (Section 3.5) is implemented within Unity3D™ by the "Struck-by hazard  
 582 BN" C# script (Figure 3). In this way, the results of physical simulations and geometric computations, executed  
 583 in the serious gaming environment, can automatically feed the states of the BN's variables. These simulation  
 584 steps are triggered by clicking on the buttons numbered from "1." to "5." in Figure 12.



585

586


Figure 12. Front end of the “Struck By Hazard BN” component after including the BN evidence.

587 If at least one possible spatial conflict has been detected by physical simulations, the “Geom\_confl\_string”  
 588 variable state will be set to “true”, otherwise “false” (Figure 12).

589 The “Bad\_we\_cond\_string” variable state will be filled as “true” if bad weather conditions are expected  
 590 according to the weather forecast; otherwise “false” (Figure 12). This functionality was implemented using  
 591 the commercial Real-time Weather tool for Unity3D™ [97].

592 The “CORA\_skil\_lab\_string” and “ETRA\_skil\_lab\_string” variables states will be filled with a “true” or “false”  
 593 state if the majority of the laborers constituting the crew are skilled or not (Figure 12). This information is  
 594 obtained from the crews’ information included in the resource-constrained work schedule.

595 The “Constr\_fence\_string” variable state will be filled with a “true” state if any barrier that can protect the  
 596 laborers at the lower workspace (i.e., ETRA workspace) from falling objects exists (Figure 12). For this  
 597 purpose, avatars are instantiated in random positions within the higher workspace (i.e., CORA workspace)  
 598 and able to wander and check if they can fall down or not (Figure 13). These avatars are defined in Unity3D™  
 599 as spheres having the same physical properties (e.g., mass, drag, etc.) as objects involved in the construction  
 600 process. If they hit a thin plastic sheeting placed as a barrier against dust, they will break through it;  
 601 otherwise, they will be blocked if they hit a barrier made of bricks or concrete. So, if none of the instantiated  
 602 avatars hit the lower workspace (e.g., ETRA workspace), the serious gaming tool deduces the presence of a  
 603 barrier that protects the ETRA workspace and the “Constr\_fence\_string” variable state is set as “true”,  
 604 otherwise “false” (Figure 12).




605

606  
607

Figure 13. Instantiation of spherical random wandering avatars for checking the presence of any barrier protecting ETRA workspaces.

608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623


Finally, the “COR<sub>A</sub>\_walk\_surf\_dist\_string” and “ETRA\_walk\_surf\_dist\_string” variables states will be filled with “0-2” or “2-inf”. The first state means that the walkable surface limit is closer than 2 meters from the edge of the higher walkable element, whereas the second is farther than 2 meters (Figure 12). The distance between the walkable surface’s limit and the slab edge was determined using geometric computations using the Recast graph provided by the A\* Pathfinding tool for Unity3D™ [98]. Generating a Recast graph means voxelizing the world, that is, constructing an approximation of the world out of lots of boxes. The walkable surfaces are automatically peeled off from the regions by first tracing the boundaries and then simplifying them. In Figure 14, the green area is the walkable surface on the slab where the COR<sub>A</sub> workspace is placed. In the same Figure 14, the automatic computation of the “COR<sub>A</sub> Walkable Surface Distance” is depicted. This distance is computed as the distance between the walkable limit on the COR<sub>A</sub> slab (i.e., “COR<sub>A</sub> Walkable Surface Limit”) and the edge of the COR<sub>A</sub> slab (i.e., “COR<sub>A</sub> Slab Edge”). In Figure 15, the pink area represents the walkable surface on the slab where the ETRA workspace is placed. In the same Figure 15, the automatic computation of the “ETRA Walkable Surface Distance” is depicted. This distance is computed as the distance between the walkable limit on the ETRA slab (i.e., “ETRA Walkable Surface Limit”) and the orthogonal projection of the “COR<sub>A</sub> Slab Edge” on it (i.e., “COR<sub>A</sub> Slab Edge Orthogonal Projection”). The distances computed within Unity3D™ are reported in Figure 14 and Figure 15.



624

625

Figure 14. Automatic geometric computation of the “CORA Walkable Surface Distance” made by the serious gaming tool.



626

627

Figure 15. Automatic geometric computation of the “ETRA Walkable Surface Distance” made by the serious gaming tool.

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

Once evidence for all variables is obtained, the Bayesian inference is triggered by clicking on the “Run BN Inference” button. As a result, the probability values for all the three states of the “Struck-by hazard” variable, namely “High\_struck\_by\_hazard\_prob”, “Medium\_struck\_by\_hazard\_prob”, and “Low\_struck\_by\_hazard\_prob” are provided (Figure 12). In Figure 12, the higher value is computed for the “High\_struck\_by\_hazard\_prob” (i.e., 78%), indicating that, given the states of the variable, the corresponding scenario can be effectively considered critical. Therefore, the construction management team can benefit from the contribution given by this decision support system (DSS) during the refinement process of the work schedule.

636 **6. Implementation and comparison of the proposed tool**

637 The developed spatial conflict simulator (Sections 3 and 5) was compared with the current practice adopted  
 638 by professionals for managing workspaces. That was done by comparing the proposed workspace  
 639 management framework (referred to as the “Enhanced” approach) with the most advanced approaches  
 640 currently applied by professionals for managing workspaces (referred to as “Benchmark” approaches). In  
 641 particular, the “Navisworks Benchmark” identifies the one based on the commercial 4D BIM software  
 642 Autodesk Navisworks, whereas the “Synchro Benchmark” approach identifies the one based on the  
 643 application of the commercial 4D BIM software Synchro 4D Pro. Four experiments have been carried out  
 644 considering the use case described in Section 4 and a time window of as long as two working days (i.e., May  
 645 27<sup>th</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup>), highlighted in yellow in Figure 7. (Table 3). The “Navisworks Benchmark” and “Synchro  
 646 Benchmark” approaches have been tested on the Standard BIM model (i.e., experiments no. 1 and 2 in Table  
 647 3). The “Enhanced” approach was tested both on the “Standard” and “Modified” BIM model (i.e.,  
 648 experiments no. 3 and 4 in Table 3). Further details are provided in sub-sections 6.1, 6.2, and 6.3.

649 Table 3 shows the functionalities implemented by the considered tools. In the “Navisworks Benchmark”  
 650 approach, Autodesk Navisworks enables loading the BIM model and construction schedule and carrying out  
 651 geometric intersection tests. In the “Synchro Benchmark” approach, Synchro 4D Pro allows the manual  
 652 definition of main workspaces. Finally, in the “Enhanced” approach, the proposed tool enables the execution  
 653 of physics simulations and Bayesian inference.

