



UNIVERSITÀ POLITECNICA DELLE MARCHE
Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Characterization of a satellite DNA in the genera *Lacerta* and *Timon* (Reptilia, Lacertidae) and its role in the differentiation of the W chromosome

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original

Characterization of a satellite DNA in the genera *Lacerta* and *Timon* (Reptilia, Lacertidae) and its role in the differentiation of the W chromosome / Giovannotti, Massimo; Nisi Cerioni, Paola; Rojo, Verónica; Olmo, Ettore; Slimani, Tahar; Splendiani, Andrea; Caputo Barucchi, Vincenzo. - In: JOURNAL OF EXPERIMENTAL ZOOLOGY. PART B, MOLECULAR AND DEVELOPMENTAL EVOLUTION.. - ISSN 1552-5007. - ELETTRONICO. - (2018). [10.1002/jez.b.22790]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11566/253289 since: 2022-06-02T10:18:45Z

Publisher:

Published

DOI:10.1002/jez.b.22790

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. The use of copyrighted works requires the consent of the rights' holder (author or publisher). Works made available under a Creative Commons license or a Publisher's custom-made license can be used according to the terms and conditions contained therein. See editor's website for further information and terms and conditions.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università Politecnica delle Marche (<https://iris.univpm.it>). When citing, please refer to the published version.

(Article begins on next page)



**Characterization of a satellite DNA in the genera *Lacerta*
and *Timon* (Reptilia, Lacertidae) and its role in the
differentiation of the W chromosome**

Journal:	<i>JEZ Part B: Molecular and Developmental Evolution</i>
Manuscript ID	JEZ-B-2017-05-0026.R1
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Giovannotti, Massimo; Università Politecnica delle Marche, Dipartimento di Scienze della Vita e dell'Ambiente Nisi Cerioni, Paola; Università Politecnica delle Marche, Dipartimento di Scienze della Vita e dell'Ambiente Rojo, Veronica; Universidad de Coruña; AllGenetics & Biology SL. Edificio CICA. Campus de Elviña s/n. Olmo, Ettore; Università Politecnica delle Marche, Dipartimento di Scienze della Vita e dell'Ambiente Slimani, Tahar; Université Cadi Ayyad Faculte des Sciences Semlalia Splendiani, Andrea; Università Politecnica delle Marche, Dipartimento di Scienze della Vita e dell'Ambiente Caputo Barucchi, Vincenzo; Università Politecnica delle Marche Dipartimento di Scienze della Vita e dell'Ambiente, Dipartimento di Scienze della Vita e dell'Ambiente
Keywords:	satDNA, W-specific repeats, FISH, sex chromosome differentiation

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Characterization of a satellite DNA in the genera *Lacerta* and *Timon* (Reptilia, Lacertidae) and its role in the differentiation of the W chromosome.

Massimo Giovannotti¹, Paola Nisi Cerioni¹, Verónica Rojo^{2,3}, Ettore Olmo¹, Tahar Slimani⁴,
Andrea Splendiani¹, Vincenzo Caputo Barucchi^{1,5,*}

¹Dipartimento di Scienze della Vita e dell'Ambiente, Università Politecnica delle Marche, via
Brecce Bianche, 60131 Ancona, Italy.

²AllGenetics & Biology SL. Edificio CICA. Campus de Elviña s/n. E-15008 A Coruña, Spain.

³Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, Evolutionary Biology Group (GIBE),
Universidade da Coruña, A Fraga 10, E-15008 A Coruña, Spain.

⁴Cadi Ayyad University, Faculty of Sciences Smlalia. Laboratory Biodiversity and
Ecosystem Dynamics. PO Box 2390. Marrakech 40000. Morocco.

⁵Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Istituto di Scienze Marine Sezione Pesca Marittima,
Largo Fiera della Pesca, 60125 Ancona, Italy.

Seven figures and four tables.

Abbreviated title: Satellite DNA in *Lacerta* and *Timon*.

*Correspondence to: Vincenzo Caputo Barucchi, Dipartimento di Scienze della Vita e
dell'Ambiente, Università Politecnica delle Marche, via Brecce Bianche, 60131 Ancona, Italy.

E-mail: v.caputo@univpm.it

Supporting grant information: This research was financed by funds from: 1) Università
Politecnica delle Marche (grant number 2014/472 "Divergenza genetica e speciazione in
Vertebrati ectotermi") awarded to Vincenzo Caputo Barucchi (Ricerca Scientifica d'Ateneo
2014); 2) Università Politecnica delle Marche [grant number 2015/342 "Aspetti

1
2
3 dell'evoluzione del genoma in Vertebrati ectotermi (Pesci ossei, Rettili squamati)]" awarded
4
5 to Massimo Giovannotti (Ricerca Scientifica d'Ateneo 2015); 3) Hassan II Academy of
6
7 Sciences and Technics (ICGVSA project) awarded to Tahar Slimani.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

Abstract

In this study, IMO-TaqI satDNA, previously isolated in several species of Lacertidae, was isolated and characterized from four species of the genus *Lacerta* and three of the genus *Timon* with the aim of gaining further insights into its evolutionary dynamics, its occurrence among lacertids and to understand if it plays any role in sex chromosome evolution in these seven species. The results here obtained highlighted the presence of this genomic element in the genome of all the species investigated, thus indicating that IMO-TaqI satDNA is evolutionary conserved among a wide variety of lacertids. In addition, this element was found as very abundant in the constitutive heterochromatin of the W-sex chromosome of the four *Lacerta* species investigated. The occurrence of IMO-TaqI satDNA on *Lacerta* heterochromosome suggests that it is involved in the differentiation of the W chromosome by heterochromatinisation, and the fact that it is absent in the W of other lacertids investigated seems to confirm that repetitive DNA sequences would remain randomly trapped into the sex chromosomes, undergoing amplification as a consequence of the suppression of recombination.

Key words: satDNA, W-specific repeats, FISH; sex chromosome differentiation.

Introduction

Satellite DNAs (satDNAs) are tandem head-to-tail arranged highly repetitive DNA sequences located in the constitutive heterochromatin (Charlesworth et al., 1994) at centromeric, pericentromeric and/or subtelomeric chromosomal positions, and in few cases they have been detected interstitially on chromosomes (e.g., Plohl et al., 2012). Many thousands of copies of satDNA monomers occur in a genome and the repeats belonging to a satellite-DNA family do not evolve independently of one another but evolve as a unit, following a process named concerted evolution (e.g., Plohl et al., 2008). This process is influenced by rates of transfer between homologous and non-homologous chromosomes, arrangement of repeats, array sizes, and population structure. Alteration of any of these factors can interfere with rates of concerted evolution. Therefore, reduced rates of concerted evolution would be expected in satDNA sequences in the non-recombining W or Y chromosomes (e.g. Navajas-Pérez et al., 2009) or depending on the location of satDNA clusters on the chromosomes (e.g. terminal position vs interstitial position; see Giovannotti et al., 2014).

Although satDNAs are abundant genomic elements in eukaryotes, they have been extensively studied in only few taxa such as insects (Palomeque and Lorite, 2008), fish (e.g., Vicari et al., 2010) and mammals (Erukashvily and Ponomartsev, 2013), whereas scarce information is available for other organisms. Several studies on satDNA have been carried out also in squamate reptiles. In this taxon, satDNAs have been isolated and characterized in skinks (Giovannotti et al., 2009, 2013), snakes (Singh et al., '76; Matsubara et al., 2015a), monitor lizards (Chaiprasertsri et al., 2013; Prakhongcheep et al., 2017) and lacertids (Capriglione et al., '89, '91, '94, '98, 2000; Ciobanu et al., 2001, 2003, 2004; Grechko et al., 2005, 2006; Giovannotti et al., 2014; Rojo et al., 2015), with this latter taxon being the most studied squamates with reference to satDNAs so far.

1
2
3 The family Lacertidae consists of about 42 genera (Vitt and Caldwell, 2014)
4 including 323 species (Uetz et al., 2017) distributed in most of Africa, Europe, and Asia
5 southward into the northern East Indies (Vitt and Caldwell, 2014). According to Hipsley et al.
6 (2009) modern lacertids arose around 60 million years ago and underwent quite rapid
7 evolutionary diversification splitting into two subfamilies, namely Gallotiinae and Lacertinae
8 (this latter including Lacertini and Eremiadiini tribes). Green lizards belonging to *Lacerta*
9 *sensu stricto* (tribe Lacertini) form a monophyletic clade (Harris, '99; Godinho et al., 2005;
10 Arnold et al., 2007; Marzahan et al., 2016) and have a distribution range that extends from
11 western Europe to central Asia and include several species whose taxonomic relationships are
12 still not completely resolved (see Godinho et al., 2005). These lizards are characterized by the
13 typical lacertid karyotype (36 uniarmed macrochromosomes plus 2 microchromosomes) with
14 sex chromosomes of the ZZ/ZW type (e.g., Olmo and Signorino, 2005). *Timon* is a small
15 genus comprising six currently recognized species that it is distributed across the eastern and
16 western Mediterranean (see Ahmadzadeh et al., 2016). The karyotype of *Timon* is composed
17 of 36 chromosomes (34 macro- plus two microchromosomes) with a metacentric pair 1 and a
18 chromosomal mechanism of sex determination of the ZZ/ZW type. (e.g., Olmo and Signorino,
19 2005). In both *Lacerta* and *Timon* the W chromosome is almost completely heterochromatic
20 (see Olmo et al., '93).

