



UNIVERSITÀ POLITECNICA DELLE MARCHE  
Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Growth and phosphatase activities of *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* biofilms supplied with diverse dissolved organic phosphorus (DOP) compounds

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

*Original*

Growth and phosphatase activities of *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* biofilms supplied with diverse dissolved organic phosphorus (DOP) compounds / Ellwood, N., Pasella, M.m., Totti, C., Accoroni, S.. - In: AQUATIC MICROBIAL ECOLOGY. - ISSN 0948-3055. - 85:(2020), pp. 155-166. [10.3354/ame01946]

*Availability:*

This version is available at: 11566/285349 since: 2024-03-26T16:07:25Z

*Publisher:*

*Published*

DOI:10.3354/ame01946

*Terms of use:*

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. The use of copyrighted works requires the consent of the rights' holder (author or publisher). Works made available under a Creative Commons license or a Publisher's custom-made license can be used according to the terms and conditions contained therein. See editor's website for further information and terms and conditions.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università Politecnica delle Marche (<https://iris.univpm.it>). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)

DOP use by *Ostreopsis cf. ovata*

1 **Growth and phosphatase activities of *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* biofilm incubated under diverse**  
2 **dissolved organic phosphorus compounds**

3

4 Neil Thomas William Ellwood<sup>1</sup>, Marisa Margherita Pasella<sup>2,3</sup>, Cecilia Totti<sup>2,4</sup>, Stefano Accoroni<sup>2,4\*</sup>

5

6 <sup>1</sup>Dipartimento di Scienze, Università Roma Tre, Viale G. Marconi 446, 00146 Roma, Italy

7 <sup>2</sup>Dipartimento di Scienze della Vita e dell'Ambiente, Università Politecnica delle Marche, Via Brecce  
8 Bianche, 60131 Ancona, Italy

9 <sup>3</sup>School of BioSciences, University of Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia.

10 <sup>4</sup>Consorzio Interuniversitario per le Scienze del Mare, CoNISMa, ULR Ancona, 60131 Ancona, Italy

11

12

13

14

15 \*Corresponding Author:

16 Stefano Accoroni

17 s.accoroni@univpm.it

18 tel. +39 071 2204919

19 fax: +39 071 2204650

20

1 **Abstract**

2 It is becoming increasingly evident that the use of organic nutrients is actually widespread among  
3 many aquatic phototrophic organisms. Simultaneously, incidents of eutrophication of coastal waters  
4 are becoming more common due to rises in organic nutrient loads deriving from anthropogenic  
5 activities and natural terrestrial processes. In the northern Adriatic Sea, blooms of the toxic  
6 dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* are reported as a frequent phenomenon linked to particular  
7 environmental conditions including increased organic nutrient loads. *Ostreopsis* blooms typically  
8 produce a mucilaginous biofilm that can cover all benthic substrata. In order to clarify the role of  
9 the Dissolved Organic Phosphorus (DOP) in the onset and maintenance of an *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata*  
10 bloom, we investigated the growth rates in the presence of a range of phosphomonoesters (D-  
11 Fructose 1,6-disphosphate,  $\beta$ -Glycerophosphate,  $\alpha$ -D-Glucose 1-phosphate, Guanosine 5'-  
12 monophosphate and Phytic acid) and phosphodiesteres (DNA and RNA). Levels of both  
13 phosphomonoesterase (PMEase) and phosphodiesterase (PDEase) activities were assessed in the  
14 *Ostreopsis* biofilms. The results showed that *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* growth is not inhibited in media  
15 containing a wide range of DOP and diverse ratios of PME:PDE compared to those containing  
16 inorganic phosphorus. Much of the hydrolytic activity was associated with bacteria and with the  
17 Extracellular Polymeric Substances (EPS). Based on the present findings, *O. cf. ovata* success  
18 seems to stem from the collective participation of all the components of the biofilm (*Ostreopsis*,  
19 EPS and bacteria) that allows it to thrive in P-limited environments, but where organic P is the main  
20 source of P.

21

22 **Keywords**

23 *Ostreopsis*; harmful benthic dinoflagellate; phosphorus limitation; phosphomonoesterase;  
24 phosphodiesterase; organic phosphorus

25

## 1 **1. Introduction**

2 During the last two decades, efforts to better understand the phenomenon of the Harmful Algal  
3 Blooms (HABs) have intensified mainly because of the increasing trend in the number of these  
4 events and their negative impact on human health, aquatic ecosystems, and the economy (Skinner et  
5 al. 2011, Wells et al. 2020).

6 In the Mediterranean Sea, some species of benthic dinoflagellates belonging to the genus *Ostreopsis*  
7 have gained particular attention, because of the numerous issues associated to their toxic blooms  
8 that regularly occur in summer-autumn in rocky coasts (Accoroni et al. 2015, Jauzein et al. 2018,  
9 Vassalli et al. 2018). These blooms are often associated with noxious effects through marine aerosol  
10 inhalation or direct contact on human health (Pfannkuchen et al. 2012, Vila et al. 2016), and marine  
11 organisms, causing suffering or mass mortalities (Faimali et al. 2012, Gorbi et al. 2013). These  
12 harmful effects are attributed to the production of toxins mostly belonging to the palytoxin group  
13 (Tartaglione et al. 2017).

14 Among the *Ostreopsis* species recorded in the Mediterranean Sea (Penna et al. 2012, Accoroni et al.  
15 2016a), *O. cf ovata* is the most abundant and widely distributed (Battocchi et al. 2010). It has been  
16 shown that hydrodynamics, water temperature and inorganic nutrients, among other environmental  
17 parameters, are those environmental factors that mainly influence bloom dynamics (Accoroni &  
18 Totti 2016, Fricke et al. 2018). Nevertheless, there is still not a clear understanding of all the factors  
19 (as well as the interactions among them) that drive bloom development throughout all its phases.

20 For example, although there is evidence of mixotrophic behavior in many HAB species (Burkholder  
21 et al. 2008) including *Ostreopsis* (Faust & Morton 1995), only a few studies have investigated the  
22 role of organic nutrients on growth and/or bloom dynamics (Accoroni et al. 2017, Jauzein et al.  
23 2017). Moreover, it is now accepted that dissolved organic nutrients are quantitatively important in  
24 the phenomenon of coastal eutrophication (Suzumura et al. 1998, Heisler et al. 2008, Glibert &  
25 Burkholder 2011, Karl & Bjorkman 2015).