654 *Table 3. Overview of the main differences between the four experiments.*

Experiment No.	Approach	Construction schedule	BIM model	Tool functionalities				
				Loading BIM model and construction schedule	Generating main workspaces	Carrying out geometric intersection tests	Carrying out physics simulations	Running Bayesian network
1	Navisworks Benchmark	May 27 <sup>th</sup> and 28 <sup>th</sup>	Standard					
2	Synchro Benchmark							
3	Enhanced (proposed tool)							
4	Enhanced (proposed tool)		Modified					


655 **6.1. The “Navisworks Benchmark” approach**

656 Experiment no. 1 was carried out by applying the “Navisworks Benchmark” approach, corresponding to the  
 657 one applied by professionals to detect spatial conflicts using Autodesk Navisworks.

658 First, the IFC model of the use case presented in Section 4 was loaded within Autodesk Navisworks. Then, the  
 659 work schedule was imported in CSV format by clicking on the “Add” button under the “Data Sources” tab of  
 660 the TimeLiner.

661 In order to simulate the same working days chosen for the use case (Section 4), the following time interval  
662 has been selected in the “Simulate” tab of the TimeLiner: from May 27<sup>th</sup> at midnight until May 29<sup>th</sup> at  
663 midnight (Figure 16 (a)).

664 In the Clash Detective window, a new test was added by selecting all the available sets (each set corresponds  
665 to an activity in the schedule) both in “Selection A” and “Selection B”. This enabled to check for conflicts by  
666 considering all the possible pairs of sets (i.e., activities) (Figure 16 (b)). Then, a “Clearance” type with 2 meters  
667 “Tolerance” was set to apply the equivalent offset value of 1 meter used as the default value in the serious  
668 gaming tool (Section 5.1). A “Clearance” clash, in Navisworks, was defined as the one in which “the geometry  
669 of Selection A may or may not intersect that of Selection B, but comes within a distance of less than the set  
670 tolerance” [99]. On the contrary, in the developed serious gaming tool, the offset was applied to the border  
671 of each element. Finally, the TimeLiner “Link” was selected to carry out a spatial-temporal analysis within the  
672 TimeLiner interval set above (Figure 16 (b)). Finally, the test was launched by clicking on the “Run Test”  
673 button. The outcome is shown in Figure 16 (b).



674 *Figure 16. Setting the “Simulation Settings” (a) and the “Clash Detective” (b) parameters within Autodesk Navisworks.*

## 675 6.2. The “Synchro Benchmark” approach

676 Experiment no. 2 has been carried out by applying the “Synchro Benchmark” approach, corresponding to the  
677 one applied by professionals to detect spatial conflicts using Synchro 4D Pro.


678 First, the IFC model of the use case, presented in Section 4, was loaded within Synchro 4D Pro. Then, the  
679 work schedule was imported in XML format by clicking on the “Import” button under the “File” section in the  
680 main window.

681 Then workspaces were generated (Figure 17 (a)), for each scheduled activity (Figure 7), by setting an offset  
682 equal to 1 m, as described in Section 5.1. This task has been fulfilled by selecting the building elements  
683 produced by each activity and clicking on the “Bounding Box” button of the “Create Workspace” function,  
684 located under the “3D” tab.

685 In the “Dynamic Clash Detection” window, a new “New Spatial Test” was added (Figure 17 (b)). In the same  
686 window, in order to simulate the same working days chosen for the use case (Section 4), the “Time range”

687 option was selected, and the following time interval was set: from May 27<sup>th</sup> at midnight until May 29<sup>th</sup> at  
 688 midnight (Figure 17 (b)). Then, an “Hard” clash type test that looks for elements overlapping by more than a  
 689 specified “Tolerance” distance equal to 0 mm was selected.

690 Finally, the generated workspaces were selected in the “3D Objects” window (Figure 17 (a)), and the spatial-  
 691 temporal analysis was run by clicking on the “Run Test” function related to the set “New Spatial Test”. The  
 692 obtained results are shown in Figure 17 (c).



(a)

(b)

(c)

693 Figure 17. Generating workspaces (a) and setting “Dynamic Clash Detection” parameters (b) to detect spatial conflicts within  
 694 Synchro 4D Pro (c).

### 695 6.3. The “Enhanced” approach

696 Experiments no. 3 and 4 were carried out with reference to the use case and working days presented in  
 697 Section 4 by executing the simulation steps described in Section 5.1. As a result, “direct” and “indirect” spatial  
 698 conflicts were identified. Then, the criticality levels of the latter category were computed by running the  
 699 struck-by hazards Bayesian network (BN) (Section 5.2).

700 In order to stress the contribution given by the Bayesian inference, the spatial conflict simulator was first  
 701 tested on the “Standard” BIM model of the use case (Figure 18 (a)) and then on the “Modified” BIM model  
 702 (Figure 18 (b)). The latter was obtained by removing some of the openings on the 3<sup>rd</sup> level north façade to  
 703 give it the function of a construction fence that can protect laborers below from likely falling objects. The aim  
 704 of this scenario was to demonstrate that the struck-by hazard BN can automatically catch this information  
 705 from the serious gaming environment and fire the “Construction\_fence” variable’s evidence accordingly.  
 706 Therefore, a different criticality level than in the Enhanced scenario has been provided.




Figure 18. Views of the “Standard” (a) and “Modified” (b) BIM models.

707

## 708 7. Results and discussion

709 This section summarizes the results from the implementation and comparison of the proposed tool (Table  
 710 4). The spatial-temporal analysis carried out according to the “Navisworks Benchmark” approach (i.e.,  
 711 experiment no. 1 described in Section 6.1) detected 58 spatial conflicts (Figure 16 (b), Table 4), whereas the  
 712 “Synchro Benchmark” approach (i.e., experiment no. 2 described in Section 6.2) detected 1 spatial conflict  
 713 (Figure 17 (c), Table 4).