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43 Despite a substantial uniformity in the karyotypes of lacertids and a widespread
44 diffusion of a Genetic Sex Determination (GSD) system with ZW heterogamety (e.g., Olmo
45 and Signorino, 2005), the W chromosome exhibits different morphologies, interpreted as
46 different stages of evolution in an almost linear trend (e.g., Olmo et al., '87). According to
47 Olmo et al. (e.g., '87, '90) heterochromatin could have played a paramount role in the
48 differentiation of sex chromosomes, starting with the storage of a specific highly repetitive
49 DNA on either homologues accompanied by heterochromatinization of a homomorphic and
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 heterochromatic W **chromosome**, with a mechanism similar to that of snakes. In fact,
4
5 Capriglione et al. ('94) speculated that this early stage of W differentiation would have
6
7 replication and spiralization cycles different from that of Z chromosome, thus hampering the
8
9 recombination. The next step in this "linear model" would be a progressive degeneration of
10
11 the W, transforming it in a microchromosome (Olmo et al., '87; Odierna et al., '93). A
12
13 deviation from that linear trend would be represented by some populations of *Zootoca*
14
15 *vivipara* and the Pyrenean *Iberolacerta*, where original W would be fused with an autosome,
16
17 giving rise to a Z_1Z_2W system (Odierna et al., '96; Odierna et al., 2004). Despite this wide
18
19 theoretical background, only for **three** lacertid species data on the composition of
20
21 heterochromatin in the W chromosome is reported. **Two cases refer to** the eremiadine *Eremias*
22
23 *velox* **and** *Acanthodactylus lineomaculatus*. In the former species Pokorná et al. (2011)
24
25 observed an enrichment in some microsatellite sequences **on** either the whole W chromosome
26
27 (**repeated motif: A, C, TA, CAA, CAG, CAT, GAC, GAG, TAA, TAC**) or its centromeric
28
29 region (**repeated motif: CA, GC, GA**), whereas in the latter species Giovannotti et al. (in
30
31 press) observed **and enrichment in telomeric-like sequences of the W-chromosome**
32
33 **heterochromatin. Also in the lacertine *Lacerta agilis* the (micro-)W chromosome was found**
34
35 **to be enriched in telomeric repeats (Srikulnath et al., 2014; Matsubara et al. 2015b).**

36
37
38
39
40 IMO-TaqI satDNA is widespread in the lacertid genome (Capriglione, '91;
41
42 Giovannotti et al., 2014, Rojo et al. 2015) and it was detected in the genome of *Lacerta*
43
44 *bilineata* and *Timon lepidus* by **Southern** blot analyses by Giovannotti et al. (2014) but it was
45
46 neither isolated nor characterized. In the present study, we isolated this genomic element from
47
48 the genome **of species** representative of the genera *Lacerta* and *Timon* in order to characterize
49
50 it and to assess its possible involvement in the differentiation of W sex chromosome and **if W-**
51
52 **specific repeats undergo a reduced rate of homogenisation compared to those of the**
53
54 **autosomes.**

Materials and methods

Animals, DNA extraction, isolation and analyses of IMO-TaqI satDNA repeats

One female and one male of *Lacerta agilis*, *L. bilineata*, *L. strigata*, *Timon lepidus*, *T. pater*, *T. tangitanus* and a female of *L. trilineata* were used in this study (Table 1). Genomic DNA was extracted from whole blood, using standard protocols with proteinase K digestion followed by phenol/chloroform extraction (see Sambrook et al., '89). Individuals of *Lacerta*, *T. lepidus* and *T. pater* were made available by private breeders. Individuals of *T. tangitanus* were collected at Oukaimden in the Central High Atlas (31°12'N- 7°52'W). Permissions for fieldwork and ethics approval of experimental procedures were issued by the High Commissariat for Water and Forest (Decision Number 05/2013 HCEFLCD/DLCPDN/DPRN/CFF) - Morocco.

A pair of degenerate primers were designed to PCR-amplify IMO-TaqI satDNA repeats in all the above species: TaqI-F: 5'-AAATTCTGACCSYGSGGGTTAG-3'; TaqI-R: 5'-AAAATVGTGCCAAACTGTTG-3'. PCR products were run in 2% agarose gels, and the band corresponding to the amplified monomers was excised from the gel, purified with Pure Link Quick Gel Extraction Kit (Invitrogen) and cloned in the pCR[®]-blunt vector with Zero Blunt PCR Cloning Kit (Invitrogen) following manufacturer's recommendations. Clones of IMO-TaqI were sequenced on an ABI PRISM 3730XL (Applied Biosystems) automatic sequencer. These sequences were then aligned using the MAFFT v7.0 online server with the L-INS-i option (Kato and Standley, 2013). . In order to compare IMO-TaqI satDNA with other satDNAs, a BLAST (Altschul et al., '90) search was performed against the "nucleotide collection (nr/nt)" database at NCBI (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>).

Digoxigenin labelled probes were produced by PCR amplification of single clones and used in Southern hybridisation experiments to verify that the elements isolated were tandemly

1
2
3 arranged, as expected for satDNAs. In these experiments, TaqI digested genomic DNAs from
4
5 *Lacerta* and *Timon* were used. The hybridization with the digoxigenin-labelled satDNA
6
7 probes was performed at 50°C overnight with the Sure Blot CHEMI Hybridisation and
8
9 Detection Kit (Intergen) following the manufacturer's recommendations. The hybridisation
10
11 was detected with the same kit.
12

13 14 *Analyses of IMO-TaqI genetic variability*

15
16
17 **Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian (BA) analyses** were applied to infer the
18
19 phylogenetic relationships among the sequences of IMO-TaqI from the species analysed. **The**
20
21 **best fit model of nucleotide substitution for IMO-TaqI repeats was selected among the 88**
22
23 **models available in jModeltest 2.1.3 (Darriba et al., 2012) using the Akaike Information**
24
25 **Criterion (AIC). The most appropriate model was GTR + G. ML analysis was performed in**
26
27 **MetaPIGA v3.1 (Helaers and Milinkovitch, 2010) using the metapopulation genetic algorithm**
28
29 **(metaGA) with probability consensus pruning among four populations of four individuals**
30
31 **each (Lemmon and Milinkovitch, 2002). Prior to running the analysis, the alignment was**
32
33 **checked for sequence redundancy (only one sequence kept for each group of identical**
34
35 **sequences) and automated trimming of poorly aligned regions using the trimAl algorithm**
36
37 **(Capella-Gutierrez et al., 2009) applied as implemented in MetaPIGA. Branch support values**
38
39 **that approximate the posterior probability distribution of the corresponding branches were**
40
41 **estimated by performing a minimum of 100 replicated metaGA searches that were stopped**
42
43 **when the mean relative error (MRE) among 10 consecutive consensus trees remained below**
44
45 **5%. MetaGA values $\geq 70\%$ were considered as statistically significant. BA analysis was**
46
47 **carried out with MrBayes v3.2 (Ronquist et al., 2012) using the appropriate model of**
48
49 **nucleotide substitution (GTR + G) selected with jModeltest 2.1.3. The alignment checked for**
50
51 **redundancy and subject to automated trimming in MetaPIGA was used also for this analysis.**
52
53
54
55
56 The BA analysis was run with four incrementally heated Markov chains for 10×10^6
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 generations in two independent runs with samplings at intervals of 500 generations that
4
5 produced 20000 trees. Once the stationarity had been reached, both in terms of likelihood
6
7 scores and parameter estimation, 5×10^3 trees (25% 'burn-in') were discarded in both runs
8
9 and a majority-rule consensus tree was generated from the 15×10^3 remaining (post burn-in)
10
11 trees. The posterior probability (pp) was calculated as the percentage of samples recovering
12
13 any particular clade (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001), with $pp \geq 95\%$ indicating a
14
15 statistically significant support (Wilcox et al., 2002). Both ML and BA trees were edited
16
17 using FigTree v1.4.0 (<http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/>).
18
19
20

21 Average AT content of the monomeric unit of IMO-TaqI was determined with MEGA
22
23 version 5 (Tamura et al., 2011). Intraspecific nucleotide diversity (π) [Jukes and Cantor (JC)
24
25 method], haplotype number and haplotype diversity (h) were estimated for each species using
26
27 DnaSP v. 5 (Librado and Rozas 2009).
28
29

30 The overall consensus sequence, consensus sequence of *Timon* and *Lacerta* autosomal
31
32 repeats and *Lacerta* W-specific repeats were determined with the program EMBOSS that is
33
34 available on-line at <http://emboss.bioinformatics.nl/>.
35
36
37

38 Net average genetic distances between groups were calculated under the appropriate
39
40 substitution model (see above) with MEGA v. 5. Rate of IMO-TaqI evolution was determined
41
42 for the species here studied according to the divergence times estimated for *Lacerta* and
43
44 *Timon* by Ahmadzadeh et al. (2016).
45
46