1 Until recently, the aquatic P status of coastal environments was nearly always assessed based on  
2 inorganic P levels (Dissolved Inorganic P; DIP), but it is becoming increasingly clear that inclusion  
3 of organic fractions (Dissolved Organic P; DOP) is of paramount importance. The DOP levels of  
4 coastal waters can be significantly higher than those of DIP, due to human-induced processes and  
5 natural events (Suzumura et al. 1998, Dyhrman & Ruttenberg 2006), and in these environments  
6 species which can use DOP would be more competitive and likely to reach bloom levels (Accoroni  
7 et al. 2017). Intense *Ostreopsis* blooms have occurred annually along the Conero Riviera, Ancona  
8 forming loose biofilms (sometimes referred to as mats) on the surface of macrophytes and most  
9 other benthic substrata (Accoroni et al. 2016b). Based on the DIP concentrations, this area, as well  
10 as in the entire northern Adriatic, is generally considered very P-limited (Cozzi & Giani 2011, Giani  
11 et al. 2012). A recent study however, showed that P limitation was much less pronounced with the  
12 inclusion of organic P fractions, which in the Conero Riviera often accounted for 85% of total  
13 phosphorus (Accoroni et al. 2017).

14 Recycling orthophosphate from organic substrates generally requires hydrolysis by a group of  
15 enzymes collectively known as the phosphatases that are produced by most organisms (bacteria,  
16 algae, animals). In mats of *O. cf. ovata*, elevated phosphomonoesterases (PMEase) and  
17 phosphodiesterases (PDEase) activities have been measured throughout the bloom cycle (Accoroni  
18 et al. 2017). In *O. cf. ovata* biofilms, intense activity was observed in the exopolymeric substances  
19 (EPS), likely resulting from an accumulation over time of extruded enzymes from both the  
20 phototrophic and heterotrophic component of the biofilm. The mat life-form was suggested to aid in  
21 efficient nutrient entrapment and processing, as well as to prevent loss of substrates and products to  
22 the surrounding water (Whitton et al. 2009, Larned et al. 2011, Accoroni et al. 2017). Since PMEase  
23 and PDEase have been measured in the biofilms and both are linkage-specific, their presence  
24 signifies a potential of the *O. cf. ovata* biofilms to effectively hydrolyse a wide range of organic P  
25 compounds (Accoroni et al. 2017). The source of the enzymes in the EPS was assumed to originate

1 from both *O. cf. ovata* and the EPS bacterial community, but the contribution of each to the overall  
2 biofilm activity has not yet been determined.

3 The aim of the present work was to investigate the ability of *O. cf. ovata* to use a variety of organic  
4 P forms (phosphomonoesters [PME] and phosphodiester [PDE]). This ability was assessed by  
5 comparing growth rates and rates of phosphomonoesterase (PMEase) and phosphodiesterase  
6 (PDEase) with controls incubated with orthophosphate only. To determine the origin of the  
7 phosphatases within the *Ostreopsis*-biofilm samples, PMEase and PDEase assays were carried out  
8 on separate biofilm components (*Ostreopsis*, bacteria and EPS). Colorimetric staining of  
9 phosphatases was also carried out to observe the location of the PMEase within the biofilm. By  
10 demonstrating the ability to utilize a range of organic substrates could help determine why *O. cf.*  
11 *ovata* repeatedly grows to bloom levels in such a strongly P-limited area such as the Conero  
12 Riviera.

## 14 **2. Materials and methods**

### 15 *2.1. Culture techniques*

16 A non-axenic strain of *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* (OOAPS0810/S3) was isolated from epiphytic  
17 community found on seaweeds during a bloom in 2010 along the Conero Riviera (NW Adriatic  
18 Sea) at the Passetto site (43°37'01.7"N 13°32'01.5"E), using a capillary pipette method (Hoshaw &  
19 Rosowski 1973). The cultures were grown and maintained at 21±0.1 °C under a 12:12 h L:D  
20 photoperiod at an irradiance of 90-100 μmol m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> in modified f/4 medium (-Si, +Se). The medium  
21 was prepared by adding macronutrients to give f/4 medium concentration (Guillard 1975), while,  
22 trace metals, vitamins and HEPES buffer (pH 7.1) were f/2 medium concentrations. Salinity was  
23 kept at 35 and pH at 8 ± 0.05. Cultures grown to the mid-late exponential phase were used as the  
24 inocula in the diverse experiments. At this phase, nutrient analyses showed inorganic P levels were  
25 at the limits of detection for 5+ days. All treatments were carried out in triplicate. All the flasks

1 were washed with 30% v/v HCl to remove substances such as ammonia and metals and were then  
2 rinsed three times with deionized water.

### 3 4 2.2. *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* and bacterial growth on organic phosphorus

5 The ability of *O. cf. ovata* to grow using DOP as a P source was investigated omitting the  
6 orthophosphate ( $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ , OrthoP) in the modified f/4 medium (see above) and replacing it  
7 with singular PME or PDEs (see below). The final P concentration in all treatments was  $40 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$   
8 <sup>1</sup>. Mid-late exponential phase cells of *O. cf. ovata* were used as the inocula at a starting density of  
9 around  $500 \text{ cells ml}^{-1}$  in 250 ml flasks. Five PMEs [D-Fructose 1,6-bisphosphate (FDP; Sigma-  
10 Aldrich),  $\beta$ -Glycerophosphate (GlyP; Sigma-Aldrich),  $\alpha$ -D-Glucose 1-phosphate (G1P; Sigma-  
11 Aldrich), Guanosine 5'-monophosphate (GMP; Sigma-Aldrich) and Phytic acid (PA; Sigma-  
12 Aldrich)] and two PDEs [Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA; Sigma-Aldrich) and Ribonucleic acid  
13 (RNA: Roche Diagnostics)] were used. Control culture media contained OrthoP at the same final  
14 concentration ( $40 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ ). Sampling involved homogenization of the medium, three aliquots (1  
15 ml) were taken from each flask every three days over a period of 39 days and preserved with 0.8%  
16 neutralized formaldehyde and stored in the dark at  $-4 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  until cell counts were made.