714 The spatial-temporal analysis carried out according to the “Enhanced” approach detected 1 “direct” and 4  
 715 “indirect” spatial conflicts for both the “Standard” and “Modified” BIM models (i.e., experiments no. 3 and 4  
 716 in Section 6.3). In Table 4, the last column reports the criticality levels of the struck-by hazard BN for each  
 717 “indirect” spatial conflict. As far as the “Standard” model is considered (i.e., experiment no. 3), the Bayesian  
 718 inference provides a “high” criticality level. Table 5 summarizes the results of the Bayesian inference for the  
 719 “Enhanced” approach, considering the “Standard” and the “Modified” BIM models. As reported in Table 5,  
 720 the “high” state of the “Struck\_by\_hazard” variable has the highest probability value for each “indirect”  
 721 spatial conflict (e.g., 78%). When the “Modified” BIM model is considered, the Bayesian inference provides  
 722 a “low” criticality level. As reported in Table 5, the “low” state of the “Struck\_by\_hazard” variable has the  
 723 highest probability value for each “indirect” spatial conflict (e.g., 57%).

724 In the “Navisworks Benchmark” approach (i.e., experiment no. 1), only 15 out of 58 spatial conflicts (i.e., with  
 725 ID from N.44 to N.58 in Table 4) are actual spatial conflicts and correspond to the “direct” spatial conflicts  
 726 detected by “Enhanced” approach (i.e., with E.1 in Table 4). Hence, only about 25% of the detected spatial  
 727 conflicts are “true positive”. More spatial conflicts in the “Navisworks Benchmark” approach correspond to  
 728 anyone in the “Enhanced” approach. This occurs because workspaces are not considered in the first case,  
 729 and a spatial conflict is detected when two building elements are closer than a given minimum threshold,  
 730 called “tolerance value”. The rest of the spatial conflicts (i.e., with ID from N.1 to N.43 in Table 4),  
 731 corresponding to about 75% of the total, are “false positive”. This shows that the “Navisworks Benchmark”  
 732 approach overestimates the results. In fact, in the “Navisworks Benchmark” approach, any building element  
 733 closer than the given threshold to any other building element is detected as a conflict. Hence, although  
 734 Autodesk Navisworks can effectively check clashes between building elements, it cannot properly be applied  
 735 for checking spatial interferences between activities’ workspaces.

736 In the “Synchro Benchmark” approach (i.e., experiment no. 2), only a spatial conflict (i.e., with IDs S.1 in Table  
 737 4) corresponding to the “direct” spatial conflict “Enhanced” approach (i.e., with E.1 in Table 4) was detected.  
 738 The “Enhanced” approach (i.e., experiments no. 3 and 4) detected 4 additional “indirect” spatial conflicts by  
 739 integrating physics simulations and geometric computations. The “Enhanced” approach can apply Bayesian  
 740 inference to consider the related criticality level for those conflicts. In the case of the “Standard” BIM model  
 741 (i.e., experiment no. 3), the “high” state of the “Struck\_by\_hazard” variable has the highest probability value



742 for each “indirect” spatial conflict (e.g., 78%). Therefore, according to the proposed workspace management  
 743 framework (Section 3.2), the construction management team must adjust the work schedule to resolve all  
 744 the 5 detected spatial conflicts having IDs from E.1 to E.5 (Table 4). On the contrary, in the case in which the  
 745 “Modified” BIM model is considered, the “low” state of the “Struck\_by\_hazard” variable has the highest  
 746 probability value for each “indirect” spatial conflict (e.g., 57%). This means that the construction  
 747 management team must adjust the work schedule to resolve only the “direct” spatial conflict having E.1 as  
 748 ID (Table 4).

749 *Table 4. Overview of the results from the experiments.*

Experiment no.	Approach	Pairs of element IDs involved in the spatial conflicts detected by only geometric computation		Pairs of element IDs involved in the spatial conflicts detected by physics simulations and geometric computation			Criticality level
		ID		ID			
1	Navisworks Benchmark	N.1	195809	1226040	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.2	195809	1226040	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.3	759850	760059	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.4	760059	1226040	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.5	195927	760059	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.6	195927	639149	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.7	195821	1224989	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.8	195821	1224989	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.9	195821	1224989	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.10	195821	1224989	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.11	760059	1225516	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.12	1225516	1225516	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.13	195809	760059	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.14	1226040	1226040	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.15	1227080	1225516	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.16	639149	1226040	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.17	639149	1227080	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.18	639149	760059	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.19	1224989	760059	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.20	1225516	639149	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.21	1225516	1224989	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.22	1225516	1226040	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.23	759850	1226040	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.24	759850	1226040	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.25	1225516	760059	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.26	195809	760059	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.27	195797	1225516	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.28	195797	1225516	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.29	1225516	1226040	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.30	1225516	1226040	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.31	1225516	1224989	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.32	1225516	1224989	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.33	760059	1224989	n/a	n/a	n/a
		N.34	759850	1224989	n/a	n/a	n/a

N.35	759850	639149	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
N.36	195797	760059	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
N.37	195797	759850	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
N.38	1227080	1227080	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
N.39	1227080	1227080	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
N.40	1227080	1226040	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
N.41	1227080	1226040	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
N.42	195785	1226040	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
N.43	195785	1226040	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
N.44	213613	1227080	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
N.45	213681	1227080	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
N.46	213565	760059	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
N.47	213649	760059	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
N.48	213589	760059	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
N.49	213601	760059	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
N.50	213553	760059	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
N.51	213541	760059	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
N.52	213541	760059	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
N.53	213661	760059	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
N.54	213577	639149	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
N.55	213683	760059	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
N.56	213625	760059	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
N.57	213637	760059	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
N.58	213661	759850	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Experiment no.	Approach	ID	Pairs of workspace names involved in the spatial conflicts detected by only geometric computation	ID	Pairs of workspace names involved in the spatial conflicts detected by physics simulations and geometric computation	Criticality level
----------------	----------	----	---	----	--	-------------------

2	Synchro Benchmark	S.1.	Install 3rd-level north-wing E-alignment pillars	Install 3rd-level north-wing north facades	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
---	-------------------	------	--	--	-----	-----	-----	-----

Experiment no.	Approach	ID	Pairs of workspace names involved in the spatial conflicts detected by only geometric computation	ID	Pairs of workspace names involved in the spatial conflicts detected by physics simulations and geometric computation	Criticality level
----------------	----------	----	---	----	--	-------------------

3	Enhanced (proposed tool)	E.1	Install 3rd-level north-wing E-alignment pillars	Install 3rd-level north-wing north facades	E.2	Place ground-level north-wing part 3-4 industrial flooring	Install 3rd-level north-wing E-alignment pillars	High (78%)
					E.3	Place ground-level north-wing part 4-5 industrial flooring	Install 3rd-level north-wing E-alignment pillars	High (78%)
					E.4	Place ground-level north-wing part 3-4 industrial flooring	Install 3rd-level north-wing north facades	High (78%)
					E.5	Place ground-level north-wing part 4-5 industrial flooring	Install 3rd-level north-wing north facades	High (78%)