47 The occurrence of genetic differentiation between monomeric repeats in the seven
48
49 species analysed was also assessed by the analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA)
50
51 (Excoffier et al. '92) calculating Φ -statistics. The test was carried out on the sequences from
52
53 *Timon* (*T. lepidus*, *T. pater*, *T. tangitanus*) and *Lacerta* (*L. agilis*, *L. bilineata*, *L. strigata*, *L.*
54
55 *trilineata*). In *Timon*, TaqI satDNA monomeric repeats were divided into two groups
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 according to the sex of the individual from which they had been isolated. In *Lacerta*, four
4 different groupings were made: i) **one on** the basis of the sex of the individual from which
5 monomeric repeats had been isolated; ii) one according to the clades recovered by the
6 phylogenetic analyses [putative W-specific repeats (W) and putative autosome-specific
7 repeats (A)]; iii) a third **grouping by** considering only the monomeric repeat sequences from
8 females separating the W-specific repeats from the autosome-specific repeats (based on data
9 from the phylogenetic analyses); iv) the fourth grouping considered a W-specific group of
10 sequences and a group containing only sequences isolated from males. This test was
11 performed at two hierarchical levels to test how satDNA sequence variability was distributed
12 within a group of monomeric repeats and among groups of such repeats. The test was based
13 on pair wise genetic distances between clones and performed as implemented in ARLEQUIN
14 2.000 (Schneider et al., 2000), using 1000 permutations.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 **The repeats were** compared using satDNA Analyzer version 1.2 (Navajas-Pérez et al.
30 2007). This program allows the discrimination between shared and non-shared polymorphic
31 sites. The program identifies polymorphic sites shared between two species when the same
32 polymorphism is found in both species. When this occurs, we assume that these are ancestral
33 sites that appeared before the split between the two species (Navajas-Pérez et al. 2005). By
34 contrast, nonshared polymorphic sites are autapomorphies, representing different transitional
35 stages in the process of intra-specific sequence homogenisation and inter-specific divergence.
36 Under the assumption that concerted evolution is a time dependent process, the expected
37 stages of transition during the spread of a variant repeat unit toward its fixation can be defined
38 according to the model of Strachan et al. ('85). This method examines the distribution of
39 nucleotide sites among six stages (Classes I–VI) in the spread of variant repeats through the
40 family and the species. Briefly, the Class I site represents complete homogeneity across all
41 repeat units sampled from a **given** pair of species, whereas Classes II, III, and IV represent
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 intermediate stages in which one of the species shows a polymorphism. The frequency of the
4
5 new nucleotide variant at the site considered is low in Class II and intermediate in Class III,
6
7 while Class IV represents sites in which a mutation has replaced the progenitor nucleotide in
8
9 most members of the repetitive family in the other species. Class V represents diagnostic sites
10
11 in which a new variant is fully homogenised and fixed in all the members of one of the
12
13 species while the other species retains the progenitor nucleotide. A Class VI site represents an
14
15 additional step over the stage of Class V (new variants appear in some of the members of the
16
17 repetitive family at a site fully divergent between the two species). The statistical significance
18
19 (P-value) of the variation in the relative proportions of Strachan transitions stages among
20
21 different interspecific comparisons was evaluated using chi-square heterogeneity tests that
22
23 were performed in the interactive online calculator available at
24
25 <http://www.quantpsy.org/chisq/chisq.htm> (Preacher, 2001).
26
27

28 29 *Chromosome analyses*

30
31
32 Metaphase chromosomes were prepared from females and males of *Lacerta bilineata*
33
34 (LBI), *L. agilis* (LAG), *L. strigata* (LST), *Timon lepidus* (TLE), and a female of *L. trilineata*
35
36 (LTR). Fibroblast cell cultures were established from autotomized tail tips and cultured as
37
38 reported by Rojo et al. (2014). Metaphase spreads were prepared according to Rens et al.
39
40 (2006).
41
42

43
44 Fluorescence *in situ* hybridisation (FISH) experiments were performed on metaphase
45
46 preparations using i) a telomeric probe (TTAGGG)_n produced by PCR according to Ijdo et al.
47
48 ('91) to check if W chromosome constitutive heterochromatin was enriched in telomeric-like
49
50 sequences, and ii) the probes obtained by PCR amplification of IMO-TaqI satDNA clones
51
52 from each of the studied species to determine the chromosomal location of this satDNA. The
53
54 probes were labelled by PCR with biotin-16-dUTP (Roche). Slide pretreatment, denaturation,
55
56 hybridisation, post-hybridisation washes and detection were performed according to
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Schwarzacher and Heslop-Harrison (2000). Telomeric probes were revealed with Tetramethyl
4 Rhodamine Iso-Thyocyanate (TRITC) whereas IMO-TaqI probes with Fluorescein Iso-
5 Thyocyanate (FITC). Chromosomes were observed with a Leica Leitz DMRBE
6
7 epifluorescence microscope and the images were captured and processed with a Leica
8
9 CytoVision version 7.2 system.
10
11
12

13
14 In order to identify the relationships between satDNAs and the constitutive
15 heterochromatin, C-banding was performed on metaphase chromosomes following Sumner
16 ('72). C-banded metaphases were mounted and stained with Vectashield mounting medium
17 with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Vector Laboratories).
18
19
20
21
22

23 24 25 26 27 **Results**

28 29 *Isolation and analysis of satDNA sequences.*

30
31
32 Southern blot hybridisation of genomic DNAs digested with TaqI restriction enzyme
33 revealed a typical ladder-like pattern consisting of multimeric units, with the size of
34 monomeric units ranging from 173 to 190 bp in both *Lacerta* and *Timon*. These results
35 suggest that the satDNA studied is tandemly arrayed in the two genera, with a hybridization
36 signal stronger in *Lacerta* than in *Timon* (data not shown).
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 A total of 162 clones containing sequences of IMO-TaqI monomeric unit were
44 sequenced for the three species of *Timon* and the four species of *Lacerta*. These sequences
45 were deposited in GenBank (accession numbers: MF069256-MF069417). One hundred and
46 twelve haplotypes were detected (Table 1). This satDNA showed an average AT content of
47 59.2%, indicating an enrichment in AT, as typical for these genomic elements, with short A
48 and T stretches ranging from 3 to 7 base pairs (Fig. 1). The BLAST search (using either
49 megablast or blastn algorithm) found significant similarity with *Iberolacerta* sequences by
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Giovannotti et al. (2014) and Rojo et al. (2015) for both *Lacerta* and *Timon* satDNA repeats.
4
5 pLCS consensus sequences (Capriglione et al., '91) copied by hand from the paper and run in
6
7 BLAST (using "somewhat similar sequences" search option) also showed a significant
8
9 similarity with *Iberolacerta* sequences with a maximum query cover of 89% and identity
10
11 $\leq 83\%$.

12
13
14 Intraspecific π values (JC method), ranged from 0.1526 ± 0.0075 to 0.0810 ± 0.0123 . In
15
16 *Lacerta*, when π values were calculated separately for sequences of the two sub-clades (W-
17
18 specific and autosome-specific, see below), the W-specific repeats resulted more
19
20 heterogeneous than the autosomal ones (Table 1). Nucleotide diversity values in *Timon* were
21
22 similar to those of *Lacerta* W chromosome (Table 1).

23
24
25 The phylogenetic tree obtained from the ML analysis of IMO-TaqI satDNA is shown
26
27 in Figure 2. The ML and Bayesian analyses yielded very similar topologies, with some minor
28
29 incongruences. Three major clades were recovered with maximum support, one harbouring
30
31 *Timon* (ML= 100%; pp= 100%) clones and the other two harbouring the sequences of the four
32
33 *Lacerta* species (*Lacerta* Autosomes: ML= 100%; pp= 100%; *Lacerta* W: ML= 100%; pp=
34
35 100%). For this latter genus, one clade contained only sequences from females that were
36
37 considered as W-specific IMO-TaqI satDNA repeats, and the other clade contained repeats
38
39 from both males and females and that were therefore considered as repeats located in the
40
41 autosomal arrays of IMO-TaqI satDNA. Finally, relationships between these three main clades
42
43 were poorly resolved. (Fig. 2).

44
45
46
47 The evolutionary rate for IMO-TaqI satDNA among *Lacerta* species ranged from 0.04
48
49 to 0.85%/Million years (Myr, henceforth) when only autosomal sequences were considered,
50
51 from 0.22 to 0.53%/Myr for W-specific sequences and from 0.11 to 0.34%/Myr when IMO-
52
53 TaqI repeats were not separated into autosomal and W-specific sequences. The evolutionary
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 rate was 0.53%/Myr when *Lacerta* (autosomal + W-specific repeats) and *Timon* were
4 compared. The rate ranged from 0.27 to 0.50%/Myr among the three *Timon* species (Table 2).
5
6

7
8 AMOVA analysis was performed on IMO-TaqI sequences of *Lacerta* and *Timon*. The
9 analysis produced results quite different in the two genera. In *Timon* most of the molecular
10 variation was distributed within groups of sequences (88.24%; Φ_{ST} 0.11756, $P < 0.0001$)
11 whereas the percentage of variation among groups of sequences (males vs females) was much
12 lower, representing only 11,76% of the total variation (Φ_{ST} 0.11756, $P < 0.0001$). The
13 variance among groups of sequences became higher when the AMOVA was carried on
14 *Lacerta* considering two groups of sequences. In three tests, one group was always
15 represented by the putative W-specific IMO-TaqI satDNA repeats, the other group always
16 contained autosome-specific repeats (see Materials & Methods section for details). In these
17 three comparisons, the percentage of molecular variation among groups of sequences ranged
18 from 44.74 to 49.54%, with Φ_{ST} values always significant ($P < 0.0001$), thus confirming the
19 differentiation between autosomal and W-specific repeats (Table 3). In one test, one group
20 was represented by sequence repeats isolated from females and the other from males. In this
21 case, the percentage of variation among groups was much lower (25.72% with $P < 0.0001$)
22 than in the comparisons involving the separation between W-specific and autosomal
23 sequences and the percentage of variation within groups of sequences was higher (74.28%
24 with $P < 0.0001$). This is explained by the fact that in this case the groups are more
25 heterogeneous because highly divergent sequences from W chromosome and autosomes are
26 mixed together.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 This differentiation between W-specific and autosomal repeats is also clearly shown
50 by the net nucleotide distance between autosomal and W-specific IMO-TaqI sequence repeats
51 within each species (values ranging from 12.44 to 16.69%, average 14%). These values are
52 higher than net distances obtained from the comparison of autosomal (values ranging from 0.5
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 to 11.88%, average 6.17%) or W-specific repeats (values ranging from 3.05 to 6.21%, average
4
5 4.90%) between the four *Lacerta* species (Table 2).

