

#### UNIVERSITÀ POLITECNICA DELLE MARCHE Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Shelf life extension of fresh fruit and vegetables by chitosan treatment

This is the peer reviewd version of the followng article:

Original

Shelf life extension of fresh fruit and vegetables by chitosan treatment / Romanazzi, Gianfranco; Feliziani, Erica; Bautista Baños, S.; Sivakumar, Dharini. - In: CRITICAL REVIEWS IN FOOD SCIENCE & NUTRITION. - ISSN 1549-7852. - STAMPA. - 57:(2017), pp. 579-601. [10.1080/10408398.2014.900474]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11566/249030 since: 2022-05-25T15:43:36Z

Publisher:

Published DOI:10.1080/10408398.2014.900474

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. The use of copyrighted works requires the consent of the rights' holder (author or publisher). Works made available under a Creative Commons license or a Publisher's custom-made license can be used according to the terms and conditions contained therein. See editor's website for further information and terms and conditions. This item was downloaded from IRIS Università Politecnica delle Marche (https://iris.univpm.it). When citing, please refer to the published version.

1	Shelf Life Extension of Fresh Fruit and Vegetables by Chitosan
2	Treatment
3	
4	GIANFRANCO ROMANAZZI, <sup>1</sup> ERICA FELIZIANI, <sup>1</sup> SILVIA BAUTISTA
5	<b>BAÑOS</b> , <sup>2</sup> and <b>DHARINI SIVAKUMAR</b> <sup>3</sup>
6	
7	<sup>1</sup> Department of Agricultural, Food and Environmental Sciences, Marche Polytechnic
8	University, Via Brecce Bianche, 60131 Ancona, Italy
9	
10	<sup>2</sup> Centro de Desarrollo de Productos Bióticos, Instituto Politécnico Nacional Carr,
11	Yautepec-Jojutla km 6, San Isidro Yautepec Morelos 62731, Mexico
12	
13	<sup>3</sup> Department of Crop Sciences, Tshwane University of Technology, Pretoria West,
14	Pretoria 0001, South Africa
15	
16	Address correspondence to: G. Romanazzi, Department of Agricultural, Food and
17	Environmental Sciences, Marche Polytechnic University, Via Brecce Bianche, 60131
18	Ancona, Italy. Phone: +39-071-2204336, Fax: +39-071-2204856.
19	E-mail: g.romanazzi@univpm.it
20	
21	

22

23 Among alternatives that are currently under investigation to replace the use of synthetic 24 fungicides to control postharvest diseases in fresh produce and to extend their shelf life, 25 chitosan application has shown promising disease control, at both preharvest and 26 postharvest stages. Chitosan shows a dual mode of action, on the pathogen and on the 27 plant, as it reduces the growth of decay-causing fungi and foodborne pathogens and 28 induces resistance responses in the host tissues. Chitosan coating forms a 29 semipermeable film on the surface of fruit and vegetables, thereby delaying the rate of 30 respiration, decreasing weight loss, maintaining the overall quality, and prolonging the 31 shelf life. Moreover, the coating can provide a substrate for incorporation of other 32 functional food additives, such as minerals, vitamins or other drugs or nutraceutical 33 compounds that can be used to enhance the beneficial properties of fresh commodities, 34 or in some cases the antimicrobial activity of chitosan. Chitosan coating has been 35 approved as GRAS substance by USFDA, and its application is safe for the consumer 36 and the environment. This review summarizes the most relevant and recent knowledge 37 in the application of chitosan in postharvest disease control and maintenance of overall 38 fruit and vegetable quality during postharvest storage.

39

40 Keywords Edible coating, edible film, induced resistance, foodborne pathogens,
41 antimicrobial activity, postharvest storage

42

43

3

#### 44 INTRODUCTION

45

46 Somewhere between 15% and 50% of fruit and vegetables produced on the global scale 47 is lost after harvest (FAO, 2011), mainly due to microbiological spoilage (Kader, 2005). This percentage is greatly increased in developing countries, where the correct 48 technologies for storage of fruit and vegetable are lacking (FAO, 2011). The 49 50 susceptibility of fresh produce to postharvest diseases and deterioration of quality 51 attributes increases after harvest and during prolonged storage, as a result of 52 physiological and biochemical changes in the commodities. These changes can favor the 53 development of postharvest pathogens and the incidence of postharvest diseases, which are the major cause of losses through the supply chain. Therefore, the development of 54 55 decay-control measures that aim to maintain the quality of fruit and vegetables and to 56 provide protection against postharvest diseases after removal from cold storage at the retailer's market shelf will be beneficial to reduce these postharvest losses. 57

58 On the other hand, postharvest disease control for fresh horticultural produce 59 should begin at the farm, and this involves the cultural practices and fungicide 60 applications used. The adverse effects of synthetic fungicide residues on human health 61 and the environment, and the possibility of the development of fungicide-resistant pathogens, have led to intensified world-wide research efforts to develop alternative 62 control strategies. In addition, the current consumer trend is more towards 'green' 63 64 consumerism, with the desire for fewer synthetic additives in food, together with increased safety, excellent nutritional and overall quality, and improved shelf-life. 65 66 Furthermore, there is the potential for foodborne outbreaks due to contamination of fruit

67 in the field through dirty irrigation water or treatments, or at postharvest through human68 handling or improper sanitation (Beuchat, 2002).

69 Application of chitosan treatment at the preharvest or postharvest stages has 70 been considered as a suitable alternative treatment to replace the use of synthetic 71 fungicides. This can help to prevent postharvest fruit diseases and to extend storage life, 72 while maintaining the overall quality of the different fresh commodities (Bautista-Baños 73 et al., 2006). Chitosan (poly b-(1-4)N-acetyl-d-glucosamine) has been identified as 74 providing an ideal coating, with antimicrobial properties that can induce plant defense 75 responses when applied to vegetal tissues (Devlieghere et al., 2004). On the other hand, 76 chitosan coating also provides a substrate for incorporation of other functional natural 77 food additives, which might improve its antimicrobial properties and prevent 78 deterioration of fruit quality (Vargas et al., 2008). Chitosan treatment in the fresh 79 produce industry is safe for the consumer and the environment, and chitosan has been 80 approved by the United State Food and Drug Administration (USFDA) as a 'Generally 81 Recognized As Safe' (GRAS) food additive (USFDA, 2013).

82 Nowadays, commercial chitosan formulations are available on the market. Some 83 commercial formulations have been tested for the control of postharvest diseases in 84 different fresh produce commodities, as shown in Table 1. The commercial 85 formulations used in plant disease management, not only for the control of postharvest 86 decay of fruit, include: Chitogel (Ecobulle, France) (Ait Barka et al., 2004; Elmer and 87 Reglinski, 2006); Biochikol 020 PC (Gumitex, Lowics, Poland) (Nawrocki, 2006); 88 Armour-Zen (Botry-Zen Limited, Dunedin, New Zealand) (Reglinski et al., 2010); 89 Elexa 4 Plant Defense Booster (Plant Defense Booster Inc., USA) (Elmer and Reglinski, 90 2006); and Kendal Cops (Iriti et al., 2011). The main differences between practical

91 grade chitosan solutions and commercial chitosan formulations arise from the 92 techniques used for their preparation and application, which is more immediate for the 93 commercial formulations. Indeed, while practical grade chitosan needs to be dissolved 94 in an acid medium some hours before use, the commercial formulations can be quickly 95 dissolved in water (Romanazzi et al., 2013). However, nowadays, chitosan-based 96 formulations used either at preharvest or postharvest are not registered as plant 97 protectant products, but as growth adjuvants.

98 The aim of this review is to summarize the most recent published and relevant 99 advances in the application of chitosan for fresh horticultural produce, in terms of 100 postharvest disease control, maintenance of overall product quality, use as a health 101 promoting compound, and food safety issues. For better clarity, the data obtained for the 102 *in vivo* applications of chitosan are divided into sections that consider temperate fruit, 103 tropical fruit, and vegetables, as the environment and the way of cultivation differ 104 across these categories.

105

106 INFLUENCE OF PREHARVEST CHITOSAN APPLICATION OF ON POSTHARVEST
107 DISEASE CONTROL

108

Although many studies have reported on the effectiveness of chitosan treatments at the postharvest stage, the research findings on the evaluation of the preharvest application of chitosan on the control of postharvest decay in fresh produce is limited (Tables 2-4). However, chitosan applications prior to harvest might be suitable for fruit, such as table grapes and strawberries, because these fruit have a bloom on the surface and/or can suffer postharvest wetting or handling. Moreover, preharvest treatment can provide a

5

preventive effect against pathogens, as the development of postharvest disease often arises from an inoculum that survives and accumulates on the fruit surface in the field or in the packaging line after the harvest.

118 Table grape bunches sprayed in the field with solutions of practical grade 119 chitosan at three different concentrations (1%, 0.5%, 0.1%), as once (21 days) or twice 120 (21, 5 days) before harvest, showed significantly reduced gray mold infections caused 121 by Botrytis cinerea after 30 days storage at 0 °C, followed by 4 days of market shelf life 122 (Romanazzi et al., 2002). Chitosan treatment showed postharvest disease control that is 123 as effective as procymidone field treatment and SO<sub>2</sub> fumigation of grapes after low 124 temperature storage (Romanazzi et al., 2002). Berries sprayed with chitosan preharvest 125 have shown decreased incidence and severity of gray mold in artificially inoculated 126 fruits, with the best control of gray mold obtained 1-2 days after the application 127 (Romanazzi et al., 2006). Postharvest disease has also been reduced by preharvest 128 chitosan treatment and by postharvest UV-C irradiation (0.36 J/cm<sup>2</sup> for 5 min), with the 129 combination of these treatments providing a synergistic interaction (Romanazzi et al., 130 2006). Application of the antagonistic fungus Cryptococcus laurentii combined with 1% 131 chitosan on the day before harvest significantly reduced natural decay in table grapes 132 stored at 0 °C for 42 days, and thereafter held at 20 °C for 3 days under market-133 simulation conditions (Meng et al., 2010b). In another study, three different commercial 134 formulations containing chitosan (Armour-Zen, OII-YS, Chito Plant) were compared in 135 a field trial in which they were applied four times during the development of 136 'Thompson Seedless' grapes (berry set, pre-bunch closure, veraison, and 2 weeks before harvest). The natural incidence of postharvest gray mold after storage at 2 °C for 5 137 138 weeks was reduced by the chitosan, regardless of the commercial formulation used

7

among the three that were tested. Other rot diseases that were mainly caused by *Alternaria* spp. and *Penicillium* spp. were mainly reduced by the OII-YS chitosan
formulation, which was even more effective than the fungicide program (Feliziani et al.,
2013a).

143 Strawberries sprayed with chitosan at full bloom or at the green-fruit or 144 whitening fruit stages have shown decreased incidence of gray mold and Rhizopus rot 145 infections using natural inocula of B. cinerea and Rhizopus stolonifer, as seen after 10 146 days of storage at 0 °C followed by 4 days under market-simulation conditions. The 147 disease control with 1% chitosan was more effective than the currently used chemical 148 fungicides: procymidone (40 g hl<sup>-1</sup> a.i.) used at the full bloom and green fruit stages; 149 and pyrimethanil used at the whitening fruit stage (Romanazzi et al., 2000). Preharvest 150 treatments with 1% and 2% chitosan decreased the incidence of postharvest gray mold 151 from a natural inoculum, and after preharvest and postharvest inoculation, these 152 applications performed significantly better than a fungicide. This treatment with 1% 153 chitosan also performed better than that with 2% chitosan, which was occasionally 154 phytotoxic (Mazaro et al., 2008). Preharvest spraying with 0.2%, 0.4% and 0.6% 155 chitosan decreased postharvest gray mold and maintained the kept quality of 156 strawberries during storage at 3 °C and 13 °C. Here, the incidence of disease decreased 157 with increased chitosan concentration (Reddy et al., 2000a).

Sweet cherries treated 7 days before harvest date with 0.1%, 0.5% and 1% chitosan showed decreased incidence of gray mold and brown rot after 2 weeks of storage at 0 °C followed by 7 days of shelf life, as compared to the untreated controls (Romanazzi et al., 1999). At the highest chitosan concentration (1%), the disease reduction was not different with respect to that seen after application of tebuconazole. Similar results were obtained when 1% chitosan was applied 3 days before harvest, as it reduced the incidence of postharvest disease in sweet cherries to the same level as the commercially applied synthetic fungicide fenhexamid (Feliziani et al., 2013b). Chitosan (1%) application 7 days before harvest and postharvest hypobaric treatments at 0.25 atm or 0.50 atm for 4 h showed synergistic effects in the control of total rot diseases in sweet cherries stored at 0 °C for 14 days, and thereafter held at 20 °C for a 7-day shelf life (Romanazzi et al., 2003).

Fornes et al. (2005) reported that 'Clemenules' mandarin fruit treated 86 days before harvest and at a postharvest stage with low concentrations of chitosan (0.0125% to 0.125%) showed reduced water-spot incidence associated with fruit senescence. All of these treatments reduced the number of injured fruit, and the best results were achieved with the highest chitosan concentration (0.125%), which reduced water spot incidence by 52%.

176

#### 177 EFFECT OF POSTHARVEST CHITOSAN APPLICATION ON DISEASE CONTROL

178

179 Use of chitosan for postharvest disease control in temperate fruit was investigated in the 180 1990s in many studies. These studies concerned the application of chitosan in general or 181 focused in a group of chitosans, such as oligochitosan that are characterized by low molecular weight. El Ghaouth et al. (1991a; 1992a) and Zhang and Quantick (1998) 182 183 reported that the control of gray mold and Rhizopus rot in chitosan-coated strawberries 184 was similar to synthetic fungicide application. *Cladosporium* spp. and *Rhizopus* spp. 185 infections were also reported to decrease in artificially inoculated strawberry fruit 186 coated with chitosan and stored at 4 °C to 6 °C for 20 days (Park et al., 2005). Similar

9

187 results were obtained for table grapes, as small bunches dipped in 0.5% and 1% 188 chitosan solutions, and thereafter artificially inoculated with a B. cinerea conidial 189 suspension (by spraying), and stored at low (0 °C) or room (20 °C) temperatures. The 190 chitosan treatment decreased the spread of gray mold infection from one berry to the 191 other berries (nesting) (Romanazzi et al., 2002). Li and Yu (2001) reported that 0.5% 192 and 0.1% chitosan significantly reduced the incidence of brown rot caused by *Monilinia* 193 fructicola in peach stored at 23 °C, compared to the untreated fruit. Similarly, 194 application of 1% chitosan reduced postharvest diseases of sweet cherry (Feliziani et al., 195 2013b). Treatments with chitosan and oligochitosan reduced disease incidence caused 196 by Alternaria kikuchiana and Physalospora piricola and inhibited lesion expansion of 197 the pear fruit stored at 25 °C. These disease-control effects of chitosan and 198 oligochitosan were concentration dependent and weakened over the incubation time. 199 Indeed, at the lowest chitosan concentration, its effectiveness was the lowest for disease 200 control especially after 5 days of storage at ambient temperatures, compared to the 201 beginning of storage (Meng et al., 2010a). For vegetables such as tomatoes, the 202 infection diameter caused by R. stolonifer was 15% less than for the control when treated with chitosan at 1.0%, 1.5% and 2.0%, regardless of the molecular weight 203 204 (Bautista-Baños and Bravo-Luna, 2004).

The recent advances concerning chitosan application on postharvest temperate fruit have aimed to combine the biopolymer with other alternatives to fungicides, such as decontaminating agents, plant extracts, essential oils, biocontrol agents, or physical treatments, to provide improved synergistic interactions for the control of postharvest diseases, compared to chitosan alone. 210 Chitosan has been applied in combination with various biocontrol agents, such 211 as Candida satoiana or Cryptococcus laurentii, which are microorganisms that have 212 antagonistic actions against postharvest pathogens (El-Ghaouth et al., 2000; De 213 Capdeville et al., 2002; Yu et al., 2007; 2012; Meng et al., 2010b). Spraying of the 214 antagonistic yeast, C. laurentii, followed by postharvest chitosan coating significantly 215 reduced the natural decay of table grapes stored at 0 °C. The chitosan coating enhanced 216 the effectiveness of the preharvest spray (Meng et al., 2010b). C. laurentii associated 217 with 0.5% chitosan and calcium chloride was effective for the reduction of postharvest 218 blue mold caused by *Penicillium expansum* in pear as well. This combination resulted in 219 more effective mold control than chitosan or C. laurentii alone, although chitosan at 220 0.5% inhibited the growth of the biocontrol yeast in vitro and in vivo. Moreover, after 6 221 days of incubation, the combined treatment with C. laurentii, chitosan and calcium 222 chloride inhibited mold decay by nearly 89%, which was significantly higher than the 223 treatments with C. laurentii, chitosan or calcium chloride alone, and with the 224 combinations of C. laurentii and chitosan, and C. laurentii and calcium chloride (Yu et 225 al., 2012). The combination of chitosan and C. laurentii on apple resulted in synergistic 226 inhibition of blue mold rot, which was the most effective treatment at the optimal 227 concentration of 0.1% chitosan (Yu et al., 2007). In tropical fruit, the application of the 228 bacterium Lactobacillus plantarum alone or in combination with 2% chitosan preserved the quality characteristics of rambutan fruit (Martínez-Castellanos et al., 2009). 229 230 Similarly, the combination of *Candida saitoana* with 0.2% glycolchitosan was more 231 effective in controlling gray and blue mold of apple and green mold caused by 232 Penicillium digitatum of oranges and lemons than the yeast or glycolchitosan alone (El-233 Ghaouth et al., 2000). On the contrary, the combination of chitosan with C. saitoana or

with UV-C had no synergistic effects on the progress of blue mold of apple, although asingle treatment provided significant reductions (De Capdeville et al., 2002).

Extracts obtained from many plants have recently gained popularity and 236 237 scientific interest for their antimicrobial properties, and thus their activities against 238 decay-causing fungi on fruit and vegetables have been investigated (Gatto et al., 2011). 239 Chitosan coating can be used as a carrier to incorporate plant essential oils or extracts 240 that have antifungal activities or neutraceutical properties. Chitosan incorporated with 241 limonene, a major component of lemon essential oils, which has also been given the GRAS status by the USFDA, promoted the preservation of strawberry fruit during their 242 243 shelf life (Vu et al., 2011). The addition of lemon essential oils enhanced chitosan 244 antifungal activities both in *in in-vitro* tests and during cold storage of strawberries 245 inoculated with a spore suspension of B. cinerea (Perdones et al., 2012). On table 246 grapes, the combination of 1% chitosan and a grapefruit seed extract improved decay 247 control with respect to single applications of chitosan and maintained the quality of 248 table grapes (Xu et al., 2007b). Similarly, chitosan coatings that contained bergamot oil 249 or cinnamon oil improved the quality of stored table grapes (Sánchez-González et al., 250 2011) and of sweet peppers (Xing et al., 2011a), respectively. Chitosan coating without 251 or with essential oils (bergamot, thyme and tea-tree oil) was applied to oranges as 252 preventive or curative treatments against blue mold. In all cases, the addition of the 253 essential oils improved the antimicrobial activities of chitosan; however, the preventive 254 and curative antimicrobial treatments with coatings containing tea-tree oil and thyme, 255 respectively, were the most effective in the reduction of the microbial growth, as 256 compared to the uncoated samples (Cháfer et al., 2012). On the other hand, in another 257 study, combinations of cinnamon extract and chitosan were not compatible, as the

#### G. ROMANAZZI ET AL.

258 cinnamon extract reduced the effectiveness of chitosan in the control of banana crown 259 rot caused by a fungal complex, Colletotrichum musae, Fusarium spp. and 260 Lasiodiplodia theobromae and in delaying fruit senescence during storage (Win et al., 261 2007). Treatments of papaya with 0.5% or 1.5% chitosan, or with the combination of 262 1.5% chitosan with an aqueous extract of papaya seed, controlled the development of 263 anthracnose diseases of fruit inoculated with Colletotrichum gloeosporioides. However, 264 no synergistic effects were obtained with the combination of chitosan at 1.5% and the 265 aqueous extract of papaya for the control of the fungal growth (Bautista-Baños et al., 266 2003). Similarly, limited control of R. stolonifer was observed for chitosan-coated 267 tomatoes in combination with beeswax and lime essential oils (Ramos-García et al., 268 2012).

