

UNIVERSITÀ POLITECNICA DELLE MARCHE Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Can conservation tillage mitigate climate change impacts in Mediterranean cereal systems? A soil organic carbon assessment using long term experiments

This is the peer reviewd version of the followng article:

Original

Can conservation tillage mitigate climate change impacts in Mediterranean cereal systems? A soil organic carbon assessment using long term experiments / locola, lleana; Bassu, Simona; Farina, Roberta; Antichi, Daniele; Basso, Bruno; Bindi, Marco; Dalla Marta, Anna; Danuso, Francesco; Doro, Luca; Ferrise, Roberto; Giglio, Luisa; Ginaldi, Fabrizio; Mazzoncini, Marco; Mula, Laura; Orsini, Roberto; Corti, Giuseppe; Pasqui, Massimiliano; Seddaiu, Giovanna; Tomozeiu, Rodica; Ventrella, Domenico; Villani, Giulia; Roggero, Pier Paolo, ..., In: EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF AGRONOMY. - ISSN 1161-0301. - STAMPA. - 90:(2017), pp. 96-107.

Publisher:

Published DOI:10.1016/j.eja.2017.07.011

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. The use of copyrighted works requires the consent of the rights' holder (author or publisher). Works made available under a Creative Commons license or a Publisher's custom-made license can be used according to the terms and conditions contained therein. See editor's website for further information and terms and conditions.

This item was downloaded from IRIS Università Politecnica delle Marche (https://iris.univpm.it). When citing, please refer to the published version.

Can conservation tillage mitigate climate change impacts in Mediterranean cereal systems? A soil organic carbon assessment using long term experiments

4	Ileana Iocola ^a , Simona Bassu ^a , Roberta Farina ^b , Daniele Antichi ^c , Bruno Basso ^d , Marco Bindi ^e ,
5	Anna Dalla Marta ^e , Francesco Danuso ^f , Luca Doro ^{g,h} , Roberto Ferrise ^e , Luisa Giglio ⁱ , Fabrizio
6	Ginaldi ^j , Marco Mazzoncini ^c , Laura Mula ^{a,h} , Roberto Orsini ^k , Giuseppe Corti ^k , Massimiliano
7	Pasqui ¹ , Giovanna Seddaiu ^{a,h} , Rodica Tomozeiu ^m , Domenico Ventrella ⁱ , Giulia Villani ⁿ , Pier Paolo
8	Roggero ^{a,h}
9	
10	^a Nucleo Ricerca Desertificazione - NRD, University of Sassari, Viale Italia 39,07100 Sassari, Italy
11	^b Consiglio per la ricerca in agricoltura e l'analisi dell'economia agraria, Centro di ricerca
12	Agricoltura e Ambiente, CREA-AA, via della Navicella 2-4, Rome, Italy
13	^c Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie Alimentari ed Agro-Ambientali - DISAAA-a, University of Pisa,
14	Via del Borghetto 80, 56124 Pisa, Italy
15	^d Department of Geological Sciences, Michigan State University, 288 Farm Lane, East Lansing,
16	MI 48824, USA
17	^e Dipartimento di Scienze delle Produzioni Agroalimentari e dell'Ambiente - DISPAA, University
18	of Florence, Piazzale delle Cascine 18, 50144 Florence, Italy
19	^f Dipartimento di Scienze Agroalimentari, Ambientali ed Animali - DI4A, University of Udine, via
20	delle Scienze 6, 33100 Udine, Italy
21	^g Blackland Research & Extension, 720 East Blackland Road Temple, TX 76502, USA
22	^h Dipartimento di Agraria, University of Sassari, Viale Italia 39,07100 Sassari, Italy
23	ⁱ Consiglio per la ricerca in agricoltura e l'analisi dell'economia agraria, Centro di ricerca
24	Agricoltura e Ambiente, CREA-AA, Via Celso Ulpiani 5, 70125 Bari, Italy

25	^j Consiglio per la ricerca in agricoltura e l'analisi dell'economia agraria, Centro di ricerca
26	Agricoltura e Ambiente, CREA-AA, Via di Corticella, 133 - 40128 Bologna, Italy
27	^k Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Alimentari ed Ambientali, Marche Polytechnic University, via
28	Brecce Bianche, 60131 Ancona, Italy
29	¹ Centro Nazionale delle Ricerche, Istituto di Biometeorologia CNR-Ibimet, Via Taurini, 19, 00185
30	Roma, Italy
31	^m Agenzia regionale per la prevenzione, l'ambiente e l'energia dell'Emilia-Romagna, Servizio
32	Idro-Meteo-Clima di Bologna Emilia-Romagna Arpae-SIMC, Viale Silvani 6, 40122 Bologna,
33	Italy
34	ⁿ Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Agro-Alimentari, University of Bologna, Viale G.Fanin 50,
35	40127 Bologna, Italy
36	
37	Email address: iiocola@uniss.it (I. Iocola), sbassu@uniss.it (S. Bassu), roberta.farina@crea.gov.it
38	(R. Farina), daniele.antichi@avanzi.unipi.it (D. Antichi), basso@msu.edu (B. Basso),
39	marco.bindi@unifi.it (M. Bindi), anna.dallamarta@unifi.it (A. Dallamarta),
40	francesco.danuso@uniud.it (F. Danuso), ldoro@uniss.it (L. Doro), roberto.ferrise@unifi.it (R.
41	Ferrise), luisa.giglio@crea.gov.it (L. Giglio), fabrizio.ginaldi@crea.gov.it (F. Ginaldi),
42	marco.mazzoncini@unipi.it (M. Mazzoncini), lmula@uniss.it (L. Mula), r.orsini@univpm.it (R.
43	Orsini), g.corti@univpm.it (G.Corti), m.pasqui@ibimet.cnr.it (M. Pasqui), gseddaiu@uniss.it (G.
44	Seddaiu), rtomozeiu@arpae.it (R. Tomozeiu), domenico.ventrella@crea.gov.it (D. Ventrella),
45	gvillani@arpae.it (Giulia Villani), pproggero@uniss.it (P.P. Roggero)
46	
47	*Corresponding author at: Nucleo Ricerca Desertificazione - NRD, University of Sassari, Viale
48	Italia 39,07100 Sassari, Italy. Tel: +39079213101
49	E-mail address: iiocola@uniss.it (I. Iocola)

51 Abstract

52 Simulation models, informed and validated with datasets from long term experiments (LTEs), are 53 considered useful tools to explore the effects of different management strategies on soil organic 54 carbon (SOC) dynamics and evaluate suitable mitigative options for climate change. But, while 55 there are several studies which assessed a better prediction of crop yields using an ensemble of 56 models, no studies are currently available on the evaluation of a model ensemble on SOC stocks. 57 In this study we assessed the advantages of using an ensemble of crop models (APSIM-NWheat, 58 DSSAT, EPIC, SALUS), calibrated and validated with datasets from LTEs, to estimate SOC 59 dynamics. Then we used the mean of the model ensemble to assess the impacts of climate change 60 on SOC stocks under conventional (CT) and conservation tillage practices (NT: No Till; RT: 61 Reduced Tillage). The assessment was completed for two long-term experiment sites (Agugliano -62 AN and Pisa - PI2 sites) in Italy under rainfed conditions. A durum wheat (Triticum turgidum 63 subsp. durum (Desf.) Husn.) - maize (Zea mays L.) rotation system was evaluated under two 64 different climate scenarios over the periods 1971-2000 (CP: Present Climate) and 2021-2050 (CF: 65 Future Climate), generated by setting up a statistical model based on canonical correlation 66 analysis. Our study showed a decrease of SOC stocks in both sites and tillage systems over CF 67 when compared with CP. At the AN site, CT lost -7.3% and NT -7.9% of SOC stock (0-40 cm) 68 under CF. At the PI2 site, CT lost -4.4% and RT -5.3% of SOC stocks (0-40 cm). Even if 69 conservation tillage systems were more impacted under future scenarios, they were still able to 70 store more SOC than CT, so that these practices can be considered viable options to mitigate 71 climate change. Furthermore, at the AN site, under CF, NT demonstrated an annual increase of 72 0.4%, the target value suggested by the 4 per thousand initiative launched at the 21st meeting of the 73 Conference of the Parties in Paris. However, RT at the PI2 needs to be coupled with other 74 management strategies, as the introduction of cover crops, to achieve such target.

76 Keywords: Soil organic carbon; Model ensemble; Tillage; Wheat-maize rotation; Prediction;
77 Mitigation strategies.

78

79 **1. Introduction**

80 Soil organic carbon (SOC) is important to crop production because it mediates nutrient cycling, 81 and affects soil fertility (Bolinder et al., 2010; Lal et al., 2009), and soil water-holding capacity 82 (Huntington, 2007). Sequestration of carbon in soil by increasing SOC is also considered one way 83 to mitigate climate change as SOC represents the main C sink in terrestrial ecosystems (Wang et 84 al., 2015). Different tillage practices affect both sequestration capacity and the distribution of 85 organic C in soil and can contribute to mitigative adaptation strategies to climate change in a 86 variety of ways (Marraccini et al., 2012). In general, benefits associated with tillage include topsoil 87 aeration, ease of seed emergence, effective weed control and incorporation of crop residue into the 88 soil. However, conventional tillage (CT), characterized by traditional moldboard ploughing, can 89 stimulate rapid mineralization of SOC, increase soil erosion, create a plough pan and increase the 90 use of energy for mechanical operations (Bertolino et al., 2010; Rusu, 2014).

91 Less intensive tillage management, also referred to as conservation agriculture (i.e., Reduced 92 tillage -RT and no till -NT), has been adopted to reduce these negative impacts although 93 sometimes lower yields have been associated to these practices (Van den Putte et al., 2010). There 94 is still uncertainty of the merit of conservation tillage to contribute to increasing the resilience of 95 cropping systems to climate change (Powlson et al., 2016) and to increasing SOC compared with 96 CT practices (Gonzalez-Sanchezet al., 2012; Haddaway et al., 2016). In fact, SOC significantly 97 increases in the layers closest to the soil surface under conservation tillage but does not always 98 increase in the deeper soil profile where, conversely, SOC content tends to increase under 99 conventional tillage, particularly near or at the bottom of the plowed layer (Alvarez, 2005; Angers and Eriksen-Hamel, 2008; De Sanctis et al., 2012). These results highlight the importance of
evaluating the entire soil profile or, at least, the depth of the plowed layer to compare the effect of
contrasting tillage practices on SOC stocks.

103 However, because changes in SOC can occur very slowly (Smith et al., 1997), the relationship 104 between tillage practices and SOC sequestration should be evaluated over a sufficiently long 105 period of time. Long-term experimental sites (LTEs) at research facilities thus represent the ideal 106 setting to assess processes and factors that may affect SOC content over a long period of time 107 because there are long-term datasets associated with these sites (Korschens, 1996; Ruisi et al., 108 2014). In fact, while short-term experiments can support research that focuses on the initial stages 109 of a process, LTEs permit evaluation of the magnitude of change over a longer period of time and 110 allows understanding the cause of these changes at the same time (Knapp et al., 2012). For this 111 reason, data coming from LTEs play a key role in informing and validating crop simulation 112 models. Furthermore, as LTEs permit understanding the relationship of short- and long-term 113 processes, they are crucial to improving the ability of current crop simulation models to simulate 114 future scenarios. Powerful tools can be developed from this process that permit researchers and 115 policymakers to explore management strategies that increase SOC and define suitable adaptation 116 and mitigation options to reduce the impact of climate change on cropping systems (Ewert et al., 117 2011; White et al., 2011). Models were successfully used to simulate contrasting tillage 118 management in agroecosystems under current (Chang et al., 2013; De Sanctis et al., 2012; Franko 119 and Spiegel, 2016; Leite et al., 2009; Tan et al., 2007) and future climates (Bhattarai et al., 2017; 120 Farina et al., 2011).