### 17 18 2.3. Effect of DOP source on phosphatase activities of the *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* biofilm

19 To elicit changes in phosphatase response, singular and mixed (PME and PDE) incubations were  
20 repeated using GMP (PME) and RNA (PDE) as model substrates. High ( $75 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ ) and low ( $2.5$   
21  $\mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ ) concentrations of each single substrate and diverse ratios of PME and PDE (PDE:PME=  
22 1:10, 10:1 and 1:1 using substrates at  $2.5:22.5$ ,  $22.5:2.5$  and  $12.5:12.5 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ , respectively) were  
23 tested. Samples of 9 ml were collected from each repeat culture after homogenization at discrete  
24 times (0.6, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 14, 20, 26, 32, 40 days after inoculation). From each sample, 1 ml was

1 preserved for both bacterial and *O. cf. ovata* counts, 5 ml for the nutrient analysis (see below) and 3  
2 ml for PMEase and PDEase assays (1.5 ml for each substrate type).  
3 The procedure used for the alkaline phosphatase activity assay broadly followed that of Turner et al.  
4 (2001). The colorimetric substrates *para*-nitrophenyl phosphate ( $\rho$ NPP) and bis-*para*-nitrophenyl  
5 phosphate (bis- $\rho$ NPP) were used as analogue substrates for PMEase and PDEase activities,  
6 respectively. Assays consisted of 4.32 ml of sample (for each substrate, 1.5 ml subsamples were  
7 made up to 4.32 ml with fresh medium) dispensed in 15 ml glass tubes; the samples were then  
8 incubated in a shaking incubator for 20 min at 25 °C. The assay was then started by the addition of  
9 0.18 ml of  $\rho$ NPP or bis- $\rho$ NPP (1000  $\mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ ) and timed precisely. The assay in general lasted 1  
10 hour, and the reaction was stopped by adding 0.5 ml NaOH (1 mol  $\text{l}^{-1}$ ). Then, each sample was  
11 centrifuged for 9 seconds at 0.010 x g, the absorbance of the supernatant was then read at 405 nm  
12 using a Varian Cary 100 Scan spectrophotometer. As total activity of the biofilm came from  
13 enzymes deriving from diverse origins, the units given for activity are given as  $\mu\text{mol } \rho\text{NP ml}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$  to  
14 avoid misinterpretations.

15

#### 16 2.4. Phosphatase activity in the different components of the *Ostreopsis* biofilm

17 In order to investigate the origin of phosphatase activity of the cultures, assays were performed on  
18 separated cells of *Ostreopsis*, bacteria and on EPS obtained from cultured non-axenic *Ostreopsis*  
19 biofilm grown in modified f/4 medium. This was done by filtering 5 ml of sample through  
20 membrane filters of 0.45 and 0.22  $\mu\text{m}$  poresize, and performing the phosphatase assay (see above)  
21 on both the original culture and the two filtrates: (A) unfiltered culture, (B) 0.45  $\mu\text{m}$  filtrate and (C)  
22 0.22  $\mu\text{m}$  filtrate. The phosphatase values of each component were calculated as follows: *Ostreopsis*  
23 cells and closely-associated bacteria= A-B; loosely-associated and free bacteria = B-C;  
24 Extracellular= C.

25

## 1 2.5. Microscopy analyses

2 *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* abundances were estimated using an inverted microscope (Zeiss Axiovert 135)  
3 at 200x magnification. Samples were settled in counting chambers after homogenization, according  
4 to the Utermöhl sedimentation method (Edler & Elbrächter 2010). Counts were made on either a  
5 half or a whole sedimentation chamber to give a representative cell number, which were expressed  
6 as cells ml<sup>-1</sup>. Locating PMEase activity involved staining with 5-bromo-4-chloro-3'-  
7 indolyphosphate-nitro blue tetrazolium (BCIP-NBT) following the procedure described in Accoroni  
8 et al. (2017). BCIP-NBT is a chromogenic phosphatase substrate that produces a blue-purple-  
9 colored precipitate at the site of enzymatic activity. Samples of *Ostreopsis* biofilm were incubated  
10 in 4 ml BCIP-NBT solution (Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals, Poole, UK) at room temperature (20 °C)  
11 for around 15–20 min before terminating the reaction with 0.5 M NaOH and washing with de-  
12 ionised water. Images were taken using a Canon EOS 6D camera (Canon Inc., Tokyo, Japan) at the  
13 light microscope.

14 The bacterial populations within the EPS were analysed by epifluorescence after staining samples  
15 with the green-fluorescing SYBR Green I (Molecular Probes; dilution 1:2000) to visualize nucleic  
16 acids and the cellulose specific dye Calcofluor-White M2R to distinguish *Ostreopsis* thecae.  
17 Moreover, observations in epifluorescence allowed detecting also the red autofluorescence of the  
18 chlorophyll.

19

## 20 2.6. Nutrient analyses

21 Water samples (5 ml) were filtered using 25-mm GFF filters (Whatman) and the filtrates were then  
22 persulphate digested at 1 bar (120 °C) for 45 min (Langner & Hendrix 1982). Analysis of Filtrable  
23 Total Phosphorus (FTP) was carried out spectrophotometrically using molybdate colorimetry  
24 (Eisenreich et al. 1975). Limits of detection for P were 0.03 µmol l<sup>-1</sup>.

25

## 1 2.7. Calculations and statistical analyses

2 *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* growth was expressed as specific growth rate ( $\mu$ , day<sup>-1</sup>) using the equation

$$3 \mu = (\ln N_1 - \ln N_0) / (t_2 - t_1)$$

4 where  $N_1$  is the final cell density,  $N_0$  is the initial cell density and  $T$  is the number of days between  
5 two measurements.

6 The statistical analyses were conducted using Statistica 10.0 (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA)  
7 software. The Shapiro-Wilks test was used to check data for normal distribution, while the Levene's  
8 test was used to assess homogeneity of variance. When data were not normally distributed nor  
9 homogeneity of variances were respected, data were rank-transformed. Differences in growth rate,  
10 maximum yield and phosphatase activities between the different conditions of nutrient availability  
11 were assessed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). When significant differences for the  
12 main effect were observed ( $p < 0.05$ ), a Tukey's pairwise comparison test was also performed.

13

## 14 3. Results

### 15 3.1. Effect of P source on growth of *Ostreopsis cf. ovata*

16 In general the growth rates of *O. cf. ovata* in media containing DOP were higher than those  
17 supplied with orthophosphate, the only exception being the FDP cultures (Fig. 1). The lowest values  
18 of growth rate ( $\leq 0.11 \text{ d}^{-1}$ ) and maximum yield (*ca.* 700 cells ml<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded in OrthoP and  
19 FDP cultures (Table 1). The mean cell abundances throughout the entire experiment in OrthoP  
20 cultures were significantly lower than those in GMP and RNA ( $p < 0.05$ ).

21 The mean cell abundances throughout the entire experiment of *O. cf. ovata* with GMP were higher  
22 than the other PME cultures (Fig. 1, significantly compared to that in FDP,  $p < 0.05$ ). Between the  
23 two PDE cultures, growth rates and maximum yield were highest in the RNA cultures, but were not  
24 significantly different.

1 Although the exponential growth of *O. cf. ovata* among the different DOP-containing media did not  
2 occur until day 9, the reduction in FTP in all the diverse media was rapid across the first 3 to 9 days  
3 occurring in the sequence GMP (96%) > PA (95%) > GlyP (91%) > OrthP (90%) > DNA (76%) >  
4 RNA (68%) > G1P (45%) > FDP (31%) based on percent P removal by day 6 (Fig. 1). After this  
5 initial period, in most cases there was little change in concentration, but in some instances there  
6 were small subsequent increases of media P concentration.