Experiment no.	Approach	ID	Pairs of workspace names involved in the spatial conflicts detected by only geometric computation	ID	Pairs of workspace names involved in the spatial conflicts detected by physics simulations and geometric computation	Criticality level
----------------	----------	----	---	----	--	-------------------

4	Enhanced (proposed tool)	E.1.	Install 3rd-level north-wing E-alignment pillars	Install 3rd-level north-wing north facades	E.6	Place ground-level north-wing part 3-4 industrial flooring	Install 3rd-level north-wing E-alignment pillars	Low (57%)
					E.7	Place ground-level north-wing part 4-5 industrial flooring	Install 3rd-level north-wing E-alignment pillars	Low (57%)
					E.8	Place ground-level north-wing part 3-4 industrial flooring	Install 3rd-level north-wing north facades	Low (57%)
					E.9	Place ground-level north-wing part 4-5 industrial flooring	Install 3rd-level north-wing north facades	Low (57%)

750

751

Table 5. Bayesian inference results for the “Enhanced” approach, considering the “Standard” and the “Modified” BIM models.

Variable	Variables states for each "indirect" spatial conflict				Variables states for each "indirect" spatial conflict				
	Experiment no. 3				Experiment no. 4				
	E.2	E.3	E.4	E.5	E.6	E.7	E.8	E.9	
Bad_weather_condition	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	
CORA_walkable_surface_distance	0-2	0-2	0-2	0-2	0-2	0-2	0-2	0-2	
CORA_skilled_laborers	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	
Construction_fence	False	False	False	False	True	True	True	True	
Geometric_spatial_conflict_detected	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	
ETRA_walkable_surface_distance	0-2	0-2	0-2	0-2	0-2	0-2	0-2	0-2	
ETRA_skilled_laborers	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	True	
Struck_by_hazard	High	78%	78%	78%	78%	19%	19%	19%	19%
	Medium	10%	10%	10%	10%	23%	23%	23%	23%
	Low	11%	11%	11%	11%	57%	57%	57%	57%

752

## 8. Conclusions and outlook

753

Much effort has been spent to date by researchers in workspace management. As reported in Section 2, the main gaps existing in the literature point out the need to consider the construction site dynamics and filter non-critical scenarios among pure geometric spatial conflicts.

754

755

756

In order to cover these gaps, this study proposes a workspace management framework that integrates the work scheduling phase with a spatial conflict simulator and a Bayesian reasoner. The simulator and the reasoner have been developed using serious game engine technology, namely Unity3D™. Thanks to this technological solution, potential spatial interferences can be detected based on given geometric and semantic information stored in the BIM model and construction process data included in the work schedule. Using game engine technology, geometric and physics simulations can be carried out to anticipate likely future scenarios. Contrarily to the rule-based approach adopted by currently available 4D tools, the proposed spatial conflict simulator, embodying an agent-based approach, can effectively simulate the interaction among involved agents. Hence, in addition to interferences between static workspaces, other “indirect” spatial conflicts (e.g., struck-by hazards) can be detected by simulating the physical behavior of objects moving (or dropping down) within corresponding workspaces, eventually retrieving intersections that could fall outside their volumes. In addition, to avoid overestimations, the criticality levels of “indirect” spatial conflicts are considered by running a BN, whose variables’ states are automatically fed by the simulation data provided by the serious gaming tool (Section 5.2).

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

The proposed approach (i.e., “Enhanced” approach) has been tested on a real use case and compared with two benchmarks referring to the most popular 4D BIM tools, namely Autodesk Navisworks (i.e., “Navisworks

771

772 Benchmark” approach) and Synchro 4D Pro (i.e., “Synchro Benchmark” approach). The experiments showed  
773 that the “Enhanced” approach can detect more spatial conflicts and more accurately by combining geometric  
774 computations and physics simulations and filtering those with low criticality levels. In fact, the “Enhanced”  
775 approach detected 1 “direct” and 4 “indirect” spatial conflicts. In the same scenario, the “Navisworks  
776 Benchmark” approach detected 58 spatial conflicts, of which only 25% were relevant and corresponded to  
777 the “direct” conflict detected by the “Enhanced” approach. The “Synchro Benchmark” approach, instead,  
778 detected only 1 spatial conflict corresponding to the “direct” one detected by the “Enhanced” approach. This  
779 makes the proposed approach relevant for the construction management team in making informed decisions  
780 during the refinement process of the work schedule.

781 Further development of the proposed workspace management framework will focus on the refinement  
782 process of the work schedule, given the list of detected spatial conflicts. In this regard, future studies will  
783 investigate a system able to support managers in minimizing spatial conflicts, providing them with  
784 implications for schedule and cost variations.

## 785 Acknowledgments

786 The research reported in this paper was undertaken during the research stay of the corresponding author at  
787 the New York University Abu Dhabi (NYUAD). This research stay was partially funded by the Graduate and  
788 Postdoctoral Programs at New York University Abu Dhabi (NYUAD) and the Ph.D. Programs in Civil,  
789 Environmental, Building and Architecture at the Polytechnic University of Marche (UNIVPM).

## 790 References

- 791 [1] A. Mirzaei, F. Nasirzadeh, M. Parchami Jalal, Y. Zamani, 4D-BIM Dynamic Time–Space Conflict  
792 Detection and Quantification System for Building Construction Projects, *J. Constr. Eng. Manag.* 144  
793 (2018) 04018056. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)co.1943-7862.0001504](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)co.1943-7862.0001504).
- 794 [2] A. Hosny, M. Nik-Bakht, O. Moselhi, Workspace planning in construction: non-deterministic factors,  
795 *Autom. Constr.* 116 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2020.103222>.
- 796 [3] H. Ma, H. Zhang, P. Chang, 4D-Based Workspace Conflict Detection in Prefabricated Building  
797 Constructions, *J. Constr. Eng. Manag.* 146 (2020) 04020112. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)co.1943-7862.0001883](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)co.1943-7862.0001883).
- 799 [4] X. Su, H. Cai, Life Cycle Approach to Construction Workspace Modeling and Planning, *J. Constr. Eng.*  
800 *Manag.* 140 (2014) 04014019. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)co.1943-7862.0000855](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)co.1943-7862.0000855).
- 801 [5] M. Kassem, N. Dawood, R. Chavada, Construction workspace management within an Industry  
802 Foundation Class-Compliant 4D tool, *Autom. Constr.* 52 (2015) 42–58.  
803 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2015.02.008>.
- 804 [6] A. Hosny, M. Nik-Bakht, O. Moselhi, Workspace management on construction jobsites: An industry  
805 survey, *Proceedings, Annu. Conf. - Can. Soc. Civ. Eng.* 2019-June (2019) 1–9.
- 806 [7] S.R. Sanders, *An Analysis of factors Affecting Labor Productivity in Masonry Construction*, (1989).
- 807 [8] S. Zhang, J. Teizer, N. Pradhananga, C.M. Eastman, Workforce location tracking to model, visualize  
808 and analyze workspace requirements in building information models for construction safety  
809 planning, *Autom. Constr.* 60 (2015) 74–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2015.09.009>.
- 810 [9] Z. Mallasi, Dynamic quantification and analysis of the construction workspace congestion utilising 4D  
811 visualisation, *Autom. Constr.* 15 (2006) 640–655. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2005.08.005>.
- 812 [10] K.W. Chau, M. Anson, J.P. Zhang, Four-Dimensional Visualization of Construction Scheduling and Site  
813 Utilization, *J. Constr. Eng. Manag.* 130 (2004) 598–606. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)0733-](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)0733-)