6
7
8 Strachan analysis of variable sites confirms what has already been highlighted by
9
10 phylogenetic and AMOVA analyses. Indeed, the presence of sites occurring in a group of
11
12 sequences but not in the other with various degrees of homogenisation (stages IV-VI
13
14 according to Strachan et al. '85) are detected in the comparisons involving both genera
15
16 (*Timon* vs *Lacerta*) and sequences from the same genus (*Lacerta* Autosomes vs *Lacerta* W-
17
18 specific sequences) when one of the two groups of sequences compared is represented by W-
19
20 specific repeats (Table 4).
21
22

23 *Chromosome analyses*

24
25
26 The analysis of chromosomal location of IMO-TaqI satDNA repeats by FISH with species-
27
28 specific probes confirmed the results of Southern hybridisation that produced a weaker signal
29
30 on *Timon* as compared to *Lacerta*, indicating a smaller amount of IMO-TaqI repeats in the
31
32 former genus. Indeed, in *Timon* the species-specific probe produced a fluorescent signal in
33
34 pericentromeric regions on nine autosomes in both males and females. In *Lacerta* the signal
35
36 was detected in pericentromeric position (except for one pair of small acrocentrics bearing a
37
38 weak signal in distal position in *L. strigata*) on a higher number of autosomes than in *Timon*
39
40 (16 in *L. bilineata*, 20 in *L. agilis*, 24 in *L. strigata* and 16 in *L. trilineata*). In addition, the
41
42 probe produced a bright signal also on the W sex-chromosomes of all the *Lacerta* species,
43
44 whereas no signal was produced by IMO-TaqI satDNA probe onto *Timon* W chromosome
45
46 (Figs. 3-4). In addition, FISH experiments confirmed the occurrence in *Lacerta* species of two
47
48 clearly distinguished groups of repeats, already highlighted by phylogenetic, AMOVA and
49
50 Strachan sites analyses. In fact, when W-specific probe was hybridised onto female
51
52 metaphases a very bright signal on the heterochromosome and a dimmer signal on autosomes
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 were produced (Fig. 4A). FISH with autosome-specific probe onto female metaphases
4
5 produced a dim signal on the W and a bright one on the autosomes (Fig. 4B). Finally, FISH
6
7 with a telomeric probe marked the telomeres of all the chromosomes of the complement with
8
9 a certain degree of enrichment in telomeric repeats of the W chromosome in both *Timon* and
10
11 *Lacerta* and the microchromosomes in *T. lepidus* (pair 17) and *L. bilineata* (pair 18) (Fig. 5).

12
13 C-banded metaphases showed that constitutive heterochromatin occurs in both
14
15 pericentromeric and telomeric position. The pericentromeric heterochromatin is on a number
16
17 of autosomes similar to that of autosomes labelled by IMO-TaqI satDNA probe. In all species
18
19 the W chromosome is almost completely heterochromatic (Fig. 6).

20 21 22 23 24 25 Discussion

26
27
28 Among the interesting findings of this study is the fact that IMO-TaqI satDNA is widely
29
30 represented in lacertid lizards genomes. This satDNA was isolated and characterized in eight
31
32 *Iberolacerta* species (Giovannotti et al. 2014; Rojo et al. 2015), in *Podarcis muralis*, *P.*
33
34 *sicula*, *P. tiliguerta*, *P. taurica*, *Algyroides fitzingeri*, *A. moreoticus* and *Teira dugesii* (in
35
36 these species was named pLCS element by Capriglione et al., '89, '91). However, these latter
37
38 authors did not detect this element in the genome of *Timon lepidus* and *Lacerta viridis*,
39
40 whereas it was detected in the genome of *Lacerta* and *Timon* by Southern blot analysis made
41
42 by Giovannotti et al. (2014), but it was neither isolated nor characterized. In the the present
43
44 study, IMO-TaqI satDNA was successfully isolated and characterized in four species of the
45
46 genus *Lacerta* and three of the genus *Timon*. These results point to a high level of
47
48 conservation for this genomic element that could be attributed to its slow evolutionary rate as
49
50 found in the present study with rates between 0.11 and 0.33%/Myr among *Lacerta* species,
51
52 0.27 to 0.50% Myr among *Timon* species and 0.53%/Myr between *Lacerta* and *Timon*.. These
53
54 results are in accordance with the slow evolutionary rate already observed for this element in
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the four Iberian rock lizards by Giovannotti et al. (2014) and similar to evolutionary rates of
4
5 sturgeons (0.11 and 0.07%) (Robles et al., 2004), skinks (0.13%) (Giovannotti et al., 2013)
6
7 and cetaceans (0.2%) (Arnason et al., '92) that are considered extremely low (e.g., Robles et
8
9 al., 2004; Giovannotti et al., 2013). The slow evolutionary rate of these repeats could be
10
11 related to their genomic distribution and chromosomal location. In fact, IMO-TaqI repeats are
12
13 located in interstitial/pericentromeric position (less susceptible to physical association) on a
14
15 subset of chromosomes (from 9 in *Timon* to 25 in *Lacerta strigata*), and it is possible that the
16
17 exchange between non-homologous chromosomes bearing IMO-TaqI sequences is limited in
18
19 these circumstances. This could reduce non-sister chromatid exchange and homogenisation,
20
21 thus determining a lower rate of interspecific divergence and a higher degree of intraspecific
22
23 repeat heterogeneity (as was shown from the comparison between HindIII and IMO-TaqI
24
25 satDNAs in four *Iberolacerta* species by Giovannotti et al., 2014). These data on the
26
27 phylogenetic distribution among lacertids indicate that the evolutionary history of this satellite
28
29 DNA is ~35 Million years old, as inferred from the dating of Lacertinae radiation (Hipsley et
30
31 al., 2009). This slow evolutionary rate is also responsible for the low phylogenetic resolution
32
33 power shown by this satellite that can resolve genera but not species (see Giovannotti et al.,
34
35 2014 and the present study). However, the slow evolutionary rate of IMO-TaqI satDNA could
36
37 have an alternative explanation. In fact, the alignment of the consensus sequences of this
38
39 satellite in the species here investigated show that most of the monomer sequence is
40
41 conserved and that variable sites are confined to three regions of the monomeric unit. This
42
43 observation could imply that the evolutionary conservation of this satDNA is due to selective
44
45 pressures. Similar considerations were made for a 70 Million years old centromeric satellite
46
47 DNA, described in two anurans families, by Vittorazzi et al. (2014). This hypothesis opens
48
49 the way to a new scenario in which the resilience of this satDNA to mutational events would
50
51 be the results of the constraints imposed by a putative function of this genomic element rather
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 than the position along the chromosome. A function in the centromeric heterochromatin
4
5 compaction was already hypothesized by Capriglione et al. ('91) for this element. In
6
7 particular, the presence of A-T stretches would favour stable DNA curvature that would play
8
9 a relevant role in heterochromatin condensation (Ng et al., '86; Radic et al., '87; Capriglione
10
11 et al., '91; Caputo et al., 2009). Indeed, A-T stretches occur in the IMO-Taql element and, as
12
13 also observed by Capriglione et al. ('91), the fact that they are conserved across species
14
15 investigated could indicate that they possess a functional role. However, given that more and
16
17 more scientific evidence has been indicating that various important biological roles can be
18
19 fulfilled by satDNA transcripts (e.g., Grewal and Elgin, 2007; Feliciello et al., 2015; Kuhn,
20
21 2015), despite satDNAs have been considered as useless genomic elements for a long time
22
23 (Ohno, '72; Orgel and Crick, '80), it is clear that further investigations will be needed to
24
25 assess the presence of transcripts of these elements that could help explain this remarkable
26
27 conservation across the phylogeny of lacertid lizards.
28
29

