

UNIVERSITÀ POLITECNICA DELLE MARCHE Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Using fine aggregate matrix mortars to predict the curing behaviour of cement bitumen treated materials produced with different cements

This is the peer reviewd version of the followng article:

Original

Using fine aggregate matrix mortars to predict the curing behaviour of cement bitumen treated materials produced with different cements / Mignini, C.; Cardone, F.; Graziani, A.. - In: CONSTRUCTION AND BUILDING MATERIALS. - ISSN 0950-0618. - STAMPA. - 268:(2021). [10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.121201]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11566/286839 since: 2024-04-29T13:34:32Z

Publisher:

Published DOI:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.121201

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. The use of copyrighted works requires the consent of the rights' holder (author or publisher). Works made available under a Creative Commons license or a Publisher's custom-made license can be used according to the terms and conditions contained therein. See editor's website for further information and terms and conditions. This item was downloaded from IRIS Università Politecnica delle Marche (https://iris.univpm.it). When citing, please refer to the published version.

Construction and Building Materials

Using fine aggregate matrix mortars to predict the curing behaviour of cement bitumen treated materials produced with different cements --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	CONBUILDMAT-D-20-06832R1			
Article Type:	Research Paper			
Keywords:	Cold recycling, bitumen emulsion, mortar, curing, mechanical characterisation, Sulfoaluminous cement, high strength cement, Fine Aggregate Matrix, ITS, ITSM			
Corresponding Author:	Chiara Mignini, MEng. Universita Politecnica delle Marche Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile Edile e Architettura Ancona, ITALY			
First Author:	Chiara Mignini, MEng.			
Order of Authors:	Chiara Mignini, MEng.			
	Fabrizio Cardone, Associate Professor, Ph.D			
	Andrea Graziani, Associate Professor, Ph.D.			
Abstract:	Cold recycling is a sustainable pavement rehabilitation technology. Among the different techniques, cement-bitumen treated materials (CBTM) take advantage of the presence of the two co-binders to achieve satisfying performance. A multiscale study addresses the effect of different cementitious binders on the mechanical behaviour of CBTM mixtures and fine aggregate matrix mortars produced with bitumen emulsion. The evolution of stiffness and strength during curing is measured and compared. Results showed that the cement type has a critical effect on the mechanical behaviour and, under fixed curing conditions, changing the strength is equivalent to changing the dosage. Finally, fine aggregate matrix mortars offer an excellent prediction of mixture mechanical properties.			

Using fine aggregate matrix mortars to predict the curing behaviour of cement bitumen treated materials produced with different cements

Chiara Mignini^{1*}, Fabrizio Cardone² and Andrea Graziani³

¹ Università Politecnica delle Marche, Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile Edile e Architettura, Via
Brecce Bianche, 60131, Ancona, Italy. (ORCID: 0000-0002-7325-8518)

² Università Politecnica delle Marche, Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile Edile e Architettura, Via
 ⁹ Brecce Bianche, 60131, Ancona, Italy. (ORCID: 0000-0002-5361-9654)

³ Università Politecnica delle Marche, Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile Edile e Architettura, Via
 Brecce Bianche, 60131, Ancona, Italy. (ORCID: 0000-0003-3796-9694)

* Corresponding author, Tel.: +39 071 220 4780; fax: +39 071 220 4510.

14 E-mail address: <u>c.mignini@pm.univpm.it</u>

16 ABSTRACT

б

 Cold recycling is a sustainable pavement rehabilitation technology. Among the different techniques, cement-bitumen treated materials (CBTM) take advantage of the presence of the two co-binders to achieve satisfying performance. A multiscale study addresses the effect of different cementitious binders on the mechanical behaviour of CBTM mixtures and fine aggregate matrix mortars produced with bitumen emulsion. The evolution of stiffness and strength during curing is measured and compared. Results showed that the cement type has a critical effect on the mechanical behaviour and, under fixed curing conditions, changing the strength is equivalent to changing the dosage. Finally, fine aggregate matrix mortars offer an excellent prediction of mixture mechanical properties.

27 Keywords

28 Cold recycling, bitumen emulsion, mortar, curing, mechanical characterisation,
29 Sulfoaluminous cement, cement, Fine Aggregate Matrix, ITS, ITSM

1 INTRODUCTION

Environmental sustainability and cost-effectiveness are promoting the worldwide diffusion of low-energy and low-emission technologies for pavement construction and rehabilitation [1]. In this context, cold recycling of bituminous pavements is one of the most effective and low environmental impact techniques [2].

Cold recycled mixtures are produced at ambient temperature employing a high amount of reclaimed asphalt (RA), generally between 50% and 100% of the total aggregate blend. The limited use of virgin aggregate and bitumen heating reduces energy consumption and pollutants emissions [3], [4] while the reuse of RA preserves natural resources and limits the disposal costs [5], [6]. Bituminous (i.e. foamed bitumen or bitumen emulsion) and cementitious binders (e.g. ordinary Portland cement, composite cement, fly ash or ground granulated blast) are generally employed together to achieve structural and durability properties of the mixture [2]. Water is added to enhance mixing, laying and compaction operations [6], [7].

The performance of cold recycled mixtures must be evaluated taking into account their curing behaviour [6], [8]-[10]. In fact, the microstructure of the mixtures evolves and their mechanical properties improve because of drying, emulsion breaking and setting, and cement hydration [11], [12]. Curing is influenced by the aggregate blend nature [13], the dosage of binders and their interaction. Cement hydration affects the pH and reduces water content, promoting emulsion setting [14], [15]. Likewise, emulsion affects the hydration rate and the formation of well-structured cementitious bonds [12], [16], [17]. Environmental conditions affect the curing process as well. High temperature and low relative humidity (RH) promote water evaporation and thus increase the curing rate [18], [19]. High temperature also increases the rate of cement hydration but may hinder the long-term strength of the cementitious matrix. Besides, a moist environment [14] enhances cement hydration and hinders emulsion breaking.

4

6

Among cold recycled mixtures, cement-bitumen treated materials (CBTM) mixtures have properties which are half-way between those of asphalt concrete mixtures and cement-treated mixtures. The bituminous binder gives to the CBTM mixture its typical frequency and temperature dependent behaviour and fatigue susceptibility [8], [20]–[24]. The cementitious binder improves strength, stiffness and permanent deformation resistance, but may lead to a prone-cracking behaviour of the material [6], [14], [25]–[27].

Rapid hardening cementitious binders, like calcium aluminate and calcium sulfoaluminate binders, can accelerate the strength development improving the mechanical properties of CBTM mixtures in the early stage of curing [17], [28], [29]. They bound a higher amount of water compared to ordinary Portland-based cement, which may affect the emulsion setting. Besides, compared to Portland cement, their production requires less energy and reduces CO_2 emissions [30], [31], leading to sustainability benefits. The use of other supplementary blended cementitious fillers results in the increased early strength, due to an enhanced hydration reaction. Moreover, these kinds of filler can improve the mechanical response and water sensitivity [32]–[34].

The fine aggregate matrix concept 1.1

The mechanical behavior of asphalt concrete mixtures has been studied at different scales of observation [35]. At the mixture scale, asphalt concrete can be considered a particulate composite where coarse aggregate particles (inclusions) are dispersed in the fine aggregate matrix (FAM) phase [36]. Several studies have shown that FAM properties affect the viscoelastic, fatigue and fracture behavior of asphalt concrete mixtures [35], [37]–[44] as well as their moisture damage resistance [45]. It was also shown that healing, oxidation and ageing occur in the FAM phase [39], [46], [47]. Besides, FAM have been used to predict the overall behaviour of the mixture using multiscale computational models [41], [43], [48]–[50].

The FAM material is a mortar composed of fine aggregate, filler, bitumen and voids [51]. Its grading distribution derives from the fine part of the mixture grading [38], [39], with upper sieve size comprised between 1.18 and 2.36 mm [38], [39], [44], [48]. The bitumen content of FAM mortars can be obtained from the total binder of the mixture, subtracting the fraction absorbed by the coarse aggregate [39] or the fraction included in the mastic film coating the coarse aggregate [35]. The air voids content of the FAM was found to be between 2.5 and 3.5% [38], or about 50-70% of the total air voids of the mixture [35].

Recent studies applied the FAM concept also to study CBTM mixtures produced with bitumen emulsion and cement [51], [52]. The FAM mortars had a maximum aggregate size of 2 mm and were produced using all the bitumen emulsion and cement content of the mixture (the residual bitumen to cement ratio was 0.8 and 1.3 or 2.0). The authors found that FAM mortars including about 75 - 80% of mixture water and 50% or 17% of mixture air voids could predict the stiffness and strength of mixtures, throughout the curing process [51], [52] and their LVE behaviour [52].