Given the growing interest in assessing uncertainty, in particular under future scenarios (Wallach et al., 2016), both the climate and crop modeling communities have proposed the use of an ensemble of models to obtain a probability distribution of projections (Harris et al., 2010) rather than a single model. In fact, crop models can vary in structure and parameterization and formalize bio-physical and physiological processes differently. For this reason, they may respond in different way to future climate scenarios, thereby projecting different impacts of climate change on SOC and crop yield, even if they had been able to reproduce quite well the observed values under past conditions (Bassu et al., 2014). As a result, an assessment of climate change impacts based on an ensemble of outcomes from multiple model simulations is more reliable than one obtained from a single model (Rötter et al., 2011; Tao et al., 2009).

131 Furthermore, many studies of multi model ensembles (MME) under current climate conditions 132 have shown that the mean or median of the ensemble's simulated values reproduce the measured 133 crop yields better than any individual model (Asseng et al., 2014; Li et al., 2015; Martre et al., 134 2015; Palosuo et al., 2011; Rötter et al., 2012). Given the improved performance of crop model 135 ensembles over single models under current conditions, Wallach et al. (2016) suggest that better 136 predictions under future climate conditions can be obtained with the mean or median of the model 137 ensemble, even without improving the present-day crop models. Nevertheless, while some 138 research has assessed MME to predict crop yield, no MME studies are currently available that 139 evaluate the ensemble mean or median to simulate SOC dynamics. Many studies have used 140 biogeochemical models (Alvaro-Fuentes et al., 2012; Gottschalk et al., 2012; Lugato et al., 2007; 141 Meersmans et al., 2016; Muñoz-Rojas et al., 2013; Tornquist et al., 2009) to assess the impact of 142 climate change on SOC, but because these models have simplified processes for crop growth 143 simulation, they could produce unreliable impacts on crop productivity and, consequently, on soil 144 C-input. Most climate change impact studies using crop process based models have focused on the 145 crop-atmosphere interaction of single crops alone (Asseng et al., 2014; Bassu et al., 2014; Long et 146 al., 2006) while, more recently, studies emerge which consider the entire system of soil-crop-147 atmosphere interaction (Basso et al., 2015; Kollas et al., 2015; Nendel et al., 2014; Teixeira et al., 148 2015). This is particularly important under limited growing conditions such as in rainfed cropping 149 systems with low SOC content. As a matter of fact, SOC can vary by year in response to agronomic

150 management decisions and climate. These changes in SOC then affect soil water holding capacity 151 and nitrogen and, at the same time, crop performance which, in turn, affects additional input of 152 SOC.

153 Considering all of the issues mentioned above, we hypothesized that using an ensemble of models 154 to estimate SOC in agricultural soils provides an advantage in terms of simulation accuracy, an 155 approach that has not been used in previous studies. Moreover, we assumed that the use of 156 process-based crop models for the dynamic estimation of plant C inputs to soil, varying year by 157 year according to soil and climate variability and considered the main driver of SOC dynamic 158 (Izaurralde et al., 2006), greatly improves the reliability of SOC simulations. We tested our 159 hypothesis with four process-based crop models that were calibrated and evaluated with a set of 160 data from selected Italian LTEs where different tillage options had been applied to cereal-based 161 cropping systems in rainfed conditions. Thereafter we used MME to assess the long-term effects 162 of contrasting tillage practices on changes in SOC stocks, considering both superficial (0-15 cm) 163 and deeper layers (15-40 cm), in rainfed durum wheat (Triticum turgidum subsp. durum (Desf.) 164 Husn.) - maize (Zea mays L.) rotations. These simulations were completed under both current and 165 future climate scenarios. In this way we were able to assess the impact of future scenarios on both 166 SOC and crop yield.

167

168 **2. Material and methods**

169 **2.1 Description of the long-term datasets**

The data from two rainfed long-term experiments (LTEs) were utilized for this study: the AN site located in Agugliano (Ancona, Marche, 43°32'N, 13°22'E) and the PI2 site in San Piero a Grado (Pisa, Toscana, 43°41'N, 10°23'E). These sites are characterized by contrasting tillage practices and belong to the IC-FAR national network (Linking long term observatories with crop systems modeling for a better understanding of climate change impact and adaptation strategies for Italian cropping systems). The climate of the both AN and PI2 sites is Mediterranean with a bimodal
distribution of cumulated monthly precipitation in spring and autumn, mild winters and warm dry
summers.

178 **2.1.1 LTE AN**

The AN LTE (Seddaiu et al., 2016) was established in 1994 in a hilly area with silt-clay soil. The rotation included two years of durum wheat (cv. Grazia, ISEA) followed by sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L., cv. Starsol, ISEA) until 2001. After 2002 the sunflower crop in the rotation was replaced by maize (DK440 hybrid, Dekalb Monsanto, FAO class 300). These rotations were replicated twice in adjacent fields to allow production of all crops each year. Over the experimental period (1994-2014), the AN experiment site experienced mean annual rainfall of 820 mm and mean annual air temperature of 15.3°C.

186 The conventional tillage (CT) and no till (NT) treatments were used to calibrate the crop models in 187 the durum wheat-maize rotation (2002-2014). CT plots were ploughed each year by moldboard to 188 a depth of 40 cm in October for wheat and at the end of August for maize. The seedbed was 189 prepared with double harrowing to a depth of 15 cm before the sowing date. NT plots were left 190 undisturbed except for sod seeding, chopping of crop and weed residues and herbicide spraying 191 before seeding. Both CT and NT treatments were fertilized with 90 kg N ha⁻¹. Mineral N was 192 distributed as ammonium nitrate in two equal rates in February and March for wheat and in one 193 rate at seeding for maize. For both tillage systems crop residues were not removed from the field 194 and incorporated in soil under CT by ploughing.

Measured crop data consisted of phenology (flowering, and physiological maturity dates), leaf area index (LAI), and productivity (aboveground biomass and grain yield) from 2002 to 2014. SOC samples of the soil profile were collected to a depth of 40 cm in 1996 and 2002 and to a depth of 100 cm in 2006 and 2010 in both the CT and NT treatments. Physical soil characteristics and hydraulic proprieties were measured in 2006 and used to define the main soil characteristics of the site (Table 1). As the experiment was initialized on a CT system, we used 2006 data of the CT N90 treatments as a reference for the main soil characteristics of AN site assuming that these did not substantially change since the beginning of the experiment as reported by De Sanctis et al. (2012).

204 **2.1.2 LTE PI2**

205 The PI2 LTE (Mazzoncini et al., 2011) is located in a lowland coastal area with an alluvial loam 206 soil. The experimental design includes two tillage systems (CT – annual plough vs RT – reduced 207 tillage), four mineral N fertilization rates and four soil cover types factorially combined in a split-208 split-plot design with four replications. The design included a continuous maize crop from 1994 to 209 1998 followed by a two-year durum wheat-maize rotation until 2004. After 2005 the LTE was 210 changed to a four-year crop rotation of durum wheat-maize-durum wheat-sunflower. Over the 15 211 years include in this research (1994-2008), mean annual precipitation at this site was 826 mm and 212 the mean annual air temperature was 14.6 °C.

213 In this study we used a subset of treatments of the PI2 LTE (1994 to 2008) where durum wheat 214 and maize were grown without cover crops, to evaluate the effects of the different tillage systems 215 on SOC dynamics. The CT consisted of annual moldboard ploughing to a depth of 30-35 cm 216 followed by secondary tillage with disk and rotary harrows. The RT was characterized by no-217 tillage for wheat and shallow harrowing for seedbed preparation for maize to a depth of 10-15 cm. 218 Plots of durum wheat and maize were fertilized with 180 and 300 kg N ha⁻¹, respectively. In both 219 systems, crop residues were chopped after harvest and left in the field. Weed control was based on 220 post-emergence herbicide application in the CT system while pre-sowing glyphosate was also 221 applied in the RT. FAO class 300 were used from 1994 to 2000 and class 500 from 2002 to 2006, 222 while Cirillo and Duilio were used for durum wheat in 1999 and from 2001 to 2007. Aboveground 223 biomass and crop yield were measured each year at harvest. Soil analyses were conducted on soil 224 samples collected at the depths of 0-10 and 10-30 cm at the end of September in 1993 (at the

beginning of the experiment), 1998 and 2008. During the IC-FAR project, additional soil data were collected in 2015 from a depth of 30 to 90 cm to characterize the soil texture of the deeper layers assuming that texture values did not change from the beginning of the experiment. Data from 1993, coupled with texture data from the deeper layers collected in 2015 were used to define the main soil physical characteristics of the PI2 site (Table 1). Soil hydraulic properties were estimated using the pedo-transfer functions of Ritchie et al. (1999).

231

232 **2.2 Setup of the crop models**

The experimental and weather data collected and harmonized in the common IC-FAR database (see details in Ginaldi et al., 2016) were used to inform and validate four process-based crop models to assess their ability to simulate SOC dynamics in different tillage systems and reproduce reliable crop residue-C inputs. Table 2 provides a list of the models used and the various biophysical approaches used in each model. In APSIM-NWheat, the simulation of maize was replaced by adding to the soil the observed amounts of residues left by the maize crop each year.

SOC is commonly divided up in these crop models into several different pools (Table 2) based on the residence time. In order to properly estimate SOC distribution across pools, soil carbon initialization was carried out considering the land use history of the experimental sites.

242 Before the start of the experiment in 1994, De Sanctis et al. (2012) reported the AN site had 243 previously experienced a two-year durum wheat-maize rotation for 44 years (1950-1994) with an 244 average N fertilizer rate of 140 kg ha⁻¹, initiated on grassland. Therefore, before simulating the 245 cropping system for 1994-2014, the models were run over 44 years (since 1950) with an 246 antecedent simulation based on a wheat-maize rotation. The total SOC in the upper 40 cm in 1950 247 was iteratively estimated by fitting the simulated value at the end of the simulation with the first 248 observed measured SOC available in 1996 that was considered as initial value of the LTE 249 assuming that it did not substantially change from the beginning of the experiment in 1994. In the

250 Century-based models (EPIC, DSSAT, SALUS), the SOC fractions in 1950 were initialized 251 following the procedures suggested by Basso et al. (2011) considering 2%, 64% and 34% for the 252 active, the slow and the passive pools, respectively. The final simulated fractions of the passive 253 pool for each soil depth obtained at the end of the antecedent simulation were then used as inputs 254 in the simulation starting in 1994. A wheat-maize rotation was also simulated over the period 255 1994-2001 although in the same years sunflower was sown instead of maize but the amount of 256 residues left by sunflower was similar to that left by maize in this rainfed system (De Sanctis et al., 257 2012). In the APSIM-NWheat model, inputs to set the amounts of the initial labile pool (biom) and 258 the rest of the soil organic matter (hum) in each layer for year 1994 were set in order to minimize 259 the root mean square error between simulated and measured values at both 0-15 and 15-40 cm for 260 the two treatments (i.e., tillage and no tillage).