- 814 9364(2004)130:4(598).
- 815 [11] H.J. Wang, J.P. Zhang, K.W. Chau, M. Anson, 4D dynamic management for construction planning and  
816 resource utilization, *Autom. Constr.* 13 (2004) 575–589.  
817 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2004.04.003>.
- 818 [12] N. Dawood, Z. Mallasi, Construction workspace planning: Assignment and analysis utilizing 4D  
819 visualization technologies, *Comput. Civ. Infrastruct. Eng.* 21 (2006) 498–513.  
820 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8667.2006.00454.x>.
- 821 [13] A. Francis, Chronographical Site-Spatial-Temporal Modeling of Construction Operations, *Front. Built  
822 Environ.* 6 (2020) 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fbuil.2020.00067>.
- 823 [14] A.M. Bascoul, I.D. Tommelein, D. Douthett, Visual Management of Daily Construction Site Space  
824 Use, *Front. Built Environ.* 6 (2020) 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fbuil.2020.00139>.
- 825 [15] B. Akinci, M. Fischen, R. Levitt, R. Carlson, Formalization and Automation of Time-Space Conflict  
826 Analysis, *J. Comput. Civ. Eng.* 16 (2002) 124–134. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)0887-  
827 3801\(2002\)16:2\(124\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)0887-3801(2002)16:2(124)).
- 828 [16] V. Getuli, P. Capone, A. Bruttini, S. Isaac, BIM-based immersive Virtual Reality for construction  
829 workspace planning: A safety-oriented approach, *Autom. Constr.* 114 (2020) 103160.  
830 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2020.103160>.
- 831 [17] Y.J. Thabet, Walid Y.; Beliveau, Modeling Work Space to Schedule Repetitive Floors in Multistory  
832 Buildings, *J. Constr. Eng. Manag.* 120 (1994) 96–116.
- 833 [18] F. Vahdatikhaki, A. Hammad, Risk-based look-ahead workspace generation for earthwork equipment  
834 using near real-time simulation, *Autom. Constr.* 58 (2015) 207–220.  
835 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2015.07.019>.
- 836 [19] S. Tao, C. Wu, S. Hu, F. Xu, Construction project scheduling under workspace interference, *Comput.  
837 Civ. Infrastruct. Eng.* 35 (2020) 923–946. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mice.12547>.
- 838 [20] W.F. Moroney, B.W. Moroney, Utilizing a microcomputer based flight simulation in teaching human  
839 factors in aviation, in: *Proc. Hum. Factors Soc.*, 1991: pp. 523–527.  
840 <https://doi.org/10.1518/107118191786754806>.
- 841 [21] D. Zhao, J. Lucas, W. Thabet, Using virtual environments to support electrical safety awareness in  
842 construction, *Proc. - Winter Simul. Conf.* (2009) 2679–2690.  
843 <https://doi.org/10.1109/WSC.2009.5429258>.
- 844 [22] O. Golovina, C. Kazanci, J. Teizer, M. König, Using serious games in virtual reality for automated close  
845 call and contact collision analysis in construction safety, *Proc. 36th Int. Symp. Autom. Robot. Constr.*  
846 *ISARC 2019.* (2019) 967–974. <https://doi.org/10.22260/isarc2019/0129>.
- 847 [23] E.L. Jacobsen, N.S. Strange, J. Teizer, Lean Construction in a Serious Game Using a Multiplayer  
848 Virtual Reality Environment, *Proc. 29th Annu. Conf. Int. Gr. Lean Constr.* (2021) 55–64.  
849 <https://doi.org/10.24928/2021/0160>.
- 850 [24] E.L. Jacobsen, A. Solberg, O. Golovina, J. Teizer, Active personalized construction safety training  
851 using run-time data collection in physical and virtual reality work environments, *Constr. Innov.*  
852 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1108/CI-06-2021-0113>.
- 853 [25] M. Van Den Berg, T. Hartmann, R. De Graaf, Supporting design reviews with pre-meeting virtual  
854 reality environments, *J. Inf. Technol. Constr.* 22 (2017) 305–321.
- 855 [26] Y.C. Lin, Y.P. Chen, H.W. Yien, C.Y. Huang, Y.C. Su, Integrated BIM, game engine and VR technologies  
856 for healthcare design: A case study in cancer hospital, *Adv. Eng. Informatics.* 36 (2018) 130–145.