7

### 8 *3.2. The effect of P source and concentration on PMEase and PDEase activities*

9 ANOVA analysis showed that same-substrate treatments at high and low concentrations did not  
10 elicit a significantly different response in neither PMEase nor PDEase (Fig. 2). The initial rates of  
11 PDEase and PMEase in the OrthoP and RNA cultures were very similar (from 2.7 to 3.6 compared  
12 to 2.7-3.9  $\mu\text{mol } \rho\text{NP ml}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$  over the first 20 days). In the latter half of the incubation, PDEase  
13 rates decreased (1.0 – 1.8  $\mu\text{mol } \rho\text{NP ml}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ), whilst those of PMEase remained the very similar or  
14 slightly increased (mean values of 2.2 – 3.8  $\mu\text{mol } \rho\text{NP ml}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ). The PDEase rates in the GMP  
15 cultures did not show any significant variations, while PMEase mainly increased in the lower GMP  
16 concentration and was significantly higher than PDEase by day 32 ( $p < 0.05$ ). In the higher GMP  
17 cultures, there was no significant difference between PMEase and PDEase. The rates of PMEase  
18 and PDEase were mainly similar in each treatment for the main part of the incubation period  
19 ( $\approx 32\text{d}$ ), after which PMEase tended to be higher than PDEase in 7 out of 9 treatments ( $p < 0.05$ ).  
20 This shift of importance to PMEase from PDEase was also indicated by the plots of  
21 PDEase:PMEase (Fig. 3) showing a decrease in the ratio in all treatments from between 1 and 2  
22 down to between 0.2 to 0.3, between day 26 and 32. Activity was always measurable in the  
23 inorganic P treatments.

24

1 3.3. *Bacteria within the Ostreopsis-biofilm and alkaline phosphatase activity in the different*  
2 *components of the culture*

3 In *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* cultures, bacteria grown mostly associate to the EPS, while few of them  
4 were detected as free-living in the growth media. Within the EPS, there were bacteria more closely  
5 associated to the *Ostreopsis* cells, some of them closely-surface associated to the *Ostreopsis* cells,  
6 while some others more focused to the ventral section of *Ostreopsis* cells. The main part of bacterial  
7 cells, however, were gathered within the EPS far from *Ostreopsis* cells (i.e. loosely-associated to  
8 *Ostreopsis* cell) (Fig. 4). PMEase and PDEase activities of cells (*Ostreopsis* and bacteria) made up  
9 56.8% and 39.3% of the total activity, while the extracellular activities made up 43.2% and 60.7%,  
10 respectively. Within the cells activities, those of *Ostreopsis* cells and their closely-associated  
11 bacteria providing only 13.4% and 19.6% of the total activities, while the rest of the bacteria  
12 community made up 43.4% and 19.7% of the total PMEase and PDEase activity, respectively (Fig.  
13 5). Staining of *Ostreopsis* PMEases with BCIP/NBT located an intracellular activity in the ventral  
14 part of the cells and an extracellular activity associated with the cell surface, mostly within the EPS  
15 often close to the ventral section of *Ostreopsis* cells (Fig. 6).

16

17 **4. Discussion**

18 In this study, it was shown that *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* was able to grow in media containing PME and  
19 PDE as sole P sources. The presence of PMEase and PDEase activities suggests that mineralisation  
20 of the dissolved organic P substrates provided enough P to sustain the growth of *O. cf. ovata*. Both  
21 phosphatase activities were measurable in all treatments and for the whole incubation period.  
22 Several studies of algal growth on PMEs have been conducted, but those on PDEs as potential P-  
23 sources are rare (Whitton et al. 1991, Yamaguchi et al. 2014), despite this has been shown that  
24 nucleic acids (DNA and RNA), or their partially degraded derivatives, are very common in aquatic  
25 environments (Suzumura et al. 1998, Baldwin 2013). In the open oceans, PDEs can play an

1 important role in diatom community dynamics, primary production and phosphorus cycles  
2 (Yamaguchi et al. 2014), and results obtained in this study show that the PDEase activity can be  
3 significant in coastal environments as well. *O. cf. ovata* was efficient in using nucleic acids (PDE)  
4 as phosphorus sources under non-axenic conditions, with the RNA-grown cultures having the  
5 highest growth rates and the second highest maximum cell yield out of the eight P substrates tested.  
6 To better understand the ecology of this species, or more precisely the biofilm community, it is  
7 crucial to quantify the environmental concentrations of PMEs and PDEs and the potential of  
8 organisms to use them.

9 In light of evidence showing relationships among organic P, phosphatase activities and *O. cf. ovata*  
10 blooms (Accoroni et al. 2017), it was still surprising to find comparable or even higher growth rates  
11 and cell abundances on organic P substrates compared to those with inorganic P. This finding  
12 suggests that *O. cf. ovata* biofilms are able to process organics rapidly, and that the products of the  
13 extracellular (EPS-associated) hydrolysis are not diffusionally hindered through the EPS towards  
14 the cells (Flemming 2011). Furthermore, bacteria are known to play a key role in providing  
15 phytohormones and/or macro- and micronutrients (i.e. not only P) to algae which can enhance algal  
16 growth rates (Ramanan et al. 2016). If so, it could be that the higher growth rates in organic  
17 compared to inorganic P media may be due to the complete breakdown of the organic substrates by  
18 the EPS-associated bacteria and the supply of additional N and C derived from the breakdown of  
19 the nucleic acid substrates (not present in the OrthoP cultures). An interesting advancement to this  
20 experiment would be to compare these growth rates with those of cultures grown in media where N  
21 and C levels are also maintained at the same level in the organic and inorganic treatments.

22 Although the observed BCIP-NBT staining in the ventral region of *Ostreopsis* cells may be just an  
23 optical artefact from staining of external membrane bound enzyme activity, the staining pattern  
24 outside the cells (which extends from the ventral opening) does lend some weight to the idea of  
25 intracellular activity. In the euglenoid *Peranera trichophorum* phosphatases have been identified