30
31 Another interesting result of this investigation is represented by the finding that in the
32
33 four representative of the genus *Lacerta* here investigated (*L. agilis*, *L. bilineata*, *L. strigata*,
34
35 *L. trilineata*) the fluorescent probe of IMO-Taql produced a very bright signal also on the
36
37 heterochromatic W sex chromosome. Indeed, the fluorescent signal is absent on the W of the
38
39 other species of lacertids so far tested by FISH with IMO-Taql probe. In particular, this
40
41 satellite occurs only on autosomes in the three species of *Timon* studied here (*T. lepidus*, *T.*
42
43 *pater*, *T. tangitanus*), in *Iberolacerta* (Giovannotti et al., 2014; Rojo et al., 2015), and for the
44
45 species investigated by Capriglione et al. ('89, '91) the occurrence on sex chromosomes was
46
47 not reported. Therefore, it seems that in these *Lacerta* species, IMO-Taql is involved in the
48
49 differentiation of the W sex chromosome by heterochromatinization. Despite
50
51 heterochromatinization is often found in reptilian sex-heterochromosomes, DNA repeats in
52
53 the heterochromatin of Y and W chromosomes have been partially characterized in just few
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 species of snakes (Singh et al., '80; Jones & Singh, '85; Lee et al., 2007; O'Meally et al.,
4
5 2010), iguanians (Gamble et al., 2014; Altmanová et al., 2016), monitor lizards (Matsubara et
6
7 al., 2014; **Prakhongcheep et al., 2017**) and lacertid lizards (Pokorná et al., 2011; Srikulnath et
8
9 al., 2014). In most cases these repeats are represented by microsatellites (O'Meally et al.,
10
11 2010; Pokorná et al., 2011; Gamble et al., 2014; Altmanová et al., 2016; Matsubara et al.,
12
13 2014). **Our study also showed the enrichment in telomeric-like sequences of the W**
14
15 **chromosome of the four *Lacerta* species investigated, thus confirming what already reported**
16
17 **by Srikulnath et al. (2014) and Matsubara et al. (2015b) for *L. agilis*, and in the W of the**
18
19 ***Timon* species investigated. The enrichment in telomeric-like repeats of the sex**
20
21 **heterochromosome has been recorded also in the lacertid *Acanthodactylus lineomaculatus***
22
23 **(Giovannotti et al., in press), in the agamid lizard *Pogona vitticeps* (Young et al., 2013) and**
24
25 **in the gecko *Underwoodisaurus milii* (Pokorná et al., 2014).**
26
27
28

29
30 The considerable heterogeneity in **constitutive** heterochromatin composition of the
31
32 heterochromosomes in different reptilian lineages suggests, in line with the considerations by
33
34 Pokorná et al. (2011), **that chance, not sequence specificity, determines** which repetitive
35
36 elements will accumulate on the sex chromosome of a certain lineage. The fact that IMO-Taql
37
38 satDNA occurs only on autosomes and not sex chromosomes in most of the species analysed
39
40 (Capriglione et al., '89, '91; Giovannotti et al., 2014; Rojo et al., 2015) seems to support this
41
42 conclusion. In addition, the variability of the repeats among relatively closely related species
43
44 confirms that sex heterochromosomes are the most variable part of the genome (e.g. Graves,
45
46 2008). Interestingly, *Lacerta* species are the only species among those so far investigated in
47
48 which the sequences IMO-Taql are clearly separated into two statistically supported clades in
49
50 the phylogenetic analysis. This condition reflects the isolation of the repeats accumulated on
51
52 the non-recombining W that would not undergo concerted evolution, consistently with the
53
54 higher intraspecific heterogeneity recorded for W-specific repeats ($\pi = 0,1195$) when
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 compared to autosomal repeats ($\pi= 0,0834$) (see Navajas-Pérez et al., 2009 for similar
4 considerations). An intraspecific heterogeneity **similar** to that of *Lacerta* W-specific repeats is
5 found in *Timon* ($\pi= 0.12$), in which the presence of IMO-TaqI on only 9 chromosomes could
6 explain the low homogenisation rate among repeats. **The absence of the homogenising effect**
7 **in *Lacerta* autosomal and W-specific repeats** is confirmed by AMOVA analysis that gives a
8 clear indication of the differentiation that is accumulating between **these two groups of IMO-**
9 **TaqI sequences in *Lacerta*.** In the three *Timon* species, where the sequences of this satDNA
10 occur only on autosomes, the IMO-TaqI repeats form a single statistically supported cluster.
11 The same indication comes from the analysis of Strachan transitional stages that show in
12 *Lacerta* species a pattern similar to that found between genera due to the separation of IMO-
13 TaqI repeats into two distinct groups.

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27 Recently, Rovatsos et al. (2016) demonstrated that sex chromosomes are homologous
28 across lacertids. In this context, the enrichment in repeats represented by IMO-TaqI satDNA
29 in the W of the four *Lacerta* species here investigated and the lack of these repeats on the W
30 of other lacertids in which IMO-TaqI was isolated (e.g., Giovannotti et al., 2014; Rojo et al.,
31 2015) would indicate a certain turnover of heterochromatin **involved** in the differentiation of
32 the heterochromosome. **Therefore, it is likely** that these repeats **replaced pre-existing**
33 repetitive DNA on the heterochromosome of the common ancestor of modern *Lacerta* species
34 after the split of this lineage. In addition, the sharing of repetitive elements on the W among
35 *Lacerta* species also support the monophyly of these lizards, that appear as a distinct
36 group from *Timon* because of the lack of IMO-TaqI on the W of this latter genus.

37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50 In conclusion, tandemly repetitive DNA sequences would remain randomly trapped
51 into the sex chromosomes, undergoing amplification as a consequence of the suppression of
52 recombination. **In addition, comparing W-specific repeats with autosomal repeats, we found**
53 **that the former escaped** the concerted evolution as shown by the relatively deep genetic

1
2
3 divergence between them and the autosomal repeats. These data imply that dynamics of
4 satDNA evolution depend on the chromosomal location of the repeats, such as the absence of
5 recombination between sex chromosomes, that seriously influences satDNA sequence change.
6
7 On the other hand, the strong conservation of IMO-TaqI in the genome of Lacertidae also
8
9 suggests a possible functional role to be investigated in a future study.
10
11
12

13 14 **Conflict of interest**

15
16
17 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
18

19 20 **Literature cited**

21
22
23 Ahmadzadeh F, Flecks M, Carretero MA, Böhme W, Ihlow F, Kapli P, Miraldo A,
24 Rödder D. 2016. Separate histories in both sides of the Mediterranean: phylogeny and niche
25 evolution of ocellated lizards. *J Biogeogr* 43: 1242-1253.
26
27

28
29
30 Altmanová M, Rovatsos M, Kratochvíl L, Johnson Pokorná M. 2016. Minute Y
31 chromosomes and karyotype evolution in Madagascan iguanas (Squamata: Iguania:
32 Opluridae). *Biol J Linnean Soc* 118: 618-633.
33
34

35
36
37 Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment
38 search tool. *J Mol Biol* 215:403-410.
39
40

41
42
43 Arnason U, Gretarsdottir S, Widegren B. 1992. Mysticete (baleen whale) relationships
44 based upon the sequence of the common cetacean DNA satellite. *Mol Biology Evol* 9: 1018-
45 1028.
46
47

48
49
50 Arnold EN, Arribas O, Carranza S. 2007. Systematics of the palaeartic and oriental
51 lizard tribe Lacertini (Squamata: Lacertidae: Lacertinae), with descriptions of eight new
52 genera. *Zootaxa* 1430: 1–86.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Bachmann L, Sperlich D. 1993. Gradual evolution of a specific satellite DNA family
4 in *Drosophila ambigua*, *D. tristis*, and *D. obscura*. *Mol Biol Evol* 10:647–659.
5
6

7
8 Capella-Gutierrez S, Silla-Martinez JM, Gabaldon T. 2009. trimAl: a tool for
9 automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics* 25:1972-
10 1973.
11
12
13

14
15 Capriglione T. 2000. Repetitive DNA as a tool to study the phylogeny of cold-blooded
16 vertebrates. *Chromosomes Today* 13:183–194.
17
18

19
20 Capriglione T, Olmo E, Odierna G, Smith DI, Miller OJ. 1989. Genome composition
21 and tandemly repetitive sequence at some centromeres in the lizard *Podarcis s. sicula* Raf.
22 *Genetica* 79:85–91.
23
24
25

26
27 Capriglione T, Cardone A, Odierna G, Olmo E. 1991. Evolution of a centromeric
28 satellite DNA and phylogeny of lacertid lizards. *Comp Biochem Physiol B* 100:641–645.
29
30

31
32 Capriglione T, Cardone A, Odierna G, Olmo E. 1994. Further data on the occurrence
33 and evolution of satellite DNA families in the lacertid genome. *Chromosome Res* 2:327–330.
34
35

36
37 Capriglione T, De SantoMG, Odierna G, Olmo E (1998) An alphoid-like satellite
38 DNA sequence is present in the genome of a lacertid lizard. *J Mol Evol* 46:240–244.
39
40

41
42 Caputo V, Giovannotti M, Nisi Cerioni P, Splendiani A, Olmo E. 2009. Chromosomal
43 study of native and hatchery trouts from Italy (*Salmo trutta* complex, Salmonidae):
44 conventional and FISH analysis. *Cytogenet Genome Res* 124: 51-62.
45
46
47

48
49 Chaiprasertsri N, Uno Y, Peyachoknagul S, Prakhongcheep O, Baicharoen S,
50 Charernsuk S, Nishida C, Matsuda Y, Koga A, Srikuhnath K. 2013. Highly species-specific
51 centromeric repetitive DNA sequences in lizards: molecular cytogenetic **characterization** of a
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 novel family of satellite DNA sequences isolated from the water monitor lizard (*Varanus*
4 *salvator macromaculatus*, Platynota). *J Hered* 104:798–806.

5
6
7
8 Charlesworth B, Sniegowsky P, Stephan W. 1994. The evolutionary dynamics of
9 repetitive DNA in eukaryotes. *Nature* 371: 215-220.

10
11
12
13 Ciobanu D, Roudykh IA, Grechko VV, Kramerov DA. 2001. Molecular mechanisms
14 of satellite DNA evolution in a group of closely related Caucasian rock lizards. In: Piruzian E,
15 Volkova L, editors. International Symposium Molecular Mechanisms of Genetic Processes
16 and Biotechnology, Moskow [Thesis]. p 26.