- 857 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2018.03.005>.
- 858 [27] C. Botton, Supporting constructability analysis meetings with Immersive Virtual Reality-based  
859 collaborative BIM 4D simulation, *Autom. Constr.* 96 (2018) 1–15.  
860 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2018.08.020>.
- 861 [28] J. Du, Z. Zou, Y. Shi, D. Zhao, Zero latency: Real-time synchronization of BIM data in virtual reality for  
862 collaborative decision-making, *Autom. Constr.* 85 (2018) 51–64.  
863 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2017.10.009>.
- 864 [29] F. Pour Rahimian, V. Chavdarova, S. Oliver, F. Chamo, OpenBIM-Tango integrated virtual showroom  
865 for offsite manufactured production of self-build housing, *Autom. Constr.* 102 (2019) 1–16.  
866 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2019.02.009>.
- 867 [30] F. Pour Rahimian, S. Seyedzadeh, S. Oliver, S. Rodriguez, N. Dawood, On-demand monitoring of  
868 construction projects through a game-like hybrid application of BIM and machine learning, *Autom.*  
869 *Constr.* 110 (2020) 103012. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2019.103012>.
- 870 [31] A. Ezzeddine, B. García de Soto, Connecting teams in modular construction projects using game  
871 engine technology, *Autom. Constr.* 132 (2021) 103887.  
872 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2021.103887>.
- 873 [32] W. Yan, C. Culp, R. Graf, Integrating BIM and gaming for real-time interactive architectural  
874 visualization, *Autom. Constr.* 20 (2011) 446–458. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2010.11.013>.
- 875 [33] A. ElNimr, Y. Mohamed, Loosely coupled visualization of industrial construction simulation using a  
876 gaming engine, *Proc. - Winter Simul. Conf.* (2011) 3577–3587.  
877 <https://doi.org/10.1109/WSC.2011.6148052>.
- 878 [34] J. Chong, Oscar Wong; Zhang, Game Simulation to Support Construction Automation in Modular  
879 Construction Using BIM and Robotics Technology—Stage I, *Comput. Civ. Eng.* (2019) 105–113.  
880 <http://toc.proceedings.com/49478webtoc.pdf>.
- 881 [35] L. Messi, B. Naticchia, A. Carbonari, L. Ridolfi, G.M. Di Giuda, Development of a Digital Twin Model  
882 for Real-Time Assessment of Collision Hazards, (2020) 14–19. <https://doi.org/10.3311/CCC2020-003>.
- 883 [36] L. Messi, A. Corneli, M. Vaccarini, A. Carbonari, Development of a Twin Model for Real-time  
884 Detection of Fall Hazards, in: *Proc. 37th Int. Symp. Autom. Robot. Constr.*, 2020.  
885 <https://doi.org/10.22260/isarc2020/0037>.
- 886 [37] L. Messi, B. García de Soto, A. Carbonari, B. Naticchia, Addressing COVID-19 Spatial Restrictions on  
887 Construction Sites Using a BIM-Based Gaming Environment, *Proc. 38th Int. Symp. Autom. Robot.*  
888 *Constr.* (2021) 521–528. <https://doi.org/10.22260/isarc2021/0071>.
- 889 [38] L. Messi, Development of a BIM-based simulator for workspace management in construction, 2021.  
890 <http://hdl.handle.net/11566/295537>.
- 891 [39] L. Messi, B.G. De Soto, A. Carbonari, B. Naticchia, Intelligent BIM-based spatial conflict simulators : A  
892 comparison with commercial 4D tools, (2022).
- 893 [40] A.A. ElNimr, Y. Mohamed, Application of gaming engines in simulation driven visualization of  
894 construction operations, *Electron. J. Inf. Technol. Constr.* 16 (2011) 23–38.
- 895 [41] Y. Kang, Z. Jin, C. Hyun, H. Park, Construction Management Functions for Developing Countries: Case  
896 of Cambodia, *J. Manag. Eng.* 34 (2018) 05018004. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)me.1943-](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)me.1943-5479.0000609)  
897 [5479.0000609](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)me.1943-5479.0000609).
- 898 [42] C.K.H. Hon, C. Sun, B. Xia, N.L. Jimmieson, K.A. Way, P.P.Y. Wu, Applications of Bayesian approaches  
899 in construction management research: a systematic review, *Eng. Constr. Archit. Manag.* (2021).

- 900 <https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-10-2020-0817>.
- 901 [43] Q. Zhou, D. Fang, X. Wang, A method to identify strategies for the improvement of human safety  
902 behavior by considering safety climate and personal experience, *Saf. Sci.* 46 (2008) 1406–1419.  
903 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2007.10.005>.
- 904 [44] A.P.C. Chan, F.K.W. Wong, C.K.H. Hon, T.N.Y. Choi, A bayesian network model for reducing accident  
905 rates of electrical and mechanical (E&M) work, *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health.* 15 (2018).  
906 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15112496>.
- 907 [45] Z. Ma, H.Y. Chong, P.C. Liao, Development of a time-variant causal model of human error in  
908 construction with dynamic Bayesian network, *Eng. Constr. Archit. Manag.* 28 (2021) 291–307.  
909 <https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-03-2019-0130>.
- 910 [46] A. Mofidi, E. Tompa, S.B. Mortazavi, A. Esfahanipour, P.A. Demers, A probabilistic approach for  
911 economic evaluation of occupational health and safety interventions: A case study of silica exposure  
912 reduction interventions in the construction sector, *BMC Public Health.* 20 (2020) 1–12.  
913 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-8307-7>.
- 914 [47] F. Ghasemi, M.H.M. Sari, V. Yousefi, R. Falsafi, J. Tamošaitiene, Project portfolio risk identification  
915 and analysis, considering project risk interactions and using Bayesian Networks, *Sustain.* 10 (2018).  
916 <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10051609>.
- 917 [48] I. Mohammadfam, F. Ghasemi, O. Kalatpour, A. Moghimbeigi, Constructing a Bayesian network  
918 model for improving safety behavior of employees at workplaces, *Appl. Ergon.* 58 (2017) 35–47.  
919 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2016.05.006>.
- 920 [49] N.D. Nath, A.H. Behzadan, S.G. Paal, Deep learning for site safety: Real-time detection of personal  
921 protective equipment, *Autom. Constr.* 112 (2020) 103085.  
922 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2020.103085>.
- 923 [50] X. Luo, W.J. O'Brien, F. Leite, J.A. Goulet, Exploring approaches to improve the performance of  
924 autonomous monitoring with imperfect data in location-aware wireless sensor networks, *Adv. Eng.*  
925 *Informatics.* 28 (2014) 287–296. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2014.08.004>.
- 926 [51] L. Zhang, X. Wu, L. Ding, M.J. Skibniewski, Y. Yan, Decision support analysis for safety control in  
927 complex project environments based on Bayesian Networks, *Expert Syst. Appl.* 40 (2013) 4273–  
928 4282. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2012.11.022>.
- 929 [52] A. Borg, H. Bjelland, O. Njå, Reflections on Bayesian Network models for road tunnel safety design: A  
930 case study from Norway, *Tunn. Undergr. Sp. Technol.* 43 (2014) 300–314.  
931 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tust.2014.05.004>.
- 932 [53] F. Abdat, S. Leclercq, X. Cuny, C. Tissot, Extracting recurrent scenarios from narrative texts using a  
933 Bayesian network: Application to serious occupational accidents with movement disturbance, *Accid.*  
934 *Anal. Prev.* 70 (2014) 155–166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2014.04.004>.
- 935 [54] A. Qazi, I. Dikmen, M.T. Birgonul, Prioritization of interdependent uncertainties in projects, *Int. J.*  
936 *Manag. Proj. Bus.* 13 (2020) 913–935. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJMPB-10-2019-0253>.
- 937 [55] J.H.M. Tah, V. Carr, A proposal for construction project risk assessment using fuzzy logic, *Constr.*  
938 *Manag. Econ.* 18 (2000) 491–500. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01446190050024905>.
- 939 [56] L. Wang, X. Zhang, Bayesian Analytics for Estimating Risk Probability in PPP Waste-to-Energy  
940 Projects, *J. Manag. Eng.* 34 (2018) 04018047. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)me.1943-5479.0000658](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)me.1943-5479.0000658).
- 941 [57] P. Castaldo, F. Jalayer, B. Palazzo, Probabilistic assessment of groundwater leakage in diaphragm  
942 wall joints for deep excavations, *Tunn. Undergr. Sp. Technol.* 71 (2018) 531–543.

