

UNIVERSITÀ POLITECNICA DELLE MARCHE Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Mapping Cilento: Using geotagged social media data to characterize tourist flows in southern Italy

This is the peer reviewd version of the followng article:

Original

Mapping Cilento: Using geotagged social media data to characterize tourist flows in southern Italy / Chua, Alvin; Servillo, Loris; Marcheggiani, Ernesto; Moere, Andrew Vande. - In: TOURISM MANAGEMENT. - ISSN 0261-5177. - STAMPA. - 57:(2016), pp. 295-310. [10.1016/j.tourman.2016.06.013]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11566/236187 since: 2022-06-03T15:46:39Z

Publisher:

Published DOI:10.1016/j.tourman.2016.06.013

Terms of use:

The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. The use of copyrighted works requires the consent of the rights' holder (author or publisher). Works made available under a Creative Commons license or a Publisher's custom-made license can be used according to the terms and conditions contained therein. See editor's website for further information and terms and conditions. This item was downloaded from IRIS Università Politecnica delle Marche (https://iris.univpm.it). When citing, please refer to the published version.

note finali coverpage

(Article begins on next page)

Management

Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for Tourism

Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: JTMA-D-15-01046R2

Title: Mapping Cilento: Using Geotagged Social Media Data to Characterise Tourist Flows in Southern Italy

Article Type: Case Study

Keywords: Data Mining; Visual Analytics; Flow Analysis; Geotagged Social Media Data

Corresponding Author: Mr. Alvin Chua,

Corresponding Author's Institution: KU Leuven

First Author: Alvin Chua

Order of Authors: Alvin Chua; Loris Servillo; Ernesto Marcheggiani; Andrew Vande Moere

Abstract: New sources of geotagged information derived from social media like Twitter show great promise for geographic research in tourism. This paper describes an approach to analyze geotagged social media data from Twitter to characterize spatial, temporal and demographic features of tourist flows in Cilento - a regional tourist attraction in southern Italy. It demonstrates how the analysis of geotagged social media data yields more detailed spatial, temporal and demographic information of tourist movements in comparison to the current understanding of tourist flow patterns in the region. The insights obtained from our case study illustrate the potential of the proposed methodology yet attention should be paid to biases in the data as well as methodological limitations when drawing conclusions from analytical results.

Response to Reviewers

We would like to thank the reviewers for the valuable feedback on our work. We agree with most of the comments and attempt to address each to the best of our abilities. We hope that the revisions are able to the meet the reviewers' expectations. In addition to the key points stated below, we have corrected grammatical and spelling errors. A substantial amount of effort has also gone into verifying that the references are consistently formatted and that missing information like the date, author names and page numbers are resolved. For the following points, we will use p. x, l. y (page x, line y) to indicate where revisions have been made.

1. Is the proposed tourist flow analysis approach a better method than previous research works dealing with the same research topic?

We do not claim that our work is an improvement on, or replacement for, other approaches to characterize tourist flows. However, it does present a substantial advancement in terms of detail when compared to previous attempts to do so with GSMD. The aforementioned points are located between p. 30, l. 581 and p. 31, l. 586 as well as p. 32, l. 629 and p. 32, l. 632.

2. How can better performance of flow analysis be assured when analytical approach goes beyond the case region and is faced with data biases as well as methodological limitations?

We acknowledge the limited discussion in the previous submission. Thus we have elaborated on the subject between p. 32, l. 632 and p. 33, l. 640.

3. It will be better to provide a comprehensive literature review on characterizing tourist flows using GSMD (or specifically geotagged Twitter data) to positioning this paper in the targeted research field, and show the significance of contribution in both the academic and practical domains.

We appreciate the constructive comments on this issue and have further elaborated on the subject between p. 11, l. 242 and p. 12, l. 263. The change includes new 10 references on the use of GSMD in analysis of tourist travel behaviour. Yet only 4 of 10 of these references, to the best of our knowledge, have attempted to characterize certain features of tourist flows.

4. It will be helpful to reorganize section 5 and provide a subsection to describe the public/business implications of the proposed approach in order to reveal its practical importance and guide the way for future adoption.