In some trials chitosan was combined with oleic acid. Coatings based on chitosan either without or with oleic acid at different percentages delayed the appearance of natural fungal infections in comparison to uncoated strawberries. When oleic acid was added to the chitosan coating, there were fewer signs of fungal infection during strawberry storage, especially when the coatings contained the higher levels of oleic acid, which enhanced the antimicrobial properties of chitosan (Vargas et al., 2006).

The postharvest application of chitosan has been combined with physical means for the control of postharvest decay of fruit and vegetables, such as UV-C irradiation, hypobaric treatment, and heat curing. Shao et al. (2012) studied the effects of heattreatment at 38 °C for 4 days before and after coating apples with 1% chitosan. As well as complete control of blue mold and gray mold on these artificially inoculated apples during storage, chitosan coating followed by heat treatment improved the quality of the

13

282 stored fruit. Moreover, the presence of chitosan coating prevented the occurrence of 283 heat damage on the fruit surface (Shao et al., 2012). In another investigation, the 284 development of postharvest brown rot on peaches and nectarines was controlled through 285 the heating of fruit to 50 °C for 2 h under 85% relative humidity, which eradicated pre-286 existing *Monilinia* spp. infections that came from the field, with the application of 1% 287 chitosan at 20 °C then protecting the fruit during handling in the packaging houses and until consumer use (Casals et al., 2012). The combination of immersion in hot water 288 289 (46.1 °C for 90 min) and in 2% chitosan was beneficial to the storage qualities of mango, compared to untreated mangoes or to fruit treated only with hot water or 290 291 chitosan (Salvador-Figueroa et al., 2011). Sweet cherries dipped in 1% chitosan and exposed soon after to hypobaric treatment (0.50 atm for 4 h) showed significant 292 293 reductions in postharvest natural brown rot, gray mold, and total rot diseases, in 294 comparison with the control and with each treatment applied alone. This combination 295 produced a synergistic effect in its reduction of brown rot and total rots (Romanazzi et 296 al., 2003). Chitosan was also applied as a technology to improve benefits obtained with 297 modified atmosphere packaging. The combination of chitosan coating and modified 298 atmosphere packaging was effective in preventing decay and browning, and in retaining 299 the pericarp color in litchi fruit (De Reuck et al., 2009).

To improve its efficacy in controlling postharvest decay of fruit and vegetables, chitosan has been combined with decontaminating agents. The combination of 0.5% chitosan with 10% or 20% ethanol, which is commonly used in the food industry for its antifungal properties, improved decay control with respect to the single treatments in *B. cinerea*-inoculated table grapes, as single berries or as clusters (Romanazzi et al., 2007). Application of natamycin, which is a common food additive that is used against mold 306 and yeast growth, in combination with a bilayer coating that contained chitosan and 307 polyethylene wax microemulsion, extended the shelf life of Hami melon, with decreases 308 in weight loss and decay (Cong et al., 2007). Chitosan alone or in combination with 309 sodium bicarbonate or ammonium carbonate significantly reduced the severity of 310 anthracnose for both inoculated and naturally infected papaya fruit. The effects of 311 chitosan combined with ammonium carbonate on the incidence and severity of 312 anthracnose was greater than chitosan alone, and than chitosan with sodium bicarbonate 313 (Sivakumar et al., 2005b). Similarly, the combination of chitosan with potassium 314 metabisulfite was tested in litchi fruit. Both chitosan and the combination of chitosan 315 and potassium metabisulfite decreased postharvest decay of these litchi fruit (Sivakumar 316 et al., 2005a).

It is also worth mentioning the combination of chitosan with arabic gum, which is a common polysaccharide that is frequently used as an additive in the food industry; this combination controlled banana anthracnose caused by *C. musae* both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, and it enhanced the shelf-life of banana fruit (Maqbool et al., 2010a; 2010b).

321 In some other studies the most suitable acids were tested for the dissolving of 322 chitosan powder, and it was shown that practical grade chitosan should be dissolved in 323 an acid solution to activate its antimicrobial and eliciting properties. Chitosan dissolved 324 in 10 different acids (as 1% solutions of acetic, L-ascorbic, formic, L-glutamic, hydrochloric, lactic, maleic, malic, phosphoric, and succinic acids) was effective in 325 326 reducing gray mold incidence on single table grape berries (Romanazzi et al., 2009). 327 However, the greatest reduction of gray mold (about 70%, compared with the control) 328 was observed after immersion of the berries in chitosan dissolved in acetic acid or 329 formic acid, whereas there was intermediate effectiveness with chitosan dissolved in

15

hydrochloric, lactic, L-glutamic, phosphoric, succinic, and L-ascorbic acids. The least
effective treatments were chitosan dissolved in maleic or malic acids (Romanazzi et al.,
2009).

333

# 334 MODE OF ACTION OF CHITOSAN AGAINST THE POSTHARVEST PATHOGENS

335

336 Due to the wide range of antifungal activities against postharvest pathogens (Table 5),
337 chitosan coating can be applied as a biocoating to prolong the postharvest life of fresh
338 produce (Bautista-Baños et al., 2006).

339 The antimicrobial activities of chitosan appear to rely on electrostatic 340 interactions between positive chitosan charges and the negatively charged phospholipids 341 in the fungal plasma membrane. Chitosan first binds to the target membrane surface and 342 covers it, and in a second step, after a threshold concentration has been reached, 343 chitosan causes membrane permeabilization and the release of the cell contents (Palma-Guerrero et al., 2010). There are usually low levels of  $Ca^{2+}$  in fungi cytosol, due to the 344 345 barrier formed by the plasma membrane, which has hermetic seals that regulate the passage of Ca<sup>2+</sup> gradients. This process also involves the homeostatic mechanism, 346 where the  $Ca^{2+}$  concentration regulates itself within the cytosol, and it sends the excess 347  $Ca^{2+}$  out of the cell or stores it in the intracellular organelles. Thus, as chitosan is 348 applied, the homeostatic mechanism becomes drastically transformed, because as it 349 forms channels in the membrane, it allows the free passage of Ca<sup>2+</sup> down its gradients, 350 351 which cause instabilities in the cells that can lead to death of the cell itself (Palma-352 Guerrero et al., 2009). In addition, inhibitory effects of chitosan on the H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase in 353 the plasma membrane of *R. stolonifer* has been reported. García-Rincón et al. (2010) 354 suggested that the decrease in H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase activity can induce the accumulation of 355 protons inside the cell, which would result in inhibition of the chemiosmotic driven 356 transport that allows  $H^+/K^+$  exchange. Moreover, a rapid efflux of potassium from cells 357 of R. stolonifer has been reported as an effect of chitosan treatment; this was combined 358 with an increase in pH of the culture medium, which was chitosan-concentration 359 dependent. Both of these phenomena were related to the leaking of internal cellular 360 metabolites (García-Rincón et al., 2010). Similarly, when R. stolonifer was grown in media containing chitosan, the release of proteins by the fungal cells increased 361 362 significantly. It was proposed that this release of proteins from the cell to the 363 supernatant is because there are sites where the cell membrane is damaged by chitosan 364 (Guerra-Sánchez et al., 2009).

365 Besides its capacity for membrane permeabilization, chitosan can also penetrate 366 into fungal cells. Fluorescent labeled chitosan was detected in fungal conidia and it was 367 hypothesized that chitosan itself permeabilizes the plasma membrane to allow its entry into the cytoplasm (Palma-Guerrero et al., 2008; 2009). Another study used 368 369 fluorescence visualization to demonstrate that oligochitosan can penetrate the cell 370 membrane of *Phytophthora capsici*, and that, as it is positively changed, chitosan can 371 bind to intracellular targets, such as DNA and RNA, which are negatively charged (Xu 372 et al., 2007a). Similarly, observations made on Aspergillus niger have revealed the 373 presence of labeled chitosan both inside and outside the cells, and the permeated 374 chitosan was suggested to block DNA transcription, and therefore to inhibit the growth 375 of the fungus (Li et al., 2008).

376 Several studies have described the morphological changes on fungal hyphae and 377 reproductive structures that can be induced by chitosan. Scanning electron microscopy

17

378 observations of Fusarium sulphureum treated with chitosan have revealed effects on 379 hypha morphology. The growth of hyphae treated with chitosan was strongly inhibited, 380 and they were tightly twisted and formed rope-like structures. Spherical or club-shaped 381 abnormally inflated ends were observed on the twisted hyphae, which were swollen and 382 showed excessive branching. Further transmission electron microscopy observations 383 have indicated ultrastructural alterations of the hyphae by chitosan. These changes 384 included cell membrane disorganization, cell-wall disruption, abnormal distribution of 385 the cytoplasm, non-membranous inclusion bodies in the cytoplasm, considerable 386 thickening of the hyphal cell walls, and very frequent septation with malformed septa 387 (Li et al., 2009). Examination of ultrasections of the hyphae and conidia of chitosan-388 treated Alternaria alternata revealed marked alterations to the cell wall. The chitosan-389 treated mycelia showed predominantly loosened cell walls, and in some areas, there was 390 also lysis. The conidia exposed to chitosan were intensely damaged, and usually eroded, 391 with broken cell walls seen that contained in some cases no cytoplasm (Sánchez-392 Domínguez et al., 2011). R. stolonifer subjected to the formulation of chitosan with 393 beeswax and lime essential oils showed no development of the typical reproductive 394 structures, and its mycelia were distorted and swollen (Ramos-García et al., 2012). In 395 another investigation, chitosan-treated spores of R. stolonifer showed numerous and 396 deeper ridge formations that were not observed on non-treated spores (Hernández-397 Lauzardo et al., 2008). Chitosan induced morphological changes of the mycelia of B. 398 cinerea and R. stolonifer that were characterized by excessive hyphal branching, as 399 compared to the control (El Ghaouth et al., 1992a). This was confirmed in another 400 study, in which there was the induction of marked morphological changes and severe 401 structural alterations in chitosan-treated cells of B. cinerea. Microscopic observations

402 showed coagulation in the fungus cytoplasm that was characterized by the appearance 403 of small vesicles in the mycelia treated with chitosan. In other cases, the mycelia 404 contained larger vesicles, or even empty cells, which were devoid of cytoplasm (Ait 405 Barka et al., 2004). The area and the elliptical form of the spores was significantly 406 different when C. gloeosporioides was grown on potato dextrose agar with added 407 chitosan, compared to potato dextrose agar alone (Bautista-Baños et al., 2003). 408 Similarly, the hyphal and germ-tube morphology of C. gloeosporioides growing on 409 chitosan showed malformed hyphal tips with thickened walls. Many swellings occurred 410 in the hyphae or at their tips, whereas in the controls cells the walls and germ tubes 411 were smooth with no swellings or vacuolation (Ali and Mahmud, 2008; Ali et al., 412 2010). The scanning electron micrographs showed normal growth of hyphae in the 413 untreated controls for C. gloeosporioides, whereas there was hyphal agglomeration and 414 formation of large vesicles in the mycelia in samples treated with chitosan-loaded 415 nanoemulsions (Zahid et al., 2012). The fungal mycelia of Sclerotinia sclerotiorum 416 exposed to chitosan were deformed, twisted and branched, or indeed, dead, with no 417 visible cytoplasm in the fungal cells, whereas the untreated mycelia were normal in 418 appearance (Cheah et al., 1997).

419 Not all fungi show the same sensitivity to chitosan, which might be due their 420 intrinsic characteristics. New findings relating to the permeabilization of the plasma 421 membrane of different cell types of the fungi *Neurospora crassa* and the membrane 422 composition among various resistant and non-resistant chitosan fungi appear to provide 423 important factors (Palma-Guerrero et al., 2008; 2009; 2010). By imaging fluorescently 424 labeled chitosan using confocal microscopy, it was seen that chitosan binds to the 425 conidial surfaces of all of the species tested, although it only consistently permeabilized

19

426 the plasma membranes of some of the fungi. Some of the other fungi formed a barrier to 427 the chitosan. Analysis of the main plasma membrane components revealed important 428 differences in the fatty acid compositions between the chitosan-sensitive and chitosan-429 resistant fungi. The cell membranes of chitosan-sensitive fungi showed higher content 430 of the polyunsaturated fatty acid linolenic acid, higher unsaturation index, and lower 431 plasma membrane fluidity. Chitosan binding should induce an increase in membrane 432 rigidity in the regions to which it attaches. This interaction will enhance the differences 433 in fluidity between the different membrane regions, which can cause membrane permeabilization. In a saturated, more rigid membrane, the changes in rigidity induced 434 435 by chitosan binding would be much lower, with little permeabilization, even in the 436 presence of negatively charged phospholipid headgroups (Palma-Guerrero et al., 2010).

437 The antifungal activities of chitosan have been reported to vary according to its 438 molecular weight and concentration. It has also been noted that, in general, fungal 439 growth inhibition increases as the concentration of chitosan increases in the cases of B. 440 cinerea (El Ghaouth et al., 1992a; 2000; Ben-Shalom et al., 2003; Chien and Chou, 441 2006; Liu et al., 2007), R. stolonifer (El Ghaouth et al., 1992a), Penicillium citrinum 442 (Xing et al., 2011b); P. digitatum (Chien and Chou, 2006), Penicillium italicum (Chien 443 and Chou, 2006), P. expansum (El Ghaouth et al., 2000; Liu et al., 2007; Yu et al., 444 2007), M. fructicola (Yang et al., 2010; 2012), Botrydiplodia lecanidion (Chien and 445 Chou, 2006), C. gloeosporioides (Jitareerat et al., 2007; Muñoz et al., 2009; Ali and 446 Mahmud, 2008; Abd-Alla and Haggar, 2010; Ali et al., 2010), Fusarium solani (Eweis 447 et al., 2006), A. kikuchiana (Meng et al., 2010a) and P. piricola (Meng et al., 2010a), 448 although it decreases in the case of A. niger (Li et al., 2008). In some studies, the 449 antifungal activity of chitosan decreased with an increase in molecular weight, within

450 the range of 50 kDa to 1000 kDa (Li et al., 2008). The highest inhibitory effect against 451 the growth of *R. stolonifer* was observed with low molecular weight chitosan, while the 452 high molecular weight chitosan showed a greater effect on the development of the 453 spores (Hernández-Lauzardo et al., 2008). High molecular-weight chitosan had the 454 lowest inhibitory effects on B. cinerea growth, compared to the low molecular weight 455 chitosan (Badawy and Rabea, 2009). In the case of S. sclerotiorum, there was a negative 456 correlation between mycelial growth inhibition and chitosan molecular weight 457 (Ojaghian et al., 2013). Spore germination and germ-tube elongation of A. kikuchiana 458 and *P. piricola* were significantly inhibited by chitosan and oligochitosan, although 459 when compared to chitosan, oligochitosan was more effective for the inhibition of spore 460 germination (Meng et al., 2010a). However, other investigations have shown fungal 461 growth inhibition by chitosan, regardless of the type of chitosan (Chien and Chou, 462 2006), without any fungicidal or fungistatic patterns among low, medium, and high 463 molecular weight chitosans tested with different isolates of C. gloeosporioides 464 (Bautista-Baños et al., 2005) and R. stolonifer (Guerra-Sánchez et al., 2009).

465

#### 466 INDUCTION OF RESISTANCE BY CHITOSAN IN FRUIT TISSUES

467

Plant resistance towards pathogens occurs through hypersensitive responses that result in cell death at the penetration site, structural alterations, accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), synthesis of secondary metabolites and defense molecules, and activation of pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins (Van-Loon and Van-Strien, 1999). The application of external elicitors to vegetative tissue can trigger plant resistance, by simulating the presence of a pathogen. Several studies have reported that chitosan can

21

474 induce a series of enzyme activities and the production of various compounds that are
475 correlated with plant defense reactions to pathogen attack (Bautista-Baños et al., 2006)
476 (Tables 6-8).

477 Chitosan can increase PR gene function through multiple modes, which includes 478 activation of cell surface or membrane receptors, and internal effects on the plant DNA 479 conformation, which can, in turn, influence gene transcription (Hadwiger, 1999). 480 Histochemical staining of chitosan polymers indicates that chitosan accumulates in the 481 plant cell wall, cytoplasm, and nucleus. The accumulation of positively charged 482 chitosan along with its high affinity for negatively charged DNA suggests that it has a 483 direct effect on the regulation of plant defense responses, with influences on mRNA and 484 protein synthesis (Hadwiger and Loschke, 1981).

485 Phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL) is the key enzyme in the phenol synthesis 486 pathway (Cheng and Breen, 1991), and the accumulation of phenols that act as 487 phytoalexins is considered the primary inducible response in plants against a number of 488 biotic and abiotic stresses (Bhattacharya et al., 2010). Chitosan application has been 489 reported to increase PAL activity in treated fruit tissue. Table grape bunches with 490 preharvest spraying with chitosan showed a three-fold increase in PAL activity in the 491 berry skin 24 h and 48 h after chitosan application (Romanazzi et al., 2002). PAL 492 elicitation by chitosan was confirmed with table grapes sprayed in the vineyard without 493 or with C. laurentii and coated with chitosan postharvest, and then stored at 0 °C (Meng 494 et al., 2008; 2010b; Meng and Tian, 2009). Chitosan treatments induced the activity of 495 PAL in sweet cherry (Dang et al., 2010) and strawberry (Romanazzi et al., 2000; Landi 496 et al., 2014), thus enhancing the fruit defense responses.

497 Chitinase and  $\beta$ -1,3-glucanase are two PR proteins that participate in defense 498 against pathogens, as these can partially degrade the fungal cell wall (Van-Loon and 499 Van-Strien, 1999). Increases in the activities of chitinase and  $\beta$ -1,3-glucanase were 500 demonstrated as a result of chitosan application in 'Valencia' oranges, 24 h after the 501 chitosan treatment. It was proposed that these changes in the enzyme activities might 502 have contributed to the reduction of black spot in the orange fruit (Canale Rappussi et 503 al., 2009). Similarly, chitosan coating significantly reduced the decay of strawberry and 504 raspberry, and induced a significant increase in chitinase and  $\beta$ -1,3-glucanase activities 505 of the berries, as compared to the controls (Zhang and Quantick, 1998; Landi et al., 506 2014). Compared to the untreated fruit, the high chitinase and  $\beta$ -1,3-glucanase activities 507 in chitosan-treated strawberries reinforced the microbial defense mechanism of the fruit 508 and accentuated the resistance against fungal invasion (Zhang and Quantick, 1998; 509 Wang and Gao, 2012). The chitinase and  $\beta$ -1,3-glucanase activities of papaya and 510 mango subjected to chitosan treatment were much higher than in the untreated fruit 511 (Jitareerat et al., 2007; Hewajulige et al., 2009), and oligochitosan treatment 512 significantly enhanced the activities of chitinase and  $\beta$ -1,3-glucanase in pear fruit 513 (Meng et al., 2010a). In table grapes, preharvest chitosan treatments from three different 514 commercial formulations induced the activity of endochitinase, while two of the 515 chitosan formulations induced exochitinase activity (Feliziani et al., 2013a).