- 943 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tust.2017.10.007>.
- 944 [58] F. Novi, Bayesian networks as a resilience tool for decision-making processes in uncertainty  
945 conditions, *Techne*. 15 (2018) 341–347. <https://doi.org/10.13128/Techne-22100>.
- 946 [59] O. Špačková, D. Straub, Dynamic Bayesian Network for Probabilistic Modeling of Tunnel Excavation  
947 Processes, *Comput. Civ. Infrastruct. Eng.* 28 (2013) 1–21. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-  
948 8667.2012.00759.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8667.2012.00759.x).
- 949 [60] F. Wang, L.Y. Ding, H.B. Luo, P.E.D. Love, Probabilistic risk assessment of tunneling-induced damage  
950 to existing properties, *Expert Syst. Appl.* 41 (2014) 951–961.  
951 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2013.06.062>.
- 952 [61] A. Namazian, S. Haji Yakhchali, Modified Bayesian Network–Based Risk Analysis of Construction  
953 Projects: Case Study of South Pars Gas Field Development Projects, *ASCE-ASME J. Risk Uncertain.  
954 Eng. Syst. Part A Civ. Eng.* 4 (2018) 05018003. <https://doi.org/10.1061/ajrua6.0000997>.
- 955 [62] A. Qazi, I. Dikmen, From risk matrices to risk networks in construction projects, *IEEE Trans. Eng.  
956 Manag.* 68 (2021) 1449–1460. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TEM.2019.2907787>.
- 957 [63] N. Xia, X. Wang, Y. Wang, Q. Yang, X. Liu, Lifecycle cost risk analysis for infrastructure projects with  
958 modified Bayesian networks, *J. Eng. Des. Technol.* 15 (2017) 79–103. [https://doi.org/10.1108/JEDT-  
959 05-2015-0033](https://doi.org/10.1108/JEDT-05-2015-0033).
- 960 [64] I.C. Cárdenas, S.S.H. Al-Jibouri, J.I.M. Halman, W. van de Linde, F. Kaalberg, Using prior risk-related  
961 knowledge to support risk management decisions: Lessons learnt from a tunneling project, *Risk  
962 Anal.* 34 (2014) 1923–1943. <https://doi.org/10.1111/risa.12213>.
- 963 [65] H. Zhou, H. Zhang, Risk Assessment Methodology for a Deep Foundation Pit Construction Project in  
964 Shanghai, China, *J. Constr. Eng. Manag.* 137 (2011) 1185–1194.  
965 [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)co.1943-7862.0000391](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)co.1943-7862.0000391).
- 966 [66] G. Kabir, N.B.C. Balek, S. Tesfamariam, Consequence-based framework for buried infrastructure  
967 systems: A Bayesian belief network model, *Reliab. Eng. Syst. Saf.* 180 (2018) 290–301.  
968 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2018.07.037>.
- 969 [67] Y. Xue, P. Xiang, The social risk of high-speed rail projects in China: A Bayesian network analysis,  
970 *Sustain.* 12 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12052087>.
- 971 [68] F.K. Adams, Expert elicitation and Bayesian analysis of construction contract risks: An investigation,  
972 *Constr. Manag. Econ.* 24 (2006) 81–96. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01446190500310254>.
- 973 [69] F.K. Adams, Risk perception and Bayesian analysis of international construction contract risks: The  
974 case of payment delays in a developing economy, *Int. J. Proj. Manag.* 26 (2008) 138–148.  
975 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2007.05.007>.
- 976 [70] K. Karakas, I. Dikmen, M.T. Birgonul, Multiagent System to Simulate Risk-Allocation and Cost-Sharing  
977 Processes in Construction Projects, *J. Comput. Civ. Eng.* 27 (2013) 307–319.  
978 [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)cp.1943-5487.0000218](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)cp.1943-5487.0000218).
- 979 [71] Z. Ren, C.J. Anumba, Learning in multi-agent systems: A case study of construction claims  
980 negotiation, *Adv. Eng. Informatics.* 16 (2002) 265–275. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-  
981 0346\(03\)00015-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-0346(03)00015-6).
- 982 [72] Z. Ren, C.J. Anumba, Mascot: A multi-agent system for construction claims negotiation, *Agents  
983 Multi-Agent Syst. Constr.* (2005) 162–185. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203006979>.
- 984 [73] W. Lu, L. Zhang, F. Bai, Bilateral learning model in construction claim negotiations, *Eng. Constr.  
985 Archit. Manag.* 23 (2016) 448–463. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-04-2014-0062>.