We agree with the comment and describe possible scenarios for public administrations as well as large and small organizations between p. 33, l. 640 and p. 33, l. 646.

5. How the flow map optimization is achieved and why the shortest path representation is appropriate require explanation.

We have distinguished between the description of the flow map optimization technique in Section 4.3.1 and its implementation in Section 4.3.2 to maintain a certain degree of structure in the paper. Indeed, we have overlooked the rationale for our implementation of the shortest path representation. Thus, this is added to the text at p. 18, 1. 390.

*Title page with author details

Mapping Cilento: Using Geotagged Social Media Data to Characterize Tourist Flows in Southern Italy

Alvin Chua¹, Loris Servillo¹, Ernesto Marcheggiani²³ and Andrew Vande Moere³⁴⁵

¹ (alvin.chua, loris.servillo, andrew.vandemoere)@asro.kuleuven.be

² e.marcheggiani@univpm.it

³ Department of Architecture, KU Leuven, Belgium

⁴ Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, KU Leuven, Belgium

⁵ Department D3A, University of Marche, Italy

- x We introduce a novel approach to tourist flow analysis based on geotagged social media data.
- x Our approach is structured around three research questions that investigate the spatial, temporal and demographic features of tourist flows.
- x Our analysis yields more detailed spatial, temporal and demographic information of tourist movements in comparison to the current understanding of tourist flow patterns in the region.

1 Mapping Cilento: Using Geotagged Social Media

2 Data to Characterize Tourist Flows in Southern

- 3 Italy
- 4 Abstract

5 New sources of geotagged information derived from social media like Twitter show 6 great promise for geographic research in tourism. This paper describes an approach to 7 analyze geotagged social media data from Twitter to characterize spatial, temporal 8 and demographic features of tourist flows in Cilento - a regional tourist attraction in 9 southern Italy. It demonstrates how the analysis of geotagged social media data yields 10 more detailed spatial, temporal and demographic information of tourist movements in 11 comparison to the current understanding of tourist flow patterns in the region. The 12 insights obtained from our case study illustrate the potential of the proposed 13 methodology yet attention should be paid to biases in the data as well as 14 methodological limitations when drawing conclusions from analytical results.

15

16 Keywords: Data Mining; <u>Visual Analytics;</u> Flow Analysis; Geotagged Social Media
 17 Data;

18 **1. Introduction**

19 Flow analysis is a topic of theoretical interest and practical importance in many

- 20 disciplines. "Flow" commonly refers to the collective movement of people or other
- 21 abstract concepts like energy, material and information, from a particular location to
- 22 another. Flow analysis is conventionally conducted to study spatial dynamics and

understand how the environment influences the way people move. For instance, interest
in modeling traffic flows emerged from the need to identify factors that lead to
congestion on transportation infrastructures (Nagatani, 2002). Likewise, insight into
routine flow patterns such as journeys between home and work is crucial for the
conceptualization of functional urban areas (Sykora & Mulicek, 2014), urban
hierarchies (Christaller, 1964) and other territorial structures for policy enactment.

29

30 Tourism plays a major role in many regional economies (Ashley, De Brine, Lehr, & 31 Wilde, 2007) and accounts for a substantial amount of human movement (Schlich & 32 Axhausen, 2003). To meet the planning demands of the tourism industry, it has become 33 increasingly important to monitor and analyze the flows of tourists (Williams, 1998). 34 Access to detailed records of travel routes enables the design of policies that prevent capacity overload on the transportation infrastructure and resolve travel barriers 35 36 between tourist destinations (Prideaux, 2000). Similarly, attractions can be improved or 37 developed according to the preference of different tourist demographics (Lew & 38 McKercher, 2006). While techniques to study routine travel habits are well established, 39 relatively fewer methods have been developed to support the analysis of tourist flows, 40 which are comparably sporadic. One reason for the lack of progress thus far stems from 41 difficulties with data acquisition as tourism often involves travel between urban and 42 rural spaces (Christaller, 1964; Mansfeld, 1990). From a sensing perspective, this poses 43 peculiar challenges with spatial-temporal precision as well as cost in resources (See 44 Section 3).