Cold bituminous mortars, were also proposed as a model system for characterizing bitumen emulsion [53], [54]. This approach was inspired by the common practice for characterising the strength of cementitious binders (EN 196-1, ASTM C109 / C109M). The authors selected the same upper sieve size, 2 mm, and a similar grading distribution [55], [56]. Since the focus of those studies was the bitumen emulsion, the mortars had a bitumendominated behaviour with bitumen to cement ratio ranging between 3 and 7. Cold bituminous mortars were also employed for evaluating the effect of mineral additions on the failure properties of CBTM using a bitumen to cement ratio of 1 [55], [57].

The FAM concept was also used to investigate the interaction mechanism between cement and bitumen, assisting the computational modelling of CBTM mixtures [58].

1.2 **Objective and methodology**

In this research we investigated the physical and mechanical properties of CBTM mixtures produced with bitumen emulsion and cement, throughout the curing process. The objectives were to compare the effect of different cement types and to evaluate the predictive potential of FAM mortars. We also evaluated the impact of different curing conditions.

Figure 1 describes the research methodology and the paper structure. In detail, we considered eight CBTM mixture compositions obtained with four cement types and two dosages. First, we studied the effect of water on their volumetric and mechanical properties. Then, for each mixture, we investigated nine potential FAM mortar compositions, focusing on the effect of water and air voids on their early-age strength. These two tasks allowed to define eight pairs of materials (CBTM mixture and corresponding FAM mortar) that we tested to evaluate the evolution of water loss by evaporation, stiffness and strength. We considered one curing temperature (25 °C) and two curing conditions (sealed and unsealed specimens). Finally, we assessed the predictive potential of the FAM mortars comparing their mechanical properties to those of the corresponding CBTM mixtures.



of 16 mm (RA 0/16). It was further sieved in the laboratory to produce a separate fraction with
 an upper sieve size of 2 mm (RA 0/2). The fine aggregate was a crushed limestone sand with a
 nominal maximum dimension of 2 mm and the filler was a finely ground limestone powder.
 Figure 2 and Table 1 report the grading distribution and the main physical properties of the
 aggregates, respectively.

The aggregate blend of the mixtures consisted of 80% RA 0/16, 17% of fine aggregate and 3% of filler (by dry mass). The resulting grading curve was close to the maximum density curve with a maximum nominal size of 16 mm (Figure 2). The aggregate blend of the FAM mortars was obtained by removing the volume of coarse aggregate (retained on the 2 mm sieve) and consisted of 61% RA 0/2, 32% fine aggregate and 7% filler.

The total water content of mixtures and FAM mortars included emulsion water and additional water. The latter was necessary to improve the workability and compactability of mixtures. A fraction of the additional water was absorbed by the aggregates, while the remaining fraction, along with the emulsion water, was identified as intergranular water. The procedure for selecting the total water content of mixtures and FAM mortars will be described in Sections 3.1 and 3.2, respectively.

The bituminous binder was a cationic slow-setting bitumen emulsion, supplied by Valli Zabban S.p.A. (Bologna - Italy), with a residual bitumen content of 60%. The emulsion was specifically designed for cold recycling applications and coded C60B10 (EN 13808). The emulsion base bitumen was 70/100.

Four cementitious binders, supplied by Italcementi S.p.A. (Bergamo - Italy), were selected to provide an extensive overview of the effect of cement on the mechanical properties of CBTM mixtures (Table 2):

• C1 - Portland limestone cement type II/B-LL with strength class 32.5R (EN 197-1);

C3 - Portland-slag cement type II/B-S with strength class 52.5N (EN 197-1);

C4 - Hydraulic binder non-structural applications type HB3.0 (EN 15368).

C1 was considered the reference cementitious binder, because it is commonly used in cold recycling in Italy [59], [60]. C2 was characterised by rapid setting and hardening, and very high 28-days strength; moreover, it had a lower pH with respect to conventional Portland-based cements. C3 was characterised by an ordinary early strength and a high 28-days strength. C4, is typically used in Italy to produce mortars for non-structural masonry or rendering and plastering; it was characterised by low values of both early and long-term strength, and high water retention in the fresh state. The selected cementitious binders allowed us to investigate a wide range of strength. Also, the non-Portland cement, i.e. C2, was chosen for verifying if its different nature and the lower pH influenced the microstructure of the CBTM, leading to a different mechanical response.

The procedures for selecting the emulsion and cement dosages for the mixtures and FAM mortars will be described in Sections 3.1 and 3.2.

Table 1. Main physical properties of the aggregate

Material	Particle density (EN 1097-6) kg/m ³	Absorption (EN 1097-6) %	Rigden voids (EN 1097-4) %	Bitumen content* (EN 12697-1) %
RA 0/16	2482	1.14	-	4.9
RA 0/2	2424	1.32	-	8.3
Fine aggregate	2732	1.50	-	-
Filler	2650	-	23.8	-

* by dry aggregate mass



Figure 2. Grading distribution of granular materials, CBTM mixtures and FAM mortars (by volume).

Table 2. Main characteristics of cementitious binders employed (provided by the supplier).

Property	Standard	Cementitious binder type			
		C1	C2	C3	C4
Particle density (kg/m ³)	EN 1097-7	3020±10	2900±030	3090±10	2900±10
Blaine surface area (cm ² /g)	EN 196-6	3800±100	5700±200	4090±100	6100±350
Rigden voids (%)	EN 1097-4	33.2	33.5	33.5	32.3
pH value		12-13.5	11-12	12-13.5	12-13.5
Initial setting time (min)	EN 196-3	170	15	180	180
Final setting time (min)	EN 196-3	220	25	245	300
Compressive strength @2 days (MPa)	EN 196-1	19±2	45±2	20±2	3±1
Compressive strength @28 days (MPa)	EN 196-1	39±3	80±3	56±3	10±2

2.2 Specimen preparation and volumetric properties

For both mixtures and FAM mortars, aggregates, water, bitumen emulsion and cement were mixed at room temperature according to a procedure developed in previous studies [6], [51]. After mixing, specimens were compacted with a gyratory compactor, applying constant ¹ 176 pressure of 600 kPa, gyration speed of 30 rpm and angle of inclination of 1.25°. Moulds with ³ diameters of 150 mm and 100 mm were used for mixtures and mortars, respectively. For ⁵ evaluating mixture composition, specimens were compacted at 180 gyrations. All the other ⁷ specimens were compacted until reaching a fixed height value, with the aim to control their ⁹ volumetric properties. Thus obtained specimens were directly tested after the selected curing ² times.

During compaction, the specimen height was recorded at each gyration, which allowed monitoring the voids (V_m) and the voids filled with liquids (*VFL*) [7]:

$$V_m = \frac{v_A + v_W}{v} = \frac{v - (v_S + v_B)}{v}$$
(1)

$$VFL = \frac{v_B + v_W}{v_A + v_B + v_W} = \frac{v_B + v_W}{v - v_S}$$
(2)

where *v* is the total volume of the specimen, v_A is the volume of the air voids, v_s is the bulk volume of solids (aggregates, filler and unhydrated cementitious binder), v_B is the volume of residual bitumen from the emulsion and v_W is the volume of intergranular water (the volume of absorbed water is comprised in the bulk volume of the aggregate) (Figure 3a). The volumetric analysis refers to CBTM at the fresh state, before emulsion breaking, water evaporation and cement hydration begin. Indeed, during curing part of the water evaporates while a specific amount of water is bonded by the cement, whose hydration products will occupy a greater volume compared to the volume of the unhydrated cement. In addition , a minor amount of water can be trapped in the specimen, constituting not-interconnected voids. [61].

According to Equations (1-2), $V_{\rm m}$ is the volume fraction that is occupied by air and intergranular water, while *VFL* is the fraction of voids occupied by residual bitumen and intergranular water. During compaction, $V_{\rm m}$ decreases whereas *VFL* increases (Figure 3b), with *VFL* = 100% indicating the theoretical saturation condition of the specimen. Previous studies

have shown that when *VFL* approaches 90%, a loss of material (water, bitumen droplets and fines) occurs from the mould [6], [7], [51]. This indicates that a small amount of entrapped air (voids not interconnected) must always be included in the specimen to avoid altering its composition during the compaction process. Thus, the number of gyrations corresponding to VFL = 90% has been assumed as a practical compaction limit, for a given specimen composition (Figure 3b).

To check possible material loss during compaction, in this study we compared the mass of the loose material before compaction with the mass of the compacted specimen. All the specimens compacted with fixed height had a mass loss lower than 0.5%, testifying the goodness of the compaction process.



