



UNIVERSITÀ POLITECNICA DELLE MARCHE
Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Periodic solutions for critical fractional problems

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Original

Periodic solutions for critical fractional problems / Ambrosio, V.. - In: CALCULUS OF VARIATIONS AND PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS. - ISSN 0944-2669. - 57:2(2018). [10.1007/s00526-018-1317-y]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11566/278937 since: 2022-05-24T18:19:33Z

Publisher:

Published

DOI:10.1007/s00526-018-1317-y

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. The use of copyrighted works requires the consent of the rights' holder (author or publisher). Works made available under a Creative Commons license or a Publisher's custom-made license can be used according to the terms and conditions contained therein. See editor's website for further information and terms and conditions.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università Politecnica delle Marche (<https://iris.univpm.it>). When citing, please refer to the published version.

note finali coverage

(Article begins on next page)

PERIODIC SOLUTIONS FOR CRITICAL FRACTIONAL PROBLEMS

VINCENZO AMBROSIO

This version of the article has been accepted for publication, after peer review (when applicable) and is subject to Springer Nature's AM terms of use, but is not the Version of Record and does not reflect post-acceptance improvements, or any corrections. The Version of Record is available online at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00526-018-1317-y>

VINCENZO AMBROSIO

DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE PURE E APPLICATE (DiSPEA)

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI URBINO 'CARLO BO'

PIAZZA DELLA REPUBBLICA, 13 61029 URBINO (PESARO E URBINO, ITALY)

E-mail address: `vincenzo.ambrosio2@unina.it`

PERIODIC SOLUTIONS FOR CRITICAL FRACTIONAL PROBLEMS

VINCENZO AMBROSIO

ABSTRACT. We deal with the existence of 2π -periodic solutions to the following non-local critical problem

$$\begin{cases} [(-\Delta_x + m^2)^s - m^{2s}]u = W(x)|u|^{2_s^*-2}u + f(x, u) & \text{in } (-\pi, \pi)^N \\ u(x + 2\pi e_i) = u(x) & \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \end{cases}$$

where $s \in (0, 1)$, $N \geq 4s$, $m \geq 0$, $2_s^* = \frac{2N}{N-2s}$ is the fractional critical Sobolev exponent, $W(x)$ is a positive continuous function, and $f(x, u)$ is a superlinear 2π -periodic (in x) continuous function with subcritical growth.

When $m > 0$, the existence of a nonconstant periodic solution is obtained by applying the Linking Theorem, after transforming the above non-local problem into a degenerate elliptic problem in the half-cylinder $(-\pi, \pi)^N \times (0, \infty)$, with a nonlinear Neumann boundary condition, through a suitable variant of the extension method in periodic setting. We also consider the case $m = 0$ by using a careful procedure of limit. As far as we know, all these results are new.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the past years, a great attention has been devoted to the study of nonlinear elliptic equations involving the critical Sobolev exponent. For instance, motivated by some variational problems in geometry and physics where a lack of compactness occurs, such as the Yamabe's problem [8], in the celebrated paper [14], Brezis and Nirenberg considered the following critical boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_x u = u^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}} + f(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $N \geq 3$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded open set, and f is a lower-order perturbation of $u^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}}$. Under appropriate assumptions on the nonlinearity and on the dimension of the space, they proved the existence of a positive solution to (1.1) via Mountain Pass theorem [1].

Subsequently, many authors investigated existence and multiplicity of nontrivial solutions to (1.1) or some variants, by using suitable variational methods; see for instance [19, 23, 31, 50, 52]. For critical problems in \mathbb{R}^N , we also cite [11, 20, 30, 35].

In this paper, we focus our attention on the following critical fractional problem with periodic boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} [(-\Delta_x + m^2)^s - m^{2s}]u = W(x)|u|^{2_s^*-2}u + f(x, u) & \text{in } (-\pi, \pi)^N \\ u(x + 2\pi e_i) = u(x) & \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $s \in (0, 1)$, $N \geq 4s$, $2_s^* := \frac{2N}{N-2s}$, $m \geq 0$, $f : \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function satisfying suitable growth assumptions, and (e_i) is the canonical basis in \mathbb{R}^N .

The non-local operator $(-\Delta_x + m^2)^s$ is defined through the spectral decomposition, by using the powers of the eigenvalues of $-\Delta_x + m^2$ with periodic boundary conditions.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A15, 35J60, 35R11, 35B10, 35B33.

Key words and phrases. Non-local operators; Periodic solutions; Linking Theorem; Extension Method; Critical exponent.

Let $u \in C_{2\pi}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, that is u is infinitely differentiable in \mathbb{R}^N and 2π -periodic in each variable. We know that u can be expressed via Fourier series

$$u(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N} c_k \frac{e^{ik \cdot x}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^N),$$

where

$$c_k = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \int_{(-\pi, \pi)^N} u(x) e^{-ik \cdot x} dx \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}^N)$$

are the Fourier coefficients of u .

Then, the operator $(-\Delta_x + m^2)^s$ is defined by setting

$$(-\Delta_x + m^2)^s u = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N} c_k (|k|^2 + m^2)^s \frac{e^{ik \cdot x}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}}. \quad (1.3)$$

This operator can be extended by density for any u belonging to the Hilbert space

$$\mathbb{H}_m^s = \left\{ u = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N} c_k \frac{e^{ik \cdot x}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \in L^2(-\pi, \pi)^N : \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N} (|k|^2 + m^2)^s |c_k|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

endowed with the norm

$$|u|_{\mathbb{H}_m^s}^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N} (|k|^2 + m^2)^s |c_k|^2.$$

When $m = 0$, the operator in (1.3) arises in models with periodic boundary conditions; see for instance [21, 33, 42]. We recall that in \mathbb{R}^N , the study of $(-\Delta_x + m^2)^s - m^{2s}$ is motivated by the fractional quantum mechanics; indeed, when $s = \frac{1}{2}$, the operator $\sqrt{-\Delta_x + m^2} - m$ corresponds to the Hamiltonian describing the motion of a free relativistic particle of mass m ; see [34] for more details. On the other hand, from a probabilistic point of view, the operator $(-\Delta_x + m^2)^s - m^{2s}$ has an important role in the Stochastic Process Theory, because it is the infinitesimal generator of the so-called $2s$ -stable relativistic process; see [41] and references therein.

Recently, the study of fractional and non-local operators of elliptic type has achieved an enormous popularity, thanks to the interesting theoretical structure of these operators, and in view of concrete applications such as phase transitions, flames propagation, quasi-geostrophic flows, population dynamics, American options in finance, conservation laws, crystal dislocation, minimal surfaces. The interested reader may consult [24, 37] and references therein.

More in general, nonlinear equations involving fractional operators are currently actively studied. Caffarelli et al. [17] investigated the regularity of solutions for a fractional obstacle problem. Cabré and Solà Morales [15] analyzed the existence, uniqueness, symmetry and variational properties of layer solutions for $\sqrt{-\Delta_x} u = -G'(u)$ in \mathbb{R} , where G is a double well potential with two absolute minima. Felmer et al. [29] dealt with the existence, regularity and asymptotic behavior of positive solutions for a superlinear fractional Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^N . Servadei and Valdinoci [45] established, via min-max arguments, the existence of nontrivial solutions for equations driven by a non-local integrodifferential operator with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. Stinga and Volzone [49] proved the existence of nonconstant least energy positive regular solutions for a fractional semilinear Neumann problem.

Specially, the existence and the multiplicity of solutions of critical fractional elliptic problems in bounded domains and in \mathbb{R}^N , have been widely investigated by many authors.

Firstly, we recall some fundamental results established in bounded domains. Servadei and Valdinoci [46] (see also [36, 43, 44]) obtained the existence of nontrivial solutions for a Brezis-Nirenberg type problem involving a non-local integrodifferential operator. Barrios et al. [10] (see also [51]), studied the effect of lower order perturbations in the existence of positive solutions to a critical elliptic problem with spectral Laplacian. Autuori et al. [9] investigated the existence

and the asymptotic behavior of non-negative solutions for a class of critical stationary Kirchhoff problems involving a critical nonlinearity.

Secondly, we mention some results for critical problems in \mathbb{R}^N . Shang et al. [47] dealt with the existence and the multiplicity of ground states for a fractional Schrödinger equation by using the method of Nehari manifold and Ljusternik-Schnirelmann category theory; see also [6]. Dipierro et al. [25] obtained, via Lyapunov-Schmidt technique, some bifurcation results for a fractional elliptic equation with critical exponent. Pucci and Saldi [39] established the existence and multiplicity of nontrivial non-negative entire (weak) solutions of a critical Kirchhoff eigenvalue problem, involving a general nonlocal integro-differential operator. Teng [53] proved the existence of ground state solutions for a nonlinear fractional Schrödinger-Poisson system in \mathbb{R}^3 , via the monotonicity trick and a global compactness Lemma.

Motivated by the interest that the mathematical community has focused on fractional problems involving the critical Sobolev exponent, the aim of this paper is to investigate the existence of nonconstant periodic solutions for the critical nonlinear problem (1.2). We point out that, to the best of our knowledge, there are few existence results [2, 3, 4, 5, 7] for nonlocal equations with periodic boundary conditions, and all of them involve superlinear nonlinearities with subcritical growth. Therefore, the results that we present here, can be considered as the first existence results regarding critical fractional problems in periodic setting.

Now, we state our main assumptions. In order to find (weak) periodic solutions to (1.2), we will assume that the nonlinearity $f : \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following hypotheses:

- (f1) $f(x, t)$ is 2π -periodic in $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$; that is $f(x + 2\pi e_i, t) = f(x, t)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (f2) f is continuous in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} ;
- (f3) $f(x, t) = o(t)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$;
- (f4) there exist $2 < p < 2_s^*$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$|f(x, t)| \leq C(1 + |t|^{p-1})$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$;

- (f5) there exists $\mu > 2$ such that

$$0 < \mu F(x, t) \leq t f(x, t)$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Here $F(x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, \tau) d\tau$;

- (f6) there exists a function \bar{f} such that $f(x, t) \geq \bar{f}(t)$ a.e. for $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $t \geq 0$, where \mathcal{A} is some nonempty open set in $[-\pi, \pi]^N$ and the function $\bar{F}(t) = \int_0^t \bar{f}(\tau) d\tau$ satisfies

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{\min\left\{\frac{N+2s}{2}, \frac{p(N-2s)}{2}\right\}} \int_0^{\varepsilon^{-1}} \bar{F} \left[\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{-1}}{1+t^2} \right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} \right] t^{N-1} dt = \infty.$$

Let us observe that if $\bar{F}(t) = |t|^p$, then this condition is obviously satisfied.

Concerning the function $W : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we suppose that it satisfies the following properties:

- (W1) $W \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$, $\min_{x \in [-\pi, \pi]^N} W(x) > 0$ and $W(x + 2\pi e_i) = W(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$;
- (W2) $W(0) = \max_{x \in [-\pi, \pi]^N} W(x)$ and $W(x) = W(0) + O(|x|^{2s})$ as $|x| \rightarrow 0$.

We note that when $s = 1$, the assumption (W2) has been introduced by Escobar in [27].

Our first main result can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $m > 0$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying the assumptions (f1)-(f6). Assume that W verifies (W1)-(W2). Then there exists a nonconstant solution $u \in \mathbb{H}_m^s$ to (1.2). In particular, u belongs to $\mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}([-\pi, \pi]^N)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.*

The proof of the above theorem is obtained by applying critical point theory. As customary in many fractional problems [16, 18, 48], to overcome the difficulty due to the presence of the involved nonlocal operator, we study problem (1.2) by using an alternative formulation of the operator (1.3), which consists of realizing $(-\Delta_x + m^2)^s$ as an operator that maps a Dirichlet boundary condition to a Neumann-type condition via an extension problem on the half-cylinder $(-\pi, \pi)^N \times (0, \infty)$ with periodic boundary conditions on $\partial(-\pi, \pi)^N \times [0, \infty)$.

More precisely, as proved in [2, 3], for any $u \in \mathbb{H}_m^s$ one considers the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\xi^{1-2s}\nabla v) + m^2\xi^{1-2s}v = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{S}_{2\pi} := (-\pi, \pi)^N \times (0, \infty) \\ v|_{\{x_i=0\}} = v|_{\{x_i=2\pi\}} & \text{on } \partial_L \mathcal{S}_{2\pi} := \partial(-\pi, \pi)^N \times [0, \infty) \\ v(x, 0) = u(x) & \text{on } \partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi} := (-\pi, \pi)^N \times \{0\}, \end{cases}$$

from where the operator $(-\Delta_x + m^2)^s$ is obtained as

$$-\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \xi^{1-2s} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi}(x, \xi) = \kappa_s (-\Delta_x + m^2)^s u(x)$$

in weak sense and $\kappa_s = 2^{1-2s} \frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{\Gamma(s)}$; see [18].

Taking into account this fact, instead of (1.2), we are led to consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\xi^{1-2s}\nabla v) + m^2\xi^{1-2s}v = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{S}_{2\pi} := (-\pi, \pi)^N \times (0, \infty) \\ v|_{\{x_i=0\}} = v|_{\{x_i=2\pi\}} & \text{on } \partial_L \mathcal{S}_{2\pi} := \partial(-\pi, \pi)^N \times [0, \infty) \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu^{1-2s}} = \kappa_s [m^{2s}v + W(x)|v|^{2^*_s-2}v + f(x, v)] & \text{on } \partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi} := (-\pi, \pi)^N \times \{0\}, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu^{1-2s}} := -\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \xi^{1-2s} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi}(x, \xi)$$

is the conormal exterior derivative of v .