45

46 Over the past decade, large geotagged datasets have become increasingly commonplace47 due to the proliferation of sensor networks and portable devices like smartphones.

Termed "Big Data" due to the sheer volume of records that emerge from real-time sensing (Kitchin, 2014), such datasets typically contain information of activities or processes linked to the space and time where they occur. In the domain of "Smart City" research (Kitchin, 2014), much has been accomplished with the use of "Big Data" to study human movement. Smart card data from subway (Roth, Kang,

53 Batty, & Barthélemy, 2011) and bike sharing systems (Beecham, Wood, & Bowerman, 54 2014), taxi journey GPS logs (Ferreira, Poco, Vo, Freire, & Silva, 2013) as well as 55 cellular call data records (Sevtsuk & Ratti, 2009) have provided new opportunities to 56 develop greater understanding of mobility patterns in urban environments (Batty, et al., 57 2012). In recent years, social media has exercised a powerful influence on the tourism 58 industry as people increasingly rely on virtual communities, personal blogs and 59 networks like Flickr, Twitter and Instagram for travel information (Xiang & Gretzel, 60 2010). Geotagged Twitter data in particular contains larges amounts of up-to-date 61 content for most locations worldwide (Leetaru, Wang, Cao, Padmanabhan, & Shook, 62 2013). From this perspective, the constant availability of highly granular user-generated 63 data serves as a valuable source of information to study the movements of tourist as 64 well as to understand their travel preferences.

65

In this paper, we describe the use of geotagged social media data to characterize the spatial, temporal and demographic features of tourist flows. Based on a case study situated in Cilento - a tourist venue in southern Italy, we will demonstrate how our analytical approach, operationalized with geotagged Twitter data, addresses the challenge of tracking large numbers of tourists across a large region. More importantly, we will show how the insights we acquired provide more spatial detail than the current understanding of tourist movements in the case study context, prompting a discussion on the value of our approach in contrast to the methods previously utilized to analyzetourist flows in Cilento.

75

76 The paper is organized in the following manner. First, we outline the case study context 77 and describe the limitations faced by existing data of tourist movements in Cilento. 78 Next we present different methods to gather data of tourist flows, elaborating on the 79 advantages and limitations of each method to determine a suitable alternative. 80 Thereafter, we explain our analytical methodology and technical details related to data 81 processing and visualization. This is followed by a report and discussion of our findings 82 structured around three research questions. Finally, we compare the insights we 83 obtained to existing knowledge of tourist movements in the case study context, and 84 discuss the relative merits of our approach.

85 2. Case Study

86 Cilento is a well-known tourist venue located in southern Italy where, for the last two years, policy makers have engaged in a national interest project⁶ funded by European 87 and state agencies⁷ to foster the exchange of best practices in sustainable tourism 88 89 between developed and under-developed regions in Italy. In this particular context, the 90 objective is to develop a local strategy for tourism that encourages economic 91 development and territorial cohesion. The Cilento region comprises of 31 92 municipalities, spanning approximately 490,000 hectares. The landscape comprises of 93 different environments including a picturesque coastline and mountainous inland dotted 94 with multiple UNESCO heritage sites (e.g. Paestum, Punta Licosa, Capo Palinuro).

⁶ TOOKMC: Transfer Of Organized Knowledge Marche-Cilento

⁷ Italian Ministry of Economic Development and Department for Development and Territorial Cohesion

95 While majority of the settlements are located close to the coast, the inner boundaries of Cilento mainly consist of land parcels for agriculture and nature conservation. Tourism 96 97 represents a significant fraction of the regional economy. In 2015, an estimated 9% of 98 the regional GDP was derived from tourism and that 11% of the workforce was 99 employed to staff the sector (WTTC, 2015). As of late, the region has suffered from 100 low economic performance, due to changing tourist demographics and spending power. 101 In light of this circumstance, a comprehensive revision to existing tourism policies is 102 required to reverse negative economic trends.