261 In the PI2 site, before the start of the experiment in 1993, a pre-run simulation over 63 years 262 (1930-1993) was performed on a rainfed biannual durum wheat-maize rotation fertilized with 180 263 kg N ha⁻¹ for the winter crop and 300 kg N ha⁻¹ for the summer crop. The biannual rotation was 264 initialized in 1930 on grassland. Total SOC in the upper 30 cm in 1930 was estimated iteratively 265 until the measured SOC value in 1993 was adequately predicted by the simulation. Following the 266 procedure of Basso et al. (2011), the same initial SOC fractions used in AN for the Century-based 267 models were used also for PI2 in 1930. At the end of the 63 year period, the final simulated 268 fractions obtained for the passive pool in each model were then used to initialize simulations 269 starting in 1993.

APSIM-NWheat started the simulation in 1998 when the continuous maize system was replaced by the wheat-maize rotation. The initial amounts of biom and hum in 1998 were defined so that root squared errors between simulated and measured SOC values were minimized.

The approach used by De Sanctis et al. (2012) was applied at the AN site for the DSSAT model in order to consider the presence of weeds in the conservation tillage systems. The simulations under 275 NT were carried out with the weed contribution during the fallow period from wheat harvest (July) 276 to maize sowing (April). Plant parameters for Bahia grass (Paspalum notatum Flüggé) were used 277 to simulate green foxtail (Setaria viridis L.), the most frequent weed species observed at the 278 experimental site, because Bahia grass is a C4 plant included within DSSAT that is similar to 279 foxtail. In PI2, as the simulation of weed growth during the fallow period was limited by the 280 presence of tillage, weed contribution to SOC was simulated in the RT system adding also an 281 amount of 1500 kg ha⁻¹ of bahia grass crop residue at the onset of each maize growing seasons. 282 This average amount per year was taken from Mazzoncini et al. (2011) considering the total weed 283 biomass contribution over the experimental period 1994-2008.

In the APSIM-NWheat, EPIC, and SALUS models, the weed biomass was added to the initial input residues and set to 1500 kg ha⁻¹ at both AN and PI2 sites as reported in De Sanctis et al. (2012) and Mazzoncini et al. (2011), respectively.

287

288 **2.3 Evaluation of model performance**

The performance of each model to simulate SOC was evaluated by calculating complementary indicators following the method proposed by Smith et al. (1997), but only one indicator was selected for each statistical aspect of the simulation so that the same weight was given in the evaluation of the model's overall ability. We selected the relative root mean square error (RRMSE), its statistical significance RRMSE $_{95\%}$, the modeling efficiency (EF), the relative error E with its statistical significance E $_{95\%}$. and the correlation coefficient (*r*). A full description of each indicator is provided in the Supplementary material.

Statistics were calculated in each site considering the available observed SOC measurements (AN:
2002, 2006, 2010; PI2: 1998, 2008) to a depth of up to 40 cm for both tillage systems but not
including the initial observed SOC values used as model inputs (1996 and 1993 respectively for

AN and PI2). The performance of APSIM-NWheat was evaluated in PI2 considering only theobserved SOC of CT and RT in 2008.

The multi model mean (MM_Mean) of the individual simulations was also considered to evaluate the performance of the MME. All previously mentioned statistics were also determined for this multi model estimator. The single models and the MM_Mean were then ranked in relation to the performance obtained for each indicator and the mean of ranks (RankMean) over all the statistics was taken into account to evaluate the overall skill of the simulations.

To evaluate whether the crop growth modules of each model correctly simulated the annual C input to the soil from crop residues, the mean measured and simulated aboveground biomass (AGB) and yield for the two crops were compared under conventional and conservational tillage systems at both sites. The simulation bias for AGB and yield were also evaluated by calculating the mean difference between measured and simulated data with the Mean Bias Error (MBE, see Supplementary material).

312 Hereafter, the names of models APSIM-NWheat, DSSAT, EPIC, SALUS are reported as Model1,

Model2, Model3, Model4, respectively, in order to remove any sense of endorsement of anyof these models, since that is outside the scope of this research.

An uncertainty analysis was also carried out calculating the mean standard errors of the estimated
 SOC values until the ploughing depth over the calibrated periods in both sites and tillage systems

317 with the increase of the number of the simulation models (Supplementary material, Table S1).

318

319 **2.4 Simulation scenarios**

320 Climate scenarios were generated by setting up a statistical downscaling model over the case 321 studies, represented by a multivariate regression (Tomozeiu et al., 2014). The statistical scheme 322 was based on the assumption that the local climate variability is determined by the variability of 323 large scale fields and local features. The link between local predictors and large scale predictors 324 has been determined by Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA). The most important patterns that 325 resulted from CCA were then used as input of the multivariate regression scheme. The setup of the 326 statistical model was done using predictors from ERA40 and ERA-Interim¹, and predictands 327 represented by the seasonal indices of temperature and precipitation over the case studies, computed from E-OBS gridded dataset² (Havlock et al., 2008). The large-scale predictors tested 328 329 were: mean sea level pressure (MSLP), geopotential height at 500 hPa (Z500) and temperature at 330 850 hPa (T850), spatially ranging between 90°W to 90°E in longitude and 20°N to 80°N in latitude, with a horizontal resolution of $1.125^{\circ} \times 1.125^{\circ}$. The set-up of the statistical model was 331 332 done over the 1958-2010 period. Once the most skillful model was detected for each season and 333 index (local temperature or precipitation), the predictors simulated by the CMCC-CM global 334 climate model (Scoccimarro et al., 2011) were entered into the statistical scheme in order to 335 estimate the future local climate. Two emission scenarios were used: RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 (Moss 336 et al., 2008), while the projections were constructed over the period 2021-2050 (CF: Future 337 Climate) with respect to 1971-2000 (CP: Present Climate).

Seasonal projections were used as input in a Richardson-based weather generator (Richardson and Wright, 1984) to preserve the correlation between weather variables in order to generate daily time series of precipitation (PREC), maximum and minimum air temperature (Tmax, Tmin) for both AN and PI2 sites. Daily generated datasets were bias-corrected with monthly correction factors obtained by comparing the overlapping periods of the CP and the available local weather stations. Finally, daily radiation was estimated by the RadEst model (Donatelli et al., 2003) from Tmax and Tmin for all climate scenarios.

 $A CO_2$ concentration of 360 ppm was used for the present climate scenario considering a mean

346 CO₂ value recorded at Mauna Lao Observatory (NOAA ESRL Global Monitoring Division, 2015)

¹ http://www.ecmwf.int/products/

² http://eca.knmi.nl/download/ensembles/ensembles.php

over the CP period, while values of 460 ppm and 490 ppm were projected for RCP4.5 and RCP8.5
CF scenarios up to 2050.

349 The four validated crop models were run using the CP and CF scenarios in both LTEs to assess the 350 climate change impacts on SOC stocks. Models were run with the management practices reported 351 in Table 3 and simulating two rotations (Rot1: wheat-maize, Rot2: maize-wheat) to allow the 352 presence of both crops in each year. Seedling emergence was set according to the most frequent 353 values observed in the field as measured by Seddaiu et al. (2016) for AN and by Mazzoncini et al. (2011). It was set at 300 and 350 plants m^{-2} for durum wheat in AN and PI2 (all tillage systems) 354 respectively, and 7 and 6 plants m⁻² for maize under all tillage systems in PI2 and under CT in AN 355 356 site. Maize seedling emergence was reduced to 3 plants m⁻² under NT as observed for the LTE in 357 AN. The crop harvest date was set at maturity in the crop models. The SOC measured in 1996 (for 358 AN) and 1993 (PI2) were used as initial values in all scenarios. The SOC fractions were initialized 359 with the same procedures described in the set-up phase. SOC changes to a depth of 0-40 cm, 360 aboveground biomass, and yield were assessed using the MM Mean in both sites over the 361 simulation periods CP and CF, for the different applied tillage management (Table 3) and climate 362 change scenarios.

363

364 3. Results

365 **3.1 Model Evaluation**

All models suitably reproduced the mean observed yield and AGB values of both crops, demonstrated by low MBE values in the different tillage systems of both sites. This was particularly true for crop yields (Supplementary material, Fig. S1).

369 Table 4 shows statistics that describe the performance of all the models tested to simulate the SOC

370 dynamics in the upper 40 cm and the MM_Mean for all of the models. At the AN site, RRMSE for

all of the models was less than the RRMSE95% which indicates that even if some of the models

372 generated some simulation values outside the measured standard errors (Supplementary material, 373 Fig. S2), they were still within the 95% confidence interval when the entire dataset was examined. 374 Model 3 (RRMSE= 7.44) had the worst performance and strongly overestimated SOC in NT. 375 Model1 (RRMSE= 5.85) presented a flat trend in NT SOC dynamic, with most of the values 376 laving below the observed ones. A similar pattern of model performance was reflected by the EF 377 indicator that showed a negative value (EF= -0.60) only for Model3. Model1 produced an EF 378 value very close to zero. E values for all of the models were within the 95% confidence interval of 379 E95%, and Model3 had the highest bias (E= -6.57). All models, excluding Model1, presented 380 significant r values. Considering the overall statistics, the best performance in the simulation of 381 SOC dynamic in AN was achieved by the MM_Mean which showed the lowest value of the 382 RankMean. The good performance of MM Mean was also supported by the qualitative graphical 383 representation reported in Fig. 1 in which the SOC dynamics simulated by the MM_Mean were 384 very close to the measured data in both tillage systems and better than those shown by the other 385 crop models (Supplementary material, Fig. S2) in both total (0 - 40 cm), superficial (0 - 15 cm), 386 and deeper layers (15 - 40 cm).

387 In PI2, only Model1 showed a RRMSE within the 95% confidence interval of the measured data, 388 although all models presented positive values of EF. Considering the EF statistics, only Model1 389 (EF=0.90), MM_Mean (EF= 0.65), and Model2 (EF= 0.62) reached values close to 1. In fact, 390 these models better reproduced the measured data for CT system in 1998 (Supplementary material, 391 Fig. S3), while all models showed an underestimation of the observed data under RT. Considering 392 model bias evaluation, only Model3 and Model4 showed E values greater than E95% (E=8.28 and 393 E=7.95, respectively). All models, except Model1, for which it was not possible to calculate the 394 statistical significance of r given the low numbers of observations (n=2), showed high positive and 395 significant correlations between measurements and simulated data. Considering overall statistics, 396 the best performance in the simulation of SOC in PI2 was obtained by Model1 (RankMean= 1.0), 397 but its simulation started in 1998, and it could not be statistically compared with the other models.

398 The second best rank was reached by the MM Mean (RankMean= 2.0). MM Mean showed a

399 better representation of CT system (Fig. 1) than other single models (Supplementary material, Fig.