Then, it is clear that solutions to (1.4) can be characterized as critical points of the following Euler-Lagrange functional

$$\mathcal{J}_m(v) = \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - \frac{\kappa_s m^{2s}}{2} |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_{L^2(-\pi, \pi)^N}^2 - \frac{\kappa_s}{2^*_s} |W^{\frac{1}{2^*_s}} \operatorname{Tr}(v)|_{L^{2^*_s}(-\pi, \pi)^N}^{2^*_s} - \kappa_s \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} F(x, \operatorname{Tr}(v)) dx$$

defined on the space \mathbb{X}_m^s , which is the closure of the set of smooth and T -periodic (in x) functions in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} with respect to the norm

$$\|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 := \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} (|\nabla v|^2 + m^{2s}v^2) dx d\xi.$$

Differently from the papers [2, 3, 4, 7] dealing with subcritical problems, the main difficulty in studying (1.4), is the lack of compactness of the embedding \mathbb{H}_m^s into the space $L^{2^*_s}(-\pi, \pi)^N$ (see for instance [38]), which does not permit to verify that the Palais-Smale condition holds in all energy range \mathbb{R} . To overcome this difficulty, we construct a suitable periodic cut-off function (see Lemma 4.5), and we prove that, for any fixed $m > 0$, the functional \mathcal{J}_m has the geometric structure required by the Linking Theorem [40], and that \mathcal{J}_m satisfies the Palais-Smale condition at every level $c < c^*$, with c^* related to the best constant of the embedding of the fractional Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ into $L^{2^*_s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (see [22]). After that, we will also study the regularity of the critical points of \mathcal{J}_m , and we show that every solution to (1.2) is Hölder continuous.

In the second part of the paper, we focus our attention on the existence of periodic solutions to (1.2) in the case $m = 0$. In order to accomplish our purpose, we first show that, for any $m > 0$ sufficiently small, the critical levels of the functionals \mathcal{J}_m can be estimated from below and from

above by two positive constants independent of m . Then, we exploit this information to pass to the limit as $m \rightarrow 0$ in (1.4), and we deduce the existence of a solution to the problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta_x)^s u = W(x)|u|^{2^*_s-2}u + f(x, u) & \text{in } (-\pi, \pi)^N \\ u(x + 2\pi e_i) = u(x) & \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

To prove that this solution is nonconstant, we take advantage of the lower bound for the critical level of \mathcal{J}_m , and we borrow some ideas used in the proof of the Palais-Smale compactness condition. More precisely, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Under the same assumptions on f and W of Theorem 1.1, the problem (1.5) admits a nonconstant solution $u \in \mathbb{H}_m^s \cap C^{0,\alpha}([-\pi, \pi]^N)$, for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we collect some preliminaries which we will use to study the problem (1.2). In Section 3 we recall that the problem (1.2) can be realized in a local manner through the nonlinear problem (1.4). In Section 4 we show that, for any fixed $m > 0$, the functional \mathcal{J}_m satisfies the Linking hypotheses. In Section 5 we study the regularity of solutions of problem (1.2). In the last section, we prove that we can find a nontrivial solution to (1.5), by taking the limit as $m \rightarrow 0$ in (1.4).

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we introduce some notations and facts which will be frequently used in the sequel of paper. For more details we refer the reader to [2, 3].

We denote the upper half-space in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} by

$$\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} = \{(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} : x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \xi > 0\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{S}_{2\pi} = (-\pi, \pi)^N \times (0, \infty)$ be the half-cylinder in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} with basis $\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi} = (-\pi, \pi)^N \times \{0\}$ and we denote by $\partial_L \mathcal{S}_{2\pi} = \partial(-\pi, \pi)^N \times [0, +\infty)$ its lateral boundary.

With $|u|_r$ we always denote the $L^r(-\pi, \pi)^N$ -norm of $u \in L^r(-\pi, \pi)^N$, and we set

$$|u|_{r,W}^r = \int_{(-\pi, \pi)^N} W(x)|u(x)|^r dx.$$

Let $s \in (0, 1)$ and $m > 0$. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a domain. We denote by $L^2(A \times \mathbb{R}_+, \xi^{1-2s})$ the space of all measurable functions v defined on $A \times \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$\iint_{A \times \mathbb{R}_+} \xi^{1-2s} v^2 dx d\xi < \infty.$$

We say that $v \in H_m^1(A \times \mathbb{R}_+, \xi^{1-2s})$ if v and its weak gradient ∇v belong to $L^2(A \times \mathbb{R}_+, \xi^{1-2s})$. The norm of v in $H_m^1(A \times \mathbb{R}_+, \xi^{1-2s})$ is given by

$$\iint_{A \times \mathbb{R}_+} \xi^{1-2s} (|\nabla v|^2 + m^2 v^2) dx d\xi < \infty.$$

It is clear that $H_m^1(A \times \mathbb{R}_+, \xi^{1-2s})$ is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\iint_{A \times \mathbb{R}_+} \xi^{1-2s} (\nabla v \nabla z + m^2 v z) dx d\xi.$$

When $m = 1$, we set $H^1(A \times \mathbb{R}_+, \xi^{1-2s}) = H_1^1(A \times \mathbb{R}_+, \xi^{1-2s})$.

We denote by $\mathcal{C}_{2\pi}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the space of functions $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that u is 2π -periodic in each variable, that is

$$u(x + 2\pi e_i) = u(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Let $u \in \mathcal{C}_{2\pi}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then we know that

$$u(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N} c_k \frac{e^{ik \cdot x}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where

$$c_k = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \int_{(-\pi, \pi)^N} u(x) e^{-ik \cdot x} dx \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}^N)$$

are the Fourier coefficients of u . We define the fractional Sobolev space \mathbb{H}_m^s as the closure of $\mathcal{C}_{2\pi}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ under the norm

$$|u|_{\mathbb{H}_m^s}^2 := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N} (|k|^2 + m^2)^s |c_k|^2.$$

When $m = 1$, we set $\mathbb{H}^s = \mathbb{H}_1^s$ and $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{H}^s} = |\cdot|_{\mathbb{H}_1^s}$. We also use the notation $\dot{\mathbb{H}}^s$ to denote the closure of $\mathcal{C}_{2\pi}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the following Gagliardo semi-norm

$$[u] = \sqrt{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N} |k|^{2s} |c_k|^2}.$$

Now, let us introduce the space of periodic function with respect to the x -component, that is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{2\pi}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}}) &= \left\{ v \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}}) : v(x + 2\pi e_i, y) = v(x, y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{for every } (x, y) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}}, i = 1, \dots, N \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $\dot{\mathbb{X}}^s$ the completion of $\mathcal{C}_{2\pi}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}})$ with respect to the norm

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}, \xi^{1-2s})}^2 = \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} |\nabla v|^2 dx d\xi.$$

Finally, we define the functional space \mathbb{X}_m^s as the completion of $\mathcal{C}_{2\pi}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}})$ under the $H_m^1(\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}, \xi^{1-2s})$ norm

$$\|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 := \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} (|\nabla v|^2 + m^2 v^2) dx d\xi.$$

We recall that it is possible to define a trace operator from \mathbb{X}_m^s to \mathbb{H}_m^s .

Theorem 2.1. [2, 3] *There exists a bounded linear operator $\text{Tr} : \mathbb{X}_m^s \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_m^s$ such that :*

- (i) $\text{Tr}(v) = v|_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}}$ for all $v \in \mathcal{C}_{2\pi}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}}) \cap \mathbb{X}_m^s$;
- (ii) *It holds*

$$\sqrt{\kappa_s} |\text{Tr}(v)|_{\mathbb{H}_m^s} \leq \|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s} \text{ for every } v \in \mathbb{X}_m^s;$$

- (iii) *Tr is surjective.*

We also recall the following fundamental embeddings.

Theorem 2.2. [2, 3] *Let $N > 2s$. Then $\text{Tr}(\mathbb{X}_m^s)$ is continuously embedded in $L^q(-\pi, \pi)^N$ for any $1 \leq q \leq 2_s^*$. Moreover, $\text{Tr}(\mathbb{X}_m^s)$ is compactly embedded in $L^q(-\pi, \pi)^N$ for any $1 \leq q < 2_s^*$.*

3. EXTENSION PROBLEM

In this section we show that the study (1.2) is equivalent to investigate the solutions of a problem in a half-cylinder with a nonlinear Neumann boundary condition.

More precisely, the following result holds.

Theorem 3.1. [2, 3] *Let $u \in \mathbb{H}_m^s$. Then there exists a unique $v \in \mathbb{X}_m^s$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\xi^{1-2s}\nabla v) + m^2\xi^{1-2s}v = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{S}_{2\pi} \\ v|_{\{x_i=0\}} = v|_{\{x_i=2\pi\}} & \text{on } \partial_L\mathcal{S}_{2\pi} \\ v(\cdot, 0) = u & \text{on } \partial^0\mathcal{S}_{2\pi} \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$-\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \xi^{1-2s} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi}(x, \xi) = \kappa_s(-\Delta_x + m^2)^s u(x) \text{ in } \mathbb{H}_m^{-s}, \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\mathbb{H}_m^{-s} = \left\{ u = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N} c_k \frac{e^{ik \cdot x}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} : \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \frac{|c_k|^2}{(|k|^2 + m^2)^s} < \infty \right\}$$

is the dual of \mathbb{H}_m^s .

Hence, for any given $u \in \mathbb{H}_m^s$ we can find a unique function $v = \operatorname{Ext}(u) \in \mathbb{X}_m^s$, which will be called the periodic extension of u , such that

- (E1) v is smooth for $y > 0$, 2π -periodic in x and v solves (3.1);
- (E2) $\|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s} \leq \|z\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}$ for any $z \in \mathbb{X}_m^s$ such that $\operatorname{Tr}(z) = u$;
- (E3) $\|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s} = \sqrt{\kappa_s} \|u\|_{\mathbb{H}_m^s}$;
- (E4) We have

$$-\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \xi^{1-2s} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi}(x, \xi) = \kappa_s(-\Delta_x + m^2)^s u(x) \text{ in } \mathbb{H}_m^{-s}.$$

Taking into account the previous results, we can reformulate nonlocal periodic problems in a local way.

Let $g \in \mathbb{H}_m^{-s}$ and consider the following two problems:

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta_x + m^2)^s u = g & \text{in } (-\pi, \pi)^N \\ u(x + 2\pi e_i) = u(x) & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\xi^{1-2s}\nabla v) + m^2\xi^{1-2s}v = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{S}_{2\pi} \\ v|_{\{x_i=0\}} = v|_{\{x_i=T\}} & \text{on } \partial_L\mathcal{S}_{2\pi} \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu^{1-2s}} = \kappa_s g(x) & \text{on } \partial^0\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Then, we can define the concept of solution to the nonlocal problem (3.3) in terms of solutions to (3.4) as explained below.

Definition 3.1. *We say that $v \in \mathbb{X}_m^s$ is a solution to (3.4), if for any $\phi \in \mathbb{X}_m^s$ it holds*

$$\iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} (\nabla v \nabla \phi + m^2 v \phi) dx d\xi = \kappa_s \langle g, \operatorname{Tr}(\phi) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}_m^{-s}, \mathbb{H}_m^s},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{H}_m^{-s}, \mathbb{H}_m^s}$ is the duality pairing between \mathbb{H}_m^s and \mathbb{H}_m^{-s} .

Definition 3.2. *We say that $u \in \mathbb{H}_m^s$ is a weak solution to (3.3) if $u = \operatorname{Tr}(v)$ and v is a weak solution to (3.4).*

Finally, we recall the following useful result:

Theorem 3.2. [3]

$$\|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - \kappa_s m^{2s} |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_2^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow v(x, y) = C \theta(my) \text{ for some } C \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.5)$$

Here $\theta(\xi) = \frac{2}{\Gamma(s)} (\xi/2)^s K_s(\xi)$, and K_s is the modified Bessel function of the second type with order s ; see [26].

4. PERIODIC SOLUTIONS IN THE CYLINDER $\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}$

In this section we prove the existence of a solution to (1.2). As shown in the previous section, we know that the study of (1.2) is equivalent to investigate the existence of weak solutions to

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\xi^{1-2s}\nabla v) + m^2\xi^{1-2s}v = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{S}_{2\pi} := (-\pi, \pi)^N \times (0, \infty) \\ v|_{\{x_i=0\}} = v|_{\{x_i=2\pi\}} & \text{on } \partial_L \mathcal{S}_{2\pi} := \partial(-\pi, \pi)^N \times [0, \infty) \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu^{1-2s}} = \kappa_s[m^{2s}v + W(x)|v|^{2^*_s-2}v + f(x, v)] & \text{on } \partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi} := (-\pi, \pi)^N \times \{0\}. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

For simplicity, let us assume $\kappa_s = 1$.