103

104 We conducted a workshop in collaboration with local policy makers and experts from 105 other institutions to familiarize with the region. This workshop spanned the duration of 106 a week involving direct observation at several coastal and inland attractions followed 107 by a seminar where participants described methods for monitoring tourist activity on 108 the ground. The insights obtained from this workshop revealed a disproportionate 109 distribution of tourist activity at the coast as opposed to the interior. Contrary to 110 prevailing policies that promote the region to other European communities, young 111 urbanites from major Italian cities are observed to account for the bulk of tourism. 112 Members of this demographic group prefer to engage in beach activities around coastal 113 resorts instead of visiting natural or heritage attractions situated inland. Other tourists 114 have expressed interest in these attractions but the absence of public transportation 115 discourages prospective travel. The result is a polarized distribution of economic 116 activity in the region, and the potential loss of jobs that rely on the inland economy.

117 **2.1. Research Questions and Data Criteria**

118 While existing information is sufficient for a broad understanding of how changes affect

the tourism industry, detailed knowledge of tourist flows is required to inform the

- 120 design of a suitable policy response. There are three specific research questions
- 121 (RQ) to be addressed:
- 122
- 123 RQ1. What are the meaningful tourist profiles in the region?
- 124 RQ2. What are the valuable patterns of tourists flows in the region?
- 125 RQ3. Where are the tourist attractions in the region and how do they differ?

126

127 Each RQ investigated a particular set of features related to tourist flows. RQ1 focused 128 on the demographic composition of tourists in order to determine how the movements 129 of various demographic groups differ spatially and temporally from one another. RQ2 130 investigated spatial and temporal patterns in the data for insight into tourist movements. 131 RQ3 evaluated the relative importance of locations as centers of tourist activity to 132 discover the factors that differentiate well-known attractions from those that are under 133 utilized. Table 1 summarizes the expected outcome from our analysis of tourist flows 134 in the region. The type of information as well as the corresponding level of detail for 135 each feature listed, served as a criteria to determine the quality of data we required.

137 Table 1. Information expected from the analysis of tourist flows in Cilento.

Feature	Expected Detail (Granularity / Segmentation)	Expected Type of Information
Demographic	Country	x Distinct demographic groups by location of origin. x Distribution of tourists among the demographic groups.
Temporal	Day	x Evolution of tourist numbers in relation to demographic groups. x Identify seasonal peaks in tourist activity.

138

139 **2.2. Limitations with Existing Data**

140 Till date, data of tourist movement is scarce and disparate due to the awkward 141 administrative status of the region. Unlike formal administrative bodies that work with 142 standardized protocols, member municipalities operate independently from one 143 another. Current practices include a wide spectrum of methods ranging from aggregated 144 tourism statistics at regional or provincial level to other proxies like records of return 145 tourism, surveys at local tourism offices, check-ins to guest accommodations or ticket 146 sales at popular attractions that provide coarse approximations of actual tourist 147 numbers. A summary of the methods is outlined in Table 2. This information is 148 extracted from a survey on the current practice of each municipal tabulated in Appendix 149 1.

151	Table 2. Data current	v in use	for analy	vsis of	tourist f	lows in	Cilento.
101	Tuolo 2. Dutu cultonti	,	101 minut	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	course i	10 11 0 111	chience.

		Granularity		Demographic	Num. of
Method	Sample	Spatial	Temporal	Segmentation	Municipals
Regional Tourism Statistics	From, To, Date, Number of Tourist Tuscany, Campania, July, 8,041	Province	Month	Country	7
Regional Transport Statistics	From, To, Date, Number of People, Purpose of Travel Naples, Sarleno, July, 4,139, Leisure	Province	Month	Country	2
Rail Travel Statistics	From, To, Date, Number of People Sorrento, Padula, July, 43, 379	Municipal	Month	None	1
Provincial Tourism Statistics	From, To, Number of Tourist Capaccio, Agropoli, July, 7,964	Municipal	Month	Country	7
Tourism Satisfaction Survey	From, Till, Destinations, Experience (1-5), Country of Origin, Mode of Transport, Purpose of Travel 21/7/14, 4/8/14, [Ascea, Palinuro, Camerota, Castellabate], [4, 4, 4,	Municipal	Day	Country	5
	4], IT, Rail, Leisure				