In fruit tissue, the high activity of pectic enzymes, such as polygalacturonase, cellulase and pectate lyase, was shown to be closely associated with the weakening of the plant cell wall, thus resulted in softening of the fruit and greater susceptibility to storage rots (Stevens et al., 2004). Down-regulation of polygalacturonase resulted in firmer fruit (Atkinson et al., 2012). In peach fruit, the chitosan treatments somewhat

23

521 inhibited polygalacturonase activity throughout the storage period. In particular, the 522 combination consisted of a coating of chitosan and calcium chloride, the polyethylene packaging, and intermittent warming, with markedly inhibited polygalacturonase 523 524 activity at the end of the refrigerated storage (Ruoyi et al., 2005). The macerating enzyme activities in tomato tissue, such as polygalacturonase, pectate lyase, and 525 526 cellulose, in the vicinity of lesions caused by the pathogen A. alternata were less than 527 half in chitosan-treated fruit, compared with untreated fruit. Chitosan inhibited the 528 development of black mold rot of tomatoes and reduced the production of pathogenic 529 factors by the fungus (Reddy et al., 2000b).

530 Chitosan treatment might induce fruit disease resistance through regulation of 531 ROS levels, antioxidant enzymes, and the ascorbate-glutathione cycle. ROS, such as 532  $H_2O_2$  and  $O_2^-$ , are the earliest events that correlate plant resistance to pathogens (Baker 533 and Orlandi, 1995); these are involved in the development of disease resistance in fruit 534 (Torres et al., 2003). Although ROS might contribute to an enhancement of the plant 535 defense, high level of ROS can cause lipid peroxidation and lead to the loss of 536 membrane integrity of plant organs. To prevent harmful effects of excess ROS on plant tissues, the ROS can be detoxified by an antioxidant system. This consists of non-537 538 enzymatic antioxidants, such as ascorbic acid, glutathione, and phenolic compounds, 539 and antioxidant enzymes, such as superoxide dismutase, peroxidases and catalases. 540 Chitosan application was reported to reduce ROS in tissues of treated fruit, such as pear 541 (Li et al., 2010a) and guava (Hong et al., 2012), and to lower the hydrogen peroxide 542 content in litchi (Sun et al., 2010), pear (Li et al., 2010a), table grapes (Feliziani et al., 543 2013a) and strawberry (Romanazzi et al., 2013). This might be due to direct effects, as

#### G. ROMANAZZI ET AL.

chitosan itself has antioxidant activity and scavenges hydroxyl radicals (Yen et al.,
2008), or to indirect effects, as chitosan induces the plant antioxidant system.

546 Higher levels of glutathione were reported after chitosan treatment in litchi (Sun 547 et al., 2010), strawberry (Wang and Gao, 2012) and orange (Zeng et al., 2010). Higher 548 quantities of ascorbic acid have also been reported after chitosan treatments in fruit 549 tissues of strawberry (Wang and Gao, 2012), peach (Li and Yu, 2001; Ruoyi et al., 550 2005), sweet cherry (Dang et al., 2010; Kerch et al., 2011), jujube (Qiuping and 551 Wenshui, 2007; Xing et al., 2011b), orange (Zeng et al., 2010), citrus (Chien and Chou, 552 2006), longan (Jiang and Li, 2001), guava (Hong et al., 2012), mango (Jitareerat et al., 553 2007; Zhu et al., 2008) and litchi (Sun et al., 2010). The reduction of ascorbic acid loss 554 in chitosan-coated sweet cherries was proposed to be due to the low oxygen 555 permeability of the chitosan coating around the fruit surface, which lowers the oxygen 556 level and reduces the activity of the ascorbic acid oxidase enzymes, which prevents the 557 oxidation of ascorbic acid (Dang et al., 2010).

558 The presence of antioxidants, such as the phenols, can substantially reduce the 559 ROS content of plant tissues, as their hydroxyl groups and unsaturated double bonds 560 make them very susceptible to oxidation (Rice-Evans et al., 1997). Moreover, phenolic 561 compounds are involved in plant responses against biotic and abiotic stresses (Lattanzio 562 et al., 2006; Bhattacharya et al., 2010). Chitosan coating was effective in the intensification of total antioxidant capacity of treated apricot, with increases in the 563 564 phenolic compounds in the fruit tissue (Ghasemnezhad et al., 2010). In tomato, the 565 content of phenolic compounds increased in chitosan-treated fruit compared to the 566 untreated fruit (Liu et al., 2007), and this increase was directly proportional to the 567 chitosan concentration used (Badawy and Rabea, 2009). Table grapes treated with

25

568 chitosan had higher phenolic compound contents (Shiri et al., 2012; Feliziani et al., 569 2013a). Anthocyanin, flavonoid and total phenolics contents of chitosan treated litchi 570 decreased more slowly than in untreated fruit (Zhang and Quantick, 1997; Jiang et al., 571 2005; De Reuck et al., 2009). Kerch et al. (2011) reported that total phenols and 572 anthocyanin content increased in chitosan-treated sweet cherry after 1 week of cold 573 storage, while their contents decreased in chitosan-treated strawberry stored under the 574 same conditions. Similarly, in strawberry, chitosan-coated fruit had lower anthocyanin 575 content, as the anthocyanins were synthesized at a slower rate than for the non-treated 576 berries (El Ghaouth et al., 1991a), and the rate of pigment development was lower with 577 an increase in chitosan concentration (Reddy et al., 2000a). The anthocyanin contents 578 significantly decreased throughout storage in strawberries coated with chitosan 579 combined with oleic acid, whereas no significant changes were seen in the control 580 samples at the end of the storage (Vargas et al., 2006). On the contrary, Wang and Gao 581 (2012) reported that strawberries treated with chitosan maintained better fruit quality. 582 with higher levels of phenolics, anthocyanins and flavonoids. In another study, the 583 application of chitosan to strawberry increased the expression of genes involved in the 584 biosynthesis of flavonoid compounds, such as chalcone isomerase, flavonol synthase, 585 anthocyanidin synthase (Landi et al., 2014). Several factors, such as the cultivar of the 586 studied commodity, the stage of maturation, the storage conditions, could account to 587 explain the different responses to chitosan application concerning phenolic compounds 588 accumulation in fruit tissues.

589 Chitosan treatment has been reported to have an influence on antioxidant 590 enzyme activities in the tissues of both temperate and tropical fruit and vegetables 591 (Tables 6-8). Compared to untreated strawberries, those treated with chitosan 592 maintained higher levels of antioxidant enzyme activities, such as catalase, glutathione-593 peroxidase, guaiacol peroxidase, dehydroascorbate reductase, and 594 monodehydroascorbate reductase (Wang and Gao, 2012). Ascorbate peroxidase and 595 glutathione reductase activities increased in pear treated with chitosan (Lin et al., 2008; 596 Li et al., 2010a). Compared to the tissue of uncoated fruit, higher activities of 597 superoxide dismutase, catalase, and peroxidase were reported after chitosan application 598 to pear (Lin et al., 2008; Li et al., 2010a), sweet pepper (Xing et al., 2011a), and tropical 599 fruit, such as guava (Hong et al., 2012). In addition, increased peroxidase activity after 600 chitosan application has been reported for several other commodities, such as table 601 grapes (Meng et al., 2008), pear (Meng et al., 2010a), sweet cherry (Dang et al., 2010), 602 orange (Canale Rappussi et al., 2009), tomato (Liu et al., 2007), and potato (Xiao-Juan 603 et al., 2008). Conversely, in other studies, decreased peroxidase activity was reported in 604 litchi fruit after chitosan application, whether or not it was combined with other 605 treatments (Zhang and Quantick, 1997; De Reuck et al., 2009; Sun et al., 2010). 606 Meanwhile, treatment of litchi fruit with a combination of chitosan and ascorbic acid 607 increased the activities of superoxide dismutase and catalase, and the contents of 608 ascorbic acid and glutathione (Sun et al., 2010). Treatments with chitosan alone or in 609 combination with C. laurentii decreased the superoxide dismutase activity in table grape 610 tissues (Meng et al., 2008; 2010b; Meng and Tian, 2009). Treatments of navel oranges 611 with 2% chitosan effectively enhanced the activities of peroxidase, superoxide 612 dismutase and ascorbate peroxidase, but decreased the activities of catalase and the 613 content of ascorbic acid (Zeng et al., 2010).

614 Physiological changes concerning polyphenol oxidase (PPO) activity have been 615 observed after application of chitosan to fruit and vegetables (Tables 6-8). This has

27

616 great impact on fruit quality; indeed, PPO is a phenol-related metabolic enzyme that 617 catalyzes the oxidation of phenolic compounds that are involved in plant defense against biotic and abiotic stresses and in pigmentation/ browning of fruit and vegetable 618 619 tissues (Lattanzio et al., 2006; Bhattacharya et al., 2010). In some investigations, 620 chitosan decreased PPO activity, and its inhibitory effects are probably a consequence of the adsorption of suspended PPO, its substrates, or its products by the positive 621 622 charges of chitosan (Badawy and Rabea, 2009). The other possibility is that the 623 selective permeability to gases due to the chitosan coating generates low levels of oxygen around the fruit surface, which can delay the deteriorative oxidation reactions, 624 625 and partially inhibit the activities of oxidases such as PPO (Ayranci and Tunc, 2003). 626 The chitosan coating markedly reduces PPO activity and delays skin browning during 627 fruit shelf life. The maintenance of the skin color of the litchi fruit after chitosan 628 treatment can be accounted for by the higher level of anthocyanin content in the skin 629 that results from inhibition of PPO activity (Zhang and Quantick, 1997; Jiang et al., 630 2005; De Reuck et al., 2009). Similarly, the activities of PPO and peroxidase, and the 631 related browning in the pericarp, were markedly lowered by treatment of harvested 632 litchi fruits with ascorbic acid and 1% chitosan (Sun et al., 2010). In chitosan-treated 633 tomato (Badawy and Rabea, 2009) and jujube (Wu et al., 2010; Xing et al., 2011b), the 634 decreases in the PPO activities were concomitant with the enhanced phenolic content, and in sweet cherry (Dang et al., 2010), with the reduction in tissue browning. The 635 636 combination of chitosan, calcium chloride and intermittent warming decreased the PPO 637 activity in the tissues of peach that had been cold stored for 50 days (Ruoyi et al., 2005). 638 However, in other investigations, PPO activities of fruit tissue increased after chitosan 639 treatment. Chitosan treatment enhanced the activities of PPO in the flesh around the

640 wound of a pear (Meng et al., 2010a). An increase in the activity of PPO was 641 demonstrated as a result of chitosan application in 'Valencia' oranges, which was seen 642 24 h after chitosan treatment (Canale Rappussi et al., 2009). Chitosan application in 643 tomato fruit stored at 25 °C and 2 °C increased the content of the phenolic compounds 644 and induced the activities of PPO, the levels of which were almost 1.5-fold those in the 645 wounded control fruit at the same time (Liu et al., 2007). In this study, there was no 646 direct relationship between the PPO activities and the content of phenolic compounds, although the phenolic compounds can be oxidized by the actions of PPO and 647 648 peroxidase, to produce quinones (Campos-Vargas and Saltveit, 2002). It is likely that 649 regulation of phenolic metabolism by the action of other enzymes, such as PAL, which 650 participates in the biosynthesis of phenolic compounds, also has an important role (Liu 651 et al., 2007). This could even explain the reason why in some investigations the PPO 652 levels of fruit tissue after chitosan application are variable. Preharvest spraying with C. 653 laurentii combined with postharvest chitosan coating increased the activities of PPO in 654 table grapes during storage, but after 3 days of shelf life, the PPO activities in the 655 treated fruit were lower than in the untreated fruit (Meng et al., 2010b). During cold 656 storage, the PPO activity of litchi fruit coated with chitosan increased slowly, reached a 657 peak, and then decreased (Zhang and Quantick, 1997).

658

# 659 EFFECT OF CHITOSAN TREATMENT ON MAINTENANCE OF FRUIT QUALITY

### 660 AND RETENTION OF HEALTH-PROMOTING COMPOUNDS

661

662 Chitosan coating provides a semipermeable film around the fruit surface, which 663 modifies the internal atmosphere by reducing oxygen and/or elevating carbon dioxide

29

664 levels, which decreases the fruit respiration level and metabolic activity, and hence 665 delays the fruit ripening and senescence processes (Özden and Bayindirli, 2002; Olivas and Barbosa-Cánovas, 2005; Romanazzi et al., 2007; 2009; Vargas et al., 2008). A 666 667 suppressed respiration rate slows down the synthesis and the use of metabolites, which 668 results in lower soluble solids due to the slower hydrolysis of carbohydrates to sugars 669 (Ali et al., 2011: Das et al., 2013). However, there are numerous confounding factors 670 that can contribute to the soluble solids concentrations in fruit tissues; e.g., the fruit 671 studied, its stage of ripeness, the storage conditions, and the thickness of the chitosan 672 coating (Ali et al., 2011). On the other hand, as organic acids, such as malic and citric 673 acid, are substrates for the enzymatic reactions of plant respiration, increased acidity 674 and reduced pH would be expected in low-respiring fruit (Yaman and Bayindirli, 2001). 675 Above all, the chitosan coating with its filmogenic properties has been used as a water 676 barrier, to minimize water and weight loss of fruit during storage (Vargas et al., 2008; 677 Bourlieu et al., 2009). All of these physiological changes have been reported in fruit and 678 vegetables treated with chitosan (Tables 6-8).

679 For temperate fruit (Table 6), the chitosan coating minimized weight loss of 680 stored apples, and its combination with heat treatment showed the lowest respiration 681 rate, and significantly reduced pH and increased titratable acidity (Shao et al., 2012). 682 Chitosan treatments of pears during storage reduced their vital activities, and in 683 particular their respiration rate, which maintained the fruit quality and prolonged the 684 shelf life. Compared with the control samples, chitosan-coated pears showed reduced 685 weight loss (Zhou et al., 2008). Again in pear, chitosan coating alone and in 686 combination with ascorbic acid resulted in decreased respiration rate, delayed weight 687 loss, and retention of greater total soluble solids and titratable acidity (Lin et al., 2008).

688 Chitosan-treated peaches showed lower respiration rates and higher titratable acidity 689 than control peaches (Li and Yu, 2001).

690 Chitosan forms a coating film on the outside surface of sweet cherries that 691 effectively delayed the loss of water and promoted changes in titratable acidity and total 692 soluble solids of the sweet cherries (Dang et al., 2010). Strawberries treated with 693 chitosan alone or in combined with calcium gluconate showed reduced weight loss and 694 respiration, which delayed the ripening and the progression of fruit decay due to 695 senescence. Regardless of the addition of calcium gluconate to the chitosan, the coated 696 strawberries had higher titratable acidity, and lower pH and soluble solids (Hernández-697 Muñoz et al., 2008). A chitosan coating without or with added calcium or vitamin E 698 decreased weight loss and delayed the changes in pH and titratable acidity of 699 strawberries and red raspberries during cold storage (Han et al., 2004; 2005). Chitosan 700 application combined with bergamot oil provided a water vapor barrier for cold-stored 701 table grapes, which reduced the fruit weight losses. Due to its hydrophobic nature, the 702 addition of bergamot oil lowered this phenomenon further (Sánchez-González et al., 703 2011). Similarly, weight loss reductions in chitosan-coated table grapes were observed 704 when this was combined with putrescine (Shiri et al., 2012) and grape seed extract (Xu 705 et al., 2007b). The complex of zinc(II) and cerium(IV) with chitosan film-forming 706 material that was applied to preserve the quality of Chinese jujube fruit reduced the fruit 707 respiration rate and weight loss, while it increased the fruit total soluble solids, as 708 compared to the uncoated fruit (Wu et al., 2010). In another study, after 42 days of 709 storage at 13 °C, chitosan-coated citrus fruit showed less weight loss and higher 710 titratable acidity and total soluble solids, compared to the control fruit. The weight loss 711 of these citrus fruit decreased as the concentration of chitosan was increased (Chien and

712 Chou, 2006). Coating tomato fruit with chitosan solutions reduced the respiration rate 713 and ethylene production, with greater effects with 2% chitosan than 1% chitosan. The 714 chitosan coating increased the internal  $CO_2$  and decreased the internal  $O_2$  levels of the 715 tomatoes. These chitosan-coated tomatoes were also higher in titratable acidity (El 716 Ghaouth et al., 1992b).

717 Similar changes in respiration, weight loss, pH, titratable acidity, and soluble 718 solids content have been reported after chitosan treatment of tropical fruit (Table 7). 719 Polysaccharide-based coatings, including chitosan, applied to banana fruit reduced the 720 carbon dioxide evolution, loss of weight, and titratable acidity. Moreover, the reducing 721 sugar content and the total soluble solids of the coated fruit were lower than with the 722 untreated fruit, which suggests that the coated fruit synthesized reducing sugars at a 723 slower rate, through the slowed metabolism (Kittur et al., 2001). Similarly in bananas, 724 chitosan alone or in combination with 1-methylcyclopropene reduced the rate of 725 respiration (by 32%) compared to untreated banana, and decreased titratable acidity and 726 increased total soluble solids (Baez-Sañudo et al., 2009). The composite coating of 727 Arabic gum and chitosan provided an excellent semipermeable barrier around the banana fruit, which reduced weight loss, modified the internal atmosphere, and 728 729 suppressed ethylene evolution, thus reducing respiration and delaying the ripening 730 process. After 33 days of storage, the soluble solids concentrations of the treated banana 731 fruit were lowered, whereas the titratable acidity was increased by the chitosan and 732 Arabic gum coating (Maqbool et al., 2010a; 2010b; 2011). The application of chitosan 733 delayed changes in eating quality, reduced respiration rate and weight loss, and 734 increased total soluble solid and titratable acidity of stored longan (Jiang and Li, 2001) 735 and guava (Hong et al., 2012) fruit. In mango fruit, the decline in respiration rate, fruit

31

736 weight, and titratable acidity were all effectively inhibited by chitosan (Jitareerat et al., 737 2007), while the increase in total soluble solids was delayed during storage (Zhu et al., 738 2008). Mango fruit coated with chitosan and subjected to hydrothermal treatment had 739 less weight loss, lower pH and soluble solids, but higher acidity, regardless of the 740 hydrothermal process (Salvador-Figueroa et al., 2011). The CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the 741 internal cavity of chitosan-treated papaya was significantly higher than that of the 742 untreated fruit. The formation of a chitosan film on the fruit acted as a barrier for O<sub>2</sub> 743 uptake, and slowed the rate of respiration and the metabolic activity, and consequently 744 the ripening process (Hewajulige et al., 2009). Again in papaya, chitosan provided 745 effective control of weight loss, and delayed the changes in soluble solids 746 concentrations over 5 weeks of storage. The titratable acidity of the papaya fruit 747 declined throughout the storage period, although at a slower rate in the chitosan-coated 748 fruit, as compared to the untreated fruit (Bautista-Baños et al., 2003; Ali et al., 2010; 749 2011). Chitosan coating without or with calcium infiltration markedly slowed the 750 ripening of papaya, as shown by their lack of weight loss, delay in titratable acidity 751 decrease, and increase in soluble solids and pH (Al Eryani et al., 2008). In litchi fruit 752 during storage, chitosan treatment produced an effective coating that reduced the 753 respiration and transpiration of the fruit during storage (Lin et al., 2011), and reduced 754 the decreases in the concentrations of total soluble solids and in the titratable acidity 755 (Jiang et al., 2005). Similar results were obtained with the combination of chitosan with 756 ascorbic acid, which significantly increased the titratable acidity and total soluble solids of stored litchi fruit (Sun et al., 2010). 757

Firmness is a major attribute that dictates the postharvest quality of fruit (Barrett et al., 2010). Fruit softening is a biochemical process that is normally attributed to the

33

760 deterioration of the cell-wall composition, which involves the hydrolysis of pectin by 761 enzymes; e.g., polygalacturonase (Atkinson et al., 2012). Low levels of oxygen and higher levels of carbon dioxide restricts the activities of these enzymes and promotes 762 763 the retention of fruit firmness during storage (Maqbool et al., 2011). Moreover, due to 764 reduced transpiration, the water retention provides turgor to the fruit cells. Banana fruit 765 treated with composite edible coatings of chitosan and Arabic gum showed significantly 766 higher firmness than untreated bananas at the end of the storage period, and this 767 firmness decreased as the concentration of the coating decreased (Magbool et al., 2011). 768 Chitosan coatings had beneficial effects on strawberry firmness, such that by the end of 769 the storage period, the treated fruit had higher flesh firmness values than the untreated 770 fruit (Hernández-Muñoz et al., 2008). In several other studies, chitosan coating 771 maintained the firmness during storage of table grapes (Xu et al., 2007b; Sánchez-772 González et al., 2011), apple (Shao et al., 2012), pear (Lin et al., 2008), peach (Li and 773 Yu, 2001), jujube (Qiuping and Wenshui, 2007), orange (Chien and Chou, 2006; Cháfer 774 et al., 2012), banana (Kittur et al., 2001; Win et al., 2007; Baez-Sañudo et al., 2009), 775 mango (Zhu et al., 2008; Salvador-Figueroa et al., 2011), papaya (Bautista-Baños et al., 2003; Sivakumar et al., 2005b; Ali et al., 2010; 2011), rambutan (Martínez-Castellanos 776 777 et al., 2009), guava (Hong et al., 2012) and tomato (El Ghaouth et al., 1992b) (Tables 6-778 8).