Figure 3. Volumetric study of CBTM: a) specimen volume at the fresh state, b) volumetric properties progress during compaction (Equations 1 and 2)

2 2.3 **Testing methods**

Since CBTM are evolutive materials, we selected relatively quick testing methods to measure stiffness and strength. This allowed linking the measured property value to the actual curing time.

The indirect tensile stiffness modulus (*ITSM*) was measured using a servo-pneumatic machine. Repeated load pulses with a rise time of 124 ms and a pulse repetition period of 3.0 s were applied (EN 12697-26 Annex C). The peak load was adjusted using a closed-loop control system to achieve a target peak horizontal deformation of 2 microns. For each specimen, the test was repeated along two diameters and the average *ITSM* value was calculated:

$$ITSM = \frac{F \cdot (v + 0.27)}{z \cdot h} \tag{3}$$

where *F* is the peak load of the applied repeated pulse, *z* is the amplitude of the horizontal deformation, *h* is the mean thickness of the specimen and *v* is the Poisson's ratio (assumed as 0.35).

The indirect tensile strength (*ITS*) was measured using a servo-hydraulic testing machine. A constant rate of deformation of (50 ± 2) mm/min was applied along the two generatrixes of the cylindrical specimen until failure (EN 12697-23):

$$ITS = \frac{2 \cdot P}{\pi \cdot D \cdot h} \tag{4}$$

where P is the maximum load, D is the specimen diameter and h is its mean thickness.

Both *ITSM* and *ITS* were measured at 25 °C. The specimens cured at 40 °C, tested for defining the composition of the mixtures, were conditioned 4 hours at the testing temperature. The specimens cured at 25 °C for the curing behaviour characterisations, were not subjected to further temperature conditioning.

The water resistance of mixtures was evaluated using the indirect tensile strength ratio (*ITSR*), quantifying the strength reduction due to the specimen soaking in water. There is no standard for measuring the water resistance of CBTM. The procedure required by the Italian construction specifications [62], [63] for hot mix asphalt (HMA) was followed, considering 3 days of immersion in water at 40 °C of specimens. An additional immersion time of 7 days was considered as well. Before the water resistance testing, specimens were cured 28 days at 25 °C. Before mechanical testing, the water loss by evaporation (*DW*) of each specimen was measured by weighing the specimens:

$$DW = \frac{M_0 - M_i}{M_W} \cdot 100 \tag{5}$$

where M_0 is the specimen mass right after compaction, M_i is the specimen mass after *i* curing days and M_W is the total mass of water in the specimen (derived from its gravimetric composition).

The evolution of material properties was modelled using a non-linear asymptotic function, obtained as a modified version of the Michaelis-Menten model [51]:

$$y(t) = y_i + (y_a - y_i) \frac{t - t_i}{(h_y - t_i) + (t - t_i)}$$
(6)

where y(t) is the property under investigation (*DW*, *ITSM* or *ITS*), y_i is its value at the time t_i and y_a is its long-term asymptotic value. The time t_i represents the early-stage of curing, and here we assumed $t_i = 1$ day. The parameter h_y represents the time to reach the value $(y_a - y_i)/2$ by y(t). We estimated the model parameters y_i , y_a and h_y using non-linear leastsquares minimization and used the residuals standard error to evaluate the goodness of fit.

3 EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM

3.1 **Mixture composition**

The emulsion and cement dosages (by dry aggregate mass) were fixed at the beginning of the research, based on the Italian practice for cold recycled subbase and base courses [62]. Specifically, we selected a 3.3% emulsion dosage (corresponding to 2.0% of residual bitumen), and two cement dosages, 1.5% and 2.5%. The eight mixtures were identified using the cement type (C1, C2, C3 and C4) and the residual bitumen to cement mass ratio (B/C), 1.3 and 0.8.

The total water content was established by analysing the volumetric properties and the compactability of the mixtures [7], [51], [64]. Trial mixtures were produced at four total water contents: 3.2%, 4.2%, 5.2% and 6.2%. The mixtures produced with binders C1 and C2 at the lowest water content (3.2%) were discarded because the material was too dry and not homogeneous. The mixtures produced with binders C3 and C4 at the highest water content (6.2%) were discarded because the material was too wet, and the water drained out. From each batch, three specimens were compacted at 180 gyrations. This allowed to measure V_m and VFL as a function of the number of gyrations. By analysing the compaction curves, first we chose a common V_m value for all mixtures and then we selected the optimal water content for each mixture.

The final composition of the mixture was tested to verify the mix design requirements set by Italian construction specifications [62], [63]. First, *ITSM* and *ITS* were evaluated on specimens subjected to an accelerated curing regime of 3 days at 40 °C in unsealed condition. Then, the *ITSR* was evaluated on two additional series of specimens that were first cured 28 days at 25 °C and then submerged in water at 40°C for 3 and 7 days.

3.2 FAM mortar composition

We obtained the composition of the FAM mortar by considering the mixture as a three-phase composite (Figure 4), consisting of:

• FAM (Phase I):

- Coarse aggregate (Phase II);
- Voids (Phase III).

The FAM was considered as the continuous binding phase of the composite. It had a maximum aggregate dimension of 2 mm and included all the bituminous and cementitious binders of the mixture. Hence, based on the selected mixture composition, the emulsion dosage was 7.8% (corresponding to 4.7% of residual bitumen), and the cement dosages were 3.5% and 5.9%. FAM mortars had the same B/C ratios as the corresponding mixtures: 1.3 and 0.8. The voids of the mixture (i.e. air and intergranular water) were dived in two parts: those that were part of the FAM and those that constituted the Phase III, that we call the external voids (Figure 4).





1 289 In order to investigate the effect of voids content on the FAM properties, for each mixture we produced nine FAM mortars characterised by different water and air voids fractions (Table 3). The mortars characterised by $v_{w,FAM} / v_{w,mixture} = 1.00$ and $v_{A,FAM} / v_{A,mixture} = 1.00$ were prepared with all the water and all the air voids of the mixture. According to the mixture model depicted in Figure 4, in this case Phase III would not be present (i.e. the mixture would be a two-phase composite). On the other hand, mortars characterised by $v_{A,FAM} / v_{A,mixture} = 0$ were compacted trying to remove all the air voids and reach saturation. n this case all the air of the mixture would be included in Phase III. As explained in Section 0, this latter condition was impossible to obtain in practice, and thus a small amount of entrapped air voids was always be included in the FAM mortar. During production, it was found that FAM mortars produced only with the water of the emulsion resulted too dry and difficult to mix. Thus, additional water was always used. Specifically, for mortars produced with binders C1 and C2, the minimum gravimetric water content was 6.9% (corresponding to $v_{w,FAM} / v_{w,mixture} = 0.77$). For mortars produced with C3 and C4, the minimum gravimetric water content was 5.8% (corresponding to $v_{\rm w,FAM} / v_{\rm w,mixture} = 0.84$).

Table 3. Water and air voids fractions adopted for the trial FAM mortar compositions.

	C1 – C2			C3 – C4		
w*	$v_{\rm w,FAM} / v_{\rm w,mixture}$	v _{A,FAM} / v _{A,mixture}	W*	$v_{\rm w,FAM}$ / $v_{\rm w,mixture}$	v _{A,FAM} / v _{A,mixture}	
(%)			(%)			
		1.00			1.00	
9.4	1.00	0.50	7.1	1.00	0.50	
		0.00			0.00	
		1.00			1.00	
8.2	0.89	0.50	6.5	0.93	0.50	
		0.00			0.00	
		1.00			1.00	
6.9	0.77	0.50	5.8	0.84	0.50	
		0.00			0.00	

* dosage by dry aggregate mass

¹ 307 On all materials we measured the *ITS* after 1 day of curing at 25 °C and, based on the volumetric
 ³ 308 and mechanical properties we selected one representative FAM mortar composition for each
 ⁵ 309 mixture (Section 4.2).

3.3 Characterising the curing behaviour of mixtures and FAM mortars

The procedures described in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 allowed us to define eight mixture compositions and the corresponding eight FAM mortar compositions. We tested these materials to evaluate and compare the evolution of *DW*, *ITSM* and *ITS* throughout the curing process. The mixture and FAM mortar specimens were cured in a climatic chamber at (25 ± 2) °C and (70 ± 5) % RH, in unsealed condition. Additional series of specimens, produced only with cementitious binders C1 and C2, were cured inside sealed plastic bags (identified with "S"). In sealed condition, the higher RH due to restricted water evaporation enhances cement hydration but hinders emulsion breaking. Therefore, bituminous bonds were expected to be more developed in unsealed specimens [65]. We considered five curing periods: 1, 3, 7, 28 and 90 days for the mixtures and 6 hours, 1, 3, 7 and 28 days for the FAM mortars. For each curing condition and period three replicate specimens were tested.