Return Tourism Statistics	Address, From, Till, Country of Employment Via Colombo Cristoforo 23, 02-072014, 05-08-2014, DE	Street	Day	Country	2
Check-in to Guest Accommodation	Address, From, Till, Country of Origin B&B Villa Degli Aranci, 16-07-2014, 18-07-2014, NL	Street	Day	Country	9
Ticket Sales at Attraction	Location, Date, Number of Tourist Diocesan Museum, 21-07-2014, 12	Street	Day	None	9
Direct Observation in the Field	Location, Date, Number of Tourist "Via Bolivar Strada exit", 22-082014, 28	Irregular	Day	None	6
Reports, Publications & Periodicals	Location, Date, Number of Tourists Vallo di Diano, 3/8/2013, 412	Irregular	Irregular	Irregular	7
Anecdotes from Local Staff / Stakeholder	Location, Date, Comment Ponte Piaggine, 09-08-2014, "Group of four interested in Nature with plans to visit Monte Motola"	Irregular	Irregular	Irregular	4

152

As it stands, the quality of existing data is insufficient for our analysis since measurements made at different spatial-temporal granularities or demographic segmentations cannot be jointly analyzed without loss of precision. Regional or provincial tourism statistics in particular, do not provide enough spatial detail because municipals, rather than individual attractions, are specified as intended destinations. Similarly, general keywords like "leisure" or "business" is often defined as the purpose of travel instead of specific terms like "social visit", "sight seeing" or

"shopping" which may provide clues to where and why a location is chosen. Another 160 161 shortcoming of these statistics is that they are compiled irregularly, and may not provide 162 timely information of the activities on the ground. Other proxies like checkins to guest 163 accommodations or ticket sales at popular attractions offer fine-grained measures of 164 tourist numbers at specific locations and regular intervals, but do not indicate how 165 tourist travel between the tracked locations or attempt to divide tourists into distinct 166 demographic segments. In some cases, information is extracted from official reports, 167 publications or periodicals that describe tourist activities. Likewise, information might 168 be obtained from anecdotes of local staff or stakeholders. As such information is provided without specific reference to a geographic coordinate, spatial granularity 169

remains relatively coarse since the precision of post-hoc mapping is limited to an
approximated area. Though these methods are in place, tourist activity is unmonitored
in some municipals due to the lack of resources.

173

174 **3. Alternative Methods to Collect Tourist Flow Data**

Trajectories are the atomic unit in flow analysis that captures the movement of a subject over space and time. In general, methods to collect trajectories can be broadly classified as observational or non-observational (Shoval & Isaacson, 2009). Observational methods involve tracking a subject by means of direct surveillance or remote sensing, while non-observational methods rely on self-reported information to recount the subject's sequence of movements.

181 **3.1. Non-Observational Methods**

182 Non-observational methods like recall diaries (Cooper, 1981) or self-administered 183 diaries (Thornton, Williams, & Shaw, 1997) are commonly employed in mobility 184 studies as they do not specifically require the use of sensors and can be tuned to obtain 185 certain information that cannot be explicitly tracked, such as the mode of travel or the 186 purpose of a trip. Nonetheless, non-observational methods face several shortcomings 187 since the quality of the data gathered depends on the subjects' efforts and collaboration. 188 In particular, the spatial-temporal precision of the gathered data tends to be 189 comparatively lower than those obtained with observational methods due to the 190 analogue data collection procedure. Furthermore, other issues like high operating cost 191 limit the regularity in which updates can be made as well as the number of subjects that 192 can be tracked. GPS devices can be introduced to compliment the information reported 193 by subjects and is shown to produce more detailed records of movement in several studies of travel habits (Bakillah, et al., 2014; Mavoa, Oliver, Witten, & Badland, 2011; Neuhaus, 2010), yet the financial cost of procuring GPS devices and the time required to instruct subjects on their use continue to impede large-scale adoption of this technology for research purposes. GPS trajectories can also be obtained from volunteers who share their data publically online (Sieber, 2006) but the number of subjects who participate and the locations that they are active in are relatively limited.