Then, we will look for the critical points of

$$\mathcal{J}_m(v) = \frac{1}{2}\|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - \frac{m^{2s}}{2}|\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2^*_s}|\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_{2^*_s, W}^{2^*_s} - \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} F(x, \operatorname{Tr}(v))dx$$

defined for $v \in \mathbb{X}_m^s$.

More precisely, we will prove that \mathcal{J}_m satisfies the assumptions of the Linking Theorem [40]:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space with $X = Y \oplus Z$, where Y is finite dimensional. Let $R > r > 0$ and $z \in Z$ such that $\|z\| = r$.*

Define the following sets

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \{v = y + tz : y \in Y, \|v\| \leq R \text{ and } t \geq 0\}, \\ \partial M &= \{v = y + tz : y \in Y, \|v\| = R, t \geq 0 \text{ or } \|v\| \leq R, t = 0\}, \\ N &= \{v \in Z : \|v\| = r\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{J} \in \mathcal{C}^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ be such that

$$b := \inf_{v \in N} \mathcal{J}(v) > a := \max_{v \in \partial M} \mathcal{J}(v).$$

If \mathcal{J} satisfies the Palais-Smale condition at the level c , which is defined by setting

$$c := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{v \in M} \mathcal{J}(\gamma(v))$$

where

$$\Gamma := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}(M, X) : \gamma = \operatorname{Id} \text{ on } \partial M\},$$

then c is a critical point of \mathcal{J} .

By using the assumptions on f , it is easy to prove that \mathcal{J}_m is well defined on \mathbb{X}_m^s and $\mathcal{J}_m \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{X}_m^s, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, by using the trace inequality, we notice that the quadratic part of \mathcal{J}_m is nonnegative, that is

$$\|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - m^{2s}|\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_2^2 \geq 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Let us note (see [2, 3]) that

$$\mathbb{X}_m^s = \langle \theta(m\xi) \rangle \oplus \left\{ v \in \mathbb{X}_m^s : \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \operatorname{Tr}(v) dx = 0 \right\} =: \mathbb{Y}_m^s \oplus \mathbb{Z}_m^s,$$

where $\dim \mathbb{Y}_m^s < \infty$ and \mathbb{Z}_m^s is the orthogonal complement of \mathbb{Y}_m^s with respect to the inner product in \mathbb{X}_m^s . In order to prove that \mathcal{J}_m verifies the Linking hypotheses, we prove the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *There exist $\rho > 0$ and $\eta > 0$ such that $\mathcal{J}_m(v) \geq \rho$ for $v \in \mathbb{Z}_m^s : \|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s} = \eta$.*

Proof. Firstly we show that there exists a constant $C_m > 0$ such that

$$\|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - m^{2s}|\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_2^2 \geq C_m \|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 \quad (4.3)$$

for any $v \in \mathbb{Z}_m^s$. Assume by contradiction that there exists a sequence $(v_j) \subset \mathbb{Z}_m^s$ such that

$$\|v_j\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - m^{2s}|\operatorname{Tr}(v_j)|_2^2 < \frac{1}{j} \|v_j\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2.$$

Let $z_j = v_j / \|v_j\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}$. Then $\|z_j\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s} = 1$, so we can assume that $z_j \rightharpoonup z$ in \mathbb{X}_m^s and $\text{Tr}(z_j) \rightarrow \text{Tr}(z)$ in $L^2(-\pi, \pi)^N$ for some $z \in \mathbb{Z}_m^s$ (\mathbb{Z}_m^s is weakly closed).

Hence, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$1 - m^{2s} |\text{Tr}(z_j)|_2^2 < \frac{1}{j},$$

so we get $|\text{Tr}(z_j)|_2^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{m^{2s}}$ that is $|\text{Tr}(z)|_2 = \frac{1}{m^s}$.

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \|z\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - m^{2s} |\text{Tr}(z)|_2^2 \\ &\leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|z_j\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - m^{2s} |\text{Tr}(z_j)|_2^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

implies that $z = c\theta(m\xi)$ by (3.5). But $z \in \mathbb{Z}_m^s$ so $c = 0$ and this is a contradiction because of $|\text{Tr}(z)|_2 = \frac{1}{m^s} > 0$. This completes the proof of (4.3).

It follows from (f3) and (f4) that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$|F(x, t)| \leq \varepsilon t^2 + C_\varepsilon |t|^p \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By applying Sobolev inequality (see Theorem 2.2) we can see that

$$\left| \int_{\partial^0 S_{2\pi}} F(x, \text{Tr}(v)) dx \right| \leq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{m^{2s}} \|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 + C'_* C_\varepsilon \|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^p \right).$$

This and (4.3) give

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_m(v) &\geq C_m \|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - \frac{W(0)}{2_s^*} |\text{Tr}(v)|_{2_s^*}^{2_s^*} - \int_{\partial^0 S_{2\pi}} F(x, \text{Tr}(v)) dx \\ &\geq \left(C_m - \frac{\varepsilon}{m^{2s}} \right) \|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - C''_* \|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^{2_s^*} - C'_* C_\varepsilon \|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^p \end{aligned}$$

for any $v \in \mathbb{Z}_m^s$. Choosing ε sufficiently small, there exist $\rho > 0$ and $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{J}_m(v) \geq \rho \text{ for all } v \in \mathbb{Z}_m^s : \|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s} = \eta.$$

□

Now, we collect some preliminary lemmas which we will use later. First, we have the following result whose proof can be obtained following [10].

Lemma 4.2. *Let η be a cut-off function such that $\eta(t) = 1$ for $t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, $\eta(t) = 0$ for $t \geq 1$, and we consider $\phi(x, \xi) = \eta\left(\frac{\sqrt{|x|^2 + \xi^2}}{r}\right)$, where $r > 0$ is such that $\overline{B_r^+} = \{(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} : \sqrt{|x|^2 + \xi^2} \leq r\} \subset \overline{S_{2\pi}}$. Let $\varphi_\varepsilon(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \phi(x, \xi) \psi_\varepsilon(x, \xi)$ where $\psi_\varepsilon(\cdot, \xi) = P_\xi^s(\cdot, \xi) * w_\varepsilon$, $P_\xi^s(x, \xi) = C_{N,s} \frac{\xi^{2-2s}}{(|x|^2 + \xi^2)^{\frac{N+2-2s}{2}}}$ is the Poisson kernel [18], and $w_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}$.*

Then the following estimates hold:

- (i) $\iint_{S_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} |\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon|^2 dx d\xi \leq S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}} + O(\varepsilon^{N-2s})$, where S_* is the best Sobolev constant of the embedding $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (see [22]).
- (ii) $|\varphi_\varepsilon(\cdot, 0)|_{2_s^*}^{2_s^*} = S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}} + O(\varepsilon^N)$.
- (iii)

$$|\varphi_\varepsilon(\cdot, 0)|_2^2 = \begin{cases} K_1 \varepsilon^{2s} + O(\varepsilon^{N-2s}) & \text{if } N > 4s \\ K_1 \varepsilon^{2s} |\log \varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^{2s}) & \text{if } N = 4s. \end{cases}$$

$$(iv) |\varphi_\varepsilon(\cdot, 0)|_1 \leq K_2 \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}.$$

$$(v) |\varphi_\varepsilon(\cdot, 0)|_{2_s^*-1}^{2_s^*-1} \leq K_3 \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}.$$

(vi)

$$|\varphi_\varepsilon(\cdot, 0)|_q^q = \begin{cases} K_4 \varepsilon^{N - \frac{(N-2s)}{2}q} & \text{if } q > \frac{N}{N-2s} \\ K_4 \varepsilon^{q \frac{(N-2s)}{2}} & \text{if } q < \frac{N}{N-2s}. \end{cases}$$

Arguing as in the proof of [19], we are able to prove that

Lemma 4.3. *Let $v = y + tz_\varepsilon \in Q_\varepsilon := \{y + tz_\varepsilon : y \in \mathbb{Y}_m^s, t \geq 0\}$, where $z_\varepsilon = [\varphi_\varepsilon - (\varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi] \theta(m\xi)$ and $(\varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \int_{(-\pi, \pi)^N} \varphi_\varepsilon(x, \xi) dx$. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial^0 S_{2\pi}} W(x) |\text{Tr}(v)|^{2_s^*} dx \\ & \geq \int_{\partial^0 S_{2\pi}} W(x) |t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|^{2_s^*} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial^0 S_{2\pi}} W(x) |\text{Tr}(y)|^{2_s^*} dx - K_5 t^{2_s^*} \varepsilon^{\frac{N(N-2s)}{N+2s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Firstly, we observe that by (iv) of Lemma 4.2 we have

$$|(\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon))_\Pi|_2^2 \leq C_1 |\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon)|_1^2 \leq C_2 \varepsilon^{N-2s} \quad (4.4)$$

which implies that

$$|(\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon))_\Pi|_\infty \leq C_3 \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}. \quad (4.5)$$

Recalling the following identity

$$|u|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} = 2_s^* \int_{(-\pi, \pi)^N} W(x) dx \int_0^u |\tau|^{2_s^*-2} \tau d\tau, \quad (4.6)$$

and by using (W2), (4.4), (4.5) and (v) of Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\partial^0 S_{2\pi}} W(x) (|\text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|^{2_s^*} - |\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon)|^{2_s^*}) dx \right| \\ & = \left| 2_s^* \int_0^1 d\tau \int_{\partial^0 S_{2\pi}} W(x) \left[|T(\varphi_\varepsilon) - \tau(\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon))_\Pi|^{2_s^*-2} (T(\varphi_\varepsilon) - \tau(\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon))_\Pi) \right] (\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon))_\Pi dx \right| \\ & \leq C_4 W(0) \left(|\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*-1}^{2_s^*-1} |(\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon))_\Pi|_\infty + |(\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon))_\Pi|_{2_s^*}^{2_s^*} \right) \\ & \leq C_5 \varepsilon^{N-2s}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*-1}^{2_s^*-1} &= |\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon) - (\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon))_\Pi|_{2_s^*-1}^{2_s^*-1} \\ &\leq C_6 (|\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*-1}^{2_s^*-1} + |(\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon))_\Pi|_{2_s^*-1}^{2_s^*-1}) \\ &\leq C_7 \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

and

$$|\text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_1 \leq |\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon)|_1 + |(\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon))_\Pi|_1 \leq C_8 \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}. \quad (4.9)$$

Now, by using (4.6) again, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\text{Tr}(y + tz_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} - |t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} - |\text{Tr}(y)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} \\ &= 2_s^* \int_0^1 d\tau \int_{\partial^0 S_{2\pi}} W(x) \left[|t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon) + \tau \text{Tr}(y)|^{2_s^*-2} (t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon) + \tau \text{Tr}(y)) - |\tau \text{Tr}(y)|^{2_s^*-2} \tau \text{Tr}(y) \right] \text{Tr}(y) dx \\ &= 2_s^* (2_s^* - 1) \int_0^1 d\tau \int_{\partial^0 S_{2\pi}} W(x) |t \alpha(x) \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon) + \tau \text{Tr}(y)|^{2_s^*-2} (t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)) \text{Tr}(y) dx, \end{aligned}$$

for some measurable function $\alpha(x)$ such that $0 < \alpha(x) < 1$. Using Young's inequality, estimates (4.8) and (4.9) and the fact that all norms in \mathbb{Y}_m^s are equivalent, we deduce from the last inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| |\operatorname{Tr}(y + tz_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} - |t \operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} - |\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} \right| \\
& \leq C_9 \int_0^1 d\tau \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} W(x) \left[|\operatorname{Tr}(y)| |t \operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|^{2_s^*-1} + \tau^{2_s^*-2} |t \operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)| |\operatorname{Tr}(y)|^{2_s^*-1} \right] dx \\
& \leq C_{10} \left(|t \operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*-1}^{2_s^*-1} |\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_{\infty, W} + |t \operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_1 |\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_{\infty, W}^{2_s^*-1} \right) \\
& \leq C_{11} \left(|t \operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*-1}^{2_s^*-1} |\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_{2_s^*, W} + |t \operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_1 |\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*-1} \right) \\
& \leq C_{12} (C_{13} t^{2_s^*-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} |\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_{2_s^*, W} + C_{14} t^{2_s^*} \varepsilon^N) + \frac{1}{4} |\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} |\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} + C_{15} t^{2_s^*} \varepsilon^{\frac{N(N-2s)}{N+2s}}
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Lemma.