- 986 [74] J.S. Chou, Comparison of multilabel classification models to forecast project dispute resolutions,  
987 *Expert Syst. Appl.* 39 (2012) 10202–10211. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2012.02.103>.
- 988 [75] S. Sen Leu, V.H.S. Pham, T.H.N. Pham, Development of recursive decision making model in bilateral  
989 construction procurement negotiation, *Autom. Constr.* 53 (2015) 131–140.  
990 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2015.03.016>.
- 991 [76] S.-S. Leu, P.V.H. Son, P.T.H. Nhung, Hybrid Bayesian Fuzzy-Game Model for Improving the  
992 Negotiation Effectiveness of Construction Material Procurement, *J. Comput. Civ. Eng.* 29 (2015)  
993 04014097. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)cp.1943-5487.0000434](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)cp.1943-5487.0000434).
- 994 [77] S. Sen Leu, P.V. Hong Son, P.T. Hong Nhung, Optimize negotiation price in construction procurement  
995 using Bayesian Fuzzy Game Model, *KSCE J. Civ. Eng.* 19 (2015) 1566–1572.  
996 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12205-014-0522-2>.
- 997 [78] I.S. Abotaleb, I.H. El-adaway, Construction Bidding Markup Estimation Using a Multistage Decision  
998 Theory Approach, *J. Constr. Eng. Manag.* 143 (2017) 04016079.  
999 [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)co.1943-7862.0001204](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)co.1943-7862.0001204).
- 1000 [79] X.X. Yuan, Bayesian method for the correlated competitive bidding model, *Constr. Manag. Econ.* 30  
1001 (2012) 477–491. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01446193.2012.666802>.
- 1002 [80] W. Jang, J.K. Lee, J. Lee, S.H. Han, Naive Bayesian Classifier for Selecting Good/Bad Projects during  
1003 the Early Stage of International Construction Bidding Decisions, *Math. Probl. Eng.* 2015 (2015).  
1004 <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/830781>.
- 1005 [81] S. Kim, G.-H. Kim, D. Lee, Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo Model for Determining Optimum  
1006 Tender Price in Multifamily Housing Projects, *J. Comput. Civ. Eng.* 28 (2014) 06014001.  
1007 [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)cp.1943-5487.0000297](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)cp.1943-5487.0000297).
- 1008 [82] F. ul Hassan, T. Le, Automated Requirements Identification from Construction Contract Documents  
1009 Using Natural Language Processing, *J. Leg. Aff. Disput. Resolut. Eng. Constr.* 12 (2020) 04520009.  
1010 [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)la.1943-4170.0000379](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)la.1943-4170.0000379).
- 1011 [83] C. Sabillon, A. Rashidi, B. Samanta, M.A. Davenport, D. V. Anderson, Audio-Based Bayesian Model  
1012 for Productivity Estimation of Cyclic Construction Activities, *J. Comput. Civ. Eng.* 34 (2020) 04019048.  
1013 [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)cp.1943-5487.0000863](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)cp.1943-5487.0000863).
- 1014 [84] B.-C. Kim, K.F. Reinschmidt, Combination of Project Cost Forecasts in Earned Value Management, *J.*  
1015 *Constr. Eng. Manag.* 137 (2011) 958–966. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)co.1943-7862.0000352](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)co.1943-7862.0000352).
- 1016 [85] Y. Ko, S. Han, Development of construction performance monitoring methodology using the  
1017 bayesian probabilistic approach, *J. Asian Archit. Build. Eng.* 14 (2015) 73–80.  
1018 <https://doi.org/10.3130/jaabe.14.73>.
- 1019 [86] T.E. Tischer, J.A. Kuprenas, Bridge Falsework Productivity—Measurement and Influences, *J. Constr.*  
1020 *Eng. Manag.* 129 (2003) 243–250. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)0733-9364\(2003\)129:3\(243\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)0733-9364(2003)129:3(243)).
- 1021 [87] M.Y. Cheng, C.C. Huang, A.F. Van Roy, Predicting project success in construction using an  
1022 evolutionary gaussian process inference model, *J. Civ. Eng. Manag.* 19 (2013).  
1023 <https://doi.org/10.3846/13923730.2013.801919>.
- 1024 [88] T.H. Chung, Y. Mohamed, S. Abourizk, Bayesian Updating Application into Simulation in the North, *J.*  
1025 *Constr. Eng. Manag.* (2006) 882–894.
- 1026 [89] M. Golparvar-Fard, F. Peña-Mora, S. Savarese, Automated Progress Monitoring Using Unordered  
1027 Daily Construction Photographs and IFC-Based Building Information Models, *J. Comput. Civ. Eng.* 29  
1028 (2015) 04014025. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)cp.1943-5487.0000205](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)cp.1943-5487.0000205).

- 1029 [90] B. McCabe, S.M. AbouRizk, Performance measurement indices for simulated construction  
1030 operations, *Can. J. Civ. Eng.* 28 (2001) 383–393. <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjce-28-3-383>.
- 1031 [91] Wikipedia, Unity (game engine), (2017). [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Unity\\_\(game\\_engine\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Unity_(game_engine))  
1032 (accessed November 27, 2021).
- 1033 [92] RDF Ltd., IFCEngine DLL Library, (2006). <http://rdf.bg/product-list/ifc-engine/> (accessed November  
1034 27, 2021).
- 1035 [93] L.D. Nguyen, D.Q. Tran, M.P. Chandrawinata, Predicting Safety Risk of Working at Heights Using  
1036 Bayesian Networks, *J. Constr. Eng. Manag.* 142 (2016) 04016041.  
1037 [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)co.1943-7862.0001154](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)co.1943-7862.0001154).
- 1038 [94] S. Boeykens, Using 3D design software, BIM and game engines for architectural historical  
1039 reconstruction, *Des. Together CAADFutures 2011 - Proc. 14th Int. Conf. Comput. Aided Archit. Des.*  
1040 (2011) 493–509.
- 1041 [95] J. Chen, Discrete Bayesian Network, (2017).  
1042 <https://assetstore.unity.com/packages/tools/ai/discrete-bayesian-network-61312> (accessed  
1043 November 27, 2021).
- 1044 [96] Gordian, RSMeans data: Construction Cost Estimating Software, (n.d.). <https://www.rsmeans.com/>.
- 1045 [97] ASSIST Software, Real-Time Weather, (2021).  
1046 <https://assetstore.unity.com/packages/tools/utilities/real-time-weather-169843> (accessed  
1047 November 28, 2021).
- 1048 [98] A. Granberg, A\* Pathfinding Project Pro, Documentation. (2020).  
1049 <https://arongranberg.com/astar/docs/> (accessed November 27, 2021).
- 1050 [99] Autodesk, Glossary: Clearance Clash, (n.d.). [https://knowledge.autodesk.com/support/navisworks-  
1051 products/learn-explore/caas/CloudHelp/cloudhelp/2017/ENU/Navisworks-Manage/files/GUID-  
1052 27EA59E6-1A15-4372-9D7D-90508936B512-hm.html#GUID-27EA59E6-1A15-4372-9D7D-  
1053 90508936B512--WS1A9193826455F5FF-7F10494411F](https://knowledge.autodesk.com/support/navisworks-products/learn-explore/caas/CloudHelp/cloudhelp/2017/ENU/Navisworks-Manage/files/GUID-27EA59E6-1A15-4372-9D7D-90508936B512-hm.html#GUID-27EA59E6-1A15-4372-9D7D-90508936B512--WS1A9193826455F5FF-7F10494411F) (accessed November 29, 2021).
- 1054