200 **3.2. Observational Methods**

201 Apart from direct surveillance of subjects in the field, most observational methods rely 202 on sensors to passively track movement. The primary benefit of sensors is the ability to 203 continuously collect data throughout the monitoring period. While the type of sensors 204 deployed for observation may vary, "location" and "timestamp" are standard 205 measurements that are often provided as output. Over the past decade, data from an 206 extensive range of sensors has been in use, but cellular call data records (CDR) and 207 geotagged data from social networks like Twitter, Foursquare or Flickr have emerged 208 as the primary source of trajectory information.

209

210 CDR contains information of cell phone activities generated by cellular base stations. 211 To analyze movement in CDR, space-partitioning techniques are first employed to 212 divide a given territory into subspaces determined by the locations of cellular base 213 stations. The position of a cell phone is then approximated to the location of the 214 particular base station responsible for routing its signal at a given moment in time. An 215 estimated trajectory is finally derived by chronologically ordering the locations of the 216 base stations that served a particular cell phone. While analysis of CDR has already led 217 to important discoveries of human mobility habits (Gonzalez, Hidalgo, & Barabasi, 218 2008; Song, Qu, Blumm, & Barabási, 2010), and applied in studies of tourist flows

(Girardin, Calabrese, Fiore, Ratti, & Blat, 2008), its proprietary value make obtaining
such data challenging. More importantly, the spatial granularity of CDR tends to be
coarse in rural environments due to sparse distribution of cellular infrastructure (Shoval
& Isaacson, 2009). CDR is also often anonymized for privacy preservation thus the
demographic information of the tracked subjects cannot be explicitly extracted.

224

225 Geotagged social media data (GSMD) is considered a valuable proxy of human 226 movement (Hawelka, et al., 2014) and was the focus of several important studies 227 concerned with how factors like social-economic status (Cho, Myers, & Leskovec, 228 2011) as well as friendship (Cheng, Caverlee, Lee, & Sui, 2011) relate to human 229 mobility. The distinct advantage of GSMD is that it provides spatial information at up 230 to street level precision, allowing for detailed trajectories to be extracted (Sun, Fan, 231 Bakillah, & Zipf, 2013; Zheng, Zha, & Chua, 2012) for a wide range of applications 232 such as point of interest classification (G. Andrienko, et al., 2013), community detection 233 (Cranshaw, Schwartz, Hong, & Sadeh, 2012; Wakamiya, Lee, & Sumiya, 234 2013) and identification of anomalous movements (Chae, et al., 2015; Gabrielli, 235 Rinzivillo, Ronzano, & Villatoro, 2014). 236 237 Research in tourism has been quick to capitalize on this feature by utilizing GSMD for

238 estimates of inbound tourists numbers (Barchiesi, Moat, Alis, Bishop, & Preis,

239 2015) as well as measures of tourist activities at specific urban (Önder, Koerbitz, &

- 240 Hubmann-Haidvogel, 2014) and nature based attractions (Orsi & Geneletti, 2013;
- 241 Wood, Guerry, Silver, & Lacayo, 2013). GSMD also contains user information like <u>the</u>
- 242 subject's location of origin, which is particularly useful for building character profiles
- 243 ^(Fuchs, et al., 2013) that can be used to tailor destinations to individual interests (Jiang,

244	Yin, Wang, & Yu, 2013). This information is also useful for destination management,
245	especially to identify groups of destinations that compete for the same tourists
246	(Koerbitz & Önder, 2013). Naturally, travel-planning systems also leverage on this
247	aspect of GSMD to recommend international travel destinations
248	(Alowibdi, Ghani, & Mokbel, 2014), nearby attractions (Zanker, Fuchs, Seebacher,
249	Jessenitschnig, & Stromberger, 2009) and scenic routes (Chen, Shen, & Zhou, 2011;
250	Sun, Fan, Bakillah, et al., 2013). Very few attempts have been made to characterize
251	tourist flows with GSMD. To our knowledge, the earliest works were concerned with
252	identifying distinctive flow patterns between popular tourist attractions (Girardin,
253	Fiore, Ratti, & Blat, 2008) as well as to trace the type of events tourists participate in
254	(Vaccari, et al., 2009). More recently, GSMD was utilized to compare seasonal demand
255	for tourist accommodations (Sun, Fan, Helbich, & Zipf, 2013), investigate how the
256	layout of cities and their tourism infrastructures influenced tourist behavior (Kadar,
257	2013) and reveal how destination preferences differ between demographic groups (Vu,
258	et al., 2015) <u>.</u>
259	
260	Among the methods we have described in this section, GSMD satisfies our data quality
261	criteria to the fullest extent (See Table 1). Similarly, previous attempts to characterize
262	tourist flows with GSMD show good potential for this analytical approach to shed light
263	on the RQs presented above (See Section 2.1). Nonetheless, there are some caveats
264	associated with its use (Nabian, Offenhuber, Vanky, & Ratti, 2013; Shelton, Poorthuis,
265	& Zook, 2015) and it is paramount to acknowledge that certain information may be
266	omitted from the gathered data. In this respect, user penetration is the key shortcoming
267	of GSMD as access to smartphones and the practice of geotagging is essentially limited
268	to a narrow user demographic of technologically savvy individuals (Murdock, 2011).