Finally, we note that the above estimate gives the following inequality which we will use later

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| |\operatorname{Tr}(y + tz_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} - |t \operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} - |\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} \right| \\
& \leq C_{16} \left(t^{2_s^*-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} |\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_{2_s^*} + t^{2_s^*} \varepsilon^N \right) + \frac{1}{4} |\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

□

Lemma 4.4. *Let z_ε be the function defined as in Lemma 4.3. Then we have*

$$\|z_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - m^{2s} |\operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_{L^2(0,T)^N}^2 \leq \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} |\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon|^2 dx d\xi. \tag{4.11}$$

Proof. Firstly, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_x z_\varepsilon &= \nabla_x \varphi_\varepsilon \theta(m\xi) \\
\partial_\xi z_\varepsilon &= [\partial_\xi \varphi_\varepsilon - (\partial_\xi \varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi] \theta(m\xi) + [\varphi_\varepsilon - (\varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi] \theta'(m\xi) m.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|z_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - m^{2s} |\operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^2 = \\
& = \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} [|\nabla_x \varphi_\varepsilon|^2 \theta^2(m\xi) + |\partial_\xi \varphi_\varepsilon - (\partial_\xi \varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi|^2 \theta^2(m\xi) + m^2 |\varphi_\varepsilon - (\varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi|^2 (\theta'(m\xi))^2 \\
& + 2m \theta'(m\xi) \theta(m\xi) [\varphi_\varepsilon - (\varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi] \partial_\xi [\varphi_\varepsilon - (\varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi] + m^2 |\varphi_\varepsilon - (\varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi|^2 \theta^2(m\xi)] dx d\xi \\
& - m^{2s} \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} |\operatorname{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon) - (\operatorname{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon))_\Pi|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account $\theta'' + \frac{1-2s}{\xi}\theta' = \theta$, $\theta(0) = 1$, $\theta(\infty) = 0$ and $-\xi^{1-2s}\theta'(\xi) \rightarrow \kappa_s \equiv 1$ as $\xi \rightarrow 0^+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} \left[m^2 |\varphi_\varepsilon - (\varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi|^2 (\theta'(m\xi))^2 + 2m\theta'(m\xi)\theta(m\xi) [\varphi_\varepsilon - (\varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi] \partial_\xi [\varphi_\varepsilon - (\varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi] \right. \\
& \quad \left. + m^2 |\varphi_\varepsilon - (\varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi|^2 \theta^2(m\xi) \right] dx d\xi - m^{2s} \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} |\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon) - (\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon))_\Pi|^2 dx \\
& = \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} \left[m^2 |\varphi_\varepsilon - (\varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi|^2 (\theta'(m\xi))^2 + m^2 |\varphi_\varepsilon - (\varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi|^2 \theta^2(m\xi) \right] dx d\xi \\
& \quad + \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} \partial_\xi (|\varphi_\varepsilon - (\varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi|^2) m\theta(m\xi)\theta'(m\xi) dx d\xi - m^{2s} \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} |\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon) - (\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon))_\Pi|^2 dx \\
& = \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} \left[m^2 |\varphi_\varepsilon - (\varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi|^2 (\theta'(m\xi))^2 + m^2 |\varphi_\varepsilon - (\varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi|^2 \theta^2(m\xi) \right] dx d\xi \\
& \quad + m^{2s} \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} |\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon) - (\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon))_\Pi|^2 dx - \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} |\varphi_\varepsilon - (\varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi|^2 \left[(1-2s)\xi^{-2s} m\theta(m\xi)\theta'(m\xi) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \xi^{1-2s} m^2 (\theta'(m\xi))^2 + \xi^{1-2s} m^2 \theta(m\xi)\theta''(m\xi) \right] dx d\xi - m^{2s} \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} |\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon) - (\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon))_\Pi|^2 dx \\
& = \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} \left[m^2 |\varphi_\varepsilon - (\varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi|^2 (\theta'(m\xi))^2 + m^2 |\varphi_\varepsilon - (\varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi|^2 \theta^2(m\xi) \right] dx d\xi \\
& \quad - \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} |\varphi_\varepsilon - (\varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi|^2 \left[\xi^{1-2s} m^2 (\theta'(m\xi))^2 + \xi^{1-2s} m^2 \theta^2(m\xi) \right] dx d\xi = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence

$$\|z_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - m^{2s} |\text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^2 = \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} \theta^2(m\xi) [|\nabla_x \varphi_\varepsilon|^2 + |\partial_\xi \varphi_\varepsilon - (\partial_\xi \varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi|^2] dx d\xi. \quad (4.12)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} |\partial_\xi \varphi_\varepsilon - (\partial_\xi \varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi|^2 dx & = \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} |\partial_\xi \varphi_\varepsilon|^2 dx + \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} |(\partial_\xi \varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi|^2 dx - 2(\partial_\xi \varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \partial_\xi \varphi_\varepsilon dx \\
& = \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} [|\partial_\xi \varphi_\varepsilon|^2 - |(\partial_\xi \varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi|^2] dx,
\end{aligned}$$

we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} \theta^2(m\xi) [|\nabla_x \varphi_\varepsilon|^2 + |\partial_\xi \varphi_\varepsilon - (\partial_\xi \varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi|^2] dx d\xi \\
& = \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} \theta^2(m\xi) [|\nabla_x \varphi_\varepsilon|^2 + [|\partial_\xi \varphi_\varepsilon|^2 - |(\partial_\xi \varphi_\varepsilon)_\Pi|^2]] dx d\xi \\
& \leq \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} \theta^2(m\xi) [|\nabla_x \varphi_\varepsilon|^2 + |\partial_\xi \varphi_\varepsilon|^2] dx d\xi \\
& \leq \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} [|\nabla_x \varphi_\varepsilon|^2 + |\partial_\xi \varphi_\varepsilon|^2] dx d\xi = \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} |\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon|^2 dx d\xi, \quad (4.13)
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used the fact that $0 < \theta(t) \leq 1$ [26]. Putting together (4.12) and (4.13) we deduce that (4.11) holds. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Let $Q_\varepsilon := \{y + tz_\varepsilon : y \in \mathbb{Y}_m^s, t \geq 0\}$ be the set defined as in Lemma 4.3. Then we have*

$$\max_{v \in Q_\varepsilon} \mathcal{J}_m(v) < \frac{s}{N} W(0)^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}} S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}.$$

Proof. Let us observe that for every $v \in \mathbb{X}_m^s - \{0\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_m(tv) &= \frac{t^2}{2} \left[\|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - m^{2s} |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_2^2 \right] - \frac{t^{2_s^*}}{2_s^*} |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} - \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} F(x, t \operatorname{Tr}(v)) dx \\ &\leq \frac{t^2}{2} \left[\|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - m^{2s} |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_2^2 \right] - \frac{t^{2_s^*}}{2_s^*} |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we can find $t_\varepsilon \geq 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{J}_m(t_\varepsilon v) = \sup_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{J}_m(tv).$$

We can assume that $t_\varepsilon > 0$, and since it satisfies

$$t_\varepsilon \left[\|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - m^{2s} |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_2^2 \right] - t_\varepsilon^{2_s^*-1} |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} - \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \operatorname{Tr}(v) f(x, t_\varepsilon \operatorname{Tr}(v)) dx = 0,$$

we obtain

$$t_\varepsilon \leq \left[\frac{\|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - m^{2s} |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_2^2}{|\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*}} \right]^{\frac{N-2s}{4s}} =: A.$$

Let us note that the function

$$t \mapsto \frac{t^2}{2} \left[\|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - m^{2s} |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_2^2 \right] - \frac{t^{2_s^*}}{2_s^*} |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*}$$

is increasing in $[0, A]$, so we can deduce that

$$\mathcal{J}_m(t_\varepsilon v) \leq \frac{s}{N} \left[\frac{\|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - m^{2s} |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_2^2}{|\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*}} \right]^{\frac{N}{2s}} - \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} F(x, t_\varepsilon \operatorname{Tr}(v)) dx. \quad (4.14)$$

Now, fix $v = y + tz_\varepsilon \in Q_\varepsilon$ such that $|\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_{2_s^*, W} = 1$. Hence, by using Theorem 3.2, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - m^{2s} |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_2^2 &= \|y\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - m^{2s} |\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_2^2 + t^2 [\|z_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - m^{2s} |\operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^2] \\ &= t^2 [\|z_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - m^{2s} |\operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^2] \\ &= \frac{\|z_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - m^{2s} |\operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^2}{|\operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*}} |t \operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

By using (4.7), we get

$$\left| \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} W(x) (|\operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|^{2_s^*} - |\operatorname{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon)|^{2_s^*}) dx \right| \leq C \varepsilon^{N-2s}, \quad (4.16)$$

which together with $N - 2s \geq 2s$ and Lemma 4.2-(ii), yields

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} &= (|\operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*})^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} = (|\operatorname{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} + O(\varepsilon^{N-2s}))^{\frac{N-2s}{N}} \\ &= (W(0) S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}} + O(\varepsilon^N) + O(\varepsilon^{2s}) + O(\varepsilon^{N-2s}))^{\frac{N-2s}{N}} \\ &= W(0)^{\frac{N-2s}{N}} S_*^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}} + O(\varepsilon^{2s \frac{(N-2s)}{N}}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

On the other hand, in view of Lemma 4.4, we know that

$$\|z_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - m^{2s} |\operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^2 \leq \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} |\nabla \varphi_\varepsilon|^2 dx d\xi. \quad (4.18)$$

Thus, putting together (4.15), Lemma 4.2-(i), (4.17) and (4.18), we can see that

$$\|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - m^{2s} |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_2^2 \leq [W(0)^{-\frac{N-2s}{N}} S_* + O(\varepsilon^{2s \frac{(N-2s)}{N}})] |t \operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*}. \quad (4.19)$$

Now, by using Lemma 4.3, $|\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_{2_s^*, W} = 1$ and (4.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} &\geq |t \operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} + \frac{1}{2} |\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} - K_5 t^{2_s^*} \varepsilon^{\frac{N(N-2s)}{N+2s}} \\ &\geq t^{2_s^*} |\varphi_\varepsilon(\cdot, 0)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} - C_5 t^{2_s^*} \varepsilon^{N-2s} + \frac{1}{2} |\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} - K_5 t^{2_s^*} \varepsilon^{\frac{N(N-2s)}{N+2s}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

which implies that t is bounded.

We distinguish two cases. Firstly, we suppose that $|\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} \leq 2K_5 t^{2_s^*} \varepsilon^{N \frac{(N-2s)}{N+2s}}$. Then by (4.10) in Lemma 4.3, we have the following estimate

$$|t \operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} \leq 1 - \frac{3}{4} |\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} + K_6 (\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} |\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_2 + \varepsilon^N)$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} |t \operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} &\leq \left[1 - \frac{3}{4} |\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} + K_7 (\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} |\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_2 + \varepsilon^N) \right]^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} \\ &\leq 1 + K_8 (\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} |\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_2 + \varepsilon^N) \\ &\leq 1 + K_9 \varepsilon^{N \frac{(N-2s)}{N+2s}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

where in the last inequality we used Hölder inequality, Young's inequality with exponents $\frac{2_s^*}{2_s^*-1}$ and 2_s^* , $|\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} \leq 2K_5 t^{2_s^*} \varepsilon^{N \frac{(N-2s)}{N+2s}}$ and the boundedness of t to infer that

$$\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} |\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_2 \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} |\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_{2_s^*, W} \leq C' \varepsilon^{\frac{N(N-2s)}{N+2s}} + C'' \varepsilon^{\frac{N(N-2s)}{N+2s}} = C''' \varepsilon^{\frac{N(N-2s)}{N+2s}}.$$

If we assume that $|\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} > 2K_5 t^{2_s^*} \varepsilon^{N \frac{(N-2s)}{N+2s}}$, from the inequality (4.20), we deduce easily that

$$|t \operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} \leq 1. \quad (4.22)$$

As a consequence of (4.21) and (4.22), we get

$$|t \operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} \leq 1 + K_9 \varepsilon^{N \frac{(N-2s)}{N+2s}}. \quad (4.23)$$

Since t_ε satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\|y + tz_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - m^{2s} |\operatorname{Tr}(y + tz_\varepsilon)|_2^2 \right] - t_\varepsilon^{2_s^*-2} |\operatorname{Tr}(y + tz_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} \\ &\quad - \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(y + tz_\varepsilon) f(x, \operatorname{Tr}(y + t_\varepsilon z_\varepsilon))}{t_\varepsilon} dx = 0, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[\|y + tz_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - m^{2s} |\operatorname{Tr}(y + tz_\varepsilon)|_2^2 \right] \geq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} t_\varepsilon^{2_s^*-2}. \quad (4.24)$$

Taking into account (4.19), (4.23) and (4.24), we can infer that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} t_\varepsilon^{2_s^*-2} \leq W(0)^{-\frac{N-2s}{N}} S_*,$$

that is t_ε is bounded for any $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. Hence, we may assume that $t_\varepsilon \rightarrow t_0 \geq 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. If $t_0 = 0$, we have finished. Thus, we suppose that $t_0 > 0$.