324 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 **Mixture composition**

Figure 5a reports the average compaction curves obtained for mixture specimens with a total water content of 4.2%. Naturally, V_m reduced and VFL increased, as compaction proceeded.
The specimens produced with binders C3 and C4 showed lower voids with respect to the specimens produced with binders C1 and C2. Figure 5b shows that, also for the other total water

content values, the voids obtained with binders C3 and C4 were about 2% lower with respect to those obtained with binders C1 and C2 at the same water content. This may be related to two kind of phenomena. First, the cement type could alter the viscosity of the pastes obtained by mixing water, emulsion, filler and cement. That, in turn, may have an influence on the lubrication ability [66] of the mixture. Second, cement may affect the demulsifying behaviour of over-stabilised emulsion [67]. Therefore, the effect of cement on the workability and compactability of the mixtures is extremely important, especially for field compaction, and should be considered in the mix design phase.

The increase in water content generally led to a decrease in V_m but, in some cases, also caused loss of material from the mould and thus changed the specimen composition. Specimens whose indicator is below the VFL = 90% line (Figure 5b), thus characterised by VFL values higher than 90%, showed a mass loss greater than 0.5% at the end of the compaction, confirmed by an evident water ejection. This resulted in a remarkable change in their composition (Section 2.2).

For assessing the curing behaviour, we selected a target voids value $V_m = 11\%$ for all the mixtures. Such a V_m value is considered possible to reach in the in situ compaction of CBTM mixtures [59], [68]. Figure 5c and Figure 5d show the average values of the compaction energy (number of gyrations) needed to reach $V_m = 11\%$ and the corresponding values of *VFL*. Based on these results we selected the total water content, $w_{tot} = 4.7\%$ for the mixtures produced with binders C1 and C2 and $w_{tot} = 3.7\%$ for the mixtures produced with binders C3 and C4. With these compositions it was possible to obtain the target voids value with a similar compaction effort (between 100 and 80 gyrations) and with *VFL* values well below the practical saturation limit.

Figure 6a displays the average values of *ITSM* and *ITS* at 25 °C for the selected mixture compositions measured after 3 days of curing at 40 °C. The dashed line represents the minimum value of strength (*ITS* = 0.4 MPa) and stiffness (*ITSM* = 3000 MPa) required by the Italian technical specifications [62], [63]. The increase in the cement dosage always led to an improvement of the mechanical properties. The mixtures produced with high strength cements (C2 and C3) showed higher values of *ITS* and *ITSM* compared to the reference cement C1, whereas the mixtures produced with C4 showed lower mechanical properties (below the *ITS* acceptance limit).

Figure 6b shows the average values of *ITS* and *ITSR* after 28 days of curing at 25 °C. The dashed line is the minimum value (*ITSR* = 70%) required by the Italian technical guidelines [62], [63]. All the mixtures highlighted an *ITSR* greater than 75% and thus a not-pronounced water sensitivity. Increasing the soaking time from 3 days to 7 days, generally led to an increase of *ITSR*. This suggests that the additional curing time at the high temperature of soaking (i.e. 40 °C) improved the mechanical properties of the mixtures, counterbalancing the effect of the immersion in water.

The comparison between Figure 6a and Figure 6b shows that the *ITS* values obtained after 3 days of curing at 40 °C were always lower of those obtained after 28 days of curing at 25 °C. The reduction of strength in the case of the accelerated curing was a function of the type and dosage of cement, and ranged between 0.3% (with C2, B/C = 1.3) and 44% (with C4, B/C = 1.3).



Figure 5 Effect of total water content (w_{tot}) on the volumetric properties of CBTM mixtures: a) compaction curves obtained with $w_{tot} = 4.2\%$, b) V_m at the end of the compaction c) number of gyrations to reach $V_m = 11\%$, d) VFL corresponding to $V_m = 11\%$



Figure 6 Mechanical properties of CBTM mixtures (25 °C): a) after 3 days of curing at 40 °C, b) water sensitivity

4.2 **FAM mortar composition**

Figure 7 and Figure 8 show the *ITS* values obtained after 1 day of curing on the nine trial mortars (Table 3) that were produced starting from each mixture. The ratio between the *ITS* of FAM mortar and mixture ($ITS_{FAM} / ITS_{mixture}$) is reported as a function of the air voids fraction contained in the FAM mortar ($v_{A,FAM} / v_{A,mixture}$).

In Figure 7 we plotted the results for the mortars produced using all the water contained in the mixtures ($v_{w,FAM} / v_{w,mixture} = 1.00$). We observe that when the mortars also included all the air voids of the mixture ($v_{A,FAM} / v_{A,mixture} = 1.00$), the *ITS* ratios ranged between 0.47 and 0.71. With this FAM mortar composition, the mixture would be a two-phase composite and thus *ITS* ratios highlight the reinforcing effect of the coarse aggregate. Reducing the air voids fraction contained in the mortar, the ratio *ITS*_{FAM} / *ITS*_{mixture} increased. In fact, decreasing $v_{A,FAM} / v_{A,mixture}$ implies that a fraction of air is subtracted from Phase I (FAM) and added to

Phase III (external voids). This leads to an increase in ITS_{FAM} , whereas $ITS_{mixture}$ remains constant because the mixture composition does not change. In Figure 7 we also observe that the FAM mortars produced with binders C3 and C4 had higher *ITS* ratios with respect to the FAM mortars produced with binders C1 and C2 when the air void volume was reduced. To explain this result we recall that the mixtures produced with the binders C1 and C2 had higher water content and, since V_m of the mixtures was fixed, the volume of air voids was higher. Thus the corresponding FAM also had higher water content with respect to the FAM mortars produced with binders C3 and C4. Since the water volume is not a structural component, the latter FAM mortars will be more resistant in relation to the corresponding mixture. Besides, the reduction of air voids in FAM mortars with C3 and C4 was relatively higher, leading to a lower Vm value and consequently enhanced mechanical response. Finally, we observe that the same considerations are valid for both B/C ratios.

In Figure 8 we plotted the results obtained on the FAM mortars produced with binders C2 and C3, and B/C=1.3. Here we observe that reducing the water fraction contained in the FAM mortars ($v_{w,FAM} / v_{w,mixture}$) always led to an increase in the *ITS* ratio. As explained above, decreasing $v_{w,FAM} / v_{w,mixture}$ implies that a fraction of water is subtracted from Phase I (FAM) and added to Phase III (external voids). Therefore, *ITS_{FAM}* increases whereas *ITS*_{mixture} remains constant, because the mixture composition does not change.

Figure 7 and Figure 8 outline the effect of water and air voids content on the FAM mortars properties after 1 day of curing. Based on these results, we selected a univocal FAM mortar composition to investigate the curing process and predict the mixture behaviour: the grading distribution was obtained considering all the aggregate passing the 2 mm sieve; all the bitumen emulsion and cement was contained in the FAM mortar. As regards the air voids content, we had two limiting options: $v_{A,FAM} / v_{A,mixture} = 0.00$ and $v_{A,FAM} / v_{A,mixture} = 1.00$. The first would

imply that the FAM is a saturated mortar and thus all the mixture air voids, even the smallest ones, are part of the external voids (Phase III). The second would imply that all the mixture air voids, even the largest ones, are part of the FAM. Since both these conditions did not appear realistic, we selected the intermediate configuration, $v_{A,FAM} / v_{A,mixture} = 0.50$. As regards the water content, the option $v_{W,FAM} / v_{W,mixture} = 1.00$ (all the water in the mixture is part of the FAM), was excluded for the same reason given above for air voids. In order to avoid the effect of different water content highlighted in Figure 7, we decided to produce all the FAM mortars with the same gravimetric water dosage of 6.90%. This corresponds to values of $v_{W,FAM} / v_{W,mixture} = 0.77$ for C1 or C2 and $v_{W,FAM} / v_{W,mixture} = 0.97$ for C3 or C4. Such a choice allowed the production of mortars with good workability.



Figure 7. FAM mortar-to-mixture *ITS* ratio as a function of FAM mortar-to-mixture air voids content ratio
 obtained with vw,FAM / vw,mixture = 1.00



Figure 8. FAM mortar-to-mixture *ITS* ratio as a function of FAM mortar-to-mixture air voids content ratio obtained for CBTM produced with cement C2 and C3, B/C=1.3

In summary, for the study of curing, FAM mortars with binders C1 and C2 were produced with $V_{\rm m} = 14.1\%$, whereas FAM mortars with binders C3 and C4 were produced with $V_{\rm m} = 15.8\%$. These values correspond to 67% and 72% of the mixture $V_{\rm m}$, and are in agreement with the voids content of FAM of conventional HMA mixtures [35].