400 S3) but it was not able to reproduce the high SOC value observed in RT.

401

402 **3.2 Simulation scenarios: CP vs CF**

403 **3.2.1 Climate scenarios**

The CP scenario reproduced the mean monthly values of all indices very well (Tmax, Tmin and PREC) for the local observed climate from 1971 to 2000 in both sites (Supplementary material, Table S2). The CF scenarios RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 (Fig. 2) showed that an increase of temperatures is expected during the period 2021-2050 in all seasons in both sites: +1.8°C annual mean T in RCP4.5 and +2.1°C in RCP8.5 at AN and +1.9°C (RCP4.5) and +2.1°C (RCP8.5) at PI2, with highest increases in the summer.

410 The changes in precipitation pattern were different from season to season in the two sites. At AN, 411 the mean annual precipitation (750 mm under CP) decreased by -22.5% in RCP4.5 and -23.0% in 412 RCP8.5, with the highest reduction occurring in spring (up to -49.0 % in RCP4.5 and -56.1% in 413 RCP8.5) and summer months (up to -38.0% in RCP4.5 and -34.1% in RCP8.5). At PI2, the mean 414 annual precipitation under CP (884 mm) is expected to slightly increase of 2.1% in RCP4.5 and 415 4.9% in RCP8.5. The largest increase is expected in April (+24.0 % in RCP4.5 and +16.9% in 416 RCP8.5) and in the autumn months (+30.5% in RCP4.5 and +41.4% in RCP8.5), while a strong 417 reduction of rainfall is expected in the summer months (47.0% in RCP4.5 and -52.7% in RCP8.5).

418

419 **3.2.2 Multi-model mean simulation scenarios**

420 The projected effects of climate change on crops were similar for both RCP4.5 and RCP8.5

421 scenarios with a slightly higher impact of the latter (Table 5).

422 On average for both future scenarios, maize at the AN site had a growing season that was shorter 423 by 14 days with decreased both AGB (-20.8 % in CT and -20.2% in NT) and yield (-19.2% in CT 424 and -21.5% in NT). The growing season for wheat was also shorter (-11 days) which resulted in a 425 decrease in both AGB (-18.8% in CT and -16.8% in NT) and yield (-21.4% in CT and -18.4% in 426 NT) but with more stable results as evidenced by the lower coefficient of variation (CV) values 427 (Table 5).

428 Maize at the PI2 site was strongly affected by the impact of a shorter growing season (-15 days).
429 Yield decreased by 27.5% with CT and 26.6% with RT, and AGB was reduced by -13.5% with CT
430 and -14.6% with RT. However, the effect of climate change on wheat appears less important. The
431 growing season had a comparable reduction (-11 days) to AN but a lower relative decrease of
432 AGB (-9.6% in CT and -14.3% in RT) and yield (9.5% in CT and 13.8% in RT) than in AN.

In general, this study showed a decrease of SOC stocks to the depth of 0-40 cm in both sites and tillage systems under CF scenarios when compared with CP and a standard error increasing with time (Fig. 3 and 4). The deviations of the single models from MM_Mean simulation under CF were generally smaller in the 0-15 cm layer than the 15-40 cm layer. This is evidenced in Fig. 3 and 4 by the larger red and green areas for conventional and conservation systems, respectively, in deeper layers in both sites.

At the AN site, under CP conditions, the SOC stock increased at an annual rate of +0.28% with CT and +0.73% with NT, corresponding to gains of +0.11 (CT) and +0.29 (NT) Mg C ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ in the uppermost 40 cm of soil. Over 30 years of simulation under future scenarios, no significant changes in the SOC stock were observed with CT, while the SOC stock increased at an average annual rate +0.16 Mg C ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ with NT, corresponding to a relative annual gain of +0.4% of SOC. When compared to SOC dynamics under CP and same tillage technique, after 30-years of simulation we observed a SOC decrease of -3.1 Mg ha⁻¹ with CT (-7.3%) and -3.8 Mg ha⁻¹ with NT (-7.9%), with greater losses in the top (-10.2%) vs bottom (-5.5%) layers only in the case of
NT.

448 In PI2, under CP scenario, the SOC stock decreased at an annual rate of -0.04% with CT and 449 increased at the rate of +0.07% with RT, corresponding to a loss of -0.02 (CT) and a gain of +0.04450 (RT) Mg C ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ in the 0-40 cm soil layer. Under CF scenarios, SOC values obtained at PI2 451 after 30 years of simulation were lower than stocks reported in CP but, in contrast with AN, the 452 difference between initial and final values were always negative. In fact, on average with both future scenarios, the SOC stock declined at a mean annual rate of -0.10 Mg C ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ with CT 453 454 and -0.06 Mg C ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ with RT in the 0-40 cm soil layer, corresponding to a relative annual 455 SOC losses of -0.19% (CT) and -0.11% (RT). Comparing the future soil dynamics to those 456 obtained with same tillage technique under CP scenario, after 30 years of simulation SOC reductions of -2.1 Mg ha⁻¹ in CT (-4.4 %) and -2.8 Mg ha⁻¹ in RT (-5.3%) were observed. 457 458 According to AN site, the conservation tillage system (RT) showed a greater loss of SOC in the 459 top (-8.3%) layer than in the bottom (-2.5%).

460

461 **4. Discussion**

462 Our results confirm the hypothesis that under current climatic conditions, the MM_Mean 463 reproduces SOC dynamic better than a single simulation model and with less uncertainty as 464 demonstrated by lower RMSE and standard error values. Hence the model ensemble (MME) 465 provides a better prediction of SOC change in relation to climate change. In contrast with other 466 studies (Alvaro-Fuentes et al., 2012; Lugato et al., 2007; Tornquist et al., 2009), we used crop 467 models rather than biogeochemical ones to assess the impact of future scenarios on crop 468 productivity and yield in order to reliably reproduce soil C-input and, at the same time, evaluate 469 climate change impacts on crop yields. Several studies have used simulation models as effective 470 tools to assess changes in SOC stocks under current and future scenarios in order to identify

471 effective agronomic practices (Farina et al., 2011; Lugato et al., 2015; Tornquist et al., 2009; 472 Wiesmeier et al., 2016) that reduce soil C emissions and increase C stock, thereby mitigating 473 climate change. The added value of this work is the robustness of the results we obtained given the 474 use of an ensemble of models that were validated using long-term experimental datasets and able 475 to adequately assess the long-term processes that affect SOC dynamics.

476 Our results are generally in agreement with the SOC trends reported by other authors (Farina et al., 477 2011; Lugato et al., 2014; Mondini et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2005) which projected a negative 478 trend on SOC stock dynamics in cropland across the 21th century. However the results obtained by 479 other studies are not always directly comparable with the ones of this work due to the differences 480 in spatial and temporal scale, soil profiles, climate scenarios, and methodologies. Lugato et al. 481 (2014) reported in the short to medium term (2020) a decrease in SOC in agricultural soils of Central and Southern Italy and an expected net loss of about 2.5 Mg ha⁻¹ close to the end of the 482 483 century in the Mediterranean region. Mondini et al. (2012) projected a loss of about 6.3% of SOC 484 between 2001 and 2100 on arable land in Italy, while Smith et al. (2005) projected a SOC loss of 485 between -14% and -10% over 1990-2080 on a high level (European croplands). Farina et al. (2011) 486 applied a similar methodology at the same AN site using EPIC model coupled with two different 487 general circulation models (GISS and HadCM3) for A2 and B2 emission scenarios. Considering the entire soil profile, the study showed a SOC loss ranging from -2.3 Mg ha⁻¹ up to -6.1 Mg ha⁻¹ 488 in CT and from -2.1 Mg ha⁻¹ up to -7.4 Mg ha⁻¹ in NT, over the period 2040-2069 compared to the 489 490 baseline 1956-2006.

Temperature and precipitation are the main climatic drivers that influence, both directly and indirectly, organic carbon trends in the soil (Fantappiè et al., 2011; Saby et al., 2008; Smith et al., 2005). Because the monthly mean temperature is expected to increase around +2.0°C under future scenarios at both sites, soil biological activity will likely be stimulated which increases the decomposition rate and facilitates SOC losses through heterotrophic respiration (Ugalde et al., 496 2007). Leiros et al. (1999) showed that the positive effect on soil decomposition rate caused by a 497 2°C temperature increase is usually limited by a concurrent -10% decrease in soil moisture. 498 Moreover, according to Gottschalk et al. (2012), C mineralization is constrained by both low or 499 high values of soil water content, which is mainly influenced by precipitation. The two sites were 500 characterized by similar increase of temperatures but diverse patterns of precipitation (on average -501 22.7 % in AN and +3.5% in PI2). Hence, the interaction of both factors affected the organic 502 carbon decomposition differently at the two sites under the future scenarios, and led to lower SOC 503 impact in PI2. However, the impact of climate change at AN was constrained by a higher clay 504 content which physically protects SOC from microbial decomposition (Baldock and Skjemstad, 505 2000; Six et al., 2002; Xu et al., 2016). These interactions are taken into account by the models 506 which control SOC stock dynamics considering a variety of management, soil proprieties and 507 climate factors. In all the considered models the decomposition of the organic carbon is simulated 508 with a first-order decomposition kinetics of the C mass (Jones and Kiniry, 1986; Parton et al., 509 1994; Parton et al., 1988). The decomposed carbon is partly lost to the atmosphere as CO2 and 510 transferred to another organic matter pool. The decomposition rates are computed daily and their 511 values change in relation to some environmental modifiers such as temperature, moisture, litter 512 quality, and soil texture (Basso et al., 2006; Gijsman et al., 2002; Izaurralde et al., 2006; Porter et 513 al., 2009).

Temperature and precipitation indirectly influence SOC by affecting a number of physiological and biological processes that drive crop growth and development, and determine soil C input released by crop residues. Our results showed that the growing season length of both maize and wheat was significantly reduced during the period 2021-2050 in the two sites due to increased temperature. In both sites, maize grain and AGB production was also strongly constrained by the projected precipitation decrease occurring during summer season, when the crop is more vulnerable to water stress under rainfed conditions (Sánchez et al., 2014). In particular, maize was 521 more affected in PI2 than in AN due to the significant reduction of rainfall (around -50%) that is 522 projected to occur during July and August at the PI2 site. Maize production at the AN site could be 523 even more affected especially under NT system since the yield of this crop is low as it is affected 524 by high variability and low seedling emergence (50% than with CT).

Wheat is mostly influenced by water availability during earing and anthesis phases occurring in the spring (Albrizio et al., 2010; Campiglia et al., 2015). At the AN site, the significant reduction in precipitation that was projected in the spring constrained wheat production under future scenarios, despite the positive effect of CO_2 atmospheric enrichment which offsets the rainfall impact. On the other hand, the expected increased precipitation at PI2 in April was able to limit the negative effect of the shortening of the growing season on both wheat yield and aboveground biomass, determining a concurrent lower decrease of available crop residue input into the soil.

532 CT and conservation tillage (both NT and RT) resulted in different redistributions of SOC among 533 soil horizons. However, considering the total SOC of the 0-40 cm depth, the conservation tillage 534 systems were able to stock more SOC than CT also under future scenarios. In fact, as conservation 535 tillage practices decrease SOC decomposition by reducing soil CO₂ emissions (Powlson et al., 536 2011), they are suggested for climate change mitigation.