Now, we estimate the integral involving F . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} F(x, \text{Tr}(y + tz_\varepsilon)) dx - \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} F(x, \text{Tr}(y)) dx - \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} F(x, t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)) dx \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \left[\int_0^{t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)} f(x, \text{Tr}(y) + \tau) d\tau - \int_0^{t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)} f(x, \tau) d\tau \right] dx \right| \\
&\leq K_{10} \left[\int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} |(t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon))|(1 + |\text{Tr}(y) + t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|^{p-1}) dx + \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} |(t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon))|(1 + |t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|^{p-1}) dx \right] \\
&\leq K_{10} \left[\int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} (|\text{Tr}(y)|^{p-1} |t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)| + |t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)| + |t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|^p) dx \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

It is clear that the condition $|\text{Tr}(y + tz_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*} = 1$ implies that $|\text{Tr}(y)|_\infty$ is uniformly bounded. Arguing as in Lemma 4.3 (see formula (4.7) there), we can see that it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} (|\text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|^p - |\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon)|^p) dx \right| &\leq K_{11} (|\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon)|_{p-1}^{p-1} |(\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon))_\Pi|_\infty + |(\text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon))_\Pi|_p^p) \\
&\leq K_{12} (\varepsilon^{N - \frac{(N-2s)(p-1)}{2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} + \varepsilon^{\frac{p(N-2s)}{2}}) \\
&\leq O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}).
\end{aligned}$$

This and (4.25) yield

$$\left| \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} [F(x, \text{Tr}(v)) - F(x, \text{Tr}(y)) - F(x, t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon))] dx \right| \leq K_{13} (\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} + \varepsilon^{N - \frac{p(N-2s)}{2}}). \tag{4.26}$$

Putting together (4.14), (4.19), (4.23) and (4.26), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_m(t_\varepsilon(y + tz_\varepsilon)) &\leq \frac{s}{N} W(0)^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}} S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}} + O(\varepsilon^{N-2s}) + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}) + O(\varepsilon^{N - \frac{p(N-2s)}{2}}) - \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} F(x, t_\varepsilon \text{Tr}(y)) dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} F(x, t_\varepsilon t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)) dx \\
&\leq \frac{s}{N} W(0)^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}} S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}) + O(\varepsilon^{N - \frac{p(N-2s)}{2}}) - \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} F(x, t_\varepsilon t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)) dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

Now, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} F(x, t_\varepsilon t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)) - F(x, t_\varepsilon t \text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon)) dx \right| &\leq \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \left| \int_{t_\varepsilon t \text{Tr}(\varphi_\varepsilon)}^{t_\varepsilon t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)} f(x, \tau) d\tau \right| dx \\
&\leq K_{14} (|\text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^2 + |\text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_p^p) = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

Hence, by using (4.27), (4.28), (f6) and $t_\varepsilon \rightarrow t_0 > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_m(t_\varepsilon(y + tz_\varepsilon)) &\leq \frac{s}{N} W(0)^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}} S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}) + O(\varepsilon^{N - \frac{p(N-2s)}{2}}) - \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \bar{F}(t_\varepsilon t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)) dx \\
&\leq \frac{s}{N} W(0)^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}} S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}) + O(\varepsilon^{N - \frac{p(N-2s)}{2}}) - \int_{B(0,R)} \bar{F} \left(\frac{C \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}} \right) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

for some $C > 0$ and $R > 0$. Since the assumption (f6) implies that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}} \int_{B(0,R)} \bar{F} \left(\frac{C \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}} \right) dx = \infty$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N - \frac{p(N-2s)}{2}}} \int_{B(0,R)} \overline{F} \left(\frac{C\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2)^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}} \right) dx = \infty,$$

we can infer that

$$\mathcal{J}_m(t_\varepsilon(y + tz_\varepsilon)) < \frac{s}{N} W(0)^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}} S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}.$$

This ends the proof of lemma. \square

To obtain the existence of a critical value of \mathcal{J}_m , we need to prove the Palais-Smale condition.

This condition will be satisfied for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $c < \frac{s}{N} W(0)^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}} S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}$, where

$$S_* = \inf \left\{ \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} \xi^{1-2s} |\nabla v|^2 dx d\xi}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v(x, 0)|^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}}} : v \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}}) \right\}$$

is the best constant of the fractional Sobolev embedding $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ into $L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$; see [22].

Lemma 4.6. *Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $c < c^* := \frac{s}{N} W(0)^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}} S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}$ and let $(v_j) \subset \mathbb{X}_m^s$ be a sequence such that*

$$\mathcal{J}_m(v_j) \rightarrow c \text{ and } \mathcal{J}_m'(v_j) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.29)$$

Then (v_j) has a strongly convergent subsequence in \mathbb{X}_m^s .

Proof. By using (f5), we have for j large

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{s}{N} W(0)^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}} S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}} + 1 + \|v_j\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s} \\ & \geq \mathcal{J}_m(v_j) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{J}_m'(v_j), v_j \rangle \\ & = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_s^*} \right) |\text{Tr}(v_j)|_{2_s^*, W}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} f(x, \text{Tr}(v_j)) \text{Tr}(v_j) dx - \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} F(x, \text{Tr}(v_j)) dx \\ & \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_s^*} \right) |\text{Tr}(v_j)|_{2_s^*, W}^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} f(x, \text{Tr}(v_j)) \text{Tr}(v_j) dx \\ & \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_s^*} \right) |\text{Tr}(v_j)|_{2_s^*, W}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

Now, let us recall that $W(x) \geq \min_{x \in [-\pi, \pi]^N} W(x) > 0$ in view of (W1).

Then, by using Hölder inequality, we have the following estimate

$$\int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} |\text{Tr}(v_j)|^2 dx \leq |\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}|^{\frac{2_s^*-2}{2_s^*}} |\text{Tr}(v_j)|_{2_s^*, W}^2 \left(\min_{x \in [-\pi, \pi]^N} W(x) \right)^{-\frac{2}{2_s^*}},$$

which together with (4.30) yields

$$|\text{Tr}(v_j)|_{2_s^*}^2 \leq c_1 |\text{Tr}(v_j)|_{2_s^*, W}^2 \leq c_2 (1 + \|v_j\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}).$$

On the other hand, by applying (f5) and (4.30), we can see that

$$\int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} F(x, \text{Tr}(v_j)) dx \leq \mu^{-1} \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} f(x, \text{Tr}(v_j)) \text{Tr}(v_j) dx \leq c_3 (1 + \|v_j\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}).$$

Summing up, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_j\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 &= 2\mathcal{J}_m(v_j) + m^{2s} |\operatorname{Tr}(v_j)|_2^2 + \frac{2}{2_s^*} |\operatorname{Tr}(v_j)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} + 2 \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} F(x, \operatorname{Tr}(v_j)) dx \\ &\leq c_4 + c_5(1 + \|v_j\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}}) + c_6(1 + \|v_j\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}), \end{aligned}$$

that is $(v_j)_j$ is bounded in \mathbb{X}_m^s .

By Theorem 2.2, we can extract a subsequence, which we denote again by v_j , such that

$$\begin{aligned} v_j &\rightharpoonup v \text{ in } \mathbb{X}_m^s \\ \operatorname{Tr}(v_j) &\rightarrow \operatorname{Tr}(v) \text{ in } L^q(-\pi, \pi)^N \text{ for } q \in [1, 2_s^*) \\ \operatorname{Tr}(v_j) &\rightarrow \operatorname{Tr}(v) \text{ a.e. in } (-\pi, \pi)^N. \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

Moreover, by using (4.31) and (f2)-(f4), we can see that

$$\int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} F(x, \operatorname{Tr}(v_j)) dx \rightarrow \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} F(x, \operatorname{Tr}(v)) dx, \quad (4.32)$$

$$\int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} f(x, \operatorname{Tr}(v_j)) (\operatorname{Tr}(v_j) - \operatorname{Tr}(v)) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.33)$$

and

$$\int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} f(x, \operatorname{Tr}(v)) (\operatorname{Tr}(v_j) - \operatorname{Tr}(v)) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.34)$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence, for every $\phi \in \mathbb{X}_m^s$, we obtain that, as $j \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} (\nabla v_j \nabla \phi + m^2 v_j \phi) dx d\xi - m^{2s} \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \operatorname{Tr}(v_j) \operatorname{Tr}(\phi) dx \\ &- \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} W(x) |\operatorname{Tr}(v_j)|^{2_s^*-2} \operatorname{Tr}(v_j) \operatorname{Tr}(\phi) dx - \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} f(x, \operatorname{Tr}(v_j)) \operatorname{Tr}(\phi) dx \\ &\rightarrow \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} (\nabla v \nabla \phi + m^2 v \phi) dx d\xi - m^{2s} \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \operatorname{Tr}(v) \operatorname{Tr}(\phi) dx \\ &- \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} W(x) |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|^{2_s^*-2} \operatorname{Tr}(v) \operatorname{Tr}(\phi) dx - \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} f(x, \operatorname{Tr}(v)) \operatorname{Tr}(\phi) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

Since $\mathcal{J}_m'(v_j) \rightarrow 0$, we deduce that $\langle \mathcal{J}_m'(v), \phi \rangle = 0$, for every $\phi \in \mathbb{X}_m^s$. Choosing $\phi = v$, we have

$$0 = \|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - m^{2s} |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_2^2 - |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} - \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} f(x, \operatorname{Tr}(v)) \operatorname{Tr}(v) dx \quad (4.36)$$

and, by using (f5), we get

$$\mathcal{J}_m(v) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_s^*}\right) |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} f(x, \operatorname{Tr}(v)) \operatorname{Tr}(v) dx \geq 0. \quad (4.37)$$

By using Brezis-Lieb Lemma [13], we can see that

$$\|v_j\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 = \|v_j - v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 + \|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 + o(1) \quad (4.38)$$

and

$$|\operatorname{Tr}(v_j)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} = |\operatorname{Tr}(v_j) - \operatorname{Tr}(v)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} + |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} + o(1). \quad (4.39)$$

Thus, by using (4.32), (4.38), (4.39) and the fact that $\operatorname{Tr}(v_j) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tr}(v)$ in $L^2(-\pi, \pi)^N$, we have

$$\mathcal{J}_m(v_j) = \mathcal{J}_m(v) + \left[\frac{1}{2} \|v_j - v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - \frac{1}{2_s^*} |\operatorname{Tr}(v_j) - \operatorname{Tr}(v)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} \right] + o(1). \quad (4.40)$$

Since $\text{Tr}(v_j) \rightharpoonup \text{Tr}(v)$ in $L^{2_s^*}(-\pi, \pi)^N$, we can see

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} W(x) (|\text{Tr}(v_j)|^{2_s^*-2} \text{Tr}(v_j) - |\text{Tr}(v)|^{2_s^*-2} \text{Tr}(v)) (\text{Tr}(v_j) - \text{Tr}(v)) dx \\ &= \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} W(x) (|\text{Tr}(v_j)|^{2_s^*} - |\text{Tr}(v)|^{2_s^*-2} \text{Tr}(v_j) \text{Tr}(v)) dx + o(1) \\ &= \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} W(x) (|\text{Tr}(v_j)|^{2_s^*} - |\text{Tr}(v)|^{2_s^*}) dx + o(1) \\ &= \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} W(x) |\text{Tr}(v_j) - \text{Tr}(v)|^{2_s^*} dx + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

where we used (4.39) in the last equality. This, (4.33) and (4.34) yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle \mathcal{J}'_m(v_j), v_j - v \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathcal{J}'_m(v_j) - \mathcal{J}'_m(v), v_j - v \rangle \\ &= \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} [|\nabla v_j - \nabla v|^2 + m^2(v_j - v)^2] dx d\xi - \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} W(x) |\text{Tr}(v_j) - \text{Tr}(v)|^{2_s^*} dx + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\|v_j - v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 = |\text{Tr}(v_j) - \text{Tr}(v)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} + o(1). \quad (4.41)$$

Taking into account $\mathcal{J}_m(v) \geq 0$ and (4.40) we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \|v_j - v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - \frac{1}{2_s^*} |\text{Tr}(v_j) - \text{Tr}(v)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} &= \mathcal{J}_m(v_j) - \mathcal{J}_m(v) + o(1) \\ &\leq \mathcal{J}_m(v_j) + o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.42)$$

and by using (4.41) and $c < \frac{s}{N} W(0)^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}} S_*^{N/2s}$, we find

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_s^*} \right) \|v_j - v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 + o(1) \leq \mathcal{J}_m(v_j) + o(1) = c < \frac{s}{N} W(0)^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}} S_*^{N/2s}. \quad (4.43)$$

Since $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_s^*} = \frac{s}{N}$, we get for all $j \geq j_0$

$$\|v_j - v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 < W(0)^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}} S_*^{N/2s}. \quad (4.44)$$

Now, recalling (see [32]) that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$|u|_{L^{2_s^*}(-\pi, \pi)^N}^2 \leq (S_*^{-1} + \varepsilon) |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u|_{L^2(-\pi, \pi)^N}^2 + C_\varepsilon |u|_{L^2(-\pi, \pi)^N}^2 \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{H}_m^s, \quad (4.45)$$

and by using Theorem 2.1, (4.41) and (4.31) (strong convergence in $L^2(-\pi, \pi)^N$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_j - v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 &= |\text{Tr}(v_j) - \text{Tr}(v)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} + o(1) \\ &\leq W(0) S_*^{-\frac{2_s^*}{2}} [\text{Tr}(v_j - v)]^{2_s^*} \\ &\leq W(0) S_*^{-\frac{2_s^*}{2}} \|v_j - v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^{2_s^*}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.46)$$

Therefore, if $\|v_j - v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 \rightarrow l > 0$, then from (4.44) and (4.46) we deduce that

$$W(0)^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}} S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}} > l \geq W(0)^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}} S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}},$$

that is a contradiction. Therefore, we can deduce that $v_j \rightarrow v$ strongly in \mathbb{X}_m^s . \square

Lemma 4.7. *Let $M_\varepsilon := \{y + tz_\varepsilon : y \in \mathbb{Y}_m^s, \|y + tz_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s} \leq R, t \geq 0\}$. Then, there exists $R > \rho$ sufficiently large such that $\sup_{\partial M_\varepsilon} \mathcal{J}_m = 0$.*