4.3 Curing behaviour of CBTM

In this section, we analyse the curing behaviour of the eight mixtures and the corresponding eight FAM mortars. The measured values of *DW*, *ITSM* and *ITS* are plotted as a function of time (logarithmic scale) and the curves representing the fitted curing model (Equation 6) are depicted as well.

1 447 Water loss by evaporation

Figure 9 shows the evolution of *DW* for mixtures and FAM mortars produced with binders C1 and C4 in unsealed conditions. The *DW* of specimens cured in sealed conditions was negligible and thus, it was not considered. For all materials, *DW* after the first three curing days was higher than 50%. Afterwards, the evaporation rate decreased and *DW* showed an asymptotic trend. In the long-term, the higher cement dosage (B/C = 0.8) always led to lower *DW*, due the lower availability of evaporable water. In fact, the water physically and chemically bonded by the cement could not evaporate. The amount of evaporated water was related to the cement type [69]. Considering only the mixtures with B/C = 0.8, the *DW* after 90 days was 82.1%, 69.1%, 77.5% and 88.5%, for mixtures produced with binders C1, C2, C3 and C4, respectively. Assuming that all the cementitious binder had the same degree of hydration (very close to 100%), the results highlight the different amount of water bounded by the four types of cement. As expected, the highest amount of water was bounded by C2, the lowest by binder C4.

Figure 10 compares the DW of mixtures and FAM mortars, from 1 day to 28 days. Except for the short term DW of the materials produced with binder C3, the FAM mortars provided an excellent prediction of the mixture DW. This confirmed that the selected mortar composition was an effective model of the FAM.





Figure 11 shows the ITSM evolution for mixtures and FAM mortars produced with binders C1 and C3. Figure 12 summarises the model parameters $ITSM_1$ (ITSM after 1 day) and $ITSM_a$ (asymptotic value of *ITSM*) for all mixtures. The *ITSM* increased rapidly in the first curing days and then tended towards an asymptotic value. The binder C2 led to the highest short-term stiffness; ITSM1 was 4741 MPa and 7529 MPa, for mixtures with B/C ratios of 1.3 and 0.8, respectively. These values were 50% and 109% higher than those obtained using the reference binder C1. ITSM₁ of mixtures produced with binders C1, C3 and C4 was comparable. Using C3 and C4, the stiffness was respectively 18% higher and 5% lower, on average, with respect to ITSM of mixtures with C1. In the long-term (ITSM_a), the highest stiffness was obtained with binders C2 and C3: the increase with respect to binder C1 was between 42% and 56%. Cement C4 did not lead to a significant change in the stiffness: compared to mixtures with C1, ITSM_a increased on average of about 8%. For all the cementitious binders, increasing the dosage from 1.5% to 2.5% caused an increase in mixtures stiffness at all curing times. The highest increase in $ITSM_a$ was obtained with binder C2 (35.3%), the lowest with binder C3 (14.3%). Finally, we observe that at the same curing, mixtures were generally stiffer than the corresponding FAM mortars (Figure 11).

Figure 13 shows the *ITS* evolution for mixtures and FAM mortars produced with binders C1 and C3. Figure 14 summarises the model parameters ITS_1 (*ITS* after 1 day) and ITS_a (asymptotic value of *ITS*) for all mixtures. The binder C2 led to the highest short-term strength; ITS_1 was 0.35 MPa and 0.48 MPa, for mixtures with B/C ratios of 1.3 and 0.8, respectively. These values were 30% and 71% higher than those obtained using binder C1. Differently, using binders C3 and C4 the short term strength was slightly lower (11% and 23%, respectively), compared to C1. However, for all materials, the *ITS* reached 50% of the long-term strength

within the first three days. For all mixtures, the *ITS* increase continued after 28 days, highlighting the long-term contribution of cement hydration and probably also aging of residual bitumen from emulsion. C2 also led to the highest long-term strength; *ITS*_a was 0.68 MPa and 0.83 MPa for the mixtures with B/C ratios of 1.3 and 0.8, respectively, with an average increase of 35% with respect to the strength of the mixtures produced with the binder C1. Compared to mixtures with C1, the *ITS* increase using C3 was about 22%, whereas with C4, *ITS*_a was 4% lower, an average. Increasing cement content from 1.5% to 2.5% resulted in higher *ITS* at all curing times and for all mixtures. The gain in strength was limited (about 2% in the long-term) for binder C1, whereas using the other binders, the average increase of *ITS* was 21%. Finally, we observe that, at the same curing, the strength of mixtures was generally lower than the strength of FAM mortars (Figure 13).



Figure 11. Evolution of *ITSM* for mixtures and FAM mortars produced with cementitious binders C1 and C3





Figure 14. Regression parameters of the curing model for the *ITS* of mixtures a) *ITS*₁, b) *ITS*_a

Effect of sealed curing conditions

The effect of sealed curing conditions was evaluated on CBTM produced with cementitious binders C1 and C2. Figure 15 and Figure 16 show, respectively, the evolution of *ITSM* and *ITS* for mixtures and FAM mortars produced with C2. The regression parameters for all mixtures are summarised in Figure 12 and Figure 14.

We observe that with sealed curing, although *DW* was negligible, *ITSM* and *ITS* increased following similar trends to those observed in unsealed curing. Figure 15 shows that sealed curing led to an increase in *ITSM* at the higher cement content (B/C = 0.8). Considering all the mixtures, Figure 12 clearly shows that the effect cement content prevailed on the effect of sealed curing. On the other hand, Figure 16 shows that sealed curing always led to a decrease of *ITS*. This is confirmed by the model parameters shown in Figure 14. The adverse effect of sealed curing is particularly evident on the short-term strength (*ITS*₁).

In summary, curing conditions with restricted water evaporation had a limited effect on stiffness but penalised the strength. Since restricted water evaporation delays emulsion breaking, we may conclude that stiffness, i.e. the small-strain behaviour, was mainly affected by the cementitious bonds. On the other hand, strength, i.e. the large-strain behaviour, depended on the development of both bituminous and cementitious bonds.

The critical role of restricted water evaporation on the development of mechanical properties is confirmed by Figure 17 which shows the correlation between *ITS* and *ITSM* for all the tested mixtures and FAM mortars. As can be observed, unique linear relationships relate the measured stiffness and strength properties, provided that the same curing condition is considered. For fixed values of stiffness, specimens cured in sealed condition were characterised by lower strength. On the other hand, for fixed values of strength, specimens cured in sealed condition were characterised by higher stiffness. Thus, unsealed curing led to a better overall material performance: higher resistance with lower stiffness resulting in a less prone-cracking material.





49 549Figure 16. Evolution of *ITS* as a function of curing time of CBTM mixtures and FAM mortars produced50 550with cement C2 (U and S curing conditions)

²¹ 545



Figure 17. Correlation between *ITSM* and *ITS* in unsealed (U) and sealed (S) curing conditions

4.4 **Relationship between CBTM mixtures and mortars properties**

Figure 18 shows the correlation between the mechanical properties of mixtures and FAM mortars measured at the same curing time (i.e. 1, 3, 7 and 28 days) and under the same curing conditions.

In general the mixture is stiffer than the mortar (Figure 18a), with a few exceptions represented by specimens produced with cement C2. This indicates that coarse aggregate acts as a reinforcement. The Hirsch model was used to simulate the relation between the stiffness of the mixture and the mortar [70], [71]. The model, originally developed for modelling cement concrete two-phase systems and later applied also to HMA mixtures, combines series and parallel elements (Figure 19a):

$$E_{mixture} = x(E_{CA}V_{CA} + E_{FAM}V_{FAM}) + (1-x)\left(\frac{V_{CA}}{E_{CA}} + \frac{V_{FAM}}{E_{FAM}}\right)^{-1}$$
(7)

where V_{CA} and V_{FAM} are the volume fractions of coarse aggregate and FAM mortar (here 0.483 and 0.473, respectively). $E_{mixture}$ and E_{FAM} are the *ITSM* measured respectively for mixtures and mortars, whereas E_{CA} and x are fitting parameters. E_{CA} represents the stiffness of the coarse aggregate volume. The parameters x and its complementary, (1-x), express proportions of material structure in parallel and in series arrangement, respectively. In composites materials the series arrangement (i.e. equal stress) indicates uniform stress and poor bonding. The parallel arrangement (i.e. equal strain) stands for perfect bonding between the matrix and the inclusions [71]. For cement concrete x value was found around 0.5 [70], [71]. The original model was adjusted (Figure 19b) to take into account the external voids phase (Phase III) assumed in the CBTM mixture conceptual model (Figure 4b) [52].