17
18
19
20
21
22 Ciobanu D, Grechko VV, Darevsky IS. 2003. Molecular evolution of satellite DNA
23 CLsat in lizards from the genus *Darevskia* (Sauria: Lacertidae): correlation with species
24 diversity. *Russ J Genetics* 39:1527–1541.

25
26
27
28
29
30 Ciobanu DG, Grechko VV, Darevsky IS, Kramerov DA. 2004. New satellite DNA in
31 *Lacerta* s. str. lizards (Sauria: Lacertidae): evolutionary pathways and phylogenetic impact. *J*
32 *Exp Zool* 302B:505–516.

33
34
35
36
37 Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D. 2012. jModelTest 2: more models, new
38 heuristics and parallel computing. *Nature Methods* 9: 772.

39
40
41
42 Erukashvily NI, Ponomartsev NV. 2013. Mammalian satellite DNA: a speaking
43 dumb. *Adv Protein Chem Struct Biol* 90: 31–65.

44
45
46
47 Excoffier L, Smouse PE, Quattro JM. 1992. Analysis of molecular variance inferred
48 from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: application to human mitochondrial DNA
49 restriction data. *Genetics* 131: 479-491.

1
2
3 Feliciello I, Akrap I, Ugarković Đ. 2015. Satellite DNA modulates gene expression in
4 the beetle *Tribolium castaneum* after heat stress. PLoS Genet 11(8): e1005466.
5 doi:10.1371/journal.
6
7

8
9
10 Gamble T, Geneva AJ, Glor RE, Zarkover D. 2014. Anolis sex chromosomes are
11 derived from a single ancestral pair. Evolution 68: 1027-1041.
12
13

14
15 Giovannotti M, Nisi Cerioni P, Caputo V, Olmo E. 2009. Characterisation of a GC-rich
16 telomeric satellite DNA in *Eumeces schneideri* Daudin (Reptilia, Scincidae). Cytogenet
17 Genome Res 125: 272–278.
18
19

20
21
22 Giovannotti N, Nisi Cerioni P, Splendiani A, Ruggeri P, Olmo E, Caputo Barucchi V.
23 2013. Slow evolving satellite DNAs: the case of a centromeric satellite in *Chalcides ocellatus*
24 (Forskål, 1775) (Reptilia, Scincidae). Amphibia-Reptilia 34: 401–411.
25
26
27

28
29
30 Giovannotti M, Rojo V, Nisi Cerioni P, González-Tizón A, Martínez-Lage A,
31 Splendiani A, Naveira H, Ruggeri P, Arribas O, Olmo E, Caputo Barucchi V. 2014. Isolation
32 and characterization of two satellite DNAs in some Iberian rock lizards (Squamata,
33 Lacertidae). J Exp Zool B Mol Dev Evol 322: 13–26.
34
35
36
37

38
39 Giovannotti M, Nisi Cerioni P, Slimani T, Splendiani A, Paoletti A, Fawzi A, Olmo E,
40 Caputo Barucchi V. Cytogenetic characterization of a population of *Acanthodactylus*
41 *lineomaculatus* Duméril and Bibron, 1839 (Reptilia, Lacertidae) from South-western
42 Morocco and insights into sex chromosome evolution. Cytogenet Genome Res, Published on
43 line: November 29, 2017. DOI: 10.1159/000484533.
44
45
46
47
48
49

50
51 Godinho R, Crespo EG, Ferrand N, Harris DJ. 2005. Phylogeny and evolution of the
52 green lizards, *Lacerta* spp. (Squamata: Lacertidae) based on mitochondrial and nuclear DNA
53 sequences. Amphibia-Reptilia 26: 271-285.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Graves JAM. 2008. Weird animal genomes and the evolution of vertebrate sex and sex
4 chromosomes. *Annu Rev Genet* 42: 565-586.

5
6
7 Grechko VV, Ciobanu DG, Darevsky IS, Kramerov DA. 2005. Satellite DNA of
8 lizards of the genus *Lacerta* s. str. (the group *L. agilis*), the family Lacertidae. *Dokl Biochem*
9 *Biophys* 400:44–47.

10
11
12 Grechko VV, Ciobanu DG, Darevsky IS, Kosushkin SA, Kramerov DA. 2006.
13 Molecular evolution of satellite DNA repeats and speciation of lizards of the genus *Darevskia*
14 (Sauria: Lacertidae). *Genome* 49:1297–1307.

15
16
17 Grewal SIS, Elgin SCR. 2007. Transcription and RNA interference in the formation of
18 heterochromatin. *Nature* 447: 399–406.

19
20
21 Harris DJ, Arnold EN, Thomas RH. 1999. A phylogeny of the European lizard genus
22 *Algyroides* (Reptilia: Lacertidae) based on DNA sequences, with comments on the evolution
23 of the group. *J Zool Lond* 249: 49-60.

24
25
26
27 Helaers R, Milinkovitch MC. 2010. MetaPIGA v2.0: maximum likelihood large
28 phylogeny estimation using the metapopulation genetic algorithm and other stochastic
29 heuristics. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2010, 11: 379

30
31
32 Hipsley CA, Himmelmann L, Metzler D, Müller J. 2009. Integration of Bayesian
33 molecular clock methods and fossil-based soft bounds reveals early Cenozoic origin of
34 African lacertid lizards. *BMC Evol Biol* 9: 151. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2148-9-151.

35
36
37 Huelsenbeck JP, Ronquist F. 2001. MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic
38 trees. *Bioinformatics* 17: 754-755.

39
40
41 Ijdo JW, Wells RA, Baldini A, Reeders ST. 1991. Improved telomere detection using
42 a telomere repeat probe (TTAGGG)_n generated by PCR. *Nucl Acids Res* 19: 17.

1
2
3 Jones K, Singh L. 1985. Snakes and the evolution of sex chromosomes. Trends Genet
4
5 1:55–61.

6
7
8 Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software
9
10 Version 7: Improvements in Performance and Usability. Mol Biol Evol 30: 772-780.

11
12
13 Kuhn GCS. 2015. Satellite DNA transcripts have diverse biological roles in
14
15 *Drosophila*. Heredity 115, 1–2; doi:10.1038/hdy.2015.12.

16
17
18 Lee M.S.Y., Hugall A.F., Lawson R., Scanlon J.D. 2007. Phylogeny of snakes
19
20 (Serpentes): combining morphological and molecular data in likelihood, Bayesian and
21
22 parsimony analyses. Syst Biodiv. 5: 371–389.

23
24
25 Lemmon AR, Milinkovitch MC. 2002. The metapopulation genetic algorithm: an
26
27 efficient solution for the problem of large phylogeny estimation. Proceedings of the National
28
29 Academy of Sciences (PNAS), USA, 99: 10516-10521.

30
31
32 Librado P, Rozas J. 2009. DnaSP v5: A software for comprehensive analysis of DNA
33
34 polymorphism data. Bioinformatics 25: 1451-1452.

35
36
37 Marzahan E, Mayer W, Joger U, Ilgaz Ç, Jablonski D, Kindler C, Kumlutaş Y, Nistri
38
39 A, Schneeweiss N, Vamberger M, Žagar A, Fritz U. 2016. Phylogeography of the *Lacerta*
40
41 *viridis* complex: mitochondrial and nuclear markers provide taxonomic insights. J Zool Syst
42
43 Evol Res 54: 85-105.

44
45
46 Matsubara K, Sarre SD, Georges A, Matsuda Y, Graves JAM, Ezaz T. 2014. Highly
47
48 differentiated ZW sex microchromosomes in the Australian *Varanus* species evolved through
49
50 rapid amplification of repetitive sequences. PloS one, 9(4), e95226.

51
52
53 Matsubara K, Uno Y, Srikulnath K, Seki R, Nishida C, Matsuda Y. 2015a. Molecular
54
55 cloning and characterization of satellite DNA sequences from constitutive heterochromatin of
56
57

1
2
3 the habu snake (*Protobothrops flavoviridis*, Viperidae) and the Burmese python (*Python*
4 *bivittatus*, Pythonidae). *Chromosoma* 124: 529-539.

5
6
7
8 Matsubara K, Uno Y, Srikulnath K, Matsuda Y, Miller E, Olsson M 2015b. No
9
10 interstitial telomeres on autosomes but remarkable amplification of telomeric repeats on the
11
12 W sex chromosome in the sand lizard (*Lacerta agilis*). *J Hered* 106: 753-757.

13
14
15 Navajas-Pérez R, de la Herrán R, Jamilena M et al. 2005. Reduced rates of sequence
16
17 evolution of Y-linked satellite DNA in *Rumex* (Polygonaceae). *J Mol Evol* 60: 391-399.

18
19
20 Navajas-Pérez R, Ruiz Rejón M, Garrido-Ramos MA, Aznarte JL, Rubio-Escudero C.
21
22 2007. SatDNA Analyzer: a computing tool for satellite-DNA evolutionary analysis.
23
24 *Bioinformatics* 23: 767–768.

25
26
27 Navajas-Pérez R, Quesada ME, Garrido-Ramos MA. 2009. Effect of localization,
28
29 organization, and repeat-copy number in satellite-DNA evolution. *Mol Genet Genomics* 282:
30
31 395-406.

32
33
34
35 Ng R, Ness J, Carbon J. 1986. Structural studies on centromeres in the yeast
36
37 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. In: Wickner RB, Hiiebusch A, Lambowitz A, Gunzales IC,
38
39 Hollander A (eds), *Extrachromosomal elements in lower eukaryotes*. Plenum Press, New
40
41 York, pp 478–492.