Proof. Let $v = y + tz_\varepsilon \in \partial M_\varepsilon$. If $t = 0$, it follows directly by (3.5) and by the assumption (f5) that $\mathcal{J}_m \leq 0$ on \mathbb{Y}_m^s . Let $R = \|y + tz_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}$ with $t > 0$. By using (f4) and (f5), we can see that for all $\delta > 0$ there exists $C_\delta > 0$ such that

$$F(x, t) \geq -\delta t^2 + C_\delta |t|^\beta \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

with $\beta \in (2, 2_s^*)$. This gives

$$\int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} F(x, \text{Tr}(v)) dx \geq -\delta |\text{Tr}(y) + t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^2 + C_\delta |T(y) + t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_\beta^\beta.$$

On the other hand, by using Hölder inequality and Jensen's inequality, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Tr}(y) + t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} &\geq C_1 (|\text{Tr}(y) + t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^2)^{\frac{2_s^*}{2}} \\ &= C_1 (|\text{Tr}(y)|_2^2 + t^2 |\text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^2)^{\frac{2_s^*}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.47)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Tr}(y) + t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_\beta^\beta &\geq C_2 (|\text{Tr}(y) + t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \\ &= C_2 (|\text{Tr}(y)|_2^2 + t^2 |\text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.48)$$

Then, (4.47) and (4.48) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_m(y + tz_\varepsilon) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|y + tz_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - \frac{m^{2s}}{2} |\text{Tr}(y) + t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2_s^*} |\text{Tr}(y) + t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} \\ &\quad + \delta |\text{Tr}(y) + t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^2 - C_\delta |T(y) + t \text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_\beta^\beta \\ &\leq \frac{t^2}{2} \left[\|z_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - \frac{m^{2s}}{2} |\text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^2 \right] - \frac{C_1}{2_s^*} (|\text{Tr}(y)|_2^2 + t^2 |\text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^2)^{\frac{2_s^*}{2}} \\ &\quad + \delta (|\text{Tr}(y)|_2^2 + t^2 |\text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^2) - C_\delta C_2 (|\text{Tr}(y)|_2^2 + t^2 |\text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{t^2}{2} \|z_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 + \delta t^2 |\text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^2 - C_3 t^{2_s^*} |\text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*}^{2_s^*} - C_\delta C_4 t^\beta |\text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^\beta \\ &\quad + \delta |\text{Tr}(y)|_2^2 - C_3 |\text{Tr}(y)|_{2_s^*}^{2_s^*} - C_\delta C_4 |\text{Tr}(y)|_2^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (4.11), we know that

$$\|z_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - m^{2s} |\text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^2 \leq S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}} + O(\varepsilon^{N-2s})$$

so we deduce that

$$\|z_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 \leq C_5 + m^{2s} |\text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^2 \leq C_5 + m^{2s} C_6. \quad (4.49)$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_m(y + tz_\varepsilon) &\leq t^2 (C_5 + m^{2s} + \delta) |\text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^2 - C_3 t^{2_s^*} |\text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*}^{2_s^*} - C_\delta C_4 t^\beta |\text{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^\beta \\ &\quad + \delta |\text{Tr}(y)|_2^2 - C_3 |\text{Tr}(y)|_{2_s^*}^{2_s^*} - C_\delta C_4 |\text{Tr}(y)|_2^\beta. \end{aligned} \quad (4.50)$$

Taking into account $\|y + tz_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 = m^{2s} |\text{Tr}(y)|_2^2 + t^2 \|z_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2$ and (4.49), we can infer that when $\|y + tz_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s} \rightarrow \infty$ then $t \rightarrow \infty$ or $|\text{Tr}(y)|_2 \rightarrow \infty$, and this together with (4.50) yields

$$\mathcal{J}_m(y + tz_\varepsilon) \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } \|y + tz_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s} \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

Putting together Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.5, Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.7, we can see that the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied. Therefore, for all $m > 0$ there exists $v_m \in \mathbb{X}_m^s$ such that $\mathcal{J}_m(v_m) = c_m$ and $\mathcal{J}_m'(v_m) = 0$. In particular, we know that $0 < c_m < \frac{s}{N} W(0)^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}} S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}$.

5. HÖLDER CONTINUITY OF SOLUTIONS OF (1.2)

In this section we show that any solution of (1.2) is a Hölder continuous function.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $v \in \mathbb{X}_m^s$ be a weak solution to (1.4). Then $\text{Tr}(v) \in C^{0,\alpha}([-\pi, \pi]^N)$, for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.*

Proof. Since v is a critical point for \mathcal{J}_m , we know that

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} (\nabla v \nabla \eta + m^2 v \eta) dx d\xi \\ &= \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} [m^{2s} \text{Tr}(v) + W(x) |\text{Tr}(v)|^{2_s^*-2} \text{Tr}(v) + f(x, \text{Tr}(v))] \text{Tr}(\eta) dx \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

for all $\eta \in \mathbb{X}_m^s$.

Let $w = v v_K^{2\beta} \in \mathbb{X}_m^s$ where $v_K = \min\{|v|, K\}$, $K > 1$ and $\beta \geq 0$. Taking $\eta = w$ in (5.1), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} v_K^{2\beta} (|\nabla v|^2 + m^2 v^2) dx d\xi + \iint_{D_K} 2\beta \xi^{1-2s} v_K^{2\beta} |\nabla v|^2 dx d\xi \\ &= \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} (m^{2s} \text{Tr}(v)^2 + W(x) |\text{Tr}(v)|^{2_s^*}) \text{Tr}(v_K)^{2\beta} dx + \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} f(x, \text{Tr}(v)) \text{Tr}(v) \text{Tr}(v_K)^{2\beta} dx, \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

where $D_K = \{(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{S}_{2\pi} : |v(x, \xi)| \leq K\}$.

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} |\nabla(v v_K^\beta)|^2 dx d\xi \\ &= \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} v_K^{2\beta} |\nabla v|^2 dx d\xi + \iint_{D_K} (2\beta + \beta^2) \xi^{1-2s} v_K^{2\beta} |\nabla v|^2 dx d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Then, putting together (5.2) and (5.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|v v_K^\beta\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 \\ &= \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} [|\nabla(v v_K^\beta)|^2 + m^2 v^2 v_K^{2\beta}] dx d\xi \\ &= \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} v_K^{2\beta} [|\nabla v|^2 + m^2 v^2] dx d\xi + \iint_{D_K} 2\beta \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{2}\right) \xi^{1-2s} v_K^{2\beta} |\nabla v|^2 dx d\xi \\ &\leq c_\beta \left[\iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} v_K^{2\beta} [|\nabla v|^2 + m^2 v^2] dx d\xi + \iint_{D_K} 2\beta \xi^{1-2s} v_K^{2\beta} |\nabla v|^2 dx d\xi \right] \\ &= c_\beta \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} (m^{2s} \text{Tr}(v)^2 + W(x) |\text{Tr}(v)|^{2_s^*}) \text{Tr}(v_K)^{2\beta} + f(x, \text{Tr}(v)) \text{Tr}(v) \text{Tr}(v_K)^{2\beta} dx, \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

where $c_\beta = 1 + \frac{\beta}{2}$.

By assumptions on f and W , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & (m^{2s} \text{Tr}(v)^2 + W(x) |\text{Tr}(v)|^{2_s^*}) \text{Tr}(v_K)^{2\beta} + f(x, \text{Tr}(v)) \text{Tr}(v) \text{Tr}(v_K)^{2\beta} \\ & \leq c_1 (1 + |\text{Tr}(v)|^{2_s^*-2}) \text{Tr}(v)^2 \text{Tr}(v_K)^{2\beta} + c_2 |\text{Tr}(v)|^{p-2} \text{Tr}(v)^2 \text{Tr}(v_K)^{2\beta} \text{ on } \partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we prove that

$$|\text{Tr}(v)|^{2_s^*-2} + |\text{Tr}(v)|^{p-2} \leq 1 + h \text{ on } \partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi},$$

for some $h \in L^{N/2s}(-\pi, \pi)^N$. Firstly, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|^{p-2} &= \chi_{\{|\operatorname{Tr}(v)| \leq 1\}} |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|^{p-2} + \chi_{\{|\operatorname{Tr}(v)| > 1\}} |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|^{p-2} \\ &\leq 1 + \chi_{\{|\operatorname{Tr}(v)| > 1\}} |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|^{p-2} \quad \text{on } \partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

If $(p-2)N < 4s$ then

$$\int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \chi_{\{|\operatorname{Tr}(v)| > 1\}} |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|^{\frac{N}{2s}(p-2)} dx \leq \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \chi_{\{|\operatorname{Tr}(v)| > 1\}} |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|^2 dx < \infty,$$

while if $4s \leq (p-2)N$ we have that $(p-2)\frac{N}{2s} \in [2, 2^*]$.

Let us note that $|\operatorname{Tr}(v)|^{2^*-2} \in L^{\frac{N}{2s}}(-\pi, \pi)^N$. Therefore, there exist a constant C and a function $h \in L^{N/2s}(-\pi, \pi)^N$, $h \geq 0$ and independent of K and β , such that

$$\begin{aligned} (m^{2s} + |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|^{2^*-2}) \operatorname{Tr}(v)^2 \operatorname{Tr}(v)_K^{2\beta} + f(x, \operatorname{Tr}(v)) \operatorname{Tr}(v) \operatorname{Tr}(v_K)^{2\beta} \\ \leq (C + h)v^2 \operatorname{Tr}(v_K)^{2\beta} \quad \text{on } \partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Taking into account (5.4) and (5.5) we have

$$\|v v_K^\beta\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 \leq c_\beta \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} (C + h) \operatorname{Tr}(v)^2 \operatorname{Tr}(v_K)^{2\beta} dx,$$

and by the Monotone Convergence Theorem (v_K is increasing with respect to K) we have as $K \rightarrow \infty$

$$\| |v|^{\beta+1} \|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 \leq C c_\beta \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|^{2(\beta+1)} dx + c_\beta \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} h |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|^{2(\beta+1)} dx. \quad (5.6)$$

Fix $M > 0$ and let $A_1 = \{h \leq M\}$ and $A_2 = \{h > M\}$.

Then

$$\int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} h |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|^{2(\beta+1)} dx \leq M \| |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|^{\beta+1} \|_2^2 + \varepsilon(M) \| |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|^{\beta+1} \|_{2^*}^2, \quad (5.7)$$

where $\varepsilon(M) = \left(\int_{A_2} h^{N/2s} dx \right)^{\frac{2s}{N}} \rightarrow 0$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$. Taking into account (5.6) and (5.7), we get

$$\| |v|^{\beta+1} \|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 \leq c_\beta (c + M) \| |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|^{\beta+1} \|_2^2 + c_\beta \varepsilon(M) \| |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|^{\beta+1} \|_{2^*}^2. \quad (5.8)$$

By using Theorem 2.1 we know that

$$\| |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|^{\beta+1} \|_{2^*}^2 \leq C_{2^*}^2 \| |v|^{\beta+1} \|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2. \quad (5.9)$$

Then, choosing M large so that $\varepsilon(M) c_\beta C_{2^*}^2 < \frac{1}{2}$, and by using (5.8) and (5.9) we obtain

$$\| |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|^{\beta+1} \|_{2^*}^2 \leq 2 C_{2^*}^2 c_\beta (c + M) \| |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|^{\beta+1} \|_2^2. \quad (5.10)$$

Then we can start a bootstrap argument: since $\operatorname{Tr}(v) \in L^{\frac{2N}{N-2s}}(-\pi, \pi)^N$ we can apply (5.10) with $\beta_1 + 1 = \frac{N}{N-2s}$ to deduce that $\operatorname{Tr}(v) \in L^{\frac{(\beta_1+1)2N}{N-2s}}(-\pi, \pi)^N = L^{\frac{2N^2}{(N-2s)^2}}(-\pi, \pi)^N$. Applying (5.10) again, after k iterations, we find $\operatorname{Tr}(v) \in L^{\frac{2N^k}{(N-2s)^k}}(-\pi, \pi)^N$, and so $\operatorname{Tr}(v) \in L^q(-\pi, \pi)^N$ for all $q \in [2, \infty)$. Then we can apply Proposition 3.5 in [28] to deduce that $\operatorname{Tr}(v) \in C^{0,\alpha}([-\pi, \pi]^N)$, for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

□

6. PERIODIC SOLUTIONS FOR $m = 0$

This last section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2. Firstly, we show that it is possible to estimate the critical levels from below and from above independently of m .