In all the used models the effects of tillage on soil proprieties are based on the procedures developed by Dadoun (1993) in the Ceres-Till model. Tillage directly affect the soil organic carbon decomposition modifying a cultivator factor. This factor accelerates the decomposition rates in particular more after ploughing than other tillage practices. While, in NT systems, there is no direct effect on the decomposition rates.

A meta-analysis review by Angers and Eriksen-Hamel (2008) reported that the difference in SOC stocks between NT and CT at the depth of 0-30 cm is an average of 4.9 Mg ha⁻¹ and that the difference in favor of NT increases over time until ~25-30 years, when NT may have reached a new steady state (Alvarez et al., 2005). The same difference, 4.9 Mg ha⁻¹, was observed in the 546 experimental dataset from the AN site for the period 1996-2010 in the 0-40 cm soil layer. In the 547 CP scenario the difference between NT and CT was 5.4 Mg ha⁻¹ after 30 years of simulation. The 548 difference still remained high in the future scenarios with a value of 4.7 Mg ha⁻¹. The higher SOC 549 stock with NT was not only due to the reduction in the decomposition coefficient but also to the 550 weed biomass contribution, considered by the models, as also shown by De Sanctis et al. (2012).

The RT system in PI2 was not so as performant as NT in AN, even if it showed slightly higher SOC values than CT with a positive difference of 1.8 Mg ha⁻¹ in CP period and 1.3 Mg ha⁻¹ in future scenarios. The SOC dynamic in this site was reproduced with higher uncertainty as the MM_Mean showed a high RMSE value mainly due to an underestimation of observed data under RT.

556 A reliable SOC stock assessment has been recently encouraged by the 4 per thousand initiative (4PT, Le Foll, 2015) launched at the 21st meeting of the Conference of the Parties in Paris. This 557 558 initiative aims to mitigate climate change by increasing SOC stock at an annual rate of 0.4% 559 through the adoption of best management practices. The results of this study showed that 560 conservation tillage systems (NT and RT) in both sites were able to store more SOC than CT so 561 these practices ought to be considered viable options to mitigate climate change in Mediterranean 562 cereal systems. Furthermore, in AN, NT could provide the annual increase of 0.4% required by 563 4PT also under climate change scenarios. The main problem related to NT in this silty-clay site is 564 the lower average productivity of maize due to low establishment that was attributed to poor soil 565 physical conditions at seeding. On the contrary, RT in PI2 needs to be coupled with other 566 management strategies such as the introduction of cover crops to ensure higher SOC levels. 567 However, the benefits of adopting conservation tillage to reduce the transfer of C to the 568 atmosphere and enhance SOC sequestration, have to be verified for other greenhouse gas 569 emissions in order to assess their overall impacts. Some studies have reported increased nitrous 570 oxide emissions in no tillage systems (Mackenzie et al., 1998; Pastorelli et al., 2013) and more abundant denitrifying bacteria in no-tilled soil (Doran, 1980). It is also important to consider that soils might have a potential limit for C accumulation mainly determined by their physical proprieties and clay content (Tornquist et al., 2009). Consequently, SOC sequestration can be only a short-term strategy for climate change mitigation but other long-term solutions have to be implemented.

576

577 **5.** Conclusions

578 In this study an ensemble of four process based crop models (APSIM-NWheat, DSSAT, EPIC, 579 SALUS) was used to assess the impacts of climate change on SOC stock changes under 580 conventional and conservation tillage practices in two rainfed long-term wheat-maize rotational 581 cropping systems under different Mediterranean climate scenarios. Our results clearly showed that 582 the multi-model mean reproduced SOC dynamics better and with less uncertainty than single 583 simulation models and provided a more reliable prediction of SOC dynamics under future climate 584 scenarios. Under changed climate, conservation tillage systems were still able to retain more SOC 585 than CT, with only the NT reaching the target of 4PT. The contribution of weeds, considered by 586 models and covering the soil in the fallow period between the wheat harvest and maize seeding, 587 was also relevant in providing an extra C input to the soil under conservation tillage systems.

Although there is the potential for no tillage to strongly contribute to SOC sequestration, our study has also evidenced that, at the same time, NT systems could affect crop productivity in specific sites with silty clay soils, because of crop establishment problems. Further studies including more sites and more simulation models are necessary to achieve more general conclusions and to consider specific side-effects of contrasting tillage practices.

593

594 Acknowledgments

595	This research is part of the IC-FAR project (prot. 2010FRE7J4, PRIN Funding scheme of the
596	Italian Ministry of Education, University and Research 2010-11). The contribution of Ileana Iocola
597	was provided in the context of the PhD Course "Science and biotechnologies of agricultural and
598	forestry systems and food production" of the University of Sassari (XXIX cycle). We wish to
599	greatly acknowledge the efforts of all LTE designers, LTE managers, researchers and students that
600	have ensured the continuity to the long-term experiments in AN and PI2 sites over the time.
601	
602	References
603	Albrizio, R., Todorovic, M., Matic, T., Stellacci, A.M., 2010. Comparing the interactive effects of
604	water and nitrogen on durum wheat and barley grown in a Mediterranean environment.
605	Field Crop Research 115, 179-190.
606	Alvarez R., 2005. A review of nitrogen fertilizer and conservation tillage effects on soil organic
607	carbon storage. Soil Use and Management 21(1), 38-52.
608	Alvaro-Fuentes, J., Morel, F.J., Plaza-Bonilla, D., Arrue, J.L., Cantero-Martinez, C., 2012.
609	Modelling tillage and nitrogen fertilization effects on soil organic carbon dynamics. Soil
610	Till. Res. 108, 32-39.
611	Angers, D., Eriksen-Hamel, N., 2008. Full-inversion tillage and organic carbon distribution in soil
612	profiles: a meta-analysis. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 72, 1370-1374.
613	Asseng, S., Ewert, F., Martre, P., Rötter, R. P., Lobell, D. B., Cammarano, D., Kimball, B.A.,
614	Ottman, M. J., Wall, G. W., White, J. W., Reynolds, M. P., Alderman, P. D., Prasad, P.
615	V. V., Aggarwal, P. K., Anothai, J., Basso, B., Biernath, C., Challinor, A. J., De Sanctis,
616	G., Doltra, J., Fereres, E., Garcia-Vila, M., Gayler, S., Hoogenboom, G., Hunt, L. A.,
617	Izaurralde, R. C., Jabloun, M., Jones, C. D., Kersebaum, K. C., Koehler, A-K., Müller, C.,
618	Naresh Kumar, S., Nendel, C., O'Leary, G., Olesen, J. E., Palosuo, T., Priesack, E.,
619	Rezaei, E.E., Ruane, A. C., Semenov, M. A., Shcherbak, I., Stöckle, C., Stratonovitch,

- 620 P., Streck, T., Supit, I., Tao, F., Thorburn, P. J., Waha, K., Wang, E., Wallach, D., Wolf,
- 621 J., Zhao, Z., Zhu, Y., 2014. Rising temperatures reduce global wheat production. Nat.

622 Clim. Change 5, 143-147.

- Baldock, J.A., Skjemstad, J.O., 2000. Role of the soil matrix and minerals in protecting natural
 organic materials against biological attack. Org. Geochem. 31, 697-710.
- Basso, B., Ritchie, J., Grace, P., Sartori, L., 2006. Simulation of Tillage Systems Impact on Soil
 Biophysical Properties Using the SALUS Model. Ital. J. of Agr. 1(4), 677-688.
- Basso, B., Gargiulo, O., Paustian, K., Robertson, G.P., Porter, C., Grace, P.R., Jones, J.W., 2011.
 Procedures for initializing soil organic carbon pools in the DSSAT CENTURY model for
 agricultural Systems. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 75, 69-78.
- Basso, B., Hyndman, D.W., Kendall, A.D., Grace, P.R., Robertson, G.P., 2015. Can Impacts of
 Climate Change and Agricultural Adaptation Strategies Be Accurately Quantified if Crop
 Models Are Annually Re-Initialized? PLoS One 10 (6).
- Basso, B., Ritchie, J.T., 2015. Simulating Crop Growth and Biogeochemical Fluxes in Response to
 Land Management using the SALUS Model in: Hamilton, S. K., Doll, J. E., Robertson,
 G. P. (Eds.). The ecology of agricultural landscapes: long-term research on the path to
 sustainability. Oxford University Press, New York, NY USA.
- Bassu, S., Brisson, N., Durand , J.L., Boote, K., Lizaso, J., Jones, J.W., Rosenzweig, C., Ruane ,
 A.C., Adam, M., Baron, C., Basso, B., Biernath, C., Boogaard, H., Conijn, S., Corbeels,
- 639 M., Deryng, D., De Sanctis , G., Gayler, S., Grassini, P., Hatfield, J., Hoek S., Izaurralde,
- 640 C., Jongschaap, R., Kemanian, A.R., Kersebaum, K.C., Kim, S.H., Kumar, N.S.,
- 641 Makowski, D., Müller, C., Nendel, C., Priesack, E., Pravia, M.V., Sau, F., Shcherbak, I.,
- Tao, F., Teixeira, E., Timlin, D., Waha, K., 2014. How do various maize crop models vary
- 643 in their responses to climate change factors? Glob. Change Biol. 20 (7), 2301-2320.

- 645 Bertolino, A.V.F.A., Fernandes, N. F., Miranda, J. P.L., Souza, A. P., Lopes, M. R.S., Palmieri,
- F., 2010. Effects of plough pan development on surface hydrology and on soil physical
 properties in Southeastern Brazilian plateau, J. Hydrol. 393, 94-104.
- Bhattarai, M.D., Secchi, S., Schoof, J., 2017. An Analysis of the Climate Change Mitigation
 Potential through Soil Organic Carbon Sequestration in a Corn Belt Watershed. Environ
 Manage. 59, 77-86.
- Bolinder, M., Kätterer, T., Andrén, O., Ericson, L., Parent, L.E., Kirchmann, H., 2010. Long-term
 soil organic carbon and nitrogen dynamics in forage-based crop rotations in Northern
 Sweden (63–64 N). Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 138 (3), 335-342.
- Campiglia, E., Mancinelli, R., De Stefanis, E., Pucciarmati, S., Radicetti, E., 2015. Thelong-term
 effects of conventional and organic cropping systems, tillage managements and weather
 conditions on yield and grain quality of durum wheat (Triticum durum Desf.) in the
 Mediterranean environment of CentralItaly. Field Crop Res. 176, 34-44.
- Chang, K., Warland, J., Voroney, P., Bartlett, P., Wagner-Riddle, C., 2013. Using DayCENT to
 Simulate Carbon Dynamics in Conventional and No-Till Agriculture. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.
 77, 941-950.
- Dadoun, F.A., 1993. Modeling tillage effects on soil physical properties and maize development
 and growth. Ph.D. Thesis, Michigan State University, MI.
- De Sanctis, G., Roggero, P.P., Seddaiu, G., Orsini, R., Porter, C. H., Jones, J.W., 2012. Longterm no tillage increased soil organic carbon content of rain-fed cereal systems in a
 Mediterranean area. Eur. J. Agron. 40, 18-27.
- Donatelli, M., Bellocchi, G., Fontana, F., 2003. RadEst3.00: software to estimate daily radiation
 data from commonly available meteorological variables. Eur. J. Agron. 18, 363-367.
- Doran, J.W., 1980. Soil microbial and biochemical changes associated with reduced tillage. Soil
 Sci. Soc. Am. J. 44, 765-771.