In several studies, panelists were asked to observe and then rate the overall appearance, or just the flavor, of fruit treated or not with chitosan, using hedonic scales (Tables 6-8). These studies showed that chitosan can preserve the taste of pear fruit, which after cold storage was similar to the taste of the fresh fruit (Zhou et al., 2008). Similar results were obtained with the combination of chitosan and cinnamon oil

784 coating, which retained sweet pepper quality, without the development of off-flavors 785 (Xing et al., 2011a). Consumer acceptance based on color, flavor, texture, sweetness 786 and acidity was improved by chitosan coating and/or heat treatment of apple fruit (Shao 787 et al., 2012). For table grapes, chitosan alone and in combination with putrescine 788 prolonged the maintenance of the original sensory quality, in comparison with the 789 decline in the untreated grapes (Shiri et al., 2012). The combination of chitosan with 790 grape seed extract delayed rachis browning and dehydration, and maintained the visual 791 aspect of the berry without detrimental effects on taste or flavor (Xu et al., 2007b). In 792 sweet cherries, chitosan coating had a strong effect on the maintenance of quality 793 attributes, such as visual appearance, color, taste and flavor, as it had protective effects 794 in preventing surface browning, cracking, and the leaking of juice (Dang et al., 2010). 795 On strawberry, results from consumer sensory evaluations indicated that chitosan 796 increased the appearance and acceptance of the strawberries (Devlieghere et al., 2004), 797 whereas coatings containing chitosan and vitamin E developed a waxy-and-white 798 surface on the coated fruits (Han et al., 2005). In strawberries, the aroma and flavor of 799 chitosan-coated fruit was considered less intense than those of the uncoated fruit, which 800 were preferred by the panelists (Vargas et al., 2006). Likewise, panelists detected an 801 untypical oily aroma in samples coated with the combination of chitosan and oleic acid 802 (Vargas et al., 2006). On bananas, Baez-Sañudo et al. (2009) reported that chitosan 803 coating did not affect the sensory quality of the fruit. In another case, banana fruit 804 treated with 10% Arabic gum and 1% chitosan improved fruit quality during storage 805 and received the highest sensory scores for taste, pulp color, texture, flavor, and overall 806 acceptability (Maqbool et al., 2011). However, the fruit coated with high concentrations 807 of Arabic gum, as 15% or 20%, combined with 10% chitosan did not ripen fully after

35

808 about 1 month of storage, developed poor pulp color and inferior texture, and were off-809 flavored (Maqbool et al., 2011). Similarly, the sensory evaluation of papaya for taste, 810 peel color, pulp color, texture, and flavor revealed that the fruit treated with 1.5% 811 chitosan attained maximum scores from the panelists in all of the tested parameters. The 812 untreated fruit and those treated with 0.5% chitosan ripened after 3 weeks of storage, 813 and then began to decompose, while the fruit treated with 2% chitosan did not ripen fully after more than 1 month of cold storage. This was because of the thickness of the 814 815 chitosan coating, which blocked the lenticels and caused fermentation inside, and in 816 both cases the fruit were discarded from the evaluation due to the unacceptable quality. 817 The flavor of the fruit with 1.5% chitosan coating was rated as excellent, because the 818 pulp was not only sweet and pleasant, but also had a characteristic aroma (Ali et al., 819 2010: 2011). Litchi fruit subjected to chitosan treatment either alone or combined with 820 carbonate salts showed good eating quality (Sivakumar et al., 2005a).

821 Several other investigations have reported changes after chitosan application to 822 the color of the fruit peel, which were revealed either by technical instrumentation or by 823 visual appearance (Tables 6-8). The application of chitosan coating in longan fruit 824 delayed the fruit peel discoloration, which was related to the concomitant inhibition of 825 PPO activity, the enzyme responsible for polyphenol oxidation (Jiang and Li, 2001). Papaya fruit treated with chitosan underwent light changes in peel color, as indicated by 826 827 the slower increase in lightness and chroma values, as compared to uncoated fruit. The 828 delay of color development for the papaya fruit treated with 1.0% 1.5% and 2.0% 829 chitosan might be attributable to the slow rate of respiration and reduced ethylene 830 production, which leads to delayed fruit ripening and senescence (Ali et al., 2011). 831 Similarly, the combination of calcium and chitosan delayed surface color changes of 832 papaya fruit, as noted from the lower values of lightness and chroma and the higher 833 value of hue angle in treated papaya, compared to untreated papaya (Al Eryani et al., 834 2008). During storage, chitosan coating delayed color changes in banana (Kittur et al., 835 2001; Win et al., 2007; Baez-Sañudo et al., 2009; Magbool et al., 2011), litchi fruit 836 (Zhang and Quantick, 1997; Caro and Joas, 2005; Joas et al., 2005; Ducamp-Collin et 837 al., 2008; De Reuck et al., 2009; Sun et al., 2010), mango (Zhu et al., 2008; Salvador-Figueroa et al., 2011), citrus (Canale Rappussi et al., 2011), strawberry (Han et al., 838 839 2004; 2005; Hernández-Muñoz et al., 2008), and tomato (El Ghaouth et al., 1992b). 840 Sensory analyses also revealed beneficial effects of chitosan coating in terms of 841 delaying rachis browning and maintenance of the visual aspects of table grape berries 842 (Xu et al., 2007b; Sánchez-González et al., 2011).

843 Fruit and vegetables treated with chitosan have a higher nutritional value, 844 because chitosan can retain the contents of the ascorbic and phenolic compounds 845 (Tables 6-8), which are positively correlated with antioxidant capacity (Rapisarda et al., 846 1999). Moreover, chitosan can be used as a vehicle for the incorporation of functional 847 ingredients, such as other antimicrobials, minerals, antioxidants and vitamins. Some of 848 these combinations can enhance the effects of chitosan or reinforce the nutritional value 849 of the commodities (Vargas et al., 2008). Chitosan-based coatings can also carry high 850 concentrations of calcium or vitamin E, thus significantly increasing the content of these nutrients in fresh and frozen strawberry and raspberry. Incorporation of calcium or 851 852 vitamin E into chitosan-based coatings did not alter its antifungal properties, while it 853 enhanced the nutritional value of these fresh and frozen strawberry and raspberry (Han 854 et al., 2004). In addition, incorporation of calcium chloride in chitosan coating increased 855 the stability of the cell wall and middle lamella of the strawberry tissue, and improved

856 its resistance to the pectic enzymes produced by fungal pathogens (Hernández-Muñoz et 857 al., 2006; 2008). Calcium chloride has been added to chitosan coating for papaya (Al 858 Eryani et al., 2008), pear (Yu et al., 2012) and peach (Ruoyi et al., 2005). Core 859 browning is a major problem during storage in pear, and Lin et al. (2008) reported that 860 the combination of chitosan with ascorbic acids not only controlled the core browning 861 of pear, but also increased the ascorbic acid content and the antioxidant capacity of the 862 pear. The combination of chitosan with ascorbic acid showed similar results as for pear 863 (Lin et al., 2008) when applied to litchi fruit (Sun et al., 2010).

864 In the food industry, chitosan shows potential for application to food packaging, 865 as a surrogate for petrochemical based films and as an innovative environmentally friendly material. This arises from its physico-chemical properties, its biodegradability, 866 867 and its antifungal and antibacterial properties, with nontoxic and nonresidual effects 868 (Porta et al., 2011; Schreiber et al., 2013). Considering the health conscious consumers 869 and the carbon footprint on the environment, modern food packaging needs to address 870 the application of bio-based active films or biopolymers, and chitosan shows potential 871 as a bioagent or additive for the preparation of active films. Cervera et al. (2004) 872 reported that chitosan films show higher oxygen barrier properties but lower water 873 vapor barrier properties, mainly due to their hydrophilic nature. The water vapor 874 permeability of chitosan films was shown to increase as a result of water interacting 875 with the hydrophilic chitosan polymer. Incorporation of essential oils reduced the water 876 vapor permeability and the films showed resistance to breaking and were less glossy 877 and deformable; at the same time, the essential oils increased the antimicrobial 878 properties of the coating (Zivanovic et al., 2005; Hosseini et al., 2008; Sánchez-879 González et al., 2011). Incorporating nanoparticles into the chitosan film (Qi et al.,

2004), such as ZnO (Li et al., 2010b) or Ag (Pinto et al., 2012) nanoparticles, improved
the mechanical and barrier properties (Pereira de Abreu et al., 2007) and the thermal
stability of the films (de Moura et al., 2009).

883

### 884 EFFECTS OF CHITOSAN ON FOODBORNE PATHOGENS

885

886 Foodborne illnesses are diseases that are caused by agents that enter the human body through the ingestion of food. In 2011, the Center for Disease Control and Prevention 887 888 (CDC) estimated that in the United States each year there are 48 million foodborne 889 illnesses that are responsible for 128,000 hospitalizations and 3,000 deaths (CDC, 890 2011). The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that in 2005, 1.5 million 891 people died worldwide from diarrheal diseases, with a great proportion of these cases 892 being foodborne (WHO, 2006). Furthermore, in the future, with the growth of 893 populations and movement of goods and people at the global scale, this might make the 894 control of foodborne infections more difficult.

895 Recent investigations have identified fruit and vegetables, and in particular leafy 896 greens, as important vehicles for the transmission of many foodborne disease outbreaks 897 (Berger et al., 2010). Nowadays, there is increasing demand for fresh, minimally 898 processed vegetables, such as 'ready-to-eat' salads, which retain much of their 899 indigenous microflora following their minimal processing. All types of produce have 900 the potential to harbor pathogens, and Salmonella spp., Shigella spp., Escherichia coli, 901 Campylobacter spp., Listeria monocytogenes, Yersinia enterocolitica, Bacillus cereus, 902 Clostridium spp., Aeromonas hydrophila, some viruses, and other parasites are of the 903 greatest public health interest (Beuchat, 2002). Fruit and vegetables can be

39

904 contaminated by these microorganisms during the preharvest stage, mainly by 905 contaminated water or sewage and faeces, or during the postharvest stage, in the 906 handling and storage of the horticultural products. The growth of microorganisms on 907 fresh-cut produce can also occur during the cutting and slicing operations (Beuchat, 908 2002).

As well as its potentiality as a mechanical barrier, an edible chitosan coating can be used for its antimicrobial properties, to preserve fresh fruit and vegetables after harvest (Vargas et al., 2008). Some studies have reported on the antibacterial activities of chitosan films against foodborne pathogens of fresh fruit and vegetables (Table 9).

913 Inatsu et al. (2010) evaluated different sanitizers to prevent growth of four 914 strains of E. coli on the surface of tomato fruit, and they found that 0.1% chitosan was 915 effective when applied after a sodium chloride washing treatment. However, in this 916 case, other combinations of sanitizers were more effective (e.g., 0.1% lactic acid with 917 0.05% sodium chloride). Chitosan coating reduced the native microflora on the surface 918 of litchi fruit (Sivakumar et al., 2005a) and strawberry (Ribeiro et al., 2007), but not for 919 table grapes (Romanazzi et al., 2002). However, several additives can be incorporated 920 into the chitosan coating, which can provide more specific functions, such as 921 antimicrobial activity that is aimed at either preventing or reducing the growth of foodborne microorganisms (Vargas et al., 2008). Coatings of chitosan and allyl 922 923 isothiocyanate on cantaloupe reduced the Salmonella presence down to the limit of 924 detection after 2 weeks of storage (Chen et al., 2012). Also when recontamination of 925 cantaloupe with Salmonella was simulated, the results indicated that the chitosan-allyl 926 isothiocyanate coating not only reduced the Salmonella more than the current practice 927 based on acid washing, but it also maintained its antibacterial activity for longer periods

#### G. ROMANAZZI ET AL.

928 of time. Furthermore, the native microflora monitored by the microbial counts for total 929 aerobic bacteria, yeast and mold on the cantaloupe surface during storage were reduced 930 by the chitosan and allyl isothiocyanate coating (Chen et al., 2012). Essential oils are 931 among the antimicrobial agents that can be incorporated into chitosan coatings (Vargas 932 et al., 2008; Antunes and Cavaco, 2010). A coating of chitosan and bergamot oil 933 reduced the counts of molds, yeast, and mesophiles of table grape berries, as compared 934 to the untreated fruit. The addition of bergamot oil enhanced the antimicrobial activities 935 of the pure chitosan (Sánchez-González et al., 2011). In another study, growth of E. coli 936 DH5a did not take place when the bacterium was incubated on substrates with added 937 chitosan and beeswax, without or with added thyme or lime essential oils (Ramos-938 García et al., 2012).

939 The antimicrobial activity of chitosan appears to be due to its polycationic 940 characteristics, which allow chitosan to interact with the electronegative charges on the 941 cell surface of fungi and bacteria. This can result in increased microbial cell 942 permeability, internal osmotic disequilibrium, and cell leakage (Helander et al., 2001; 943 Rabea et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2004; Raafat et al., 2008; Mellegård et al., 2011). A 12-h 944 exposure period to chitosan resulted in higher levels of glucose and protein in the 945 supernatant of cell suspensions of Staphylococcus aureus than observed for the medium 946 without chitosan. The reactive amino groups in chitosan might conceivably interact with a multitude of anionic groups on the cell surface, to alter cell permeability and cause 947 948 leakage of intracellular components, such as glucose and protein, which will lead to cell 949 death (Chung et al., 2011). Furthermore, the possibility of a direct interaction of 950 chitosan with negatively charged nucleic acids of microorganisms, and consequently of 951 chitosan interference in RNA and protein synthesis, has been proposed (Rabea et al.,

41

952 2003). In contrast, Raafat et al. (2008) considered the probabilities of the penetration of 953 chitosan into the nuclei of bacteria to be relatively low, as the size of a molecule of 954 hydrated chitosan is bigger than the cell wall pores. Thus Raafat et al. (2008) examined 955 cell damage of Staphylococcus simulans after exposure to chitosan, and they found irregular structures that protruded from the cell wall and a 'vacuole-like' structure that 956 957 possibly resulted from disruption of the equilibrium of the cell-wall dynamics, such as 958 the ion and water efflux, and decreased the internal pressure; however, on the other 959 hand, the cell membrane remained intact. These results show how chitosan appears not 960 to interact directly with internal structures of the bacteria, but to just interact with 961 external cell-wall polymers. Other mechanisms proposed for the chitosan antimicrobial 962 activity are based on the strong affinity of chitosan for nutritionally essential metal ions. 963 Rabea et al. (2003) reported that the binding of bacterial trace metals by chitosan 964 inhibited both microbial growth and the production of bacterial toxins.

965 The susceptibility of foodborne microorganisms to chitosan also depends on the 966 characteristics of the microorganisms themselves. As the antimicrobial activity of 967 chitosan relies on electrostatic interactions, the nature of the bacterial cell wall can 968 influence the inhibition of microorganism growth by chitosan. The main important 969 foodborne microorganisms are Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. E. coli, 970 Salmonella spp., Shigella spp., A. hydrophila, C. jejuni and Y. enterocolitica, are Gram-971 negative, and they are characterized by an outer cell wall that consists essentially of lipopolysaccharides that contain phosphate and pyrophosphate groups that cover their 972 973 surface with negative charges. The gram-positive bacteria, such as L. monocytogenes, B. 974 cereus, and C. botulinum, have a cell wall that is composed essentially of peptidoglycan 975 associated to polysaccharides and teichoic acids, which are also negatively charged.

976 According to several studies, Gram-positive bacteria are more susceptible to chitosan 977 than Gram-negative bacteria (No et al., 2002; Takahashi et al., 2008; Jung et al., 2010; 978 Tayel et al., 2010), while according to others, the opposite is the case (Devlieghere et 979 al., 2004). A recent study reported the effectiveness of chitosan and its derivatives 980 against well-established biofilms formed by foodborne bacteria, which are assumed to 981 be resistant to cleaning and disinfection practices. The results showed that a 1 h 982 exposure to chitosan resulted in reductions in viable cells on mature L. monocytogenes 983 biofilms, and in the attached populations of the other organisms tested, as *B. cereus*, 984 Salmonella enterica and Pseudomonas fluorescens, except for S. aureus (Orgaz et al., 985 2011).

986 In the food industry, chitosan is frequently used as an antioxidant, a clarifying 987 agent, and an inhibitor of enzymatic browning. When applied to food, the antimicrobial 988 activities of chitosan can be affected by the pH or the matrix. Indeed, the pKa of 989 chitosan, where half of its amino group are protonated and half are not, is around 6.5; 990 therefore, this means that at pH <6.5, the protonated form of chitosan predominates, 991 which results in a greater positive charge density, and leads to stronger and more 992 frequent electrostatic interactions, and thus to greater antimicrobial effectiveness 993 (Helander et al., 2001; Devlieghere et al., 2004; Jung et al., 2010; Kong et al., 2010). 994 This was illustrated by the growth of *Candida lambica*, which was completely inhibited 995 at pH 4.0, while at pH 6.0, the same chitosan concentration led to a relatively small 996 decrease in growth rate (Devlieghere et al., 2004). Furthermore, this also explains why 997 chitosan is less soluble in water alone than in solutions with acids, where chitosan 998 shows more positive charges, and therefore a greater number of interactions. Chitosan 999 with a higher degree of deacetylation, which has greater numbers of positive charges,

43

1000 would also be expected to have stronger antibacterial activities (Jung et al., 2010; Kong 1001 et al., 2010; Tayel et al., 2010). On the other hand, numerous studies have generated different results relating to correlations between the chitosan bactericidal activities and 1002 1003 its molecular weight. In some studies, lower molecular weight chitosans (ranging from  $2.7 \times 10^4$  to  $5.5 \times 10^4$  Da) was more effective against bacteria than higher molecular 1004 1005 weight chitosans (Liu et al., 2006; Tayel et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2011). In other studies, 1006 this trend was observed against Gram-negative bacteria, but not against Gram-positive 1007 bacteria (No et al., 2002; Zheng and Zhu, 2003). According to Benhabiles et al. (2012), 1008 when the molecular weight of chitosan is low, its polymer chains have greater flexibility 1009 to create more bonds, and they can thus better interact with the microbial cells. In other 1010 studies, no trends were reported for the antibacterial actions related to increased or 1011 decreased molecular weights of chitosan (Jung et al., 2010; Mellegård et al., 2011).