574 Least squares error minimization provided a satisfactory fitting of the whole experimental data 575 without regard to cementitious binder type, B/C ratio and curing conditions. The estimated 576 model parameters were $E_{CA} = 29511$ MPa and x = 0.339. The *x* value indicates a medium-low 577 bonding between the FAM mortar and the coarse aggregate.

Figure 18b displays that the mortar strength is equal or greater than the mixture one throughout all the curing. This outcome can be linked to a scale effect due to the geometry of the specimens adopted and to the dimension of pores that could accelerate the FAM curing process. Additional studies to better understand these finding must be carried out.

The power law theory was adopted to modelling the relation between the *ITS* of the mixture and the mortar [71]:

$$ITS_{mixture} = ITS_{FAM}(1 - aV_{CA}^{n})$$
(8)

where a and n are model parameters function of shape and organization of the inclusions. The model was proposed for modelling the strength of particulate composite characterised by poor bonding between the inclusion and the matrix, and consequently considering no stress

587 concentration at their interface. Using the least square minimization, an excellent fitting was 588 obtained, with a = 0.368 and n = 1.567.

The existence of unique relationships between the mechanical properties of the two CBTM composites, regardless of cement type, B/C ratio and curing conditions confirms that mixtures and mortars showed similar sensitivity in terms of strength and stiffness evolution. Thus, correctly designed FAM mortars can be potentially used to predict mixtures mechanical behaviour over all the curing time regardless of their constituents. Further studies are though needed to assess the prediction ability of FAM mortars considering a wider range of CBTM compositions and types of components.

In CBTM, the RA aggregate is generally considered as a "black rock". This means that, during the mixing at ambient temperature, no significant blending occurs between the bitumen coming from the emulsion and aged bitumen coating RA. This allows considering the coarse RA aggregate particles as perfect inclusions submerged in the FAM. Mortar composition was obtained removing from the mixture the coarse RA aggregate and part of its voids (air and water) (Sections 3.2 and 4.2). Considering that assumptions, it can be likely stated that the evolutive behaviour of the mixture may be attributed to the FAM mortar properties evolution and that the contribution of the coarse aggregate to the mixture mechanical properties is nearly constant. For each CBTM investigated the ratios $ITSM_{FAM} / ITSM_{mixture}$ and $ITS_{FAM} / ITS_{mixture}$ were almost constant after three day of curing. This suggests that the structure of CBTM is already developed after the first curing days. Emulsion breaking and cement hydration processes are nearly completed and bituminous and cementitious bonds are mostly formed. The increase in the mechanical properties overtime, then, can be attributed to the consolidation and strengthening of the existing matrix bonds and eventual aging effects.



1 619 5 CONCLUSIONS

The present study deals with a multiscale study of CBTM mixtures and FAM mortars. The evolution during curing of stiffness and strength is assessed to evaluate the effect of four types and two dosages of cementitious binders (two B/C ratios) and two curing conditions (i.e. unsealed and sealed curing). Finally, the predictive ability of properly designed FAM mortars is evaluated relating their mechanical behaviour to those of the mixtures. The main findings of the paper are the following:

- Use of rapid-hardening Sulfoaluminous cement C2 can improve the mechanical properties of CBTM in the early-stage of curing;
- Sulfoaluminous (C2) and high strength cement (C3) allow enhancing the mechanical properties of CBTM in the long-term;
- FAM mortars show a similar sensitivity in terms of stiffness and strength evolution compared to mixtures;
- Unsealed curing improved material performances resulting in an higher strength and lower stiffness;
- Properly designed mortars showed a satisfactory potential ability to predict mixtures behaviour regardless of curing conditions, cementitious binder type and dosages. It is worth highlighting that such a result could be related to the composition of mixtures examined.

Use of CBTM FAM mortar as a tool for predicting mixture behaviour and support mechanical
modelling is a new tool. Despite that, it has turned out to be very promising. Further studies are
needed to evaluate the influence of scale effects on the predicting ability.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Authors would like to acknowledge Italcementi – HeidelbergCement Group for funding
the research and providing the cementitious binders. Furthermore, the Authors express their
gratitude to Società Cooperativa Braccianti Riminese and to Valli Zabban S.p.A. for providing
the reclaimed asphalt aggregate and the bitumen emulsion.

7 COMPLIANCE WITH ETHICAL STANDARDS

Funding: This study was funded by Italcementi – HeidelbergCement Group (grant number 2200700).

REFERENCES

- [1] T. J. Van Dam *et al.*, "FHWA-HIF-15-002 Towards Sustainable Pavement Systems :
 A Reference Document," p. 458, 2015.
- 654 [2] C. W. Schwartz, B. K. Diefenderfer, and B. F. Bowers, *Material Properties of Cold In-*655 *Place Recycled and Full-Depth Reclamation Asphalt Concrete*. 2017.
- G. Thenoux, Á. González, and R. Dowling, "Energy consumption comparison for
 different asphalt pavements rehabilitation techniques used in Chile," *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 325–339, 2007, doi: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2006.02.005.
- F. Xiao, S. Yao, J. Wang, X. Li, and S. Amirkhanian, "A literature review on cold
 recycling technology of asphalt pavement," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 180, pp. 579–
 604, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.06.006.
- 662 [5] M. R. Thompson and S. H. Carpenter, "10 Y EAR R ECYCLING AND R ESOURCE
 663 R ECOVERY P LAN ' Creating a Pathway to Zero Waste ," no. 09, 2010.

- 1 664 [6] A. Graziani, C. Godenzoni, F. Cardone, and M. Bocci, "Effect of curing on the physical 2 3 665 and mechanical properties of cold-recycled bituminous mixtures," Mater. Des., vol. 95, 4 5 6 666 pp. 358-369, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.matdes.2016.01.094.
- 8 A. Grilli, A. Graziani, E. Bocci, and M. Bocci, "Volumetric properties and influence of 667 [7] water content on the compactability of cold recycled mixtures," Mater. Struct. Constr., 11 668 ¹³ 669 vol. 49, no. 10, pp. 4349–4362, 2016, doi: 10.1617/s11527-016-0792-x.
- 16 670 F. Cardone, A. Grilli, M. Bocci, and A. Graziani, "Curing and temperature sensitivity of [8] 18 671 cement-bitumen treated materials," Int. J. Pavement Eng., vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 868-880, 2015, doi: 10.1080/10298436.2014.966710. 672
 - Y. Kim, S. Im, and H. D. Lee, "Impacts of curing time and moisture content on [9] 674 engineering properties of cold in-place recycling mixtures using foamed or emulsified asphalt," J. Mater. Civ. Eng., 2011, doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533.0000209.
- T. A. Doyle, C. McNally, A. Gibney, and A. Tabaković, "Developing maturity methods 676 [10] ₃₃ 677 for the assessment of cold-mix bituminous materials," Constr. Build. Mater., vol. 38, pp. 35 678 524-529, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2012.09.008.
- 679 [11] J. Yang, P. Yan, X. Kong, and X. Li, "Study on the hardening mechanism of cement asphalt binder," Sci. China Technol. Sci., vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 1406-1412, 2010, doi: 40 680 681 10.1007/s11431-010-0010-y.
 - S. Du, "Interaction mechanism of cement and asphalt emulsion in asphalt emulsion [12] mixtures," Mater. Struct. Constr., vol. 47, no. 7, pp. 1149-1159, 2014, doi: 683 10.1617/s11527-013-0118-1.
 - [13] L. Ziyani, V. Gaudefroy, V. Ferber, D. Deneele, and F. Hammoum, "Chemical reactivity of mineral aggregates in aqueous solution: Relationship with bitumen emulsion 686 breaking," J. Mater. Sci., vol. 49, no. 6, pp. 2465–2476, 2014, doi: 10.1007/s10853-013-