- Ewert, F., Angulo, C., Rumbaur, C., Lock, R., Enders, A., Adenauer, M., Heckelei, T., van
 Ittersum, M., Wolf, J., Rötter, R., 2011. Scenario development and assessment of the
 potential impacts of climate and market changes on crops in Europe. AgriAdapt project
 reports, N. 2 & 3, pp. 49.
- Fantappiè, M., L'Abate, G., Costantini, E.A.C., 2011. The influence of climate change on the soil
 organic carbon content in Italy from 1961 to 2008. Geomorphology 135, 343-352.
- Farina, R., Seddaiu, G., Orsini, R., Steglich, E., Roggero, P.P., Francaviglia, R., 2011. Soil
 carbon dynamics and crop productivity as influenced by climate change in a rainfed cereal
 system under contrasting tillage using EPIC. Soil Till. Res. 112, 36-46.
- Franko, U., Spiegel, H., 2016. Modeling soil organic carbon dynamics in an Austrian long-term
 tillage field experiment. Soil Till. Res. 156, 83-90.
- Gijsman, A. J., Hoogenboom, G., Parton, W. J., Kerridge, P.C., 2002. Modifying DSSAT Crop
 Models for Low-Input Agricultural Systems Using a Soil Organic Matter–Residue Module
 from CENTURY, Agron. J. 94, 462-474.
- Ginaldi, F., Bindi, M., Dalla Marta, A., Ferrise, R., Orlandini, S., Danuso, F., 2016.
 Interoperability of agronomic long term experiment databases and crop model
 intercomparison: the Italian experience. Eur. J. Agron 77, 209-222.
- 687 González-Sánchez, E. J., Ordóñez-Fernández, R., Carbonell-Bojollo, R., Veroz-González, O., Gil-
- Ribes, J.A., 2012. Meta-analysis on atmospheric carbon capture in Spain through the use
 of conservation agriculture. Soil Tillage Res. 122, 52-60.
- Gottschalk, P., Smith, J.U., Wattenbach, M., Bellarby, J., Stehfest, E., Arnell, N., Osborn, T. J.,
 Jones, C., Smith, P., 2012. How will organic carbon stocks in mineral soils evolve under
 future climate? Global projections using RothC for a range of climate change scenarios.
- 693 Biogeosciences 9, 3151-3171.

- Haddaway N.R., Hedlund, K., Jackson, L. E., Kätterer, T., Lugato, E., Thomsen, I. K., Jørgensen,
- H. B., Isberg, P., 2016. How does tillage intensity affect soil organic carbon? A systematic
 review protocol. Environmental Evidence, 5(1).
- Haylock, M.R., Hofstra, N., Klein Tank, A.M.G., Klok, E.J., Jones, P.D., New, M., 2008. A
 European daily high-resolution gridded dataset of surface temperature and precipitation. J.
 Geophys. Res. Atmos. 113, D20119.
- Harris G.R., Collins M, Sexton D.M.H., Murphy, J. M., Booth, B. B. B., 2010. Probabilistic
 projections for twenty-first century European climate. Nat Hazards Earth Syst Sci. 10,
 2009-2020.
- Hoogenboom, G., Jones, J.W., Wilkens, P.W., Porter, C.H., Boote, K.J., Hunt, L.A., Singh, U.,
 Lizaso, J.I., White J.W., Uryasev, O., Ogoshi, R., Koo, J., Shelia, V., Tsuji, G.Y., 2015.
 Decision Support System for Agrotechnology Transfer (DSSAT) Version 4.6
 (http://dssat.net). DSSAT Foundation, Prosser, Washington.
- Huntington, T. G., 2007. Available Water Capacity and Soil Organic Matter, in: Taylor and
 Francis (Eds.), Encyclopedia of Soil Science, Second Edition. New York, Published
 online: 12 Dec 2007, 139-143.
- Izaurralde, R. C., Williams, J. R., McGill, W. B., Rosenberg, N. J., Quiroga Jakas, M. C., 2006.
 Simulating soil C dynamics with EPIC: Model description and testing against long-term
 data. Ecol. Modelling. 192 (3-4), 362-384.
- Jones, C.A., Kiniry, J.R., 1986. CERES-Maize: A Simulation Model of Maize Growth and
 Development. Texas A&M University Press, College Station, Texas.
- 715 Keating, B.A., Carberry, P.S., Hammer, G.L., Probert, M.E., Robertson, M.J., Holzworth, D.,
- 716 Huth, N.I., Hargreaves, J.N.G., Meinke, H., Hochman, Z., McLean, G., Verburg, K.,
- 717 Snow, V., Dimes, J.P., Silburn, M., Wang, E., Brown, S., Bristow, K.L., Asseng, S.,

- Chapman, S., McCown, R.L., Freebairn, D.M., Smith, C.J., 2003. An overview of APSIM,
 a model designed for farming systems simulation. Eur. J. Agron. 18, 267-288.
- 720 Knapp, A. K., Smith, M.D., Hobbie, S.E., Collins, S. L., Fahey, T. J., Hansen, G. J. A., Landis,
- D. A., La Pierre, K. J., Melillo, J. M., Seastedt, T. R., Shaver, G. R., Webster, J. R., 2012.
 Past, Present, and Future Roles of Long-Term Experiments in the LTER Network.
 BioScience. 62, 377-389.
- Kollas, C., Kersebaum, K., C., Nendel, C., Manevski, K., Müller, C., Palosuo, T., Armas-Herrera,
- 725 C. M., Beaudoin, N., Bindi, M., Charfeddine, M., Conradt, T., Constantin, J., Eitzinger, J.,
- Ewert, F., Ferrise, R., Gaiser, T., Garcia de Cortazar-Atauri, I., Giglio, L., Hlavinka, P.,
- 727 Hoffmann, H., Hoffmann, M. P., Launay, M., Manderscheid, R., Mary, B., Mirschel, W.,
- 728 Moriondo, M., Olesen, J. E., Öztürk, I., Pacholski, A., Ripoche-Wachter, D., Roggero, P.
- 729 P., Roncossek, S., Rötter, R. P., Ruget, F., Sharif, B., Trnka, M., Ventrella, D., Waha, K.,
- Wegehenkel, M., Weigel, H-J, Wu, L., 2015. Crop rotation modelling- A European model
 intercomparison, Eur. J. Agron. 70, 98-111.
- Körschens, M., 1996. Long-term data sets from Germany and Eastern Europe. Chapter in
 Evaluation of Soil Organic Matter Models. Volume 38 of the series NATO ASI Series pp.
 69-80.
- Lal, R., Follett, R. Soils and climate change, 2009. Soil carbon sequestration and the greenhouse
 effect, in: Lal, R., Follett, R. (Eds.), vol. 57. 2nd ed. Madison: SSSA Special Publication.
- Le Foll, S., 2015. 4 per 1000: A New Program for Carbon Sequestration in Agriculture. Paris,
 France: French Minister of Agriculture, Agrifood and Forestry.
- Leiros, M.C., Trasar-Cepeda, C., Seoane, S., Gil-Sotres, F., 1999. Dependence of mineralization of
 soil organic matter on temperature and moisture. Soil Biol. Biochem. 31, 327-335.

- 741 Leite, L.F.C., Doraiswamy, P.C, Causarano, H.J, Gollany, H.T., Milak, S., Mendonça, E.S., 2009.
- Modeling organic carbon dynamics under no-tillage and plowed systems in tropical soils
 of Brazil using CQESTR. Soil Till. Res. 102, 118-125.
- Li, T., Hasegawa, T., Yin, X., Zhu, Y., Boote, K., Adam, M., Bregaglio, S., Buis, S., Confalonieri,
- 745 R., Fumoto, T., Gaydon, D., Marcaida, M., Nakagawa, H., Oriol, P., Ruane, A. C., Ruget,
- F., Singh, B., Singh, U., Tang, L., Tao, F., Wilkens, P., Yoshida, H., Zhang, Z., Bouman,
 B., 2015. Uncertainties in predicting rice yield by current crop models under a wide range
- of climatic conditions. Glob. Change Biol. 21,1328-1341.
- Long, S., Ainsworth, P., Leakey, E.A., Nösberger, A.D.B., Ort, D.R., 2006. Food for Thought:
 Lower-Than-Expected Crop Yield Stimulation with Rising CO2 Concentrations. Science
 312, 1918-1921.
- Lugato, E., Paustian, K., Giardini, L., 2007. Modelling soil organic carbon dynamics in two longterm experiments of north-eastern Italy. Agr. Ecosyst. Environ. 120 (2), 423-432.
- Lugato, E., Panagos, P., Bampa, F., Jones, A., Montanarella, L., 2014. A new baseline of organic
 carbon stock in European agricultural soils using a modelling approach. Glob Change
 Biol. 20, 313-326.
- Lugato, E., Bampa, F., Panagos, P., Montanarella, L., Jones, A., 2015. Potential carbon
 sequestration of European arable soils estimated by modelling a comprehensive set of
 management practices. Glob Change Biol. 20, 3557-3567.
- Mackenzie, A.F., Fan, M.X., Cardin, F., 1998. Nitrous oxide emission in three years as affected by
 tillage, corn-soybeans-alfalfa rotations, and nitrogen fertilization. J. Environ. Qual. 27,
 698-703.
- Marraccini, E., Debolini, M., Di Bene, C., Rapey, H., Bonari, E., 2012. Factors affecting soil
 organic matter conservation in Mediterranean hillside winter cereals-legumes cropping
 systems. Ital. J. of Agr. 7(3), 38.

- 766 Martre, P., Wallach, D., Asseng, S., Ewert, F., Jones, J. W., Rötter, R. P., Boote, K. J., Ruane, A. 767 C., Thorburn, P. J., Cammarano, D., Hatfield, J. L., Rosenzweig, C., Aggarwal, P. K., 768 Angulo, C., Basso, B., Bertuzzi, P., Biernath, C., Brisson, N., Challinor, A. J., Doltra, J., 769 Gayler, S., Goldberg, R., Grant, R. F., Heng, L., Hooker, J., Hunt, L. A., Ingwersen, J., 770 Izaurralde, R. C., Kersebaum, K. C., Müller, C., Kumar, S. N., Nendel, C., O'leary, G., 771 Olesen, J. E., Osborne, T. M., Palosuo, T., Priesack, E., Ripoche, D., Semenov, M. A., 772 Shcherbak, I., Steduto, P., Stöckle, C. O., Stratonovitch, P., Streck, T., Supit, I., Tao, F., 773 Travasso, M., Waha, K., White, J. W. and Wolf, J., 2015. Multimodel ensembles of wheat 774 growth: many models are better than one. Glob Change Biol, 21, 911-925.
- 775 Mazzoncini, M., Sapkota, T.B., Barberi, P., Antichi, D., Risaliti, R., 2011. Long-term effect of 776 tillage, nitrogen fertilization and cover crops on soil organic carbon and total nitrogen 777 content. Soil Till. Res. 114, 165-174.
- 778 Meersmans, J., Arrouays, D., Van Rompaey, A. J. J., Pagé, C., De Baets, S., Quine, T. A., 2016. 779 Future C loss in mid-latitude mineral soils: climate change exceeds land use mitigation 780 potential in France. Sci. Rep. 6, 35798.
- Mondini, C., Coleman, K., Whitmore, A.P., 2012. Spatially explicit modelling of changes in soil 781 782 organic C in agricultural soils in Italy, 2001-2100: Potential for compost amendment. Agr. 783 Ecosyst. Environ. 153, 24-32.
- 784 Moss, R., Babiker, M., Brinkman, S., Calvo, E., Carter, T., Edmonds, J., Elgizouli, I., Emori, 785 S., Erda, L., Hibbard, K., Jones, R., Kainuma, M., Kelleher, J., Lamarque, J. F., Manning, 786 M., Matthews, B., Meehl, J., Meyer, L., Mitchell, J., Nakicenovic, N., O'Neill, B., Pichs, 787 R., Riahi, K., Rose, S., Runci, P., Stouffer, R., van Vuuren, D., Weyant, J., Wilbanks, T., 788
- 789 Emissions, Climate Change, Impacts, and Response Strategies. Geneva: Intergovernmental 790

Pascal van Ypersele, J., Zurek, M., 2008. Towards New Scenarios for Analysis of

Panel on Climate Change. p. 132.