1012

#### 1013 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE TRENDS

1014

1015 This review reports on the recent and most relevant studies concerning preharvest 1016 spraying and postharvest application of chitosan for fruit and vegetables. These studies 1017 have shown that this biopolymer can effectively maintain the fruit and vegetable 1018 quality, and can control their postharvest decay during storage and shelf life. Studies 1019 dealing with the mechanisms of action of chitosan as an antimicrobial against 1020 postharvest fungi and foodborne bacteria are also summarized here. The film-forming 1021 properties, antimicrobial activities, and induction of plant resistance of chitosan appear 1022 to be the main factors in its success. With its intrinsic properties, and because of its 1023 double activity on the host and the pathogen, chitosan can be considered as the first of a

#### G. ROMANAZZI ET AL.

new class of plant-protection products (Bautista-Baños et al., 2006). Moreover, chitosan
has been under considerable investigation for applications in biomedicine,
pharmacology, biotechnology, and in the food industry, due to its biocompatibility,
biodegradability, and bioactivity (Synowiecki and Al-Khateeb, 2003; Tharanathan and
Kittur, 2003; Wu et al., 2005). Chitosan is not toxic to humans and its safe use as a
pharmaceutical carrier has been reported (Baldrick, 2010; USFDA, 2013).

1030 Chitosan has been reported to be a potentially viable alternative for fruit and 1031 vegetable preservation. Multicomponent edible coatings can be produced with suitable 1032 ingredients for the product to provide the desired barrier protection, while also serving 1033 as a vehicle for the incorporation of specific additives that can enhance the 1034 functionality, such as antioxidants and antimicrobials, thus avoiding pathogen or 1035 foodborne microorganism growth on the surface of fruit and vegetable products 1036 (Valencia-Chamorro et al., 2011). The combination of chitosan with minerals, vitamins 1037 or other nutraceutical compounds can reinforce the nutritional value of the commodities, 1038 without reducing the taste acceptability. This new generation of edible coatings is being 1039 especially designed to allow incorporation and/or controlled release of antioxidants, 1040 vitamins, nutraceuticals, and natural antimicrobial agents (Vargas et al., 2008; 1041 McClements et al., 2009).

1042 The availability of commercial chitosan products that are easily dissolvable in 1043 water now provides an alternative to synthetic fungicides for growers, for the control of 1044 diseases of fruit and vegetables. However, at present, none of the formulations of 1045 chitosan are registered as plant protectant products. The present review summarizes the 1046 application of chitosan either preharvest or postharvest. Here, postharvest treatment is 1047 not advisable for fruit that are characterized by a bloom on the surface, such as table

45

1048 grapes, or that have a thin waxy pericarp and succulent flesh, such as strawberries, 1049 which can be easily damaged during harvest and postharvest handling. On these commodities, preharvest treatment (even 1-2 days before harvest) can be considered as 1050 1051 a promising approach to control the postharvest decay of these fruit under storage. Although a lot of information regarding the effectiveness of chitosan in the control of 1052 1053 postharvest decay of fruit and vegetables is available, its application to large-scale tests 1054 and its integration into commercial agricultural practices are key points that need to be investigated further. In addition, more studies concerning the exact mechanisms of 1055 1056 action of chitosan are needed. Also, several mechanisms relating to its antifungal and 1057 antibacterial activities remain unclear. New knowledge about these aspects will provide the necessary information to support decisions relating to the preparation of the 1058 1059 chitosan, which molecular weight chitosan to use, and the kind of commercial 1060 formulation.

1061

#### 1062 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

1063 This work was supported by EUBerry Project (EU FP7 KBBE 2010-4, Grant

1064 Agreement No. 265942).

1065

1066 *REFERENCES* 

- 1067
- Abbasi, N.A., Iqbal, Z., Maqbool, M., and Hafiz, I.A. (2009). Postharvest quality of
  mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) fruit as affected by chitosan coating. *Pak. J. Bot.* **41**: 343-357.
- 1071 Abd-Alla, M.A., and Haggag, W.M. (2010). New safe methods for controlling
  1072 anthracnose disease of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) fruits caused by
  1073 Colletotrichum gloeosporioides (Penz.). J. Am. Sci. 8: 361-367.

- 1074 Ait Barka, E., Eullaffroy, P., Clement, C., and Vernet, G. (2004). Chitosan improves
  1075 development, and protects *Vitis vinifera* L. against *Botrytis cinerea*. *Plant Cell*1076 *Rep.* 22 : 608-614.
- 1077 Al Eryani, A.R., Mahmud, T.M.M., Omar, S.R.S., and Zaki, A.R.M. (2008). Effects of
  1078 calcium infiltration and chitosan coating on storage life and quality
  1079 characteristics during storage of papaya (*Carica papaya* L.). *Int. J. Agric.*1080 *Resour.* **3** : 296-306.
- Ali, A., and Mahmud, T.M.M. (2008). The potential use of locally prepared chitosan to
  control in vitro growth of *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* isolated from papaya
  fruits. *Acta Hortic.* 804 : 177-182.
- Ali, A., Muhammad, M.T.M., Sijam, K., and Siddiqui, Y. (2010). Potential of chitosan
  coating in delaying the postharvest anthracnose (*Collectorichum gloeosporioides*Penz.) of Eksotika II papaya. *Int. J. Food Sci. Tech.* 45 : 2134-2140.
- Ali, A., Muhammad, M.T.M., Sijam, K., and Siddiqui, Y. (2011). Effect of chitosan
  coatings on the physicochemical characteristics of Eksotika II papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) fruit during cold storage. *Food Chem.* 124 : 620-626.
- Antunes, M.D.C., and Cavaco, A.M. (2010). The use of essential oils for postharvest
  decay control. A review. *Flavour Fragr. J.* 25 : 351-366.
- Atkinson R.G., Sutherland P.W., Johnston S.L., Gunaseelan K., Hallett I.C., Mitra D.,
  Brummell D.A., Schroder R., Johnston J.W., and Schaffer R.J. (2012). Downregulation of polygalacturonase 1 alters firmness, tensile strength and water loss
  in apple (*Malus x domestica*) fruit. *BMC Plant Biol.* 12 : 129.
- Ayranci, E., and Tunc, S. (2003). A method for the measurement of the oxygen
  permeability and the development of edible films to reduce the rate of oxidative
  reactions in fresh foods. *Food Chem.* 80 : 423-431.
- Badawy, M.E.I., and Rabea, E.I. (2009). Potential of the biopolymer chitosan with
  different molecular weights to control postharvest gray mold of tomato fruit. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 51 : 110-117.
- Badawy, M.E.I., Rabea, E., Rogge, T.M., Stevens, C.V., Smagghe, G., Steurbaut, W.,
  and Höfte, M. (2004). Synthesis and fungicidal activity of new *N*,*O*-Acyl
  Chitosan derivatives. *Biomacromolecules* 5 : 589-595.

- Baez-Sañudo, M., Siller-Cepeda, J., Muy-Rangel, D., and Heredia, J.B. (2009).
  Extending the shelf-life of bananas with 1-methylcyclopropene and a chitosanbased edible coating. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 89 : 2343-2349.
- Baker, C.J., and Orlandi, E.W. (1995). Active oxygen in plant pathogenesis. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 33 : 299-321.
- Baldrick, P. (2010). The safety of chitosan as a pharmaceutical excipient. *Regul. Toxicol. Pharm.* 56 : 290-299.
- Barrett, D.M., Beaulieu, J.C., and Shewfelt, R. (2010). Color, flavor, texture, and
  nutritional quality of fresh-cut fruits and vegetables: desirable levels,
  instrumental and sensory measurement, and the effects of processing. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 50 : 369-389.
- Bautista-Baños, S., and Bravo-Luna, L. (2004). Evaluación del quitosano en el
  desarrollo de la pudrición blanda del tomate durante el almacenamiento. *Rev. Iberoamericana de Tecnología Postcosecha* 6 : 63-67.
- Bautista-Baños, S., Hernández-López, M., and Bosquez-Molina, E. (2004). Growth
  inhibition of selected fungi by chitosan and plant extracts. *Mex. J. Phytopathol.*22: 178-186.
- Bautista-Baños, S., Hernandez-Lopez, M., Bosquez-Molina, E., and Wilson, C.L.
  (2003). Effects of chitosan and plant extracts on growth of *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*, anthracnose levels and quality of papaya fruit. *Crop Prot.* 22: 1087-1092.
- Bautista-Baños, S., Hernandez-Lauzardo, A.N., Velazquez-del Valle, M.G., HernandezLopez, M., Ait Barka, E., Bosquez-Molina, E., and Wilson, C.L. (2006).
  Chitosan as a potential natural compound to control pre and postharvest diseases
  of horticultural commodities. *Crop Prot.* 25 : 108-118.
- Bautista-Baños, S., Hernández-López, M., Trejo-Tapia, J.L., Hernández-Lauzardo,
  A.N., Bautista-Cerón, M.K., and Melo-Giorgana, G.E. (2005). Effect of chitosan
  on *in vitro* development and morphology of two isolates of *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* Penz. *Mex. J. Phytopathol.* 23 : 62-67.
- Benhabiles, M.S., Salah, R., Lounici, H., Drouiche, N., Goosen, M.F.A., and Mameri,
  N. (2012). Antibacterial activity of chitin, chitosan and its oligomers prepared
  from shrimp shell waste. *Food Hydrocoll.* 29 :48-56.

- Ben-Shalom, N., Ardi, R., Pinto, R., Aki, C., and Fallik, E. (2003). Controlling gray
  mould caused by *Botrytis cinerea* in cucumber plants by means of chitosan. *Crop Prot.* 22 : 285-290.
- Berger, C.D., Sodha, S. V., Shaw, R.K., Griffin, P.M., Plnk, D., Hand, P., and Frankel,
  G. (2010). Fresh fruits and vegetables as vehicle for the transmission of human
  pathogens. *Environ. Microbiol.* 12 : 2385-2397.
- Beuchat, L.R. (2002). Ecological factors influencing survival and growth of human
  pathogens on raw fruits and vegetables. *Microbes Infect.* 4: 413-423.
- Bhattacharya, A., Sood, P., and Citovsky, V. (2010). The roles of plant phenolics in
  defence and communication during *Agrobacterium* and *Rhizobium* infection. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 11 :705-719.
- Bourlieu C., Guillard, V., Vallès-Pamiès, B., Guilbert, S., and Gontard, N. (2009).
  Edible moisture barrier: how to assess of their potential and limits in food
  products shelf-life extension? *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 49 : 474-499.
- 1151 Calvo-Garrido, C., Viñas, I., Elmer, P.A.G., Usall, J., and Teixidò, N. (2013).
  1152 Suppression of *Botrytis cinerea* on necrotic grapevine tissues by early season
  1153 applications of natural products and biological control agents. *Pest Manag. Sci.*1154 in press.
- 1155 Campos-Vargas, R., and Saltveit, M.E. (2002). Involvement of putative chemical
  1156 wound signals in the induction of phenolic metabolism in wounded lettuce.
  1157 *Physiol. Plant.* 114 : 73-84.
- Canale Rappussi, M.C., Pascholati, S.F., Aparecida Benato, E., and Cia, P. (2009).
  Chitosan reduces infection by *Guignardia citricarpa* in postharvest "Valencia" oranges. *Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol.* 52 : 513-521.
- Canale Rappussi, M.C., Benato, E.A., Cia, P., and Pascholati, S.F. (2011). Chitosan and
  fungicides on postharvest control of *Guignardia citricarpa* and on quality of
  "Pêra Rio" oranges. *Summa Phytopathol.* 37 : 142-144.
- Caro, Y., and Joas, J. (2005). Postharvest control of litchi pericarp browning (cv. Kwaï
  Mi) by combined treatment of chitosan and organic acids II. Effect of the initial
  water content of pericarp. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 38 : 137-144.
- Casals, C., Elmer, P.A.G., Viñas, I., Teixidó, N., Sisquella, M., and Usall, J. (2012).
  The combination of curing with either chitosan or *Bacillus subtilis* CPA-8- to

1169	control brown rot infections caused by Monilinia fructicola. Postharvest Biol
1170	<i>Technol.</i> <b>64</b> : 126-132.
1171	

- 1171 Cè, N., Noreña, C.P.Z., and Brandelli, A. (2012). Antimicrobial activity of chitosan 1172 films containing nisin, peptide P34, and natamycin. *J. Food* **10** : 21-26.
- 1173Centers for Diseases Control and Prevention (CDC). Estimates of foodborne illness in1174theUnitedStates.Availableat:1175http://www.cdc.gov/foodborneburden/PDFs/FACTSHEET\_A\_FINDINGS.pdf.1176Accessed January 14, 2011.
- Cervera, M.F., Heinämäki, J., Räsänen, M., Maunu, S.L., Karjalainen, M., Acosta,
  O.M.N., Colarte, A.I., and Yliruusi, J. (2004). Solid-state characterization of
  chitosans derived from lobster chitin. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 58 : 401-408.
- Cháfer, M., Sánchez-González, L., González-Martínez, C., and Chiralt, A. (2012).
  Fungal decay and shelf life of oranges coated with chitosan and bergamot,
  thyme, and tea tree essential oils. *J. Food Sci.* 77 : 182-187.
- Cheah, L.H., Page, B.B.C., and Shepherd, R. (1997). Chitosan coating for inhibition of
  sclerotinia rots of carrots. *New Zeal. J. Crop Hort.* 25 : 89-92.
- Chen, W., Jin, T.Z., Gurtler, J.B., Geveke, D.J. and Fan, X. (2012). Inactivation of *Salmonella* on whole cantaloupe by application of an antimicrobial coating
  containing chitosan and allyl isothiocyanate. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 155 : 1651188 170.
- Cheng, G.W., and Breen, P.J. (1991). Activity of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL)
  and concentrations of anthocyanins and phenolics in developing strawberry fruit. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 116 : 865-869.
- Chien, P.J., and Chou, C.C. (2006). Antifungal activity of chitosan and its application to
  control post-harvest quality and fungal rotting of Tankan citrus fruit (*Citrus tankan* Hayata). *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 86 : 1964-1969.
- Chung, Y.C., Yeh, J.Y., and Tsai, C.F. (2011). Antibacterial characteristics and activity
  of water-soluble chitosan derivatives prepared by the Maillard reaction. *Molecules* 16 : 8504-8514.
- Cong, F., Zhang, Y., and Dong, W. (2007). Use of surface coatings with natamycin to
  improve the storability of Hami melon at ambient temperature. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 46 : 71-75.

- Dang, Q.F., Yan, J.Q., Li, Y., Cheng, X.J., Liu, C.S., and Chen, X.G. (2010). Chitosan
  acetate as an active coating material and its effects on the storing of *Prunus avium* L. *J. Food Sci.* **75** : 125-131.
- Das, D.K., Dutta, H., and Mahanta, C.L. (2013). Development of a rice starch-based
  coating with antioxidant and microbe-barrier properties and study of its effect on
  tomatoes stored at room temperature. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* 50 : 272-278.
- De Capdeville, G., Wilson, C.L., Beer, S.V., and Aist, J.R. (2002). Alternative disease
  control agents induce resistance to blue mold in harvested "Red Delicious" apple
  fruit. *Phytopathology* 92 : 900-908.
- De Moura, M.R., Aouada, F.A., Avena-Bustillos, R.J., McHugh, T.H., Krochta, J.M.,
  and Mattoso, L.H.C. (2009). Improved barrier and mechanical properties of
  novel hydroxypropyl methylcellulose edible films with
  chitosan/tripolyphosphate nanoparticles. *J. Food Eng.* 92 : 448-453.
- 1214 De Reuck, K., Sivakumar, D., and Korsten, L. (2009). Effect of integrated application
  1215 of chitosan coating and modified atmosphere packaging on overall quality
  1216 retention in litchi cultivars. J. Sci. Food Agric. 89 : 915-920.
- 1217 Devlieghere, F., Vermeulen, A., and Debevere, J. (2004). Chitosan: antimicrobial
  1218 activity, interactions with food components and applicability as a coating on
  1219 fruit and vegetables. *Food Microbiol.* 21 : 703-714.
- Du, J., Gemma, H., and Iwahori, S. (1997). Effects of chitosan coating on the storage of
  peach, Japanese pear and kiwifruit. *J. Japan. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 66 : 15-22.
- Duan, J., Wu, R., Strik, B.C., and Zhao, Y. (2011). Effect of edible coating on the
  quality of fresh blueberry (Duke and Elliott) under commercial storage
  conditions. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 59 : 71-79.
- Ducamp-Collin, M.N., Ramarson, H., Lebrun, M., Self, G., and Reynes, M. (2008).
  Effect of citric acid and chitosan on maintaining red colouration of litchi fruit
  pericarp. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 49 : 241-246.
- Eikemo, H., Stensvand, A., and Tronsmo, A.M. (2003). Induced resistance as a possible
  means to control diseases of strawberry caused by *Phytophthora* spp. *Plant Dis*.
  87: 345-350.

- 1231 El Ghaouth, A., Arul, J., Ponnampalam, R., and Boulet, M. (1991a). Chitosan coating
  1232 effect on storability and quality of fresh strawberries. *J. Food Sci.* 56 : 16181233 1620.
- El Ghaouth, A., Arul, J., Ponnampalam, R., and Boulet, M. (1991b). Use of chitosan
  coating to reduce water loss and maintain quality of cucumber and bell pepper
  fruits. *J. Food Process. Pres.* 15 : 359-368.
- El Ghaouth, A., Arul, J., Grenier, J., and Asselin, A. (1992a). Antifungal activity of
  chitosan on two postharvest pathogens of strawberry fruits. *Phytopatology* 82 :
  398-402.
- 1240 El Ghaouth, A., Ponnampalam, R., Castaigne, F., and Arul, J. (1992b). Chitosan coating
  1241 to extend the storage life of tomatoes. *Hortscience* 27 : 1016-1018.
- El Ghaouth, A., Smilanick, J.L., and Wilson, C.L. (2000). Enhancement of the
  performance of *Candida saitoana* by the addition of glycolchitosan for the
  control of the postharvest decay of apple and citrus fruit. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 19 : 103-110.
- 1246 Elmer, P.A.G., and Reglinski, T. (2006). Biosuppression of *Botrytis cinerea* in grapes.
  1247 *Plant Pathol.* 55 : 155-177.
- El-Mougy, N.S., Abdel-Kader, M.M., and Aly, M.H. (2012). Effect of a new chemical
  formula on postharvest decay incidence in citrus fruit. *J. Plant Prot. Res.* 52 :
  1250 156-164.
- Eweis, M., Elkholy, S.S., and Elsabee, M.Z. (2006). Antifungal efficacy of chitosan and
  its thioures derivatives upon the growth of some sugar-beet pathogens. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 38 : 1-8.
- FAO. 2011. Global food losses and food waste. In: International Congress "SAVE
  FOOD!", 16-17 May, Düsseldorf, Germany.
- Feliziani, E., Smilanick, J.L., Margosan, D.A., Mansour, M.F., Romanazzi, G., Gu, S.,
  Gohil, H.L., and Rubio Ames, Z. (2013a). Preharvest fungicide, potassium
  sorbate, or chitosan use on quality and storage decay of table grapes. *Plant Dis.*97: 307-314.
- Feliziani, E., Santini, M., Landi, L., and Romanazzi, G. (2013b). Pre and postharvest
  treatment with alternatives to synthetic fungicides to control postharvest decay
  of sweet cherry. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 78 : 133-138.