7

3

4 5

7 8

9 10

12

14 15

17

19 20

21 22

24 25

26 27

29 30

31 32

34

36 37

38 39

41 42

43 44

46

48 49

51

53 54

55 56

- 7938-9.
- A. García, P. Lura, M. N. Partl, and I. Jerjen, "Influence of cement content and 689 [14] 6 690 environmental humidity on asphalt emulsion and cement composites performance," Mater. Struct. Constr., vol. 46, no. 8, pp. 1275-1289, 2013, doi: 10.1617/s11527-012-691 11 692 9971-6.
- 13 693 F. Wang, Y. Liu, and S. Hu, "Effect of early cement hydration on the chemical stability [15] of asphalt emulsion," Constr. Build. Mater., vol. 42, pp. 146-151, 2013, doi: 16 694 18 695 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2013.01.009.
- N. Pouliot, J. Marchand, and M. Pigeon, "Hydration mechanisms, microstructure, and 696 [16] mechanical properties of mortars prepared with mixed binder cement slurry-asphalt 23 697 698 emulsion," J. Mater. Civ. Eng., vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 54-59, 2003, doi: 28 699 10.1061/(ASCE)0899-1561(2003)15:1(54).
- T. Saadoon, B. Gómez-Meijide, and A. Garcia, "Prediction of water evaporation and 700 [17] ₃₃ 701 stability of cold asphalt mixtures containing different types of cement," Constr. Build. 35 702 Mater., vol. 186, pp. 751–761, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.07.218.
- 703 [18] S. Du, "Effect of curing conditions on properties of cement asphalt emulsion mixture," 40 704 Constr. Build. Mater.. vol. 164. 84-93. 2018, doi: pp. 705 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.12.179.
- P. Lura, K. Van Breugel, and I. Maruyama, "Effect of curing temperature and type of 45 706 [19] 47 cement on early-age shrinkage of high-performance concrete," Cem. Concr. Res., vol. 707 50 708 31, no. 12, pp. 1867–1872, 2001, doi: 10.1016/S0008-8846(01)00601-9.
- 52 709 [20] M. Bocci, A. Grilli, F. Cardone, and A. Graziani, "A study on the mechanical behaviour of cement-bitumen treated materials," Constr. Build. Mater., vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 773-778, 710 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2010.07.007. 57 711
 - 40

1 712 [21] A. Kavussi and A. Modarres, "Laboratory fatigue models for recycled mixes with 2 3 713 bitumen emulsion and cement," Constr. Build. Mater., vol. 24, no. 10, pp. 1920-1927, 4 5 6 714 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2010.04.009.

8 A. Graziani, C. Mignini, E. Bocci, and M. Bocci, "Complex Modulus Testing and 715 [22] 9 10 11 716 Rheological Modeling of Cold-Recycled Mixtures," J. Test. Eval., vol. 48, no. 1, p. 12 13 717 20180905, 2020, doi: 10.1520/jte20180905. 14

- P. Fu and J. T. Harvey, "Temperature sensitivity of foamed asphalt mix stiffness: Field 718 [23] 18 719 and lab study," Int. J. Pavement Eng., 2007, doi: 10.1080/10298430601149486.
- B. Dolzycki, C. Szydlowski, and M. Jaczewski, "The influence of combination of 720 [24] binding agents on fatigue properties of deep cold in-place recycled mixtures in Indirect 23 721 722 Tensile Fatigue Test (ITFT)," Constr. Build. Mater.. 2020. doi: 28 723 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.117825.
- 30 724 S. Oruc, F. Celik, and M. V. Akpinar, "Effect of cement on emulsified asphalt mixtures," [25] 31 32 J. Mater. Eng. Perform., vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 578-583, 2007, doi: 10.1007/s11665-007-725 33 34 35 726 9095-2. 36
- 37 727 Y. Niazi and M. Jalili, "Effect of Portland cement and lime additives on properties of [26] 38 39 cold in-place recycled mixtures with asphalt emulsion," Constr. Build. Mater., vol. 23, 40 728 41 42 no. 3, pp. 1338–1343, 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2008.07.020. 729 43
- A. Al-Hdabi, H. Al Nageim, and L. Seton, "Performance of gap graded cold asphalt [27] 45 730 46 47 731 containing cement treated filler," Constr. Build. Mater., vol. 69, pp. 362-369, 2014, doi: 48 49 50 732 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.07.081.
- 52 733 [28] I. N. A. Thanaya, S. E. Zoorob, and J. P. Forth, "A laboratory study on cold-mix, coldlay emulsion mixtures," Proc. Inst. Civ. Eng. Transp., vol. 162, no. 1, pp. 47-55, 2009, 734 doi: 10.1680/tran.2009.162.1.47. 57 735

41

7

15

16 17

19 20

21 22

24 25

26 27

29

44

51

53 54

- 1 736 [29] X. Fang, A. Garcia, F. Winnefeld, M. N. Partl, and P. Lura, "Impact of rapid-hardening 2 3 737 cements on mechanical properties of cement bitumen emulsion asphalt," Mater. Struct. 4 5 6 738 Constr., vol. 49, no. 1–2, pp. 487–498, 2016, doi: 10.1617/s11527-014-0512-3.
- 8 J. W. Phair, "Green chemistry for sustainable cement production and use," Green Chem., 739 [30] 10 11 740 vol. 8, no. 9, pp. 763-780, 2006, doi: 10.1039/b603997a.
- 13 741 M. C. G. Juenger, F. Winnefeld, J. L. Provis, and J. H. Ideker, "Advances in alternative [31] 16 742 cementitious binders," Cem. Concr. Res., vol. 41, no. 12, pp. 1232-1243, 2011, doi: 18 743 10.1016/j.cemconres.2010.11.012.
- A. Al-Hdabi, H. Al Nageim, and L. Seton, "Superior cold rolled asphalt mixtures using 744 [32] supplementary cementations materials," Constr. Build. Mater., vol. 64, pp. 95-102, 23 745 25 746 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.04.033.
- 27 28 747 A. I. Nassar, M. K. Mohammed, N. Thom, and T. Parry, "Mechanical, durability and [33] 29 30 748 microstructure properties of Cold Asphalt Emulsion Mixtures with different types of 31 32 749 filler," Constr. Build. vol. 114, 352-363, 2016, Mater., pp. doi: 33 35 750 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.03.112.
- A. Dulaimi, H. Al Nageim, F. Ruddock, and L. Seton, "High performance cold asphalt 751 [34] concrete mixture for binder course using alkali-activated binary blended cementitious 40 752 753 filler," Build. 160 - 170,Constr. Mater., vol. 141, pp. 2017,doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.02.155. 45 754
- B. S. Underwood and Y. R. Kim, "Microstructural investigation of asphalt concrete for 755 [35] 50 756 performing multiscale experimental studies," Int. J. Pavement Eng., 2013, doi: ⁵² 757 10.1080/10298436.2012.746689.
- D. H. Allen, D. N. Little, R. F. Soares, and C. Berthelot, "Multi-scale computational 758 [36] model for design of flexible pavement-part I: expanding multi-scaling," Int. J. Pavement 57 759

7

9

12

14 15

17

19 20

21 22

24

26

61 62 63

3

4 5

6 7 8

9 10

12

14 15

16 17

19 20

21 22

24 25

26 27

29

31

44

51

53 54

55 56

Eng., 2017, doi: 10.1080/10298436.2015.1065999.

- 761 R. A. Freire, L. F. A. L. Babadopulos, V. T. F. Castelo Branco, and A. Bhasin, [37] 762 "Aggregate maximum nominal sizes' influence on fatigue damage performance using different scales," J. Mater. Civ. Eng., vol. 29, no. 8, pp. 1-11, 2017, doi: 763 11 764 10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533.0001912.
- 13 765 P. Sousa, E. Kassem, E. Masad, and D. Little, "New design method of fine aggregates [38] mixtures and automated method for analysis of dynamic mechanical characterization 766 18 767 data." Constr. Build. 41, Mater., vol. pp. 216-223,2013, doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2012.11.038. 768
- A. Izadi, A. Motamed, and A. Bhasin, "Designing Fine Aggregate Mixtures to Evaluate 23 769 [39] 770 Fatigue Crack-Growth in Asphalt Mixtures Anoosha Izadi, Arash Motamed and Amit 28 771 Bhasin Report 161022-1 Center for Transportation Research The University of Texas at ³⁰ 772 Austin 1616 Guadalupe Street Austin, TX 787," vol. 7, no. 1, p. 54, 2011.
- 32 F. T. S. Aragão, G. A. Badilla-Vargas, D. A. Hartmann, A. D. de Oliveira, and Y. R. 773 [40] 33 34 35 774 Kim, "Characterization of temperature- and rate-dependent fracture properties of fine 36 37 775 aggregate bituminous mixtures using an integrated numerical-experimental approach," 38 39 40 776 Eng. Fract. Mech.. vol. 180. 195-212, 2017, doi: pp. 41 42 777 10.1016/j.engfracmech.2017.05.036. 43
- J. L. Le, R. Hendrickson, M. O. Marasteanu, and M. Turos, "Use of fine aggregate matrix 45 778 [41] 46 47 779 for computational modeling of low temperature fracture of asphalt concrete," Mater. 48 49 ₅₀ 780 Struct. Constr., vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 1–13, 2018, doi: 10.1617/s11527-018-1277-x.
- ⁵² 781 [42] H. Fadil, D. Jelagin, P. L. Larsson, and M. N. Partl, "Measurement of the viscoelastic properties of asphalt mortar and its components with indentation tests," Road Mater. 782 57 783 Pavement Des., vol. 20, no. sup2, pp. S797-S811, 2019, doi:
- 64 65

3

4 5

6 7 8

9 10

12

14 15

16 17

19 20

21 22

24 25

26 27

29

31 32

33 34

36 37

38 39

41 42

43 44

46

48 49

51

53 54

55 56

10.1080/14680629.2019.1628434.