- Muñoz-Rojas, M., Jordán, A., Zavala, L.M., González-Peñaloza, F.A., De la Rosa, D., AnayaRomero, M. 2013a. Modelling soil organic carbon stocks in global change scenarios: a
 CarboSOIL application. Biogeosciences Discussions 10, 10997-11035.
- Nendel, C., Kersebaum, K.C., Mirschel, W., Wenkel, K.O., 2014. Testing farm management
 options as climate change adaptation strategies using the MONICA model. Eur. J. Agron.
 52, 47-56.
- 797 NOAA ESRL Global Monitoring Division, 2015, updated annually. Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide 798 Dry Air Mole Fractions from quasi-continuous measurements at Mauna Loa, Hawaii. 799 Compiled by Thoning, K.W., Kitzis, D.R., Crotwell, A. National Oceanic and 800 Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Earth System Research Laboratory (ESRL), Global 801 Monitoring Division (GMD): Boulder, Colorado, USA. Version 2016-8 at 802 http://dx.doi.org/10.7289/V54X55RG.
- Palosuo, T., Kersebaum, K.C., Angulo, C., Hlavinka, P., Moriondo, M., Olesen, J. E., Patil, R. H.,
 Ruget, F., Rumbaur, C., Takáč, J., Trnka, M., Bindi, M., Çaldağ, B., Ewert, F., Ferrise, R.,
 Mirschel, W., Şaylan, L., Šiška, B., Rötter, R., 2011. Simulation of winter wheat yield and
 its variability in different climates of Europe: a comparison of eight crop growth models.
 Eur J Agron. 35, 103-114.
- Parton, W.J., Stewart, J.W.B., Cole, C.V., 1988. Dynamics of C, N, P and S in grassland soils: a
 model. Biogeochemistry 5, 109-131.
- Parton, W.J., Ojima, D.S., Cole, C.V., Schimel, D.S., 1994. A general model for soil organic
 matter dynamics: sensitivity to litter chemistry, texture and management, in: Bryant,
 R.B., Arnold, R.W. (Eds.), Quantitative Modeling of Soil Forming Processes (Special
 Publication 39). SSSA, Madison, WI, pp. 147-167.

814	Pastorelli, R., Vignozzi, N., Landi, S., Piccolo, R., Orsini, R., Seddaiu, G., Roggero, P.P., Pagliai,
815	M., 2013. Consequences on macroporosity and bacterial diversity of adopting a no-tillage
816	system in a clayish soil of Central Italy. Soil Biol. Biochem. 66, 78-93.
817	Porter, C.H, Jones, J.W, Adiku, S., Gijsman, A.J, Gargiulo, O., Naab, J.B., 2010. Modeling

- 818 organic carbon and carbon-mediated soil processes in DSSAT v4.5. Oper Res Int J.
 819 10, 247-278
- Powlson, D. S., Whitmore, A. P., Goulding, K. W. T., 2011. Soil carbon sequestration to mitigate
 climate change: a critical re-examination to identify the true and the false. Eur. J. Soil Sci.
 62, 42-55.
- Powlson, D.S., Stirling, C. M., Thierfelder, C., White, R. P., Jat, M.L., 2016. Does conservation
 agriculture deliver climate change mitigation through soil carbon sequestration in tropical
 agro-ecosystems? Agr. Ecosyst. Environ. 220, 164-174.
- Richardson, C. W., Wright, D. A., 1984. WGEN: A model for generating daily weather variables.
 USDA, ARS, publication ARS-8.
- Ritchie, J.T., Gerakis, A., Suleiman, A., 1999. Simple model to estimate field-measured soil water
 limits. ASAE 42, 1609-1614.
- Rötter, R.P., Timothy, R.C., Jørgen, E.O., Porter, J.R., 2011. Crop-climate models need an
 overhaul. Nat. Clim. Change 1, 175-177.
- Rötter, R.P., Palosuo, T., Kersebaum, K.C., Angulo, C., Bindi, M., Ewert, F., Ferrise, R.,
 Hlavinka, P., Moriondo, M., Nendel, C., Olesen, J. E., Patil, R. H., Ruget, F., Takáč, J.,
- 834 Trnka, M., 2012. Simulation of spring barley yield in different climatic zones of northern
 835 and Central Europe: a comparison of nine crop models. Field Crop Res. 133, 23-36.
- 836 Ruisi, P., Giambalvo, D., Saia, S., Di Miceli, G., Frenda, A. S., Plaia, A., Amato, G., 2014.
- 837 Conservation tillage in a semiarid Mediterranean environment: results of 20 years of838 research. Ital. J. of Agr. 9, 1-7.

- Rusu T., 2014. Energy efficiency and soil conservation in conventional, minimum tillage and notillage. International Soil and Water Conservation Research 2 (4), 42-49.
- 841 Saby, N.P.A., Arrouays, D., Antoni, V., Lemercier, B., Follain, S., Walter, C., Schvartz, C., 2008.
- 842 Changes in soil organic carbon in a mountainous French region, 1990-2004. Soil Use843 Manage. 24, 254-262.
- Sánchez, B., Rasmussen, A., Porter, J.R., 2014. Temperatures and the growth and development of
 maize and rice: a review. Glob. Change Biol. 20, 408-417.
- Scoccimarro, E., Gualdi, S., Bellucci, A., Sanna, A., Fogli, P.G., Manzini, E., Vichi, M., Oddo, P.,
 Navarra, A., 2011. Effects of Tropical Cyclones on Ocean Heat Transport in a High
 Resolution Coupled General Circulation Model. J. Climate. 24, 4368-4384.
- Seddaiu, G., Iocola, I., Farina, R., Orsini, R., Iezzi, G., Roggero, P.P., 2016. Long term effects of
 tillage practices and N fertilization in rainfed Mediterranean cropping systems: durum
 wheat, sunflower and maize grain yield. Eur. J. Agron. 77, 166-178.
- Six, J., Conant, R.T., Paul, E.A., Paustian, K., 2002. Stabilization mechanisms of soil organic
 matter: Implications for C-saturation of soils. Plant Soil 241,155-176.
- 854 Smith, P., Smith, J.U., Powlson, D.S., McGill, W.B., Arah, J.R.M., Chertov, O.G., Coleman,
- 855 K.C., Franko, U., Frolking, S., Jenkinson, D.S., Jensen, L.S., Kelly, R.H., Klein-
- 856 Gunnewiek, H., Komarov, A.S., Li, C., Molina, J.A., Mueller, T., Parton, W.J., Thornley,
- J.M., Whitmore, A.P., 1997. A comparison of the performance of nine soil organic matter
 models using seven long-term experimental datasets. Geoderma 81, 153-225.
- Smith, J., Smith, P., Wattenbach, M., Zaehle, S., Hiederer, R., Jones, R. J.A., Montanarella, L.,
 Rounsevell, M.D.A., Reginster, I., Ewert, F., 2005. Projected changes in mineral soil
 carbon of European croplands and grasslands, 1990-2080. Glob. Change Biol. 11, 21412152.

- Tao, F., Yokozawa, M., Zhang, Z., 2009. Modelling the impacts of weather and climate variability
 on crop productivity over a large area: a new process-based model development,
 optimization, and uncertainties analysis. Agr. Forest. Meteorol. 149, 831-850.
- Tan, Z., Liu, S., Li, Z., Loveland, T.R., 2007. Simulated responses of soil organic carbon stock to
 tillage management scenarios in the Northwest Great Plains. Carbon Balance Manag. 2:7.
- Teixeira, E.I., Brown, H.E., Sharp, J.M., Meenken, E.D., Ewert, F., 2015. Evaluating methods to
 simulate crop rotations for climate impact assessments a case study on the Canterbury
 plains of New Zealand. Environ. Modell. Softw. 72, 304-313.
- Tomozeiu, R., Agrillo, G., Cacciamani, C., Pavan, V., 2014. Statistically downscaled climate
 change projections of surface temperature over Northern Italy for the periods 2021-2050
 and 2070-2099, Nat.Hazards, 72, 143-168.
- 874 Tornquist, C.G., Mielniczuk, J., Pellegrino Cerri, C.E., 2009. Modeling soil organic carbon
 875 dynamics in Oxisols of Ibirubá (Brazil) with the Century Model. Soil Till. Res. 105, 33-43.
- Ugalde, D., Brungs, A., Kaebernick, M., McGregor, A., Slattery, B., 2007. Implications of climate
 change for tillage practice in Australia. Soil Till. Res 97, 318-330.
- Wang, J., Wang, X., Xu, M., Feng, G., Zhang, W., Yang, X., & Huang, S., 2015. Contributions of
 wheat and maize residues to soil organic carbon under long-term rotation in north China.
 Scientific Reports, 5, 11409.
- Van den Putte, A., Govers, G., Diels, J., Gillijns, K., Demuzere, M., 2010. Assessing the effect of
 soil tillage on crop growth: a meta-regression analysis on European crop yields under
 conservation agriculture. Eur. J. Agron. 33 (3), 231-41.
- Wallach, D., Mearns, L.O., Ruane, A.C., Rötter, R.P., Asseng, S., 2016. Lessons from climate
 modeling on the design and use of ensembles for crop modeling Climatic Change.
 http://doi:10.1007/s10584-016-1803-1

887	White, J.W., Hoogenboom, G., Kimball, B.A., Wall, G.W. 2011. Methodologies for simulating
888	impact of climate change on crop production. Field Crops Res. 124, 357-368.
889	Wiesmeier, M., Poeplau, C., Sierra, C.A., Maier, H., Frühauf, C., Hübner, R., Kühnel, A.,
890	Spörlein, P., Geuß, U., Hangen, E., Schilling, B., von Lützow, M., Kögel-Knabner, I.,
891	2016. Projected loss of soil organic carbon in temperate agricultural soils in the 21st
892	century: effects of climate change and carbon input trends. Scientific Reports 6, Article
893	number: 32525.
894	Williams, J. R., Sharpley, A.N., 1989. EPIC-Erosion/productivity impact calculator: 1. Model
895	documentation. Technical Bulletin No. 1768. USDA Agricultural Research Service,

- 896 Washington, D.C.
- Xu, X., Shi, Z., Li, D., Rey, A., Ruan, H., Craine, J. M., Liang, J., Zhou, J., Luo, Y., 2016. Soil
 properties control decomposition of soil organic carbon: Results from data-assimilation
 analysis. Geoderma 262, 235-242.