42
43
44 Odierna G, Kupriyanova LA, Caprigilone T, Olmo E. 1993. Further data on sex
45
46 chromosomes of Lacertidae and a hypothesis on their evolutionary trend. *Amphibia-Reptilia*
47
48 14: 1-11.

49
50
51 Odierna G, Aprea G, Arribas O, Capriglione T, Caputo V, Olmo E. 1996. The
52
53 karyology of the Iberian rock lizards. *Herpetologica* 52: 542-550.

1
2
3 Odierna G, Aprea G, Capriglione T, Castellano S, Balletto E. 2004. Evidence for
4 chromosome and PstI satellite DNA family evolutionary stasis in the *Bufo viridis* group
5 (Amphibia, Anura). *Chromosome Res* 12: 671-681.
6
7

8
9
10 Ohno S. 1972. So much "junk" DNA in our genome. *Brookhaven Symp Biol* 23:366-
11 370.
12
13

14
15 Olmo E, Odierna G, Capriglione T. 1987. Evolution of sex-chromosomes in lacertid
16 lizards. *Chromosoma* 96: 33-38.
17
18

19
20 Olmo E, Odierna G, Capriglione T, Cardone A. 1990. DNA and chromosome
21 evolution in lacertid lizards. In: *Cytogenetics of Amphibians and Reptiles*, 181-204.
22
23

24
25 Olmo E, Odierna G, Capriglione T. 1993. The karyology of Mediterranean lacertid
26 lizards, in Valakos ED, Boehme W, Pérez-Mellado V, Maragou P (eds): *Lacertids of the*
27 *Mediterranean Region: A Biological Approach*, pp 61–84 (Hellenic Zoological Society,
28 Athens).
29
30
31
32

33
34
35 Olmo E, Signorino G. 2005. Chromorep: a reptile chromosomes database. Retrieved
36 from: <http://chromorep.univpm.it>.
37
38

39
40 O'Meally D, Patel HR, Stiglec R, Sarre SD, Georges A, Marshall Graves JA, Ezaz T.
41 2010. Non-homologous sex chromosomes of birds and snakes share repetitive sequences.
42 *Chromosome Res* 18: 787-800.
43
44

45
46
47 Orgel LE, Crick FH. 1980. Selfish DNA: the ultimate parasite. *Nature* 284: 604–607.
48
49

50 Palomeque T, Lorite P. 2008. Satellite DNA in insects: a review. *Heredity* 100: 564–
51 573
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Plohl M, Luchetti A, Meštrović N, Mantovani B. 2008. Satellite DNAs between
4 selfishness and functionality: structure, genomics and evolution of tandem repeats in
5 centromeric (hetero) chromatin. *Gene* 409:72–82.
6
7

8
9
10 Plohl M, Meštrović N, Mravinac B. 2012. Satellite DNA evolution. In: Garrido-Ramos
11 MA (ed) *Repetitive DNA, Genome dynamics*, 7th edn. Karger, Basel, pp 126–152.
12
13

14
15 Pokorná M, Kratochvíl L, Kejnovsky E. 2011. Microsatellite distribution on sex
16 chromosomes at different stages of heteromorphism and heterochromatinization in two lizard
17 species (Squamata: Eublepharidae: *Coleonyx elegans* and Lacertidae: *Eremias velox*). *BMC*
18 *Genet* 12: 90. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2156-12-90.
19
20
21
22

23
24 Pokorná M, Rens W, Rovatsos M, Karatochvíl L. 2014. A ZZ/ZW sex chromosome
25 system in the thick-tailed gecko (*Underwoodisaurus milii*; Squamata: Gekkota:
26 *Carphodactylidae*), a member of the ancient gecko lineage. *Cytogenet Genome Res* 142: 190–
27 196. doi: 10.1159/000358847.
28
29
30
31
32

33
34 Prakhongcheep O, Thapana W, Suntronpong A, Singchat W, Pattananang K,
35 Phatcharakullawarawat R, Muangmai N, Peyachoknagul S, Matsubara K, Ezaz T, Srikulnath
36 K. 2017. Lack of satellite DNA species-specific homogenization and relationship to
37 chromosomal rearrangements in monitor lizards (Varanidae, Squamata). *BMC Evolutionary*
38 *Biology* 17: 193. doi: 10.1186/s12862-017-1044-6.
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 Preacher KJ. 2001. Calculation for the chi-square test: An interactive calculation tool
46 for chi-square tests of goodness of fit and independence [Computer software]. Available from
47 <http://quantpsy.org>.
48
49
50

51
52
53 Radic MZ, Lundgren K, Hamkalo BA. 1987. Curvature of mouse satellite DNA and
54 condensation of heterochromatin. *Cell* 50: 1101-1108.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Rens W, Fu B, O'Brien PCM, Ferguson-Smith MA. 2006. Crossspecies chromosome
4 painting. *Nat Protoc* 1: 783–790

5
6
7
8 Robles F, de la Herrán R, Ludwig A, Rejón CR, Rejón MR, Garrido-Ramos MA.
9
10 2004. Evolution of ancient satellite DNAs in sturgeon genomes. *Gene* 338:133–142.

11
12
13 Rojo V, Giovannotti M, Naveira H, Nisi Cerioni P, González-Tizón AM, Caputo
14 Barucchi V, Galán P, Olmo E, Martínez-Lage A. 2014. Karyological Characterization of the
15 Endemic Iberian Rock Lizard, *Iberolacerta monticola* (Squamata, Lacertidae): Insights into
16 Sex Chromosome Evolution. *Cytogenet Genome Res* 142: 28-39.

17
18
19
20
21
22 Rojo V, Martínez-Lage A, Giovannotti M, González-Tizón AM, Nisi Cerioni P,
23 Caputo Barucchi V, Galán P, Olmo E, Naveira H. 2015. Evolutionary dynamics of two
24 satellite DNA families in Rock lizards of the genus *Iberolacerta* (Squamata, Lacertidae):
25 different histories but common traits. *Chromosome Res* 23: 441-461.

26
27
28
29
30
31 Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B,
32 Lio L, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck, J. P. 2012. MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic
33 inference and model choice across a large model space. *Syst Biol* 61:539-542.

34
35
36
37
38 Rovatsos M, Vukić J, Altmanová M, Johnson Pokorná M, Moravec J, Kratochvil L.
39
40 2016. Conservation of sex chromosomes in lacertid lizards. *Mol Ecol* 25: 3120-3126.

41
42
43 Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T. 1989. *Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual*.
44 Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.

45
46
47
48 Schneider S, Roessli D, Excoffier L. 2000. Arlequin, version 2.000: a software for
49 population genetic data analysis. Geneva, Switzerland: Genetics and Biometry Laboratory,
50 University of Geneva.

Schwarzacher T, Heslop-Harrison JS. 2000. Practical in situ hybridization. Oxford: Bios Scientific Publishers.

Singh L, Purdom IF, Jones KW. 1976. Satellite DNA and evolution of sex chromosomes. *Chromosoma* 59 :43–62.

Singh L, Purdom IF, Jones KW. 1980. Sex chromosome associated satellite DNA: evolution and conservation. *Chromosoma* 79 :137–157.

Srikulnath K, Matsubara K, Uno Y, Nishida C, Olsson M, Matsuda Y. 2014. Identification of the linkage group of the Z sex chromosomes of the sand lizard (*Lacerta agilis*, Lacertidae) and elucidation of karyotype evolution in lacertid lizards. *Chromosoma* 123: 563–575.

Strachan T, Webb D, Dover G. 1985. Transition stages of molecular drive in multiple-copy DNA families in *Drosophila*. *EMBO J* 4: 1701-1708.

Sumner AT. 1972. A simple technique for demonstrating centromeric heterochromatin. *Exp Cell Res* 75: 304-306.

Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S. 2011. MEGA5: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Using Maximum Likelihood, Evolutionary Distance, and Maximum Parsimony Methods. *Mol Biol Evol* 28: 2731-2739.

Uetz P, Freed P, Hošek J (eds.): *The Reptile Database*, <http://www.reptile-database.org>, accessed September 28, 2017.

Vicari MR, Nogaroto V, Noletto RB, Cestari MM, Cioffi MB, Almeida MC, Moreira-Filho O, Bertollo LAC, Artoni RF. 2010. Satellite DNA and chromosomes in Neotropical fishes: methods, applications and perspectives. *J Fish Biol* 76: 1094-1116.

1
2
3 Vitt, LJ, Caldwell JP. 2014. Herpetology: an introductory biology of amphibians and
4
5 reptiles. Academic Press. Fourth edition 2014.
6

7
8 Vittorazzi SE, Bolsoni Lourenço L, Recco-Pimentel SM. Long-time evolution and
9
10 highly dynamic satellite DNA in leptodactylid and hylodid frogs. BMC Genetics 15: 111.
11

12
13 Wilcox T P, Zwickl DJ, Heath TA, Hillis DM. 2002. Phylogenetic relationships of the
14
15 dwarf boas and a comparison of Bayesian and bootstrap measures of phylogenetic support.
16
17 Mol Phylog Evol 25: 361-371.
18

19
20 Young MJ, O'Meally D, Sarre SD, Georges A, Ezaz T. 2013. Molecular cytogenetic map of
21
22 the central bearded dragon, *Pogona vitticeps* (Squamata: Agamidae). Chromosome Res 21:
23
24 361–374. doi: 10.1007/s10577-013-9362-z.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure legends

Figure 1. Consensus sequences of IMO-TaqI satDNA repeats (all) obtained by aligning the consensus sequences of *Timon* (TIM), W-specific (W) and autosome-specific (A) repeats of *Lacerta*. Highlighted in grey: stretches of A/T characterising the repeats.