1 within the mucocysts and were suggested to be involved in release of exoenzymes (Hilenski &  
2 Walne 1983). In *O. cf. ovata*, many mucocysts are closely associated with a mucus canal, that  
3 collects their contents and leads to the ventral opening (Escalera et al. 2014), so the intracellular  
4 staining of phosphatases in the ventral section of the cell maybe be located in this mucus canal, or  
5 possibly up to the discharging mucocysts. If this is the case, it also signifies that simple organics,  
6 such as the BCIP-NBT stain used here, can enter the cell and be processed intracellularly. From the  
7 observations of stained samples, and also the assays, it seems that although some activity remained  
8 close to the cells (*Ostreopsis* and bacteria) a large part of the overall activity was within the EPS.  
9 The EPS seems to be the main site of phosphatase activity and so functions something like an  
10 efficient external digestion system for the entire biofilm community (Flemming & Wingender  
11 2010). The chemical and physical properties of EPS lead to entrapment and accumulation of  
12 extruded exoenzymes, hydrolytically active cells, substrates (allochthonous and autochthonous) and  
13 hydrolytic products and will minimise any loss to the surrounding water and maintain a short  
14 diffusional distance towards the cells (e.g. approximately 30  $\mu\text{m}$ ; Decho & Gutierrez 2017). The  
15 abundant hydrolysis of substrates in the EPS would create steep diffusional gradients of phosphate  
16 toward the cells, and in some instances toward the bulk medium (Stewart 2003, Flemming et al.,  
17 2016). In latter case, if cells were P-satiated, the diffusional gradient would be inverted and losses  
18 to the ambient water from the biofilm may occur, which would explain those occasions where  
19 increases in media P concentrations were observed during the latter part of the incubation period. In  
20 fact, over the first six days there were large reductions in media P and lag phases in growth  
21 protracted up to 12 days suggesting that at that time the cells ceased to become sinks of P and the  
22 EPS may have been accumulating P prior to its eventual release to the media during the latter part  
23 of the incubation period. It must be noted that the filtration process for P analysis may have  
24 contributed to the rapid reduction in the media FTP levels, where losses of organic P compounds  
25 associated with the EPS (bound or interstitial) may have over estimated the biological removal rate

1 (that which enters the cells of the biofilm). However, it would be interesting to assess separately the  
2 EPS-P content and the cellular content to determine where accumulation occurs, i.e. does EPS  
3 behave as a functional immediate environment for the biofilm cells (Flemming 2011) or if the cells  
4 themselves are taking up luxury levels of P (Baek et al. 2008, Solovchenko et al. 2019) prior  
5 subsequent growth.

6 The use of mid-late exponential phase cells for the inocula (P stressed) led to elevated phosphatase  
7 activities in the cultures at the onset of the experiment. However, the significant EPS contribution to  
8 the overall phosphatase activity of the biofilm (i.e. activity not under direct cellular control) likely  
9 masked the cellular response in the diverse P sources and concentrations. Indeed the initial rates  
10 were quite similar in all treatments, only toward the end of the incubation were increases in activity  
11 observed, where cellular activity likely added a more significant contribution to the overall activity.

12 Within the EPS, non-cellular control of PMEase activities can occur from a build-up of phosphate  
13 product near the active sites of the enzymes, causing competitive inhibition, which can be overcome  
14 by cellular P uptake or loss to the media (Sakshaug et al. 1984, Jansson et al. 1988). Competitive  
15 product inhibition has not yet been identified for PDEase and so the latter-stage increase in PMEase  
16 over PDEase could possibly arise from them having different controls over their rates. In aquatic  
17 bryophytes (Christmas & Whitton 1998, Whitton et al. 2005, Ellwood et al. 2008), diatoms  
18 (Yamaguchi et al. 2014) and some cyanobacteria (Whitton et al. 1990) an increase in PDEase  
19 activity is shown to occur at higher P stress than that of PMEase. The high initial PDEase rates were  
20 probably a result of elevated P-stress that occurred during preparation of the *Ostreopsis* inocula and  
21 that the diminishing rates were an indication of a decrease in this stress over the incubation period.

22 As bacteria abundances during an *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* bloom are normally about  $10^6$  cells ml<sup>-1</sup>  
23 (Vanucci et al. 2016), it is quite obvious that their contribution to overall biofilm phosphatase  
24 activities could be significant. The intimate relationships between *Ostreopsis* and bacteria have not  
25 been elucidated and could be commensal, symbiotic or pathogenic, but considering the bloom

1 history of this species, the latter association cannot be quantitatively important. In the laboratory,  
2 *Ostreopsis* is generally cultivated in non-axenic conditions with maximal bacterial densities of up to  
3  $10^7$  cells ml<sup>-1</sup> (Pezzolesi et al. 2016). The growth of the *Ostreopsis*-biofilm cultured under phytic  
4 acid is very interesting as this is indicative of phytase activity. Phytase production is normally  
5 associated with filamentous fungi, bacteria, yeasts, some cyanobacteria and higher plants (Whitton  
6 et al. 1991, Mullaney et al. 2000), but has not been associated with eukaryotic algae. Phytic acid  
7 cannot be hydrolysed by PMEase or PDEase (Turner et al. 2002) so this P source is generally  
8 considered biologically unavailable to phytoplankton or microphytobenthos. In light of this  
9 evidence, further studies with a focus on the bacterial-*Ostreopsis* interaction within the EPS should  
10 be conducted. It is therefore proposed that the EPS matrix allows *O. cf. ovata* to form stable  
11 synergistic relationships with bacteria and to provide a protective environment to maintain the  
12 activity of a collective group of extracellular enzymes from diverse origins that may function  
13 similar to an active external digestion system (Flemming 2011). The ecological significance of  
14 phytate in the marine environment is at present uncertain (Baldwin 2013), however it has been  
15 shown that leachate arriving from land is an important source of phosphorus to the near shore  
16 coastal environments (Suzumura & Kamatani 1995).

17

## 18 **5. Conclusions**

19 Growth of *O. cf. ovata* is not limited when cultured in medium containing only organic P substrates.  
20 The efficient use of a range of phosphomonoesters and phosphodiesteres suggests a broad hydrolytic  
21 specificity of the phosphomonoesterases and phosphodiesterases within the biofilm. Phytase  
22 activity was also indicated by the unimpeded growth when the cultures were supplied with phytic  
23 acid, although the source of these enzymes was not identified. The findings shown here integrate  
24 well with previous field observations where elevated activities coincided with the onset of an *O. cf.*  
25 *ovata* bloom occurred in water where the main P source was organic.

1 Accumulation of phosphatases in the EPS suggests that this was the main site of hydrolytic activity.  
2 The abundance of the EPS also suggested that much of the overall activity was not under cellular  
3 control, and possibly explains why there are no detectable differences in the PMEase and PDEase  
4 response to changes in the substrate concentration or type. It is proposed that the EPS essentially  
5 functions like an external digestion system that benefit the whole biofilm/floc community. The EPS  
6 traps and concentrates particulate and dissolved organics and provides a substrate that holds and  
7 binds enzymes, it also prevents the loss hydrolytic products to ambient water and providing a  
8 medium through which nutrients can diffuse towards the cells.

9

#### 10 **Acknowledgements**

11 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or  
12 not-for-profit sectors.