Fig. 1. Soil organic carbon (SOC, Mg ha⁻¹) dynamics simulated (Mod) by the multi model mean
(MM_Mean) in different tillage systems (CT= Conventional tillage, NT= No till, RT= Reduced
tillage) at different soil depths in the two sites (AN: 0-15cm, 15-40cm, 0-40cm; PI2: 0-10cm, 1030cm, 0-30cm) in comparison with the observed (Obs) SOC values in the LTEs. Vertical bars are
the standard errors.



Fig. 2. Climate scenarios for the three time spans: CP (Present Climate), CF (Future Climate)
RCP4.5, and RCP8.5 in AN and PI2 sites. PREC: monthly mean precipitation, Tmax: monthly
maximum temperature and Tmin: monthly minimum temperature.





913 Fig. 3. Soil organic carbon (SOC) trends and climate change impacts over different soil layers (0-914 15 cm, 15-40 cm and 0-40cm) simulated by the multi model ensemble (MM_Mean) in present and 915 future climate scenarios (RCP4.5 and RCP8.5) using Conventional Tillage - CT or No Tillage -916 NT practices in AN; a) RCP4.5 scenario; b) RCP8.5 scenario. The red and green regions delimited 917 by the dashed lines are the standard errors of the simulations respectively obtained for CT and NT 918 systems; c) Relative annual SOC change (%) observed in climate change scenarios (CF: mean 919 values of RCP4.5 and RCP8.5) in relation to the present climate scenario.





Fig. 4. Soil organic carbon (SOC) trends and climate change impacts over different soil layers (0-15 cm, 15-40 cm and 0-40cm) simulated by the multi model ensemble (MM_Mean) in present and future climate scenarios (RCP4.5 and RCP8.5) using Conventional Tillage - CT or Reduced Tillage - RT practices in PI2; a) RCP4.5 scenario; b) RCP8.5 scenario. The red and green regions delimited by the dashed lines are the standard errors of the simulations respectively obtained for CT and NT systems; c) Relative annual SOC change (%) observed in climate change scenarios (CF: mean values of RCP4.5 and RCP8.5) in relation to the present climate scenario.

	cm	%	%	%	g cm ⁻³	cm ³ cm ⁻³	cm ³ cm ⁻³	cm ³ cm ⁻³
LTE	Depth	Clay	Silt	Sand	BD	WP	FC	SAT
AN	0-5	49.8	41.4	8.7	1.27	0.293	0.427	0.518
	5-15	49.1	41.2	9.7	1.30	0.289	0.424	0.514
	15-40	49.4	42.3	8.4	1.37	0.290	0.425	0.517
	40-60	49.9	42.1	8.0	1.48	0.293	0.422	0.519
	60-100	51.1	40.7	8.2	1.56	0.300	0.424	0.519
PI2	0-10	28.3	24.2	47.4	1.37	0.116	0.253	0.430
	10-30	27.9	23.3	48.8	1.38	0.114	0.251	0.430
	30-60	21.5	35.1	43.3	1.44	0.116	0.250	0.420
	60-90	14.2	26.5	59.3	1.47	0.100	0.230	0.390

930 **Table 1.** Main physical and hydrological properties of the soils in AN and PI2 as reported in the

IC-FAR database and used as inputs in the process based crop models.

932 WP = Soil water content at wilting point; FC = Soil water content at field capacity; SAT =

933 Saturated water content; BD = Bulk Density.

934

935 **Table 2.** Crop models applied and their modeling approaches to determine crop growth and SOC

936 dynamic.

	Model	Reference	Crop	Biomass growth ^a	Yield formation ^b	Root distribution ^c	Soil dynamic ^d	N° SOC pools ^e	N° FOM pools ^f	
	APSIM-NWheat	Keating et al., 2003	Wheat	RUE	Gn	Exp	Ceres	2	3	
	DSSAT 4.6	Hoogenboom et al., 2015	Wheat, Maize	RUE	Gn,B	Exp	Century	3	2	
	EPIC	Williams and Sharpley, 1989	Wheat, Maize	RUE	HI, B	Lin	Century	3	2	
	SALUS	Basso and Ritchie, 2015	Wheat, Maize	RUE	Gn,B	Exp	Century	3	2	
937	a) Biomass g	rowth or ligh	t utiliza	tion: RUI	E = Radiati	on use effici	iency appr	oach; b)Yield	
938	formation depe	ending on: HI	= harve	st index, l	B = total ab	ove-ground b	iomass, Gr	n = num	ber of	
939	grains and grain-growth rate; c) Model of root distribution over depth: linear (Lin), exponential									
940	(Exp), sigmoid	dal (Sig); d) S	soil dyna	amic base	d on Ceres	(Jones and k	Kiniry, 198	6) or C	entury	
941	model (Parton	et al., 1988; P	arton et	al., 1994)	; e) Number	of soil organ	ic carbon p	bools: 2	(labile	

pool and the rest of the soil organic matter), 3 (active, slow, and passive); f) FOM (fresh organic

943 matter) pools: 2 (structural and metabolic), 3 (carbohydrate, cellulose, and lignin).

944 Table 3. Dates of the agronomic management practices used in AN and PI2 sites for the 945 simulations.

AN (Tillage systems: CT* and NT**)	Wheat	Maize	
CT: Plowing (40 cm)	October 20	August 30	
CT: Harrowing (15 cm)	October 30, November 10	November 15, April 5	
All: Sowing	November 20	April 10	
All: Nitrogen fertilization	February 15 (45N)	April 25 (90N)	
	March 10 (45N)		
PI2 (Tillage systems: CT* and RT***)	Wheat	Maize	
PI2 (Tillage systems: CT* and RT***) CT: Plowing (30 cm)	Wheat October 5	Maize August 30	
PI2 (Tillage systems: CT* and RT***) CT: Plowing (30 cm) All: Harrowing (15 cm)	Wheat October 5 November 8, November 30	Maize August 30 May 7, May 10	
PI2 (Tillage systems: CT* and RT***) CT: Plowing (30 cm) All: Harrowing (15 cm) All: Sowing	Wheat October 5 November 8, November 30 December 6	Maize August 30 May 7, May 10 May 10	
PI2 (Tillage systems: CT* and RT***) CT: Plowing (30 cm) All: Harrowing (15 cm) All: Sowing All: Nitrogen fertilization	WheatOctober 5November 8, November 30December 6February 18 (90N)	Maize August 30 May 7, May 10 May 10 May 10 (300N)	

- 946 *CT= Conventional Tillage; **NT= No Tillage; ***RT= Reduced Tillage; N= Nitrogen
- 947 fertilization rate (kg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹).

Table 4. Evaluation of the four models (Model1, 2, 3 and 4) and the multi model mean (MM_Mean) in simulating the soil organic content (SOC, Mg ha⁻¹) in AN and PI2 sites considering the available observed measurements (AN: 2002, 2006, 2010; PI2: 1998, 2008) until the depth of ploughing (0-40cm for AN and 0-30 cm for PI2) under both conventional and conservative tillage systems.

Min	0.00	-inf.	-inf.	-1.00	
Max	+inf.	1.00	+inf.	1.00	
Best	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	
	RRMSE	EF	Ε	r	RankMean
Site AN	RRMSE95%=8.36		$E95\% = \pm 6.63$		
Model1	5.85 (4)	0.01 (4)	2.26(3)	0.63 (5)	4.0
Model2	4.60(3)	0.39 (3)	0.31 (1)	0.83* (4)	2.8
Model3	7.44 (5)	-0.60 (5)	-6.57 (5)	0.86* (3)	4.5
Model4	3.77 (2)	0.59 (2)	-2.64 (4)	0.91* (2)	2.5
MM_MEAN	3.46(1)	0.65 (1)	-1.66 (2)	0.95* (1)	1.3
Site PI2	RRMSE95%=5.43		E95%=±5.35		
Model1	3.54(1)	0.90(1)	2.91 (1)	-	1.0
Model2	5.80(3)	0.62(3)	3.71 (2)	0.977* (3)	2.8
Model3	8.68 (5)	0.15(5)	8.28(5)	0.962* (4)	4.8
Model4	8.39(4)	0.20(4)	7.95 (4)	0.978* (2)	3.5
MM_MEAN	5.55(2)	0.65(2)	5.22(3)	0.999* (1)	2.0

953 RMSE= root mean square error; RRMSE95% = 95% confidence interval of RRMSE; EF = 954 modeling efficiency; E = the relative error; E95% = 95% confidence interval of E; r = Pearson 955 correlation coefficient; * is the r statistical significance at 95% confidence level, (-) means no 956 data. The numbers in brackets indicate the ranks obtained by models in relation to the performance 957 of each indicator. RankMean is the mean of the ranks for each model.

958 Table 5. Mean values (Mg ha⁻¹) of aboveground biomass (AGB) and yield for maize (MZ) and 959 wheat (WHT) between CP (Present Climate) and future scenarios CF (RCP4.5 and RCP8.5) under 960 conventional and conservation tillage systems in AN and PI2 sites. The numbers in brackets are

961 the coefficients of variation.

	Conventional Tillage					Conservation Tillage			
	MZ_AGB	MZ_Yield	WHT_AGB	WHT_Yield	MZ_AGB	MZ_Yield	WHT_AGB	WHT_Yield	
CD AN	9.9	4.0	8.5	3.2	8.4	3.4	8.6	3.2	
Cr_AN	(15.7%)	(21.6%)	(8.9%)	(8.5%)	(14.3%)	(17.2%)	(7.9%)	(8.2%)	
CF	7.9	3.2	7.0	2.5	6.7	2.7	7.2	2.7	
RCP4.5_AN	(23.1%)	(32.7%)	(16.2%)	(19.7%)	(24.9%)	(22.1%)	(16.1%)	(19.0%)	
CF	7.7	3.2	6.8	2.4	6.6	2.6	7.1	2.6	
RCP8.5_AN	(23.9%)	(30.7%)	(17.9%)	(19.5%)	(29.6%)	(27.9%)	(15.9%)	(17.1%)	
CD DI2	10.5	4.1	9.1	4.4	10.9	4.3	8.5	4.1	
CP_PI2	(14.1%)	(25.0%)	(14.2%)	(18.6%)	(12.7%)	(23.6%)	(13.4%)	(17.6%)	
CF	9.0	2.9	9.(10.90)	4.2	9.3	3.2	7.6	3.77	
RCP4.5_PI2	(19.0%)	(31.2%)	8.6 (19.8%)	(22.7%)	(16.0%)	(27.3%)	(22.3%)	(23.48%)	
CF	9.2	2.9	8.0	3.7	9.3	3.1	7.0	3.4	
RCP8.5_PI2	(11.7%)	(25.0%)	(14.4%)	(18.0%)	(12.4%)	(23.1%)	(18.7%)	(20.2%)	

962