- Fornes, F., Almela, v., Abad, M., and Agustí, M. (2005). Low concentrations of
  chitosan coating reduce water spot incidence and delay peel pigmentation of
  Clementine mandarin fruit. J. Sci. Food Agric. 85 : 1105-1112.
- Gao, P., Zhu, Z., and Zhang, P. (2013). Effects of chitosan-glucose complex coating on
  postharvest quality and shelf life of table grapes. *Carbohyd. Polym.* 95 : 371378.
- García-Rincón, J., Vega-Pérez, J., Guerra-Sánchez, M.G., Hernández-Lauzardo, A.N.,
  Peña-Díaz, A., and Velázquez-Del Valle, M.G. (2010). Effect of chitosan on
  growth and plasma membrane properties of *Rhizopus stolonifer* (Ehrenb.:Fr.)
  Vuill. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 97 : 275-278.
- Gerasimova, N.G., Pridvorova, S.M., and Ozeretskovskaya, O.L. (2005). Role of LPhenylalanine ammonia lyase in the induced resistance and susceptibility of
  potato plants. *Appl. Biochem. Microbiol.* 41 : 103-105.
- Gatto, M.A., Ippolito, A., Linsalata, V., Cascarano, N.A., Nigro, F., Vanadia, S., and Di
  Venere, D. (2011). Activity of extracts from wild edible herbs against
  postharvest fungal diseases of fruit and vegetables. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 61
  : 72-82.
- Ghasemnezhad, M., Shiri, M.A., and Sanavi, M. (2010). Effect of chitosan coatings on
  some quality indices of apricot (*Prunus armeniaca* L.) during cold storage. *Caspian J. Env. Sci.* 8 : 25-33.
- Guerra-Sánchez, M.G., Vega-Pérez, J., Velázquez-del Valle, M.G., and HernándezLauzardo, A.N. (2009). Antifungal activity and release of compounds on *Rhizopus stolonifer* (Ehrenb.:Fr.) Vuill. by effect of chitosan with different
  molecular weights. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 93 : 18-22.
- Hadwiger, L.A. (1999). Host-parasite interactions: elicitation of defense responses in
  plant with chitosan. *Experientia Suppl.* 87 : 185-200.
- Hadwiger, L.A., and Loschke D.C. (1981). Molecular communication in host-parasite
  interactions: hexosamine polymers (chitosan) as regulator compounds in racespecific and other interactions. *Phytopathology* **71** : 756-762.
- Han, C., Zhao, Y., Leonard, S.W., and Traber, M.G. (2004). Edible coating to improve
  storability and enhance nutritional value of fresh and frozen strawberry

- 1294 (Fragaria x ananassa) and raspberries (Rubus ideaus). Postharvest Biol.
  1295 Technol. 33: 67-78.
- Han, C., Lederer, C., McDaniel, M., and Zhao, Y. (2005). Sensory evaluation of fresh
  strawberry (*Fragaria* x *ananassa*) coated with chitosan-based edible coatings. *J. Food Sci.* **70** : 172-178.
- Helander, I.M., Nurmiaho-Lassila, E.L., Ahvenainen, R., Rhoades, J., and Roller, S.
  (2001). Chitosan disrupts the barrier properties of the outer membrane of Gramnegative bacteria. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **71** : 235-244.
- Hernández-Lauzardo, A.N., Velázquez-Del Valle, M.G., Veranza-Castelán, L., MeloGiorgana, G.E., and Guerra-Sánchez, M.G. (2008). Effect of chitosan and three
  isolates of *Rhizopus stolonifer* obtained from peach, papaya and tomato. *Fruits*65 : 245-253.
- Hernández-Muñoz, P., Almenar, E., Ocio, M.J., and Gavara, R. (2006). Effect of
  calcium dips and chitosan coatings on postharvest life of strawberries (*Fragaria*x ananassa). Postharvest Biol. Technol. 39 : 247-253.
- Hernández-Muñoz, P., Almenar, E., del Valle, V., Velez, D., and Gavara, R. (2008).
  Effect of chitosan coating combined with postharvest calcium treatment on
  strawberry (*Fragaria* x *ananassa*) quality during refrigerated storage. *Food Chem.* 110 : 428-435.
- Hewajulige, I.G.N., Sultanbawa, Y., and Wijesundara, R.L.C. (2009). Mode of action of
  chitosan coating on anthracnose disease control in papaya. *Phytoparasitica* 37:
  437-444.
- Hong, K., Xie, J., Zhang, L., Sun, D., and Gong, D. (2012). Effects of chitosan coating
  in postharvest life and quality of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) fruit during cold
  storage. *Sci. Hortic.* 144 : 172-178.
- Hosseini, M.H., Razavi, S.H., Mousavi, S.M.A., Yasaghi, S.A.S., and Hasansaraei, A.G.
  (2008). Improving antibacterial activity of edible films based on chitosan by
  incorporating thyme and clove essential oils and EDTA. *J. Appl. Sci.* 8 : 28952900.
- Inatsu, Y., Kitagawa, T., Bari, M.L., Nei, D., Juneja, V., and Kawamoto, S. (2010).
  Effectiveness of acidified sodium chloride and other sanitizers to control

- *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 on tomato surfaces. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* 7: 629635.
- Iriti, M., Vitalini, S., Di Tommaso, G., D'Amico, S., Borgo, M., and Faoro, F. (2011).
  New chitosan formulation prevents grapevine powdery mildew infection and
  improves polyphenol content and free radical scavenging activity of grape and
  wine. *Aust. J. Grape Wine Res.* 17 : 263-269.
- Jiang, Y., and Li, Y. (2001). Effects of chitosan coatings on postharvest life and quality
  of longan fruit. *Food Chem.* 73 : 139-143.
- Jiang, Y., Li, J., and Jiang, W. (2005). Effects of chitosan on shelf life of cold-stored
  litchi fruit at ambient temperature. *LWT- Food Sci. Technol.* 57 : 757-761.
- Jitareerat, P., Paumchai, S., Kanlayanarat, S., and Sangchote, S. (2007). Effect of
  chitosan on ripening, enzymatic activity, and disease development in mango
  (*Mangifera indica*) fruit. *New Zeal. J. Crop Hort.* 35 : 211-218.
- Joas, J., Caro, Y., Ducamp, M.N., and Reynes, M. (2005). Postharvest control of
  pericarp browning of litchi fruit (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn cv Kwaï Mi) by
  treatment with chitosan and organic acids I. Effect of pH and pericarp
  dehydratation. *Postharvest Biol. Technol* 38 : 128-136.
- Jung, E.J., Youn, D.K., Lee, S.H., No, H.K., Ha, J.G., and Prinyawiwatkul, W. (2010).
  Antibacterial activity of chitosans with different degrees of deacetylation and
  viscosities. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* 45 : 676-682.
- Kader, A.A. (2005). Increasing food availability by reducing postharvest losses of fresh
  produce. *Acta Hortic.* 682 : 2169-2175.
- Kerch, G., Sabovics, M., Kruma, Z., Kampuse, S., and Straumite, E. (2011). Effect of
  chitosan and chitooligosaccharide on vitamin C and polyphenols contents in
  cherries and strawberries during refrigerated storage. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.*233 : 351-358.
- Kim, K.W., Min, B.J., Kim, Y.T., Kimmel, R.M., Cooksey, K., and Park, S.I. (2011).
  Antimicrobial activity against foodborne pathogens of chitosan biopolymer films
  of different molecular weights. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* 44 : 565-569.
- Kittur, F.S., Saroja, N., Habibunnisa, and Tharanathan, R.N. (2001). Polysaccharidebased composite formulations for shelf-life extension of fresh banana and
  mango. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* 213 : 306-311.

- Kong, M., Chen, X.G., Xing, K., and Park, H.J. (2010). Antimicrobial properties of
  chitosan and mode of action: a state of the art review. *Int. J. Food Microbiol*.
  1359 144: 51-63.
- Kurzawińska, H., and Mazur, S. (2007). The effect of *Pythium oligandrum* and chitosan
  used in control of potato against late blight and the occurrence of fungal disease
  on tuber peel. *Commun. Agric. Appl. Biol. Sci.* **72** : 967-971.
- Landi, L., Feliziani, E. and Romanazzi, G. (2014). Expression of defense genes in
  strawberry fruit treated with different resistance inducers. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*(in press).
- Lattanzio, V., Lattanzio, V.M.T. and Cardinali, A. (2006). Role of phenolics in the
  resistance mechanisms of plants against fungal pathogens and insects. In:
  Phytochemistry Advances in Research (Imperato, F. ed.), pp. 23–67. India:
  Research Signpost.
- Li, H., and Yu, T. (2001). Effect of chitosan on incidence of brown rot, quality and
  physiological attributes of postharvest peach fruit. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 81 : 269274.
- Li, X.F., Feng, X.Q., Yang, S., and Wang, T.P. (2008). Effects of molecular weight and
  concentration of chitosan on antifungal activity against *Aspergillus niger*. *Iran. Polym. J.* 17 : 843-852.
- Li, Y.C., Sun, X.J., Bi, Y., Ge, Y.H., and Wang, Y. (2009). Antifungal activity of
  chitosan on *Fusarium sulphureum* in relation to dry rot of potato tuber. *Agr. Sci. China* 8: 597-604.
- Li, J., Yan, J., Wang, J., Zhao, Y., Cao, J., and Jiang, W. (2010a). Effects of chitosan
  coating on oxidative stress in bruised Yali pears (*Pyrus bretschneideri* Rehd.). *Int. J. Food Sci. Tech.* 45 : 2149-2154.
- Li, L.H., Deng, J.C., Deng, H.R., Liu, Z.L., and Xin, L. (2010b). Synthesis and
  characterization of chitosan/ZnO nanoparticle composite membranes. *Carbohydr. Res.* 345 : 994-998.
- Lin, L., Wang, B., Wang, M., Cao, J., Zhang, J., Wu, Y., and Jiang, W. (2008). Effects
  of a chitosan-based coating with ascorbic acid on post-harvest quality and core
  browning of "Yali" pear (*Pyrus bertschneideri* Rehd.). J. Sci. Food Agric. 88:
  877-884.

- Lin, B., Du Y., Liang X., Wang, X., Wang, X., and Yang, J. (2011). Effect of chitosan
  coating on respiratory behavior and quality of stored litchi under ambient
  temperature. *J. Food Eng.* 102 : 94-99.
- Lira-Saldivar, R.H., Hernández-Suárez, M., and Hernández-Castillo, F.D. (2006).
  Activity of *Larrea tridentata* (D.C.) Coville L. extracts and chitosan against
  fungi that affect horticultural crops. *Rev. Chapingo Ser. Hortic.* 12 : 211-216.
- Liu, J., Tian, S., Meng, X., and Hu, Y. (2007). Effects of chitosan on control of
  postharvest diseases and physiological responses of tomato fruit. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 44 : 300-306.
- Liu, N., Chen, X.G., Park, H.J., Liu, C.G., Liu, C.S., Meng, X.H., and Yu, L.J. (2006).
  Effect of MW and concentration of chitosan on antibacterial activity of *Escherichia coli. Carbohyd. Polym.* 64 : 60-65.
- Liu, H., Du, Y., Wang, X., and Sun, L. (2004). Chitosan kills bacteria through cell
  membrane damage. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 95 : 147-155.
- Maqbool, M., Ali, A., Ramachandran, S., Smith, D.R., and Alderson, P.G. (2010a).
  Control of postharvest antrachnose of banana using a new edible composite film. *Crop Prot.* 29 : 1136-1141.
- Maqbool, M., Ali, A., and Alderson, P.G. (2010b). A combination of gum arabic and
  chitosan can control antrachnose caused by *Colletotrichum musae* and enhance
  the shelf-life of banana fruit. *J. Hortic. Sci. Biotech.* 85 : 432-436.
- Maqbool, M., Ali, A., Alderson, P.G., Zahid, N., and Siddiqui, Y. (2011). Effect of a
  novel edible composite coating based on gum Arabic and chitosan on
  biochemical and physiologic responses of banana fruit during cold storage. J. *Agr. Food Chem.* 59 : 5474-5482.
- Martínez-Castellanos, G., Shirai, K., Pelayo-Zaldívar, C., Pérez-Flores, L., and
  Sepúlveda-Sánchez, J.D. (2009). Effect of *Lactobacillus plantarum* and chitosan
  in the reduction of browning of pericarp Rambutan (*Nephelium lappaceum*). *Food Microbiol.* 26 : 444-449.
- Mazaro, S.M., Deschamps, C., May De Mio, L.L., Biasi, L.A., De Gouvea, A., and
  Kaehler Sautter, C. (2008). Postharvest behaviour of strawberry fruits after pre
  harvest treatment with chitosan and acibenzolar-s-methyl. *Rev. Bras. Frutic.* 30:
  1420
  185-190.

- McClements, D.J., Decker, E.A., Park, Y., and Weiss, J. (2009). Structural design
  principles for delivery of bioactive components in nutraceuticals and functional
  foods. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci.* 49 : 577-606.
- Mellegård H., Strand, S.P., Christensen, B.E., Granum, P.E., and Hardy, S.P. (2011).
  Antibacterial activity of chemically defined chitosans: Influences of molecular
  weight, degree of acetylation and test organism. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 148 : 4854.
- Meng, X., Li, B., Liu, J., and Tian, S. (2008). Physiological responses and quality
  attributes of table grape fruit to chitosan preharvest spray and postharvest
  coating during storage. *Food Chem.* 106 : 501-508.
- Meng, X., and Tian., S. (2009). Effects of preharvest application of antagonistic yeast
  combined with chitosan on decay and quality of harvested table grape fruit. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 89 : 1838-1842.
- Meng, X., Yang, L., Kennedy, J.F., and Tian, S. (2010a). Effects of chitosan and
  oligochitosan on growth of two fungal pathogens and physiological properties in
  pear fruit. *Carbohyd. Polym.* 81 : 70-75.
- Meng, X.H., Qin, G.Z., and Tian, S.P. (2010b). Influences of preharvest spraying
   *Cryptococcus laurentii* combined with chitosan coating on postharvest disease
   and quality of table grapes in storage. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* 43 : 596-601.
- Molloy, C., Cheah, L.H., and Koolaard, J.P. (2004). Induced resistance against *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in carrots treated with enzymatically hydrolysed
  chitosan. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 33: 61-65.
- Muñoz, Z., Moret, A., and Garcés, S. (2009). Assessment of chitosan for inhibition of *Colletotrichum* sp. on tomatoes and grapes. *Crop Prot.* 28 : 36-40.
- Nawrocki, J. (2006). The protection of parsley seedlings (*Petroselinum sativum* Hoffm.
  ssp. *microcarpum*) against damping-off. *Commun. Agric. Appl. Biol. Sci.* 71 :
  993-997.

# No, H.K., Park, N.Y., Lee, S.H., and Meyers, S.P. (2002). Antibacterial activity of chitosan and chitosan oligomers with different molecular weights. *Int. J. of Food Microbiol.* 74 : 65-72.

- Ojaghian, M.R., Amoneafy, A.A., Cui, Z.Q., Xie, G.L., Zhang, J., Shang, C., and Li., B.
  (2013). Application of acetyl salicylic acid and chemically different chitosans
  against storage carrot rot. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 84 : 51-60.
- 1454 Olivas, G.I., and Barbosa-Cánovas, G.V. (2005). Edible coatings for fresh-cut fruits.
  1455 *Crit. Rev. Food Sci.* 45 : 657-670.
- Orgaz, B., Lobete, M.M., Puga, C.H., and San Jose, C. (2011). Effectiveness of chitosan
  against mature biofilms formed by food related bacteria. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 12:
  817-828.
- 1459 Özden, Ç., and Bayindirli, L. (2002). Effects of combinational use of controlled
  1460 atmosphere, cold storage and edible coating applications on shelf life and quality
  1461 attributes of green peppers. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* 214 : 320-326.
- Palma-Guerrero, J., Jansson, H., Salinas, J., and Lopez-Llorca, L. (2008). Effect of
  chitosan on hyphal and spore germination of plant pathogenic and biocontrol
  fungi. *J. Applied Microbiol.* 104 : 541-553.
- Palma-Guerrero, J., Huang, I., Jansson, H., Salinas, J., Lopez-Llorca, L., and Read, N.
  (2009). Chitosan permeabilizes the plasma membrane and kills cells of *Neurospora crassa* in an energy dependent manner. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 46 : 585-594.
- Palma-Guerrero, J., Lopez-Jimenez, J., Pérez-Berna, A., Huang, I., Jansson, H., Salinas,
  J., Villalaín, J., Read, N., and Lopez-Llorca, L. (2010). Membrane fluidity
  determines sensitivity of filamentous fungi to chitosan. *Mol. Microbiol.* 75 :
  1021-1032.
- Park, S.I., Stan, S.D., Daescheler, M.A., and Zhao, Y. (2005). Antifungal coatings on
  fresh strawberries (*Fragaria x ananassa*) to control mold growth during cold
  storage. J. Food Sci. **70** : 202-207.
- Perdones, A., Sánchez-González, L., Chiralt, A., and Vargas, M. (2012). Effect of
  chitosan-lemon essential oil coatings on storage-keeping quality of strawberry. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 70 : 32-41.
- Pereira de Abreu, D.A., Paseiro Losada, P., Angulo, I., and Cruz, J.M. (2007).
  Development of new polyolefin films with nanoclays for application in food
  packaging. *Eur. Polym. J.* 43 : 2229-2243.

- Pinto, R.J.B., Fenandes, S.C.M., Freira, C.S.R., Sadocco, P., Causio, J., Neto, C.P., and
  Trindade, T. (2012). Antibacterial activity of optically transparent
  nanocomposite films based on chitosan or its derivatives and silver
  nanoparticles. *Carbohydr. Res.* 348 : 77-83.
- Plascencia-Jatomea, M., Viniegra, G., Olayo, R., Castillo-Ortega, M.M., and Shirai, K.
  (2003). Effect of chitosan and temperature on spore germination of *Aspergillus niger. Macromol. Biosci.* 3 : 582-586.
- Porta, R., Mariniello, L., di Pierro, P., Sorrentino, A., and Giosafatto, C.V.L. (2011).
  Transglutaminase crosslinked pectinand chitosan-based edible films: a review. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci.* 51 : 223-238.
- Qiuping, Z., and Wenshui, X. (2007). Effect of 1-methylcycloprpene and/or chitosan
  coating treatments on storage life and quality maintenance of Indian jujube fruit. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* 40 : 404-411.
- Qi, L., Xu, Z., Jiang, X., Hu, C., and Zou, X. (2004). Preparation and antibacterial
  activity of chitosan nanoparticles. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 339 : 2693-2700.
- Raafat, D., von Bargen, K., Hass, A., and Sahl, H.G. (2008). Insights into the mode of
  action of chitosan as an antimicrobial compound. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 74:
  3764-3773.
- Rabea, E.I., Badawy, M.E.T., Stevens ,C.V., Smagghe, G., and Steurbaut, W. (2003).
  Chitosan as antimicrobial agent: application and mode of action. *Biomacromolecules* 4: 1457-1465.
- Rabea, E.I., and Badawy, M.E.I. (2012). Inhibitory effects on microbial growth of *Botrytis cinerea* and *Erwinia carotovora* on potato using of a biopolymer
  chitosan at differnt molecular weights. *Arc. Phytopathol. Plant Prot.* 1-11.
- Rapisarda, P., Tomaino, A., Lo Cascio, R., Bonina, F., De Pasquale, A., and Saijia, A.
  (1999). Antioxidant effectiveness as influenced by phenolic content of fresh
  orange juices. J. Agric. Food Chem. 47 : 4718-4723.
- Ramos-García, M., Bosquez-Molina, E., Hernández-Romano, J., Zavala-Padilla, G.,
  Terrés-Rojas, E., Alia-Tejacal, I., Barrera-Necha, L., Hernández-López, M., and
  Bautista-Baños, S. (2012). Use of chitosan-based edible coatings in combination
  with other natural compound, to control *Rhizopus stolonifer* and *Escherichia coli*DH5α in fresh tomatoes. *Crop Prot.* 38 : 1-6.