## 1 **References**

- 2 Accoroni S, Totti C (2016) The toxic benthic dinoflagellates of the genus *Ostreopsis* in temperate  
3 areas: a review. *Adv Oceanogr Limnol* 7:1–15
- 4 Accoroni S, Glibert PM, Pichierri S, Romagnoli T, Marini M, Totti C (2015) A conceptual model of  
5 annual *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* blooms in the northern Adriatic Sea based on the synergic effects  
6 of hydrodynamics, temperature, and the N:P ratio of water column nutrients. *Harmful Algae*  
7 45:14–25
- 8 Accoroni S, Romagnoli T, Penna A, Capellacci S, Ciminiello P, Dell’Aversano C, Tartaglione L,  
9 Abboud-Abi Saab M, Giussani V, Asnaghi V, Chiantore M, Totti C (2016a) *Ostreopsis*  
10 *fattorussoi* sp. nov. (Dinophyceae), a new benthic toxic *Ostreopsis* species from the eastern  
11 Mediterranean Sea. *J Phycol* 52:1064–1084
- 12 Accoroni S, Romagnoli T, Pichierri S, Totti C (2016b) Effects of the bloom of harmful benthic  
13 dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* on the microphytobenthos community in the northern  
14 Adriatic Sea. *Harmful Algae* 55:179–190
- 15 Accoroni S, Totti C, Razza E, Congestri R, Campanelli A, Marini M, Ellwood NTW (2017)  
16 Phosphatase activities of a microepiphytic community during a bloom of *Ostreopsis cf. ovata*  
17 in the northern Adriatic Sea. *Water Res* 120:272–279
- 18 Baek SH, Shimode S, Han MS, Kikuchi T (2008) Growth of dinoflagellates, *Ceratium furca* and  
19 *Ceratium fusus* in Sagami Bay, Japan: the role of nutrients. *Harmful Algae* 7:729–739
- 20 Baldwin DS (2013) Organic phosphorus in the aquatic environment. *Environ Chem* 10:439–454
- 21 Barone R (2007) Behavioural trait of *Ostreopsis ovata* (Dinophyceae) in Mediterranean rock pools:  
22 the spider’s strategy. *Harmful Algae News* 33:1–3
- 23 Battocchi C, Totti C, Vila M, Masó M, Capellacci S, Accoroni S, Reñé A, Scardi M, Penna A  
24 (2010) Monitoring toxic microalgae *Ostreopsis* (dinoflagellate) species in coastal waters of  
25 the Mediterranean Sea using molecular PCR-based assay combined with light microscopy.

- 1 Mar Pollut Bull 60:1074–1084
- 2 Burkholder JM, Glibert PM, Skelton HM (2008) Mixotrophy, a major mode of nutrition for harmful  
3 algal species in eutrophic waters. Harmful Algae 8:77–93
- 4 Christmas M, Whitton BA (1998) Phosphorus and aquatic bryophytes in the Swale-Ouse river  
5 system, north-east England. 2. Phosphomonoesterase and phosphodiesterase activities of  
6 *Fontinalis antipyretica*. Sci Total Environ 210/211:401–409
- 7 Cozzi S, Giani M (2011) River water and nutrient discharges in the Northern Adriatic Sea: Current  
8 importance and long term changes. Cont Shelf Res 31:1881–1893
- 9 Decho AW, Gutierrez T (2017) Microbial Extracellular Polymeric Substances (EPSs) in Ocean  
10 Systems. Front Microbiol 8:922
- 11 Dyhrman ST, Ruttenger KC (2006) Presence and regulation of alkaline phosphatase activity in  
12 eukaryotic phytoplankton from the coastal ocean: Implications for dissolved organic  
13 phosphorus remineralization. Limnol Oceanogr 51:1381–1390
- 14 Edler L, Elbrächter M (2010) The Utermöhl method for quantitative phytoplankton analysis. In:  
15 Karlson B, Cusack C, Bresnan E (eds) Microscopic and molecular methods for quantitative  
16 phytoplankton analysis. IOC UNESCO, Manuals and Guides, Paris, p 13–20
- 17 Eisenreich SJ, Bannerman RT, Armstrong DE (1975) Simplified phosphorus analysis technique.  
18 Environ Lett 9:43–53
- 19 Ellwood NTW, Haile SM, Whitton BA (2008) Aquatic plant nutrients, moss phosphatase activities  
20 and tissue composition in four upland streams in northern England. J Hydrol 350:246–260
- 21 Escalera L, Benvenuto G, Scalco E, Zingone A, Montresor M (2014) Ultrastructural features of the  
22 benthic dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* (Dinophyceae). Protist 165:260–274
- 23 Faimali M, Giussani V, Piazza V, Garaventa F, Corrà C, Asnaghi V, Privitera D, Gallus L,  
24 Cattaneo-Vietti R, Mangialajo L, Chiantore M (2012) Toxic effects of harmful benthic  
25 dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis ovata* on invertebrate and vertebrate marine organisms. Mar Environ

1 Res 76:97–107

2 Faust MA, Morton SL (1995) Morphology and ecology of the marine dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis*  
3 *labens* sp. nov. (Dinophyceae). J Phycol 31:456–463

4 Flemming HC (2011) The perfect slime. Colloids Surf B: Biointerfaces 86:251–259

5 Flemming HC, Wingender J (2010) The biofilm matrix. Nat Rev Microbiol 8:623–633

6 Flemming HC, Wingender J, Szewzyk U, Steinberg P, Rice SA, Staffan Kjelleberg S (2016)  
7 Biofilms: an emergent form of bacterial life. Nat Rev Microbiol 14:516–575

8 Fricke A, Pey A, Gianni F, Lemée R, Mangialajo L (2018) Multiple stressors and benthic harmful  
9 algal blooms (BHABs): Potential effects of temperature rise and nutrient enrichment. Mar  
10 Pollut Bull 131:552–564

11 Giani M, Djakovac T, Degobbis D, Cozzi S, Solidoro C, Fonda Umani S (2012) Recent changes in  
12 the marine ecosystems of the northern Adriatic Sea. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 115:1–13

13 Glibert P, Burkholder J (2011) Harmful algal blooms and eutrophication: “strategies” for nutrient  
14 uptake and growth outside the Redfield comfort zone. Chinese J Oceanol Limnol 29:724–738.

15 Gorbi S, Avio GC, Benedetti M, Totti C, Accoroni S, Pichierri S, Bacchiocchi S, Orletti R, Graziosi  
16 T, Regoli F (2013) Effects of harmful dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* exposure on  
17 immunological, histological and oxidative responses of mussels *Mytilus galloprovincialis*.  
18 Fish Shellfish Immunol 35:941–950

19 Guillard RRL (1975) Culture of phytoplankton for feeding marine invertebrates. In: Smith WL,  
20 Chanley MH (eds) Culture of marine invertebrate animals. Plenum Press, New York, p 26–  
21 60.