Fix $m_0 \in (0, 1)$, and let us assume that $0 < m < m_0$. Then we aim to prove that there exist $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 > 0$ independent of m , such that

$$\sigma_1 \leq \mathcal{J}_m(v_m) \leq \sigma_2 \quad (6.1)$$

for all $0 < m < m_0$. Firstly, we note that by using Proposition 2.1 in [12] and Theorem 2.1, we can see that for all $z \in \mathbb{Z}_m^s$

$$|\operatorname{Tr}(z)|_{2_s^*}^2 \leq C_* [\operatorname{Tr}(z)]^2 = C_* \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N} |k|^{2s} |c_k|^2 \leq C_* |z|_{\mathbb{H}_m^s}^2 \leq C_* \|z\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2,$$

where c_k are the Fourier coefficients of $\operatorname{Tr}(z)$, and C_* is independent of m . Then, by using Hölder inequality we can see that for all fixed $q \in [1, 2_s^*]$, there exists $C_q \equiv C(q, N, s) > 0$ independent of m , such that

$$|\operatorname{Tr}(z)|_q \leq C_q \|z\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{Z}_m^s$. Let us note that when $q = 2$, it results $C_2 = 1$. Therefore, by using (f3) and (f4), we have for all $v \in \mathbb{Z}_m^s$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_m(v) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - \frac{m^{2s}}{2} |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_2^2 - \frac{W(0)}{2_s^*} |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_{2_s^*}^{2_s^*} - \varepsilon |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_2^2 - C_\varepsilon |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_p^p \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{m_0^{2s}}{2} - \varepsilon \right) \|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 - C'_* \|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^{2_s^*} - C'_p C_\varepsilon \|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing ε sufficiently small, there exist $\sigma_1 > 0$ and $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{J}_m(v) \geq \sigma_1 \text{ for all } v \in \mathbb{Z}_m^s : \|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s} = \eta.$$

Now, we can observe that, for any $v = y + tz_\varepsilon \in \partial M_\varepsilon$, we can replace the estimate (4.49) and (4.50) in Lemma 4.7 by

$$\|z_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 \leq C_5 + m_0^{2s} |\operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^2, \quad (6.2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_m(y + tz_\varepsilon) &\leq t^2 (C_5 + m_0^{2s} + \delta) |\operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^2 - C_3 t^{2_s^*} |\operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_{2_s^*}^{2_s^*} - C_\delta C_4 t^\beta |\operatorname{Tr}(z_\varepsilon)|_2^\beta \\ &\quad + \delta |\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_2^2 - C_3 |\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_{2_s^*}^{2_s^*} - C_\delta C_4 |\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_2^\beta, \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

respectively. We also note that all constants appearing in (6.3) are independent of m .

Since $\|y + tz_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 = m^{2s} |\operatorname{Tr}(y)|_2^2 + t^2 \|z_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2$ and by using (6.2), we can infer that

$$\mathcal{J}_m(y + tz_\varepsilon) \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } \|y + tz_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s} \rightarrow \infty.$$

In view of Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.6, we can find $0 < \sigma_2 < \frac{s}{N} W(0)^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}} S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}$ such that $\mathcal{J}_m(v_m) = c_m \leq \sigma_2$, for all $m \in (0, m_0)$. By using $\mathcal{J}_m(v_m) \leq \sigma_2$, $\mathcal{J}'_m(v_m) = 0$ and (f5), we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2 &\geq \mathcal{J}_m(v_m) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{J}'_m(v_m), v_m \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_s^*} \right) |\operatorname{Tr}(v_m)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} f(x, \operatorname{Tr}(v_m)) \operatorname{Tr}(v_m) dx - \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} F(x, \operatorname{Tr}(v_m)) dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_s^*} \right) |\operatorname{Tr}(v_m)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} f(x, \operatorname{Tr}(v_m)) \operatorname{Tr}(v_m) dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_s^*} \right) |\operatorname{Tr}(v_m)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $|\operatorname{Tr}(v_m)|_q$ is bounded for all $q \in [1, 2_s^*]$. In particular, by using (f2)-(f4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_m\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 &= 2\mathcal{J}_m(v_m) + m^{2s}|\operatorname{Tr}(v_m)|_2^2 + \frac{2}{2_s^*}|\operatorname{Tr}(v_m)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} + 2 \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} F(x, \operatorname{Tr}(v_m)) dx \\ &\leq 2\sigma_1 + m_0^{2s}|\operatorname{Tr}(v_m)|_2^2 + \frac{2}{2_s^*}|\operatorname{Tr}(v_m)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} + C_1|\operatorname{Tr}(v_m)|_2^2 + C_2|\operatorname{Tr}(v_m)|_p^p \leq C_3 + C_4, \end{aligned}$$

for all $m \in (0, m_0)$. This means that $(v_m)_m$ is bounded in \mathbb{X}_m^s . In particular, we can see that

$$C_3 + C_4 \geq \|v_m\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 \geq \|\nabla v_m\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}, \xi^{1-2s})}^2 \quad (6.4)$$

and

$$C_3 + C_4 \geq \|v_m\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s}^2 \geq |\operatorname{Tr}(v_m)|_{\mathbb{H}_m^s}^2 \geq [\operatorname{Tr}(v_m)]^2. \quad (6.5)$$

Now, we prove that for any $\delta > 0$, the following inequality holds true

$$\|v\|_{L^2((-\pi, \pi)^N \times (0, \delta), \xi^{1-2s})}^2 \leq \frac{\delta^{2-2s}}{1-s} |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_2^2 + \frac{\delta^2}{2s} \|\partial_\xi v\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}, \xi^{1-2s})}^2 \quad (6.6)$$

for any $v \in \mathbb{X}_m^s$. Fix $\delta > 0$ and let $v \in C_T^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}})$ be such that $\|v\|_{\mathbb{X}_m^s} < \infty$. For any $x \in [0, T]^N$ and $\xi \in [0, \delta]$, we have

$$v(x, \xi) = v(x, 0) + \int_0^\xi \partial_\xi v(x, t) dt.$$

By using $(a+b)^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2b^2$ for all $a, b \geq 0$ we obtain

$$|v(x, \xi)|^2 \leq 2|v(x, 0)|^2 + 2\left(\int_0^\xi |\partial_\xi v(x, t)| dt\right)^2,$$

and applying the Hölder inequality we deduce

$$|v(x, \xi)|^2 \leq 2\left[|v(x, 0)|^2 + \left(\int_0^\xi t^{1-2s} |\partial_\xi v(x, t)|^2 dt\right) \frac{\xi^{2s}}{2s}\right]. \quad (6.7)$$

Multiplying both members of (6.7) by ξ^{1-2s} we get

$$\xi^{1-2s} |v(x, \xi)|^2 \leq 2\left[\xi^{1-2s} |v(x, 0)|^2 + \left(\int_0^\xi t^{1-2s} |\partial_\xi v(x, t)|^2 dt\right) \frac{\xi}{2s}\right]. \quad (6.8)$$

Integrating (6.8) over $(-\pi, \pi)^N \times (0, \delta)$ we have

$$\|v\|_{L^2((-\pi, \pi)^N \times (0, \delta), \xi^{1-2s})}^2 \leq \frac{\delta^{2-2s}}{1-s} |v(\cdot, 0)|_{L^2((-\pi, \pi)^N)}^2 + \frac{\delta^2}{2s} \|\partial_\xi v\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}, \xi^{1-2s})}^2. \quad (6.9)$$

By density we get the desired result.

Then, by Theorem 2.2, we can extract a subsequence, which we denote again by v_m , and a function v satisfying $v \in L_{loc}^2(\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}, \xi^{1-2s})$, $\nabla v \in L^2(\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}, \xi^{1-2s})$, such that as $m \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_m &\rightharpoonup v \text{ in } L_{loc}^2(\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}, \xi^{1-2s}), \\ \nabla v_m &\rightharpoonup \nabla v \text{ in } L^2(\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}, \xi^{1-2s}), \\ \operatorname{Tr}(v_m) &\rightarrow \operatorname{Tr}(v) \text{ in } L^q(-\pi, \pi)^N \text{ for } q \in [1, 2_s^*), \\ \operatorname{Tr}(v_m) &\rightarrow \operatorname{Tr}(v) \text{ a.e. in } (-\pi, \pi)^N. \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

At this point, we prove that v is a weak solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\xi^{1-2s} \nabla v) = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{S}_{2\pi} \\ v|_{\{x_i=0\}} = v|_{\{x_i=T\}} & \text{on } \partial_L \mathcal{S}_{2\pi} \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu^{1-2s}} = W(x) |v|^{2_s^*-2} v + f(x, v) & \text{on } \partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}. \end{cases} \quad (6.11)$$

We know that v_m satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} (\nabla v_m \nabla \eta + m^2 v_m \eta) \, dx \, d\xi \\ &= \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} [m^{2s} \operatorname{Tr}(v_m) + W(x) |\operatorname{Tr}(v_m)|^{2^*_s-2} \operatorname{Tr}(v_m) + f(x, \operatorname{Tr}(v_m))] \operatorname{Tr}(\eta) \, dx \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

for every $\eta \in \mathbb{X}_m^s$. Now, fix $\varphi \in C_{2\pi}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}})$ such that $\nabla \varphi \in L^2(\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}, \xi^{1-2s})$, and we introduce $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty([0, \infty))$ defined as follows

$$\begin{cases} \psi = 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq \xi \leq 1 \\ 0 \leq \psi \leq 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq \xi \leq 2 \\ \psi = 0 & \text{if } \xi \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (6.13)$$

We set $\psi_R(\xi) := \psi(\frac{\xi}{R})$ for $R > 1$. Then choosing $\eta = \varphi \psi_R \in \mathbb{X}_m^s$ in (6.12) and taking the limit as $m \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$\iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} \nabla v \nabla (\varphi \psi_R) \, dx \, d\xi = \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} [W(x) |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|^{2^*_s-2} \operatorname{Tr}(v) + f(x, \operatorname{Tr}(v))] \operatorname{Tr}(\varphi) \, dx. \quad (6.14)$$

By passing to the limit in (6.14) as $R \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce that v verifies

$$\iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} \nabla v \nabla \varphi \, dx \, d\xi = \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} [W(x) |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|^{2^*_s-2} \operatorname{Tr}(v) + f(x, \operatorname{Tr}(v))] \operatorname{Tr}(\varphi) \, dx$$

for any $\varphi \in C_{2\pi}^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}})$ such that $\nabla \varphi \in L^2(\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}, \xi^{1-2s})$, so by density for all $\varphi \in \dot{\mathbb{X}}^s$.

Finally we show that v is not identically zero. Let us denote by \mathcal{J}_0 the Euler-Lagrange functional associated to (6.11), that is

$$\mathcal{J}_0(v) = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}, \xi^{1-2s})}^2 - \frac{1}{2^*_s} |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_{2^*_s, W}^{2^*_s} - \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} F(x, \operatorname{Tr}(v)) \, dx$$

for all $v \in \dot{\mathbb{X}}^s$. Now, we proceed as in the proof of Lemma 4.6. By using (6.10) and (f2)-(f4), we can see that

$$\int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} F(x, \operatorname{Tr}(v_m)) \, dx \rightarrow \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} F(x, \operatorname{Tr}(v)) \, dx, \quad (6.15)$$

$$\int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} f(x, \operatorname{Tr}(v_m)) (\operatorname{Tr}(v_m) - \operatorname{Tr}(v)) \, dx \rightarrow 0, \quad (6.16)$$

and

$$\int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} f(x, \operatorname{Tr}(v)) (\operatorname{Tr}(v_m) - \operatorname{Tr}(v)) \, dx \rightarrow 0 \quad (6.17)$$

as $m \rightarrow 0$. Since $\langle \mathcal{J}'_0(v), v \rangle = 0$, we have

$$0 = \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}, \xi^{1-2s})}^2 - |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_{2^*_s, W}^{2^*_s} - \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} f(x, \operatorname{Tr}(v)) \operatorname{Tr}(v) \, dx$$

and by using (f5) we get

$$\mathcal{J}_0(v) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*_s}\right) |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_{2^*_s, W}^{2^*_s} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} f(x, \operatorname{Tr}(v)) \operatorname{Tr}(v) \, dx \geq 0. \quad (6.18)$$

By Brezis-Lieb Lemma [13], we can note that as $m \rightarrow 0$

$$\|\nabla v_m\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}, \xi^{1-2s})}^2 = \|\nabla(v_m - v)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}, \xi^{1-2s})}^2 + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}, \xi^{1-2s})}^2 + o(1) \quad (6.19)$$

and

$$|\operatorname{Tr}(v_m)|_{2^*_s, W}^{2^*_s} \, dx = |\operatorname{Tr}(v_m) - \operatorname{Tr}(v)|_{2^*_s, W}^{2^*_s} + |\operatorname{Tr}(v)|_{2^*_s, W}^{2^*_s} + o(1). \quad (6.20)$$

Thus, by using (6.15), (6.19), (6.20) and the fact that $\text{Tr}(v_m) \rightarrow \text{Tr}(v)$ in $L^2(-\pi, \pi)^N$, we have

$$\mathcal{J}_0(v_m) = \mathcal{J}_0(v) + \left[\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla(v_m - v)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}, \xi^{1-2s})}^2 - \frac{1}{2_s^*} |\text{Tr}(v_m) - \text{Tr}(v)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} \right] + o(1). \quad (6.21)$$

Since $\text{Tr}(v_m) \rightharpoonup \text{Tr}(v)$ in $L^{2_s^*}(-\pi, \pi)^N$, we can see

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} W(x) (|\text{Tr}(v_m)|^{2_s^*-2} \text{Tr}(v_m) - |\text{Tr}(v)|^{2_s^*-2} \text{Tr}(v)) (\text{Tr}(v_m) - \text{Tr}(v)) dx \\ &= \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} W(x) (|\text{Tr}(v_m)|^{2_s^*} - |\text{Tr}(v)|^{2_s^*-2} \text{Tr}(v_m) \text{Tr}(v)) dx + o(1) \\ &= \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} W(x) (|\text{Tr}(v_m)|^{2_s^*} - |\text{Tr}(v)|^{2_s^*}) dx + o(1) \\ &= \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} W(x) |\text{Tr}(v_m) - \text{Tr}(v)|^{2_s^*} dx + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