- Reddy, B.M.V., Belkacemi, K., Corcuff, R., Castaigne, F., and Arul, J. (2000a). Effect
  of pre-harvest chitosan sprays on post-harvest infection by *Botrytis cinerea* and
  quality of strawberry fruit. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 20 : 39-51.
- Reddy, B.M.V., Angers, P., Castaigne, F., and Arul, J. (2000b). Chitosan effect on
  blackmold rot and pathogenic factors produced by *Alternaria alternata* in
  postharvest tomatoes. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 125 : 742-747.
- Reglinski, T., Elmer, P.A.G., Taylor, J.T., Wood, P.N., and Hoyte, S.M. (2010).
  Inhibition of *Botrytis cinerea* growth and suppression of botrytis bunch rot in
  grape using chitosan. *Plant Pathol.* 59 : 882-890.
- Ribeiro, C., Vicente, A.A., Teixeira, J.A., and Miranda, C. (2007). Optimization of
  edible coating composition to retard strawberry fruit senescence. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 44 : 63-70.
- Rice-Evans, C. A., Miller, N. J., and Paganga, G. (1997). Antioxidant properties of
  phenols. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2 : 152-159.
- Romanazzi, G., Schena, L., Nigro, F., and Ippolito, A. (1999). Preharvest chitosan
  treatments for the control of postharvest decay of sweet cherries and table
  grapes. J. Plant Pathol. 81 : 237.
- Romanazzi, G., Nigro, F., and Ippolito, A. (2000). Effetto di trattamenti pre e
  postraccolta con chitosano sui marciumi della fragola in conservazione. *Frutticoltura* 62 : 71-75.
- Romanazzi, G., Nigro, F., Ippolito, A., Di Venere, D., and Salerno, M. (2002). Effects
  of pre and postharvest chitosan treatments to control storage grey mold of table
  grapes. J. Food Sci. 67 : 1862-1867.
- Romanazzi, G., Nigro, F., and Ippolito, A. (2003). Short hypobaric treatments potentiate
  the effect of chitosan in reduction storage decay of sweet cherries. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 29 : 73-80.
- Romanazzi, G., Mlikota Gabler, F., and Smilanick, J.L. (2006). Preharvest chitosan and
  postharvest UV irradiation treatments suppress gray mold of table grapes. *Plant Dis.* 90 : 445-450.
- Romanazzi, G., Karabulut, O.A., and Smilanick, J.L. (2007). Combination of chitosan
  and ethanol to control postharvest gray mold of table grapes. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 45 : 134-140.

- Romanazzi, G., Mlikota Gabler, F., Margosan, D.A., Mackey, B.E., and Smilanick, J.L.
  (2009). Effect of acid used to dissolve chitosan on its film forming properties
  and its ability to control postharvest gray mold of table grapes. *Phytopathology*1549 99 : 1028-1036.
- Romanazzi, G., Feliziani, E., Santini, M., and Landi, L. (2013). Effectiveness of
  postharvest treatment with chitosan and other resistance inducers in the control
  of storage decay of strawberry. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* **75** : 24-27.
- Ruoyi K., Zhifang Y., and Zhaoxin L. (2005). Effect of coating and intermittent
  warming on enzymes, soluble pectin substances and ascorbic acid of *Prunus persica* (Cv. Zhonghuashoutao) during refrigerated storage. *Food Res. Int.* 38:
  331-336.
- 1557 Sánchez-Domínguez, D., Ríos M.Y., Castillo-Ocampo, P., Zavala-Padilla, G., Ramos1558 García, M., and Buatista-Baños, S. (2011). Cytological and biochemical changes
  1559 induces by chitosan in the pathosystem *Alternaria alternata*-tomato. *Pesticide*1560 *Biochem. Physiol.* 99 : 250-255.
- Sánchez-González, L., Pastor, C., Varga, M., Chiralt, A., González-Martínez, C., and
  Cháfer, M. (2011). Effect of hydroxypropylmethylcellulose and chitosan
  coatings with and without bergamot essential oil on quality and safety of coldstored grapes. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 60 : 57-63.
- Salvador-Figueroa, M., Aragón-Gómez, W.I., Hernández-Ortiz, E., Vázquez-Ovando,
  J.A., and Adriano-Anaya M. (2011). Effect of chitosan coating on some
  characteristics of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) "Ataulfo" subjected to
  hydrothermal process. *Afr. J. Agric. Resour.* 6 : 5800-5807.
- Schreiber, S.B., Bozell, J.J., Hayes, D.G., and Zivanovic, S. (2013). Introduction of
  primary antioxidant activity to chitosan for application as a multifunctional food
  packaging material. *Food Hydrocolloid*. 33 : 207-214.
- Shao, X.F., Tu, K., Tu, S., and Tu, J. (2012). A combination of heat treatment and
  chitosan coating delays ripening and reduces decay in "Gala" apple fruit. J. *Food Qual.* 35 : 83-92.
- Shiri, M.A., Ghasemnezhad, M., Bakhshi, D., and Sarikhani, H. (2012). Effect of
  postharvest putrescine application and chitosan coating on maintaining quality of
  table grape cv. "Shahroudi" during long-term storage. *J. Food Process. Pres.* 37

- 1578 : 999-1007.Sivakumar, D., Regnier, T., Demoz, B., and Korsten, L. (2005a).
  1579 Effect of different post-harvest treatments on overall quality retention in litchi
  1580 fruit during low temperature storage. *J. Hortic. Sci. Biotech.* 80 : 32-38.
- Sivakumar, D., Sultanbawa, J., Ranasingh, N., Kumara, P., and Wijesundera, R.L.C.
  (2005b). Effect of the combined application of chitosan and carbonate salts on
  the incidence of anthracnose and on the quality of papaya during storage. J. *Hortic. Sci. Biotech.* 80 : 447-452.
- Stevens, C., Liu, J., Khan, V.A., Lu, J.Y., Kabwe, M.K., Wilson, C.L., Igwegbe,
  E.C.K., Chalutz, E., and Droby, S. (2004). The effects of low-dose ultraviolet
  light-C treatment on polygalacturonase activity, delay ripening and Rhizopus
  soft rot development of tomatoes. *Crop Prot.* 23 : 551-554.
- Sun, D., Liang, G., Xie, J., Lei, X., and Mo, Y. (2010). Improved preservation effects of
  litchi fruit by combining chitosan coating with ascorbic acid treatment during
  postharvest storage. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 9 : 3272-3279.
- Synowiecki, J., and Al-Khateeb, N. (2003). Production, properties, and some new
  applications of chitin and its derivatives. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 43 : 145-171.
- Takahashi, T., Imai, M., Suzuki, I., and Sawai, J. (2008). Growth inhibition effect on
  bacteria of chitosan membranes regulated with deacetylation degree. *Biochem*. *Eng. J.* 40 : 485-491.
- Tayel, A.A., Moussa, S., Opwis, K., Knittel, D., Schollmeyer, E., and Nickisch-Hartfiel,
  A. (2010). Inhibition of microbial pathogens by fungal chitosan. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 47 : 10-14.
- Tharanathan, R.N., and Kittur, F.S. (2003). Chitin The undisputed biomolecule of
  great potential. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci.* 43 : 61-87.
- Torres, R., Valentines, M.C., Usall, J., Vinas, I., and Larrigaudiere, C. (2003). Possible
  involvement of hydrogen peroxide in the development of resistance mechanisms
  in 'Golden Delicious' apple fruit. *Postharv. Biol. Technol.* 27 : 235–242.
- 1605 USFDA, 2013. GRAS notice inventory. GRN No. 397. www.fda.gov
- Valencia-Chamorro, S., Palou, L., del Rio, M.A., and Pérez-Gago, M.B. (2011).
  Antimicrobial edible coating for fresh and minimally processed fruits and
  vegetables: a review. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci.* 51 : 872-900.

- Van-Loon, L.C., and Van-Strien, E.A. (1999). The families of pathogenesis-related
  proteins, their activities, and comparative analysis of PR-1 type proteins. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology* 55: 85-97.
- Vargas, M., Albors, A., Chiralt, A., and Gonzalez-Martinez, C. (2006). Quality of coldstored strawberries as affected by chitosan-oleic acid edible coatings. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 41: 164-171.
- Vargas, M., Pastor, C., Chiralt, A., McClements, J., and González-Martínez, C. (2008).
  Recent advances in edible coatings for fresh and minimally processed fruits.
  Recent advances in edible coatings for fresh and minimally fruits. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci.* 48 : 496.511.
- Vu, D.K., Hollingsworth R.G., Leroux E., Salmieri S., and Lacroix M. (2011).
  Development of edible bioactive coating based on modified chitosan for
  increasing the shelf life of strawberries. *Food Res. Int.* 44 : 198-203.
- Wang, S.Y., and Gao, H. (2012). Effect of chitosan-based edible coating on
  antioxidants, antioxidant enzyme system, and postharvest fruit quality of
  strawberries (*Fragaria* x *ananassa* Duch.). *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* 52 : 7179.
- Win, N.K.K., Jitareerat, P., Kanlayanarat, S., and Sangchote, S. (2007). Effects of
  cinnamon extract, chitosan coating, hot water treatment and their combinations
  on crown rot disease and quality of banana fruit. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 45:
  333-340.
- World Health Organization (WHO). (2006). WHO consultation to develop a strategy to
  estimate the global burden of foodborne diseases: taking stock and charting the
  way forward. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, September 25–27, 2006.
- Wu, H., Wang, D., Shi, J., Xue, S., and Gao, M. (2010). Effect of complex of Zinc (II)
  and Cerium (IV) with chitosan on the preservation quality and degradation of
  organophosphorus pesticides in Chinese jujube (Zizyphus jujube Mill. Cv.
  Dongzao). J. Agric. Food Chem. 58 : 5757-5762.
- Wu, T., Zivanovic, S., Draughon, F.A., Conway, W.S., and Sams, C.E. (2005).
  Physiochemical properties and bioactivity of fungal chitin and chitosan. *J. Agr. Food Chem.* 53 : 3888-3894.

- Yaman, Ö., and Bayindirli, L. (2001). Effects of an edible coating and cold storage on
  shelf-life and quality of cherries. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* 35 : 146-150.
- Yang, L., Zhao, P., Wang, L., Filippus, I., and Meng, X. (2010). Synergistic effect of
  oligochitosan and silicon on inhibition of *Monilia fructicola* infections. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 90 : 630-634.
- Yang, L., Zhang, J.L., Bassett, C.L., and Meng, X.H. (2012). Difference between
  chitosan and oligochitosan in growth of *Monilinia fructicola* and control of
  brown rot in peach fruit. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* 46 : 254-259.
- Yen, M.-T., Yang, J.-H., and Mau, J.-L. 2008. Antioxidant properties of chitosan from
  crab shells. *Carbohyd. Polym.* 74 : 840-844
- Yong-Cai, L., Xiao-Juan, S., Yang, B., Yong-Hong G., and Yi, W. (2009). Antifungal
  activity of chitosan on *Fusarium sulphureum* in relation to dry rot of potato
  tuber. *Agr. Sci. China* 8 : 597-604.
- Yu, T., Li, H.Y., and Zheng, X.D. (2007). Synergistic effect of chitosan and *Cryptococcus laurentii* on inhibition of *Penicillium expansum* infections. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 114 : 261-266.
- Yu, T., Yu, C., Chen, F., Sheng, K., Zhou, T., Zunun, M., Abudu, O., Yang, S., and
  Zheng, X. (2012). Integrated control of blue mold in pear fruit by combined
  application of chitosan, a biocontrol yeast and calcium chloride. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 69 : 49-53.
- 1660 Xiao-Juan, S., Yang, B., Yong-Cai, L., Rui-Feng, H., and Yong-Hong, G. (2008).
  1661 Postharvest chitosan treatment induces resistance in potato against *Fusarium*1662 sulphureum. Agr. Sci. China 7: 615-621.
- 1663 Xing, Y., Li, X., Xu, Q., Yun, J., Lu, Y., and Tang, Y. (2011a). Effect of chitosan
  1664 coating enriched with cinnamon oil on qualitative properties of sweet pepper
  1665 (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Food Chem.* 124 : 1443-1450.
- 1666 Xing, Y., Xu, Q., Che, Z., Li, X., and Li, W. (2011b). Effects of chitosan-oil coating on
  1667 blue mold disease and quality attributes of jujube fruits. *Food Funct.* 2 : 4661668 474.
- 1669 Xu, J., Zhao, X., Wang, X., Zhao, Z., and Du, Y. (2007a). Oligochitosan inhibits
  1670 *Phytophtora capsici* by penetrating the cell membrane and putative binding to
  1671 intracellular targets. *Pesticide Biochem. Physiol.* 88 : 167-175.

- 1672 Xu, W.T., Huang, K.L., Guo, F., Qu, W., Yang, J.J., Liang, Z.H., and Luo, Y.B.
  1673 (2007b). Postharvest grapefruit seed extract and chitosan treatments of table
  1674 grapes to control *Botrytis cinerea*. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 46 : 86-94.
- Zahid, N., Ali, A., Manickam, S., Siddiqui, Y., and Maqbool, M. (2012). Potential of
  chtiosan-loaded nanoemulsions to control different *Colletotrichum* spp. and
  maintain quality of tropical fruits during cold storage. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 113 :
  925-939.
- Zeng, K., Deng, Y., Ming, J., and Deng, L. (2010). Induction of disease resistance and
  ROS metabolism in navel oranges by chitosan. *Sci. Hortic.* 126 : 223-228.
- 1681 Zivanovic, S., Chi, S., and Draughon, A.F. (2005). Antimicrobial activity of chitosan
  1682 films enriched with essential oils. *J. Food Sci.* **70** : 45-51.
- 1683 Zhang, D., and Quantick, P.C. (1997). Effect of chitosan coating on enzymatic
  1684 browning and decay during postharvest storage of litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.)
  1685 fruit. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 12 : 195-202.
- 1686 Zhang, D., and Quantick, P.C. (1998). Antifungal effects of chitosan coating on fresh
  1687 strawberries and raspberries during storage. *J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol.* **73** : 7631688 767.
- 1689 Zheng, L.Y., and Zhu, J.F. (2003). Study on antimicrobial activity of chitosan with
  1690 different molecular weights. *Carbohyd. Polym.* 54 : 527-530.
- 1691 Zhou, R., Mo, Y., Li, Y., Zhao, Y., Zhang, G., and Hu, Y. (2008). Quality and internal
  1692 characteristics of Huanghua pear (*Pyrus pyrifolia* Nakai, cv. Huanghua) treated
  1693 with different kinds of coatings during storage. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 49:
  1694 171-179.
- Zhu, X., Wang, Q., Cao, J., and Jiang, W. (2008). Effects of chitosan coating on
  postharvest quality of mango (*Mangifera indica* L. cv. Tainong) fruits. J. Food *Process. Pres.* 32 : 770-784.
- 1698

Product trade name	Company (Country)	Formulation	a.i. (%)	Fruit/vegetable	Reference
Chito Plant	ChiPro GmbH (Bremen, Germany)	Powder	99.9	Table grapes, sweet cherry, strawberry	Feliziani et al., 2013a; 2013b; Romanazzi et al., 2013
OIIYS	Venture Innovations (Lafayette, LA, USA)	Liquid	5.8	Table grapes	Feliziani et al., 2013a
Armour-Zen	Botry-Zen Limited (Dunedin, New Zealand)	Liquid	14.4	Peach, table grapes	Casals et al., 2012; Calvo-Garrido et al., 2013; Feliziani et al., 2013a
Biorend	Bioagro S.A. (Chile)	Liquid	1.25	Clementine, mandarin fruit	Fornes et al., 2005
FreshSeal	BASF Corporation (Mount Olive, NJ, USA)	Liquid	n.d.	Banana	Baez-Sañudo et al., 2009
ChitoClear	Primex ehf (Siglufjordur, Iceland)	Powder	100	Rambutan fruit	Martínez-Castellanos et al., 2009
Bioshield	Seafresh (Bangkok, Thailand)	Powder	100	Mango	Jitarrerat et al., 2007
Biochikol 020 PC	Gumitex (Lowics, Poland)	Liquid	2	Potato	Kurzawińska and Mazur, 2007

1699 **Table 1**. Chitosan-based commercial products that are available for the control of postharvest diseases.