22 Heisler J, Glibert PM, Burkholder JM, Anderson DM, Cochlan W, Dennison WC, Dortch Q, Gobler  
23 CJ, Heil CA, Humphries E, Lewitus A, Magnien R, Marshall HG, Sellner K, Stockwell DA,  
24 Stoecker DK, Suddleson M (2008) Eutrophication and harmful algal blooms: A scientific  
25 consensus. Harmful Algae 8:3–13

- 1 Hilenski LL, Walne PL (1983) Ultrastructure of mucocysts in *Peranema trichophorum*  
2 (Euglenophyceae). *Journal of Protozoology* 30(3):491-496
- 3 Hoshaw RW, Rosowski JR (1973) Methods for microscopic algae. In: Stein JR (ed) *Handbook of*  
4 *phycological methods*. Cambridge University Press, New York, p 53–67
- 5 Jansson M, Olsson H, Pettersson K (1988) Phosphatases; origin, characteristics and function in  
6 lakes. *Hydrobiologia* 170:157–175
- 7 Jauzein C, Couet D, Blasco T, Lemée R (2017) Uptake of dissolved inorganic and organic nitrogen  
8 by the benthic toxic dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata*. *Harmful Algae* 65:9–18
- 9 Jauzein C, Açaf L, Accoroni S, Asnaghi V, Fricke A, Hachani MA, Abboud-Abi Saab M, Chiantore  
10 M, Mangialajo L, Totti C, Zaghmouri I, Lemée R (2018) Optimization of sampling, cell  
11 collection and counting for the monitoring of benthic harmful algal blooms: Application to  
12 *Ostreopsis* spp. blooms in the Mediterranean Sea. *Ecol Indic* 91:116–127
- 13 Karl DM, Björkman KM (2015) Dynamics of Dissolved Organic Phosphorus. In: Hansell DA,  
14 Carlson CA (eds) *Biogeochemistry of marine dissolved organic matter*. Academic Press,  
15 Burlington, p 233–334
- 16 Langner CL, Hendrix PF (1982) Evaluation of a persulphate digestion method for particulate  
17 nitrogen and phosphorus. *Water Res* 16:1451–1454
- 18 Larned ST, Packman AI, Plew DR, Vopel K (2011) Interactions between the mat-forming alga  
19 *Didymosphenia geminata* and its hydrodynamic environment. *Limnol Oceanogr Fluids*  
20 *Environ* 1: 4–22
- 21 Mullaney EJ, Daly CB, Ullah AHJ (2000) Advances in phytase research. *Adv Appl Microbiol*  
22 47:157–199
- 23 Penna A, Fraga S, Battocchi C, Casabianca S, Perini F, Capellacci S, Casabianca A, Riobó P,  
24 Giacobbe M, Totti C, Accoroni S, Vila M, Reñé A, Scardi M, Aligizaki K, Nguyen-ngoc L,  
25 Vernesi C (2012) Genetic diversity of the genus *Ostreopsis* Schmidt: Phylogeographical

1 considerations and molecular methodology applications for field detection in the  
2 Mediterranean Sea. *Cryptogam Algal* 33:153–163

3 Pezzolesi L, Vanucci S, Dell’Aversano C, Dello Iacovo E, Tartaglione L, Pistocchi R (2016)  
4 Effects of N and P availability on carbon allocation in the toxic dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis* cf.  
5 *ovata*. *Harmful Algae* 55:202–212

6 Pfannkuchen M, Godrijan J, Pfannkuchen D, Iveša L, Kružić P, Ciminiello P, Dell’Aversano C,  
7 Dello Iacovo E, Fattorusso E, Forino M, Tartaglione L, Godrijan M (2012) Toxin-producing  
8 *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* are likely to bloom undetected along coastal areas. *Environ Sci Technol*  
9 46:5574–5582

10 Ramanan R, Kim BH, Choa DH, Oh HM, Kim HS (2016) Algae–bacteria interactions: Evolution,  
11 ecology and emerging applications. *Biotech Adv* 34:14–29

12 Sakshaug E, Graneli E, Elbrächter M, Kayser H (1984) Chemical composition and alkaline  
13 phosphatase activity of nutrient-saturated and P-deficient cells of four marine dinoflagellates.  
14 *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 77:241–254

15 Skinner MP, Brewer TD, Johnstone R, Fleming LE, Lewis RJ (2011) Ciguatera fish poisoning in  
16 the Pacific Islands (1998 to 2008). *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 5(12):e1416

17 Solovchenko AE, Ismagulova TT, Lukyanov AA, Vasilieva SG, Konyukhov IV, Pogosyan SI,  
18 Lobakova ES, Gorelova OA (2019) Luxury phosphorus uptake in microalgae. *J App*  
19 *Phycol* 31:2755–2770

20 Stewart PS (2003) Diffusion in biofilms. *J Bacteriol* 185(5):1485–1491

21 Suzumura M, Kamatani A (1995) Mineralization of inositol hexaphosphate in aerobic and  
22 anaerobic marine sediments – implications for the phosphorus cycle. *Geochim Cosmochim*  
23 *Acta* 59:1021–1026

24 Suzumura M, Ishikawa K, Ogawa H (1998) Characterization of dissolved organic phosphorus in  
25 coastal seawater using ultrafiltration and phosphohydrolytic enzymes. *Limnol Oceanogr*

1 43:1553–1564

2 Tartaglione L, Dello Iacovo E, Mazzeo A, Casabianca S, Ciminiello P, Penna A, Dell’Aversano C  
3 (2017) Variability in toxin profiles of the Mediterranean *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* and in structural  
4 features of the produced ovatoxins. *Environ Sci Technol* 51:13920–13928

5 Turner BL, Baxter R, Ellwood NTW, Whitton BA (2001) Characterization of the phosphatase  
6 activities of mosses in relation to their environment. *Plant Cell Environ* 24:1165–1176

7 Turner BL, McKelive ID, Haygarth PM (2002) Characterisation of water-extractable soil organic  
8 phosphorus by phosphatase hydrolysis. *Soil Biol Biochem* 34:27–35

9 Vanucci S, Guidi F, Pistocchi R, Long RA (2016) Phylogenetic structure of bacterial assemblages  
10 co-occurring with *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* bloom. *Harmful Algae* 55:259–271

11 Vassalli M, Penna A, Sbrana F, Casabianca S, Gjerci N, Capellacci S, Asnaghi V, Ottaviani E,  
12 Giussani V, Pugliese L, Jauzein C, Lemée R, Hachani MA, Turki S, Açaf L, Abboud-Abi  
13 Saab M, Fricke A, Mangialajo L, Bertolotto R, Totti C, Accoroni S, Berdalet E, Vila M,  
14 Chiantore M (2018) Intercalibration of counting methods for *Ostreopsis* spp. blooms in the  
15 Mediterranean Sea. *Ecol Indic* 85:1092–1100