- 785 F. Fakhari Tehrani, J. Absi, F. Allou, and C. Petit, "Micromechanical modelling of [43] 786 bituminous materials' complex modulus at different length scales," Int. J. Pavement Eng., vol. 19, no. 8, pp. 685–696, 2018, doi: 10.1080/10298436.2016.1199879. 787
- 11 788 B. S. Underwood and Y. R. Kim, "Effect of volumetric factors on the mechanical [44] 13 789 behavior of asphalt fine aggregate matrix and the relationship to asphalt mixture 790 properties," Constr. Build. 672-681, Mater., vol. 49, pp. 2013, doi: 18 791 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2013.08.045.
- S. Caro, E. Masad, G. Airey, A. Bhasin, and D. Little, "Probabilistic analysis of fracture 792 [45] 23 793 in asphalt mixtures caused by moisture damage," Transp. Res. Rec., 2008, doi: 10.3141/2057-04. 794
- 28 795 A. Gundla, P. Gudipudi, and B. S. Underwood, "Evaluation of the sensitivity of asphalt [46] ³⁰ 796 concrete modulus to binder oxidation with a multiple length scale study," Constr. Build. Mater., 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.07.067. 797
- 35 798 Y. Zhang and Z. Leng, "Quantification of bituminous mortar ageing and its application [47] 799 in ravelling evaluation of porous asphalt wearing courses," Mater. Des., vol. 119, pp. 1-11, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.matdes.2017.01.052. 40 800
- J. Neumann, J. W. Simon, K. Mollenhauer, and S. Reese, "A framework for 3D synthetic 801 [48] 45 802 mesoscale models of hot mix asphalt for the finite element method," Constr. Build. 47 Mater., vol. 148, pp. 857–873, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.04.033. 803
- 50 804 L. Eberhardsteiner, B. Hofko, and R. Blab, "Prediction of Hot Mix Asphalt Stiffness [49] 52 805 behavior by Means of Multiscale Modeling."
- E. Aigner, R. Lackner, and C. Pichler, "Multiscale prediction of viscoelastic properties 806 [50] of asphalt concrete," J. Mater. Civ. Eng., vol. 21, no. 12, pp. 771-780, 2009, doi: 57 807

10.1061/(ASCE)0899-1561(2009)21:12(771).

- ³ 809 [51] C. Mignini, F. Cardone, and A. Graziani, "Experimental study of bitumen emulsion– ⁵ 810 cement mortars: mechanical behaviour and relation to mixtures," *Mater. Struct. Constr.*, ⁷ ⁸ 811 vol. 51, no. 6, 2018, doi: 10.1617/s11527-018-1276-y.
- 812 [52] A. Graziani, S. Raschia, C. Mignini, and A. Carter, "Use of fine aggregate matrix to analyze the rheological behavior of cold recycled materials," *Mater. Struct.*, vol. 7, 2020, doi: 10.1617/s11527-020-01515-7.
 - 815 [53] M. Miljković and M. Radenberg, "Fracture behaviour of bitumen emulsion mortar
 816 mixtures," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 62, pp. 126–134, 2014, doi:
 817 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.03.034.
- ²⁵ 818 [54] M. Miljković, M. Radenberg, X. Fang, and P. Lura, "Influence of emulsifier content on
 ²⁷ 819 cement hydration and mechanical performance of bitumen emulsion mortar," *Mater.* ³⁰ 820 *Struct. Constr.*, vol. 50, no. 3, 2017, doi: 10.1617/s11527-017-1052-4.
- 821 [55] M. Miljković and M. Radenberg, "Characterising the influence of bitumen emulsion on ad asphalt mixture performance," *Mater. Struct. Constr.*, vol. 48, no. 7, pp. 2195–2210, 26
 823 2015, doi: 10.1617/s11527-014-0302-y.
- 40 824 [56] M. Miljković and M. Radenberg, "Effect of compaction energy on physical and
 42 825 mechanical performance of bitumen emulsion mortar," *Mater. Struct. Constr.*, vol. 49,
 44 45 826 no. 1–2, pp. 193–205, 2016, doi: 10.1617/s11527-014-0488-z.
- ⁴⁷ 827 [57] M. Miljković, L. Poulikakos, F. Piemontese, M. Shakoorioskooie, and P. Lura,
 ⁴⁹ 50 828 "Mechanical behaviour of bitumen emulsion-cement composites across the structural
 ⁵¹ transition of the co-binder system," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 215, pp. 217–232, 2019,
 ⁵⁴ 655 830 doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.04.169.
- ⁵⁷ 831 [58] J. Fu, Y. Yang, X. Zhang, and F. Wang, "Different strain distributions of cement-⁵⁸

- 1 832 emulsified asphalt concrete pavement between the macro- and meso-scale," Road Mater. 2 3 833 Pavement Des., 2018, doi: 10.1080/14680629.2016.1259121. 4 5 6 834 A. Stimilli, G. Ferrotti, A. Graziani, and F. Canestrari, "Performance evaluation of a [59] 7 8 cold-recycled mixture containing high percentage of reclaimed asphalt," Road Mater. 835 9 10 11 836 Pavement Des., 2013, doi: 10.1080/14680629.2013.774752. 12 13 837 C. Godenzoni, A. Graziani, E. Bocci, and M. Bocci, "The evolution of the mechanical [60] 14 15 behaviour of cold recycled mixtures stabilised with cement and bitumen: field and 838 16 17 18 839 laboratory study," 2018, doi: Road Mater. Pavement Des., 19 20 840 10.1080/14680629.2017.1279073. 21 22 A. Graziani, C. Iafelice, S. Raschia, D. Perraton, and A. Carter, "A procedure for 23 841 [61] 24 25 842 characterizing the curing process of cold recycled bitumen emulsion mixtures," Constr. 26 27 28 843 Build. Mater., vol. 173, 754-762, Jun. 2018, doi: pp. 29 30 844 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.04.091. 31 32 P. autonoma di Bolzano, "Capitolato speciale d'appalto opere stradali," 2016. [62] 845 33 34 35 846 Autostrade per l'Italia, "Norme tecniche di appalto pavimentazioni," 2013. [63] 36 37 S. Raschia, A. Graziani, A. Carter, and D. Perraton, "Laboratory mechanical 847 [64] 38 39 characterisation of cold recycled mixtures produced with different RAP sources," Road 40 848 41 42 Mater. Pavement Des., 2019, doi: 10.1080/14680629.2019.1588775. 849 43 44 C. Mignini, F. Cardone, A. Graziani, A. Morbi, and L. Setti, "Effect of curing on the [65] 45 850 46 47 indirect tensile failure energy of cement-bitumen treated materials," in Bituminous 851 48 49 50 852 Mixtures and Pavements VII, 2019. 51 52 853 [66] Y. Tan, J. Ouyang, and Y. Li, "Factors influencing rheological properties of fresh cement 53 54 asphalt emulsion paste," Constr. Build. Mater., vol. 68, pp. 611-617, 2014, doi: 854 55 56 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.07.020. 57 855 58 59 60 61 46 62 63
- 64 65

- ¹ 856 [67] J. Ouyang, Y. Tan, Y. Li, and J. Zhao, "Demulsification process of asphalt emulsion in ³ 857 fresh cement-asphalt emulsion paste," *Mater. Struct. Constr.*, vol. 48, no. 12, pp. 3875– ⁵ 858 3883, 2015, doi: 10.1617/s11527-014-0446-9.
 - E. Bocci, A. Graziani, and M. Bocci, "Cold in-place recycling for a base layer of an
 Italian high-traffic highway," in *Lecture Notes in Civil Engineering*, 2020.
 - [69] P. Lura, F. Winnefeld, and X. Fang, "A simple method for determining the total amount
 of physically and chemically bound water of different cements," *J. Therm. Anal. Calorim.*, vol. 130, no. 2, pp. 653–660, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s10973-017-6513-z.
 - [70] D. W. Christensen, T. Pellinen, and R. F. Bonaquist, "Hirsch model for estimating the modulus of asphalt concrete," in *Asphalt Paving Technology: Association of Asphalt Paving Technologists-Proceedings of the Technical Sessions*, 2003.
 - [71] S. Ahmed and F. R. Jones, "A review of particulate reinforcement theories for polymer composites," *J. Mater. Sci.*, vol. 25, no. 12, pp. 4933–4942, Dec. 1990, doi: 10.1007/BF00580110.