1700 a.i., active ingredient

Fruit	Decay	Integration to chitosan	References (application time)
Table grape	Gray mold	-	Romanazzi et al., 2002 (pre- and postharvest)
		Acid solutions	Romanazzi et al., 2009 (postharvest)
		Ethanol	Romanazzi et al., 2007 (postharvest)
		Grape seed extract	Xu et al., 2007b (postharvest)
	Gray mold and blue mold	UV	Romanazzi et al., 2006 (preharvest)
	Decay in general	Cryptococcus laurentii	Meng and Tian, 2009 (preharvest); 2010a (postharvest
Strawberry	Gray mold	-	El Ghaouth et al., 1991a; 1992a (postharvest); Zhang and Quantick, 1998 (postharvest); Romanazzi et al., 2000 (pre and postharvest); Reddy et al., 2000a (preharvest); Mazaro et al., 2008 (preharvest)
		Lemon essential oil	Perdones et al., 2012 (postharvest)
		Red thyme, oregano extract, limonene, peppermint	Vu et al., 2011(postharvest)
	Rhizopus rot	-	El Ghaouth et al., 1992a (postharvest); Zhang and Quantick, 1998 (postharvest); Romanazzi et al., 200 (pre and postharvest); Park et al., 2005 (postharvest)
	Cladosporium rot	-	Park et al., 2005 (postharvest)
	Decay in general	Calcium lactate + calcium gluconate, vitamin E	Han et al., 2004 (postharvest)
		Calcium gluconate	Hernández-Muñoz et al., 2006 (postharvest); 2008 (postharvest)
		Oleic acid	Vargas et al., 2006 (postharvest)
Raspberry	Decay in general	Calcium lactate, calcium gluconate, vitamin E	Han et al., 2004 (postharvest)
	Gray mold and Rhizopus rot	-	Zhang and Quantick, 1998 (postharvest)

1702	Table 2.	Chitosan treatments	with other	applications	for storage decay	of temperate fruit.

Fruit	Decay	Integration to chitosan	References (application time)
Blueberry	Decay in general	-	Duan et al., 2011 (postharvest)
Apple	Blue mold	UV-C, <i>Candida satoiana</i> , harpin	De Capdeville et al., 2002 (postharvest)
		Cryptococcus laurentii	Yu et al., 2007 (postharvest)
		Candida satoiana	El Ghaout et al., 2000 (postharvest)
		Heat treatment	Shao et al., 2012 (postharvest)
	Gray mold	Candida satoiana	El Ghaout et al., 2000 (postharvest)
	•	Heat treatment	Shao et al., 2012 (postharvest)
Pear	Blue mold	Calcium chloride + Cryptococcus laurentii	Yu et al., 2012 (postharvest)
Peach	Brown rot	-	Li and Yu, 2001 (postharvest)
		Heat treatment	Casals et al., 2012 (postharvest)
Sweet cherry	Decay in general	Hypobaric treatment	Romanazzi et al., 2003 (pre- and postharvest)
·		-	Romanazzi et al., 1999 (preharvest); Feliziani et al. 2013b (pre- and postharvest)
Orange	Blue mold	Bergamot, thyme, tea tree essential oil	Cháfer et al., 2012 (postharvest)
	Black spot disease	-	Canale Rappussi et al., 2009; 2011 (postharvest)
Tankan citrus fruit	Decay in general	-	Chien and Chou, 2006 (postharvest)
Clementine mandarin fruit	Decay in general	-	Fornes et al., 2005 (pre- or postharvest)

Fruit	Decay	Integration to chitosan	<b>References (application time)</b>
Banana	Anthracnose	_	Zahid et al., 2012 (postharvest)
		Arabic gum	Maqbool et al., 2010a; 2010b (postharvest)
	Crown rot	Cinnamon extract	Win et al., 2007 (postharvest)
Mango	Anthracnose	-	Zhu et al., 2008 (postharvest); Abd-Alla and
		Imadiation	Haggag, 2010 (postharvest)
-		Irradiation	Abbasi et al., 2009 (postharvest)
Papaya	Anthracnose	-	Hewajulige et al., 2009 (postharvest); Ali et al., 2010 (postharvest); Zahid et al., 2012 (postharvest)
		Aqueous extract of papaya seeds	Bautista-Baños et al., 2003 (postharvest)
		Ammonium carbonate, sodium bicarbonate	Sivakumar et al., 2005b (postharvest)
Dragon fruit	Anthracnose	-	Zahid et al., 2012 (postharvest)
Litchi fruit	Blue mold and Cladosporium rot	Potassium metabisulfite	Sivakumar et al., 2005a (postharvest)
Longan fruit	Decay in general		Jiang and Li, 2001 (postharvest)

**Table 3**. Chitosan treatments with other applications for storage decay of tropical fruit.

Vegetable	Decay	Integration to chitosan	<b>References (application time)</b>
Tomato	Gray mold		El Ghaouth et al., 1992b (postharvest); Badawy and Rabea 2009 (postharvest)
	Gray mold and blue mold		Liu et al., 2007 (postharvest)
	Blackmold Rot		Reddy et al., 2000b (postharvest)
Sweet pepper	Decay in general	Cinnamon oil	Xing et al., 2011a (postharvest)
Melon	Fusarium rot and alternaria	Natamycin	Cong et al., 2007 (postharvest)
	rot	-	

**Table 4**. Chitosan treatments with other applications for storage decay of vegetables.

Fungus	Infected species	Reference
Alternaria alternata	Tomato	Sánchez-Domínguez et al., 2011
Alternaria kikuchiana	Pear	Meng et al., 2010a
Aspergillus phoenicus	Pear	Cè et al., 2012
Aspergillus niger		Plascencia-Jatomea et al., 2003
Botrydiplodia lecanidion	Tankan citrus fruit	Chien and Chou, 2006
Botrytis cinerea	Tomato, potato, bell pepper fruit, cucumber, peach, strawberries, table grapes, pear, apple, Tankan citrus fruit	El Ghaouth et al., 1992a; 2000; Du et al., 1997; Romanazzi et al., 2002; Ben-Shalom et al., 2003; Ait Barka et al., 2004; Badawy et al., 2004; Chien and Chou, 2006; Lira-Saldivar et al., 2006; Elmer and Reglinski, 2006; Liu et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2007b; Badawy and Rabea, 2009; Rabea and Badawy, 2012
Cladosporium spp.	Litchi fruit, strawberry	Park et al., 2005; Sivakumar et al., 2005a
Colletotrichum gloeosporioides	Mango, papaya	Bautista Baños et al., 2003; Sivakumar et al., 2005b; Jitareerat et al. 2007; Ali and Mahmud, 2008; Hewajulige et al., 2009; Abd-Alla and Haggar, 2010; Ali et al, 2010; Zahid et al., 2012
Colletotrichum musae	Banana	Win et al., 2007; Maqbool et al., 2010a; 2010b; Zahid et al., 2012
Colletotrichum spp.	Table grapes and tomato	Muñoz et al., 2009
Fusarium solani		Eweis et al., 2006
Fusarium sulphureum	Potato	Yong-Cai, et al., 2009
Fusarium spp.	Banana	Win et al., 2007
Geotricum candidum		El-Mougy et al., 2012
Guignardia citricarpa	Orange	Canale Rappussi et al., 2009; 2011
Lasiodiplodia theobromae	Banana	Win et al., 2007
Monilinia fructicola	Apple, peach	Yang et al., 2010; 2012
Monilinia laxa	Sweet cherry	Feliziani et al., 2013b
Penicillium citrinum	Jujube	Xing et al., 2011b
Penicillium digitatum	Orange, lemon, Tankan citrus fruit	El Ghaouth et al., 2000; Bautista Baños et al., 2004; Chien and Chou, 2006; El-Mougy et al., 2012
Penicillium expansum	Litchi fruit, strawberries, apple,	El Ghaouth et al., 2000; Sivakumar et al., 2005a; Liu et al., 2007;

**Table 5**. Growth inhibition of chitosan on decay-causing fungi that affect the produce during storage.

Fungus	Infected species	Reference
	pear, tomato	Yu et al., 2007
Penicillium italicum	Tankan citrus fruit	Chien and Chou, 2006; El-Mougy et al., 2012
Penicillium stolonifer	Pear	Cè et al., 2012
Phytophthora cactorum	Strawberries	Eikemo et al., 2003
Physalospora piricola	Pear	Meng et al., 2010a
Rhizopus stolonifer	Peach, strawberries, papaya, tomato	El Ghaouth et al., 1992a; Bautista Baños et al., 2004; Park et al., 2005; Guerra-Sánchez et al., 2009; García-Rincón et al., 2010 Hernández-Lauzardo et al., 2010; Ramos-García et al., 2012
Sclerotinia sclerotiorum	Carrot	Cheah et al., 1997; Molloy et al., 2004; Ojaghian et al., 2013

73

Fruit	Physiological change	Integration to chitosan	References
Table grapes	Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase	-	Romanazzi et al., 2002; Meng et al., 2008
		Cryptococcus laurentii	Meng and Tian, 2009; Meng et al., 2010b
	Peroxidase	-	Meng et al., 2008; Gao et al., 2013
	Polyphenol oxidase, superoxide dismutase	-	Meng et al., 2008; Gao et al., 2013
		Cryptococcus laurentii	Meng and Tian, 2009; Meng et al., 2010b
	Chitinase, myricetin	-	Feliziani et al., 2013a
	Quercetin	-	Feliziani et al., 2013a
		Putrescine	Shiri et al., 2012
	Respiration	Bergamot oil	Sánchez-González et al., 2011
	Trans-resveratrol	UV	Romanazzi et al., 2006
		-	Feliziani et al., 2013a
	Soluble solids content	-	Meng et al., 2008
		Bergamot oil	Sánchez-González et al., 2011
		Cryptococcus laurentii	Meng et al., 2010b
		Glucose	Gao et al., 2013
	Titratrable acidity	-	Meng et al., 2008
	Total phenolic content	-	Meng et al., 2008
		Cryptococcus laurentii	Meng et al., 2010b
		Putrescine	Shiri et al., 2011
	Weight loss, color, texture	Bergamot oil	Sánchez-González et al., 2011
		Putrescine	Shiri et al., 2012
		Grape seed extract	Xu et al., 2007b
		Glucose	Gao et al., 2013
	Shattering and cracking	Putrescine	Shiri et al., 2012
		Grape seed extract	Xu et al., 2007b
Strawberries	Titratable acidity	-	El Ghaouth et al., 1991a; Zhang and Quantick, 1998; Reddy et al., 2000a

1714 **Table 6**. Physiological changes that can occur in temperate fruit after chitosan treatment.

Vitamin E

Han et al., 2004; 2005

# G. ROMANAZZI ET AL.

Fruit	Physiological change	Integration to chitosan	References
		Calcium gluconate	Hernández- Muñoz et al., 2008
	pH	Calcium gluconate	Hernández-Muñoz et al., 2008
	-	Vitamin E	Han et al., 2004
	Antocyanin content	-	El Ghaouth et al., 1991a; Zhang and Quantick, 1998; Reddy et al., 2000a
		Oleic acid	Vargas et al., 2006
	Total polyphenol	-	Kerch et al., 2011
	Soluble solids content	Vitamin E	Han et al., 2005
	Colour	Calcium gluconate	Hernández-Muñoz et al., 2008
		Vitamin E	Han et al., 2004; 2005
	Firmness	Calcium gluconate	Hernández-Muñoz et al., 2008
		-	El Ghaouth et al., 1991a
	Vitamin C content	-	Zhang and Quantick, 1998; Kerch et al., 2011; Wang and Gao, 2012
	Glutathion	-	Wang and Gao, 2012
	Chitinase	-	Zhang and Quantick, 1998; Landi et al., 2014
	β-1,3 glucanase	-	Zhang and Quantick, 1998; Landi et al., 2014
	Phenilalanine ammonia-lyase	-	Romanazzi et al., 2000; Landi et al., 2014
	Weight loss	Vitamin E	Han et al., 2004
	Respiration	-	El Ghaouth et al., 1991a; Vargas et al., 2006
	Chalcone isomerase, flavonol synthase, anthocyanidin synthase, calcium-dependent protein kinase, potassium channel, PR-1, polygalacturonase, polygalacturonase inhibiting protein	-	Landi et al., 2014
	Catalase, glutathione-peroxidase, guaiacol peroxidase, dehydroascorbate reductase, monodehydroascorbate	-	Wang and Gao, 2012

Fruit	Physiological change	Integration to chitosan	References
	reductase		
Raspberry	Weigth loss, color, pH, titratable acidity	Vitamin E	Han et al., 2004
	Ascorbic acid, titratable acidity, firmness, antocyanin content	-	Zhang and Quantick, 1998
Apple	Respiration, firmness, weicht loss, titratable acidity	Heat	Shao et al., 2012
Pear	Polyphenol oxidase, chitinase, $\beta$ -1,3 glucanase,	-	Meng et al., 2010b
	ROS, catalase, superoxide dismutase, ascorbate peroxidase, glutathione reductase		Li et al., 2010a
	Peroxidase	-	Meng et al., 2010b; Li et al., 2010a
	Respiration, permeability of cell membrane, weight loss	-	Zhou et al., 2008
		Ascorbic acid	Lin et al., 2008
	Soluble solid contents, titratable acidity, firmness	Ascorbic acid	Lin et al., 2008
Apricot	Total phenolic content, antioxidant activity, weight loss	-	Ghasemnezhad et al., 2010
Peach	Titratable acidity, ascorbic acid, respiration, firmness, ethylene and malondialdehyde production, superoxide dismutase	-	Li and Yu, 2001
	Polyphenol oxidase, peroxidase, ascorbic acid oxidase, polygalacturonase, vitamic C	CaCl <sub>2</sub> coating + PEpackage + intermittent warming	Ruoyi et al., 2005
Sweet cherry	Titratable acidity, soluble solid, catalase, peroxidase, polyphenol oxidase, phenilalanine ammonia-lyase, respiration	-	Dang et al., 2010
	Ascorbic acid	_	Dang et al., 2010; Kerch et al., 2011
	Phenols content, antocyanin content	-	Kerch et al., 2011
Orange	Water loss, firmness	Bergamot, thyme, tea tree essential oil	Cháfer et al., 2012
	Color	-	Canale Rappussi et al., 2011
	Chitinase, b-1,3-glucanase, polyphenol oxidase	-	Canale Rappussi et al., 2009
	Peroxidase	-	Canale Rappussi et al., 2009; Zeng et al.,

Fruit	Physiological change	Integration to chitosan	References
			2010
	Superoxide dismutase, catalase, ascorbate peroxidase, glutathione reductase, hydrogen peroxide content, ascorbate content	-	Zeng et al., 2010
Tankan citrus fruit	Firmness, weight loss, titratable acidity, ascorbic acid, soluble solids	-	Chien and Chou, 2006
Jujube	Polyphenol oxidase, phenolic compounds	-	Xing et al., 2011b
-		Zinc, cerium	Wu et al., 2010
	Ascorbic acid	-	Xing et al., 2011b
		1-methylcyclopropene	Qiuping and Wenshui, 2007
	Firmness	1-methylcyclopropene	Qiuping and Wenshui, 2007
	Weight loss	1-methylcyclopropene	Qiuping and Wenshui, 2007
		Zinc, cerium	Wu et al., 2010
	Respiration, soluble solids	Zinc, cerium	Wu et al., 2010

7	7
1	1

1717	Table 7. Physiological	changes that can	occur in tropical	l fruit after chitos	san treatment.

Fruit	Physiological changes	Integration to chitosan	References
Banana	Titratable acidity	-	Kittur et al., 2001
	-	1-methylcyclopropene	Baez-Sañudo et al., 2009
		Arabic gum	Maqbool et al., 2010a, 2010b
	Respiration	-	Kittur et al., 2001
		1-methylcyclopropene	Baez-Sañudo et al., 2009
		Arabic gum	Maqbool et al., 2011
	Firmness, soluble solids content	-	Kittur et al., 2001; Win et al., 2007
		1-methylcyclopropene	Baez-Sañudo et al., 2009
		Arabic gum	Maqbool et al., 2010a; 2010b; 2011
	Color change	-	Kittur et al., 2001; Win et al., 2007
		1-methylcyclopropene	Baez-Sañudo et al., 2009
		Arabic gum	Maqbool et al., 2011
	Weight loss	Arabic gum	Maqbool et al., 2010a; 2010b; 2011
Longan fruit	Respiration, weight loss, color change, polyphenol oxidase, titratable acidity, total soluble solids, ascorbic acid	-	Jiang and Li, 2001
Mango	Titratable acidity, weight loss	-	Jitareerat et al., 2007; Zhu et al., 2008
		Hydrothermal process	Salvador-Figueroa et al., 2011
	Total soluble solids, firmness,	-	Zhu et al., 2008
	color change	Hydrothermal process	Salvador-Figueroa et al., 2011
	pН	Hydrothermal process	Salvador-Figueroa et al., 2011
	Chitinase, b-1,3-glucanase	-	Jitareerat et al., 2007
	Respiration, ascorbic acid	-	Jitareerat et al., 2007; Zhu et al., 2008
Papaya	Titratable acidity, total soluble	-	Ali et al., 2010; 2011
- •	solids	Calcium infiltration	Al Eryani et al., 2008
	Ascorbic acid	-	Ali et al., 2011

G. ROMANAZZI ET AL.

Fruit	Physiological changes	Integration to chitosan	References
		Calcium infiltration	Al Eryani et al., 2008
	Weight loss, color change	-	Ali et al., 2011
		Ammonium carbonate, sodium bicarbonate	Sivakumar et al., 2005b
		Calcium infiltration	Al Eryani et al., 2008
	Firmness	-	Ali et al., 2010; 2011
		Ammonium carbonate, sodium bicarbonate	Sivakumar et al., 2005b
		Aqueous extract of papaya seeds	Bautista-Baños et al., 2003
	Chitinase, b-1,3-glucanase	-	Hewajulige et al., 2009
	Respiration		Hewajulige et al., 2009, Ali et al., 2011
Litchi fruit	Weight loss	-	Zhang and Quantick, 1997; Jiang and Li, 2001; Sivakumar et al., 2005a; Sun et al., 2010; Lin et al., 2011
		Organic acids	Joas et al., 2005; Caro and Joas, 2005
	Titratable acidity	-	Jiang et al., 2005; Sivakumar et al., 2005a; Sun et al., 2010
		Organic acids	Joas et al., 2005; Caro and Joas, 2005
	Total phenolic content, flavonoid content	-	Zhang and Quantick, 1997; Sivakumar et al., 2005a
	Anthocyanin content	-	Zhang and Quantick, 1997; Jiang et al., 2005; Sivakumar et al., 2005a;
		Modified atmosphere packaging	De Reuck et al., 2009
	Respiration rate	-	Lin et al., 2011
	Color change	-	Zhang and Quantick, 1997; Ducamp-Collin et al., 2008
		Organic acids	Caro and Joas, 2005; Joas et al., 2005
		Ascorbic acid	Sun et al., 2010
		Modified atmosphere packaging	De Reuck et al., 2009

79	
1)	

Fruit	Physiological changes	Integration to chitosan	References
	Total soluble solid	_	Jiang et al., 2005
		Ascorbic acid	Sun et al., 2010
	Peroxidase	-	Zhang and Quantick, 1997; Dong et al., 2004
		Ascorbic acid	Sun et al., 2010
		Modified atmosphere packaging	De Reuck et al., 2009
	Polyphenol oxidase	-	Zhang and Quantick, 1997; Jiang et al., 2005; Lin et al., 2011
		Ascorbic acid	Sun et al., 2010
		Modified atmosphere packaging	De Reuck et al., 2009
	Super oxide dismutase, catalase, hydrogen peroxide, malondialdeyde; ascorbic acid content	Ascorbic acid	Sun et al., 2010
Rambutan	Firmness, soluble solid, titratable acidity	Lactobacillus plantatum	Martínez-Castellanos et al., 2009
Guava	Firmness, peroxidase superoxide dismutase, catalase, inhibition of superoxide free radical production, titratable acidity, ascorbic acid, weight loss, soluble solids, chlorophyll and malondialdehyde content	-	Hong et al., 2012

**Table 8**. Physiological changes that can occur in vegetables after chitosan treatment.

Vegetables	Physiological changes	Integration to chitosan	References
Tomato	Respiration rate, color change, ethylene	-	El Ghaouth et al., 1992b
	production, firmness, titratable acidity		
	Polyphenol oxidase, phenolic content	-	Liu et al., 2007; Badawy and Rabea, 2009
	Peroxidase	-	Liu et al., 2007
	Protein content	-	Badawy and Rabea, 2009
	Polygalacturonase, pectate lyase, cellulose, phytoalexin production, pH	-	Reddy et al., 2000b
Potato	Peroxidase, polyphenol oxidase, flavonoid content, lignin content	-	Xiao-Juan et al., 2008
	Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase	-	Gerasimova et al., 2005
Sweet pepper	Superoxide dismutase, peroxidase, catalase	Cinnamon oil	Xing et al., 2011a
	Respiration, weight loss, color	-	El Ghaouth et al., 1991b
Cucumber	Respiration, weight loss, color	-	El Ghaouth et al., 1991b
Melon	Weigth loss, ascorbic acid, pH	Natamycin	Cong et al., 2007
Carrot	Polyphenol oxidase, peroxidase,	-	Ojaghian et al., 2013
	phenylalanine ammonia-layse		

1723	Table 9. Application of chitosan on f	ruit and vegetable to o	control foodborne microorganisms.
------	---------------------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------------

Microorganism	Substrate of growth	Integration to chitosan	References
Escherichia coli	Tomato	-	Inatsu et al., 2010
	Tomato	Beeswax + lime essential oil	Ramos-García et al., 2012
Salmonella spp.	Whole cantaloupe	Allyl isothiocyanate, nisin	Chen et al., 2012