16 Vila M, Abós-Herrándiz R, Isern-Fontanet J, Àlvarez J, Berdalet E (2016) Establishing the link  
17 between *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* blooms and human health impacts using ecology and  
18 epidemiology. *Sci Mar* 80:107–115

19 Wells M.L., Karlson B., Wulff A., Kudela R., Trick C., Asnaghi V., Berdalet E., Cochlan W.,  
20 Davidson K., De Rijcke M., Dutkiewicz S., Hallegraeff G., Flynn K.J., Legrand C., Paerl H.,  
21 Silke J., Suikkanen S., Thompson P., Trainer V.L. (2020). Future HAB science: Directions  
22 and challenges in a changing climate. *Harmful Algae* 91:101632

23 Whitton BA, Potts M, Simon JW, Grainger SLJ (1990) Phosphatase activity of the blue-green alga  
24 (cyanobacterium) *Nostoc commune* UTEX 584. *Phycologia* 29:139-145

25 Whitton BA, Grainger SLJ, Hawley GRW, Simon JW (1991) Cell bound and extracellular


- 1           phosphatase activities of cyanobacterial isolates. *Microbiol Ecol* 21:85–98
- 2   Whitton BA, Al-Shehri AM, Ellwood NTW, Turner BL (2005) Ecological aspects of phosphatase  
3           activity in cyanobacteria, eukaryotic algae and bryophytes. In: Turner BL, Frossard E,  
4           Baldwin DS (eds) *Organic phosphorus in the environment*. CAB International, Wallingford, p  
5           205–241
- 6   Whitton BA, Ellwood NTW, Kawecki B (2009) Biology of the freshwater diatom *Didymosphenia*:  
7           A review. *Hydrobiol* 630:1–37
- 8   Yamaguchi H, Arisaka H, Otsuka N, Tomaru A (2014) Utilization of phosphate diesters by  
9           phosphodiesterase-producing marine diatoms. *J Plankton Res* 36(1):281–285
- 10

1 Table 1. Growth rates ( $\mu$ ) and maximum yield (max-yld) of *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* with various  
 2 phosphorus sources (Orthophosphate, OrthoP; D-Fructose 1,6-bisphosphate, FDP;  $\beta$ -  
 3 Glycerophosphate, GlyP;  $\alpha$ -D-Glucose 1-phosphate, G1P; Guanosine 5'-monophosphate, GMP;  
 4 Phytic acid, PA; deoxyribonucleic acid, DNA; ribonucleic acid, RNA)

Growth medium	Exponential- phase timing (days)	$\mu$ (day <sup>-1</sup> )	max-yld (cells ml <sup>-1</sup> )
<b>Control</b>			
OrthoP	9–24	0.11	718 $\pm$ 278
<b>PME</b>			
FDP	12–21	0.10	721 $\pm$ 103
GlyP	9–24	0.13	901 $\pm$ 382
G1P	9–18	0.15	1487 $\pm$ 211
GMP	12–21	0.18	3179 $\pm$ 282
PA	15–30	0.13	1550 $\pm$ 403
<b>PDE</b>			
DNA	9–18	0.17	1629 $\pm$ 929
RNA	12–21	0.21	2433 $\pm$ 1224

5


6



1


2 Fig. 1. Growth curves of *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* (grey triangles) and changes in medium Filtrable Total  
 3 Phosphorus (FTP,  $\mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ ) (black circles). *O. cf. ovata* cultures grown on diverse singular P  
 4 substrates during a 39 day incubation period: (A) OrthoP, (B) FDP, (C) GlyP, (D) G1P, (E) GMP,  
 5 (F) PA, (G) DNA and (H) RNA (for substrate abbreviations see Table 1 legend). Values are means  
 6 and standard deviations of three replicates

7






1

2 Fig. 2. Changes in PMEase and PDEase activities in cultures of *O. cf. ovata* growing media with  
 3 low (A, C, E; 2.5  $\mu\text{mol}$ ) and high (B, D, F; 75  $\mu\text{mol}$ ) concentrations of (A, B) OrthoP, (C, D) GMP  
 4 and (E, F) RNA (for substrate abbreviations see Table 1 legend) and diverse ratios of PME (GMP)  
 5 and PDE (RNA) to give PDE:PME of (G) 1:1, (H) 1:10 and (I) 10:1 (using substrates at 12.5:12.5,  
 6 2.5:22.5 and 22.5:2.5 and  $\mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ , respectively).



1


2 Fig. 3. Changes in the ratio of PDEase to PMEase (PDEase:PMEase) in cultures of *O. cf. ovata*  
 3 growing media with low (A, C, E; 2.5 $\mu$ mol) and high (B, D, F; 75  $\mu$ mol) concentrations of (A, B)  
 4 OrthoP, (C, D) GMP and (E, F) RNA (for substrate abbreviations see Table 1 legend) and diverse  
 5 ratios of PME (GMP) and PDE (RNA) to give PDE:PME of (G) 1:1, (H) 1:10 and (I) 10:1 (using  
 6 substrates at 12.5:12.5, 2.5:22.5 and 22.5:2.5 and  $\mu$ mol l<sup>-1</sup>, respectively).



1


2 Fig. 4. Biofilm (EPS, *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* and bacterial cells) stained with SYBR Green I (green  
3 fluorescence of nucleic acids), Calcofluor-White M2R (blue fluorescence of cellulose) and red

1 autofluorescence of the chlorophyll in *Ostreopsis* is visible under epifluorescence microscopy: a  
2 certain amount of bacteria cells were closely associated to the *Ostreopsis* cells, both (A) to cell  
3 surface and (B) to the ventral part of cells of *Ostreopsis*. (C). The majority of bacterial cells were  
4 located within the EPS and loosely associated with *Ostreopsis*. Scale bars = 20  $\mu\text{m}$ .  
5



1

2 Fig. 5. Phosphatase activity (PMEase and PDEase) of the different fractions of the culture of  
 3 *Ostreopsis cf. ovata*. Phosphatase activities of *Ostreopsis* cells and closely-associated bacteria  
 4 (black), loosely-associated bacteria (grey) and extracellular (diagonal lines) are given as a  
 5 percentage of the total phosphatase activity of each sample.



1  
2 Fig. 6. BCIP-NBT staining of PMEase activity of *Ostreopsis cf. ovata* and EPS: (A) Intracellular  
3 activity in the ventral part of the cells (white arrows) and cell surface associated (black arrowheads).

- 1 (B) Extracellular activity pushed out within the EPS close to the ventral part of the cells. (C) The
- 2 majority of activity located within the EPS. Scale bars = 20  $\mu\text{m}$  (A, B); 40  $\mu\text{m}$  (C).