Figure 2. ML tree depicting the phylogenetic relationships among IMO-TaqI satDNA repeats isolated from three species of *Timon* and four species of *Lacerta*. At nodes only MetaGA values >70% (ML) and posterior probability values >95% (BA) are reported. A hyphen was inserted whenever BA method did not support a given node in the ML topology. Pink background: *Lacerta* autosomal-specific repeats; pale blue background: *Lacerta* W chromosome-specific repeats; purple background: *Timon* repeats.

Figure 3. FISH with IMO-TaqI probe onto metaphases from females of: *Timon lepidus* (A), *Lacerta agilis* (B), *L. trilineata* (C), *L. strigata* (D). A species-specific probe was used for each species. The arrow indicates the W chromosome. Scale bar =10 μ m.

Figure 4. FISH with IMO-TaqI probe onto metaphases from *L. bilineata* female. A) the probe was produced from W-specific repeats; B) the probe was produced using autosome-specific repeats. The arrow indicates the W chromosome. Scale bar =10 μ m.

Figure 5. FISH with telomeric (TTAGGG)_n probe onto metaphases from females of: (A) *Timon lepidus*, (B) *Lacerta agilis*, (C) *L. trilineata*, (D) *L. strigata*, (E) *L. bilineata*. Arrows indicate: W chromosome, pair 17 of *T. lepidus* and pair 18 of *L. bilineata*. Scale bar =10 μ m.

Figure 6. C-banded metaphase plates of females of *Timon lepidus* (A), *Lacerta agilis* (B), *L. trilineata* (T), *L. strigata* (D) and *L. bilineata* (E). Scale bar = 10 μ m.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

```

all      NCGAGGCCTGATTTCCCTTCTNTGATNAAAAAACCCTTCTGTTTTCACCGCCAAAATCTTC 60
TIM      -C.....T.....A.-TT.....C.C....C..... 58
W        NT.....C.....G.-AT.....T.A....G..... 59
A        -C.....C.....T..ATG.....T.A....C..... 59


all      CAGGGGAGTTNTGGCAACAGTTTGGCACCATTTTTGANGNAATTGGAGAACGTCAGATT 120
TIM      .AGGG..G.TC.TG...C.G.....AG-TG..... 117
W        .AGGG..A.TG.GC...C.G.....NAGAA..... 119
A        .TTCA..G.CT.GG...A.T.....AG-GA..... 118

all      TTTGGTGAAATTCGACCGCGNGGGTTAGGGATTTTTCAAAAAANNNTTTTTCNGCA 180
TIM      ....A.....C..G.-.....A....ANNN...TCCG.. 176
W        ....G.....G..C.-.....N....-TNN...TCNG.. 177
A        ....G.....G..C..N.....A....AGTT...CNNN.. 178

all      GGTNAAGTTGTNGN 194
TIM      G..T..G....C.- 189
W        A..A..G....N.- 190
A        G..C..C....N.N 192
    
```


154x109mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




553x496mm (300 x 300 DPI)






282x244mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




264x421mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



209x296mm (96 x 96 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



209x296mm (96 x 96 DPI)

Table 1. Summary of repeat **genetic diversity estimates** for the species studied.

Species	Number of clones			% AT	Repeat Length (base pairs)	Nucleotide diversity (π)	Number of Haplotypes (H)	Haplotype diversity (Hd)
	Female	Male	Total					
<i>L. agilis</i>	12	10	22	58.7	186-189	0.1182±0.0056	15	0.944
<i>L. bilineata</i>	28	15	43	59.0	187-190	0.1432±0.0075	35	0.990
<i>L. trilineata</i>	26	-	26	59.2	186-190	0.1096±0.0048	18	0.951
<i>L. strigata</i>	19	11	30	60.2	166-187	0.1526±0.0075	18	0.956
<i>Lacerta A</i>	36	26	62	58.8	166-190	0.0834±0.0031	47	0.989
<i>Lacerta W</i>	59	-	59	59.8	173-189	0.1195±0.0047	38	0.964
<i>T. lepidus</i>	10	10	20	59.3	182-189	0.1124±0.0047	19	0.995
<i>T. pater</i>	2	8	10	59.3	182-188	0.0810±0.0123	3	0.733
<i>T. tangitanus</i>	4	7	11	58.8	187-189	0.1086±0.0124	5	0.818
<i>Timon</i>	16	25	41	59.1	182-189	0.1125±0.0059	27	0.951

The columns female and male indicate how many clones were sequenced from either sex. A= autosome-specific repeats of IMO-TaqI satDNA; W= W chromosome-specific repeats of IMO-TaqI satDNA.

Table 2. Evolutionary rates of IMO-TaqI satDNA in the species investigated.

Pair-wise comparison	Net genetic distances among groups average	Divergence in million years	IMO-TaqI evolutionary rate
LAG_A vs LBI_A	0.0214 ± 0.0057	13.91*	0.15%
LAG_A vs LST_A	0.0373 ± 0.0103	13.91*	0.27%
LAG_A vs LTR_A	0.0835 ± 0.0197	11.65*	0.72%
LBI_A vs LST_A	0.0050 ± 0.0020	12.24*	0.04%
LBI_A vs LTR_A	0.1045 ± 0.0217	13.91*	0.75%
LST_A vs LTR_A	0.1188 ± 0.0261	13.91*	0.85%
LAG_W vs LBI_W	0.0462 ± 0.0106	13.91*	0.33%
LAG_W vs LST_W	0.0526 ± 0.0112	13.91*	0.38%
LAG_W vs LTR_W	0.0621 ± 0.0126	11.65*	0.53%
LBI_W vs LST_W	0.0461 ± 0.0096	12.24*	0.38%
LBI_W vs LTR_W	0.0565 ± 0.0111	13.91*	0.41%
LST_W vs LTR_W	0.0305 ± 0.0081	13.91*	0.22%
LAG vs LBI	0.0149 ± 0.0040	13.91*	0.11%
LAG vs LST	0.0180 ± 0.0044	13.91*	0.13%
LAG vs LTR	0.0365 ± 0.0063	11.65*	0.31%
LBI vs LST	0.0111 ± 0.0029	12.24*	0.09%
LBI vs LTR	0.0467 ± 0.0078	13.91*	0.34%
LST vs LTR	0.0363 ± 0.0072	13.91*	0.26%
TLE vs TPA	0.0202 ± 0.0045	7.42*	0.27%
TLE vs TTA	0.0258 ± 0.0057	7.42*	0.35%
TPA vs TTA	0.0296 ± 0.0051	5.98*	0.50%
LAC vs TIM	0.0925 ± 0.0198	17.55*	0.53%
LBI_A vs LBI_W	0.1244 ± 0.0250	-	-
LAG_A vs LAG_W	0.1458 ± 0.0295	-	-
LST_A vs LST_W	0.1669 ± 0.0338	-	-
LTR_A vs LTR_W	0.1257 ± 0.0258	-	-

Net genetic distances in pair-wise comparisons between IMO-TaqI satDNA repeats ± standard deviation; divergence in million years among the taxa compared and estimated evolutionary rate (% of sequence change/ million years) for IMO-TaqI satDNA. The distance method (Maximum Composite Likelihood with Gamma distribution) was inferred from the best fit model of nucleotide evolution estimated by MEGA v. 6. Distances calculations were carried out with the same program. Gamma shape parameter = 1.8. * data from Ahmadzadeh et al., 2016.

Table 3. AMOVA analysis.

Source of variation	Groups	Variance components	Percentage of variation	F_{ST}
Among groups of sequences	TIM f vs m	2.93421	11.76	0.11756***
	LAC f vs m	5.44448	25.72	0.25724***
	LAC W vs A	10.76439	47.00	0.47005***
	LAC W vs Af	10.18352	44.74	0.44739***
	LAC W vs m	12.10009	49.54	0.49541***
Within group of sequences	TIM f vs m	22.02493	88.24	0.11756***
	LAC f vs m	15.72024	74.28	0.25724***
	LAC W vs A	12.13616	53.00	0.47005***
	LAC W vs Af	12.57831	55.26	0.44739***
	LAC W vs m	12.32418	50.46	0.49541***

The test Was carried on TaqI satDNA sequences from *Timon* and *Lacerta*. Grouping details are given in the text.

*** = $P < 0.001$. f: monomeric repeats isolated from females; m: monomeric repeats isolated from males; W: W-specific repeats; A: autosome-specific repeats isolated from both males and females; Af: autosome-specific repeats isolated from females. LAC: *Lacerta* (*L. agilis*, *L. bilineata*, *L. strigata*, *L. trilineata*); TIM: *Timon* (*T. lepidus*, *T. pater*, *T. tangitanus*).

Table 4. Variable sites classified according to Strachan et al. (1985).

PAIR-WISE COMPARISONS	II-III	IV-VI
LAC _w vs LAC _a	26	5
LAC _w vs TIM	58	3
LAC _a vs TIM	50	4

LAC_w: W-specific repeats from *Lacerta*; LAC_a: autosome-specific repeats from *Lacerta*; TIM: repeats from *Timon*.

For Peer Review