This, (6.16), (6.17) and $\langle \mathcal{J}_0'(v), v_m - v \rangle = 0$ (we recall that $\{\nabla v_m\}$ and $\{\text{Tr}(v_m)\}$ are bounded in $L^2(\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}, \xi^{1-2s})$ and $L^{2_s^*}(-\pi, \pi)^N$ respectively, so $v_m - v$ can be used as test function) yield

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle \mathcal{J}_0'(v_m), v_m - v \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathcal{J}_0'(v_m) - \mathcal{J}_0'(v), v_m - v \rangle \\ &= \iint_{\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \xi^{1-2s} |\nabla(v_m - v)|^2 dx d\xi - \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} W(x) |\text{Tr}(v_m) - \text{Tr}(v)|^{2_s^*} dx + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\|\nabla(v_m - v)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}, \xi^{1-2s})}^2 = |\text{Tr}(v_m) - \text{Tr}(v)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} + o(1). \quad (6.22)$$

Taking into account $\mathcal{J}_0(v) \geq 0$, $\text{Tr}(v_m) \rightarrow \text{Tr}(v)$ as $m \rightarrow 0$, and (6.21), we can infer that as $m \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla(v_m - v)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}, \xi^{1-2s})}^2 - \frac{1}{2_s^*} |\text{Tr}(v_m) - \text{Tr}(v)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} \\ &= \mathcal{J}_0(v_m) - \mathcal{J}_0(v) + o(1) \\ &\leq \mathcal{J}_0(v_m) + o(1) \\ &\leq \mathcal{J}_m(v_m) + o(1) \\ &\leq \sigma_2 + o(1) < \frac{s}{N} W(0)^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}} S_*^{\frac{N}{2s}}, \end{aligned}$$

and by using (6.22) and $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_s^*} = \frac{s}{N}$, we obtain for m sufficiently small

$$\|\nabla(v_m - v)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}, \xi^{1-2s})}^2 < W(0)^{-\frac{N-2s}{2s}} S_*^{N/2s}. \quad (6.23)$$

Now, from the property (E2) of the extension and the trace inequality with $m = 0$ (in this case one has to replace \mathbb{X}_m^s by $\dot{\mathbb{X}}^s$ and \mathbb{H}_m^s by $\dot{\mathbb{H}}^s$ in Theorem 2.1), we note that for any $u \in \dot{\mathbb{X}}^s$, it holds

$$[\text{Tr}(u)]^2 = |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \text{Tr}(u)|_{L^2(-\pi, \pi)^N}^2 \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}, \xi^{1-2s})}^2. \quad (6.24)$$

Therefore, thanks to (6.22), (4.46) and (6.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla(v_m - v)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}, \xi^{1-2s})}^2 &= |\text{Tr}(v_m) - \text{Tr}(v)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} + o(1) \\ &\leq W(0) S_*^{-\frac{2_s^*}{2}} [\text{Tr}(v_m) - \text{Tr}(v)]^{2_s^*} \\ &\leq W(0) S_*^{-\frac{2_s^*}{2}} \|\nabla(v_m - v)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_{2\pi}, \xi^{1-2s})}^{2_s^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, in view of (6.23), we can deduce that $\|\nabla(v_m - v)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_{2\pi, \xi^{1-2s}})} \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, by (6.22), we get $|\text{Tr}(v_m) - \text{Tr}(v)|_{2_s^*} \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow 0$.

Hence, putting together $\mathcal{J}_m(v_m) \geq \sigma_1 > 0$, $\langle \mathcal{J}'_m(v_m), v_m \rangle = 0$ and the growth assumptions on f , we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &\leq \mathcal{J}_m(v_m) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{J}'_m(v_m), v_m \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_s^*} \right) |\text{Tr}(v_m)|_{2_s^*, W}^{2_s^*} + \int_{\partial^0 \mathcal{S}_{2\pi}} \left[\frac{1}{2} f(x, \text{Tr}(v_m)) \text{Tr}(v_m) - F(x, \text{Tr}(v_m)) \right] dx \\ &\leq c_1 |\text{Tr}(v_m)|_{2_s^*}^{2_s^*} + c_2 |\text{Tr}(v_m)|_2^2 + c_3 |\text{Tr}(v_m)|_p^p, \end{aligned}$$

and taking the limit as $m \rightarrow 0$ in this inequality (now we know that $\text{Tr}(v_m) \rightarrow \text{Tr}(v)$ in $L^q(-\pi, \pi)^N$ for any $q \in [2, 2_s^*]$), we deduce that $\text{Tr}(v)$ is not identically zero. Moreover, by using the weak formulation of (1.5), (f5) and (W1), we can infer that $\text{Tr}(v)$ cannot be constant.

Acknowledgements. The author warmly thanks the anonymous referee for her/his useful and nice comments on the paper. The manuscript has been carried out under the auspices of the INDAM - Gnampa Project 2017 titled: *Teoria e modelli per problemi non locali*.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ambrosetti and P. Rabinowitz, *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Functional Analysis **14** (1973), 349–381.
- [2] V. Ambrosio, *Periodic solutions for a pseudo-relativistic Schrödinger equation*, Nonlinear Anal. **120** (2015), 262–284.
- [3] V. Ambrosio, *Periodic solutions for the nonlocal operator pseudo-relativistic $(-\Delta + m^2)^s - m^{2s}$ with $m \geq 0$* , Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **49** (2017), no. 1, 75–104.
- [4] V. Ambrosio, *Periodic solutions for a superlinear fractional problem without the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **37** (2017), no. 5, 2265–2284.
- [5] V. Ambrosio, *On the existence of periodic solutions for a fractional Schrödinger equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear), DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1090/proc/13630>.
- [6] V. Ambrosio, *Multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of fractional Schrödinger equations via penalization method*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **196** (2017), no. 6, 2043–2062.
- [7] V. Ambrosio and G. Molica Bisci, *Periodic solutions for nonlocal fractional equations*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **16** (2017), no. 1, 331–344.
- [8] T. Aubin, *Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev*, J. Diff. Geom. **11** (1976), 573–598.
- [9] G. Autuori, A. Fiscella, and P. Pucci, *Stationary Kirchhoff problems involving a fractional elliptic operator and a critical nonlinearity*, Nonlinear Anal. **125** (2015), 699–714.
- [10] B. Barrios, E. Colorado, A. de Pablo, and U. Sánchez, *On some critical problems for the fractional Laplacian operator*, J. Differential Equations **252** (2012), no. 11, 6133–6162.
- [11] V. Benci and G. Cerami, *Existence of positive solutions of the equation $-\Delta u + a(x)u = u^{\frac{N-2}{N+2}}$ in \mathbb{R}^N* , J. Funct. Anal. **88** (1990), 90–117.
- [12] A. Bényi and T. Oh, *The Sobolev inequality on the torus revisited*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **83** (2013) no. 3, 359–374.
- [13] H. Brézis and E. Lieb, *A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1983), no. 3, 486–490.
- [14] H. Brezis and L. Nirenberg, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **36** (1983), no. 4, 437–477.
- [15] X. Cabré and J. Solà-Morales, *Layer solutions in a half-space for boundary reactions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **58** (2005), 1678–1732.
- [16] X. Cabré, J. Tan, *Positive solutions of nonlinear problems involving the square root of the Laplacian*, Adv. Math. **224** (2010), 2052–2093.
- [17] L. A. Caffarelli, S. Salsa, and L. Silvestre, *Regularity estimates for the solution and the free boundary of the obstacle problem for the fractional Laplacian*, Invent. Math. **171** (2008), no. 2, 425–461.
- [18] L. A. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, *An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **32** (2007), 1245–1260.
- [19] A. Capozzi, D. Fortunato, and G. Palmieri, *An existence result for nonlinear elliptic problems involving critical Sobolev exponent*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **2** (1985), no. 6, 463–470.

- [20] J. Chabrowski and J. Yang, *On Schrödinger equation with periodic potential and critical Sobolev exponent*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **12** (1998), no. 2, 245–261.
- [21] A. Córdoba and D. Córdoba, *A maximum principle applied to quasi-geostrophic equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **249** (2004), no. 3, 511–528.
- [22] A. Cotsoolis and N. Tavoularis, *Best constants for Sobolev inequalities for higher order fractional derivatives*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **295** (2004), no. 1, 225–236.
- [23] G. Devillanova and S. Solimini, *Concentration estimates and multiple solutions to elliptic problems at critical growth*, Adv. Differential Equations **7** (2002), no. 10, 1257–1280.
- [24] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, E. Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math. **136** (2012), 521–573.
- [25] S. Dipierro, M. Medina, I. Peral and E. Valdinoci, *Bifurcation results for a fractional elliptic equation with critical exponent in \mathbb{R}^n* , Manuscripta Math. **153** (2017), no. 1-2, 183–230.
- [26] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F. Tricomi, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, vol. 1,2 McGraw-Hill, New York (1953).
- [27] J. F. Escobar, *Positive solutions for some semilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **40** (1987), no. 5, 623–657.
- [28] M.M. Fall and V. Felli, *Unique continuation properties for relativistic Schrödinger operators with a singular potential*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **35** (2015), no. 12, 5827–5867.
- [29] P. Felmer, A. Quaas and J. Tan, *Positive solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with the fractional Laplacian*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **142** (2012), 1237–1262.
- [30] G.M. Figueiredo and M.F. Furtado, *Positive solutions for some quasilinear equations with critical and supercritical growth*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA **66** (7)(2007), 1600–1616.
- [31] F. Gazzola and B. Ruf, *Lower-order perturbations of critical growth nonlinearities in semilinear elliptic equations*, Adv. Differential Equations **2** (1997), no. 4, 555–572.
- [32] Y. Han and M. Zhu, *Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequalities on compact Riemannian manifolds and applications*, J. Differential Equations **260** (2016), no. 1, 1–25.
- [33] A. Kiselev, F. Nazarov and A. Volberg, *Global well-posedness for the critical 2D dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation*, Invent. Math. **167** (2007), 445–453.
- [34] E. H. Lieb and M. Loss, *Analysis*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 14, 2nd Edition. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2001.
- [35] P.L. Lions, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations: the limit case*, IIÓ, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **1** (1985), 45–121.
- [36] J. Mawhin and G. Molica Bisci, *A Brezis-Nirenberg type result for a nonlocal fractional operator*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **95** (2017), no. 1, 73–93.
- [37] G. Molica Bisci, V. Rădulescu, and R. Servadei, *Variational methods for nonlocal fractional problems*, with a foreword by Jean Mawhin. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 162. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016. xvi+383 pp.
- [38] G. Palatucci and A. Pisante, *Improved Sobolev embeddings, profile decomposition, and concentration-compactness for fractional Sobolev spaces*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **50** (2014), no. 3-4, 799–829.
- [39] P. Pucci and Saldi, *Critical stationary Kirchhoff equations in \mathbb{R}^N involving nonlocal operators*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **32** (2016), no. 1, 1–22.
- [40] P. H. Rabinowitz, *Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **65**, (1986).
- [41] M. Ryznar, *Estimate of Green function for relativistic α -stable processes*, Potential Analysis, **17**, (2002), 1–23.
- [42] L. Roncal and P. R. Stinga, *Fractional Laplacian on the torus*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **18** (2016), 26 pp.
- [43] R. Servadei, *The Yamabe equation in a non-local setting*, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. **2** (2013), no. 3, 235–270.
- [44] R. Servadei, *A critical fractional Laplace equation in the resonant case*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **43** (2014), no. 1, 251–267.
- [45] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, *Variational methods for non-local operators of elliptic type*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **33** (2013), 2105–2137.
- [46] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, *The Brezis-Nirenberg result for the fractional Laplacian*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **367** (2015), no. 1, 67–102.
- [47] X. Shang, J. Zhang, and Y. Yang, *On fractional Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^N with critical growth*, J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013), no. 12, 121502, 20 pp.
- [48] P. R. Stinga and J. L. Torrea, *Extension problem and Harnack’s inequality for some fractional operators*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **35** (2010), 2092–2122.

- [49] P. R. Stinga and B. Volzone, *Fractional semilinear Neumann problems arising from a fractional Keller-Segel model*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **54** (2015), no. 1, 1009–1042.
- [50] M. Struwe, *Variational methods. Applications to nonlinear partial differential equations and Hamiltonian systems*, Fourth edition. 34. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. xx+302 pp.
- [51] J. Tan, *The Brezis-Nirenberg type problem involving the square root of the Laplacian*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **42** (2011), no. 1-2, 21–41.
- [52] G. Tarantello, *On nonhomogeneous elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **9** (1992), 281–304.
- [53] K. Teng, *Existence of ground state solutions for the nonlinear fractional Schrödinger-Poisson system with critical Sobolev exponent*, J. Differential Equations **261** (2016), no. 6, 3061–3106.

VINCENZO AMBROSIO

DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE PURE E APPLICATE (DISPEA)

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI URBINO 'CARLO BO'

PIAZZA DELLA REPUBBLICA, 13 61029 URBINO (PESARO E URBINO, ITALY)

E-mail address: `vincenzo.ambrosio2@unina.it`