Of this demographic, studies have shown that males from densely populated, urban
regions are significantly overrepresented (Hecht & Stephens, 2014; Riederer, Zimmeck,
Phanord, Chaintreau,

& Bellovin, 2015) while ethnic minorities are marginalized (Mislove, Lehmann, Ahn,
Onnela, & Rosenquist, 2011). Reliability must also be considered, as users are not
obliged to provide real information (Hecht, Hong, Suh, & Chi, 2011).

275 **4. Methodology**

276 Geotagged Twitter data was chosen to operationalize our analysis. Apart from the 277 distinctive advantages mentioned above, Twitter provides freely accessible 278 mechanisms to monitor activities that occur within a specified area and timeframe. 279 However, several technical requirements must be fulfilled in order to perform flow 280 analysis with geotagged tweets⁸. Firstly, tweets are not directly downloadable from a 281 web repository but must be gathered from Twitter's application programming interfaces 282 (API) based on a well-defined set of queries. Secondly, the collected tweets in raw point 283 format are ill structured for flow analysis and require processing prior to visualization. 284 Finally, common flow representations are prone to visual clutter that occludes important 285 patterns (Schulz & Schumann, 2006) and thereby require optimization for accurate 286 representation. In this section, we provide technical details that elaborate on how data 287 is collected, processed and visualized for communicable insights.

4.1. Data Collection

289 Two distinct types of data are required, namely user profiles for demographic290 information and geotagged tweets for spatial and temporal information. We carried out

⁸ Twitter posts are colloquially referred to as tweets.

data collection in three phases. The first phase involves gathering geotagged tweets
posted within the boundaries of Cilento between 29 May 2014 and 31

293 December 2014 through Twitter's stream API (Twitter, 2014b). In phase two, we 294 compiled a list of unique user Ids extracted from the tweets collected in phase one.

Finally, we iteratively queried Twitter's REST API (Twitter, 2014a) to gather geotagged tweets and user profiles that correspond to each user Id on the list compiled in phase two.

298 4.2. Data Processing

Our analysis requires a data structure that supports visualization and dynamic filtering of aggregated trajectories based on spatial, temporal as well as demographic constraints. For this purpose, trajectories must be extracted on a daily basis for each user Id and organized into demographic groups based on the information in each user profile. We describe our trajectory mining and tourist detection procedure below.

304

4.2.1. Trajectory Mining

305 A trajectory is a time-ordered collection of geotagged tweets that traces the movement 306 of an individual through space and time. Each sequential pair of tweets in a trajectory 307 is referred to as a *pathway* and reflects the movement of an individual from a location 308 to the next. Aggregation is typically required to extract collective patterns from the data. 309 Thus trajectories are simplified by binning the origin and destination of each pathway 310 to cells in an $n \times m$ grid. This step reduces the large number of spatial variations among 311 trajectories to a representative subset where the frequencies of travel along common 312 pathways become evident.

313

The aggregated trajectories are expressed as a directed graph G(V,E) where vertices v_i $\in V$ are cells in the grid that corresponds to physical locations in the region, while edges $e_i \in E$ indicate movement pathways between cells. We identify two types of edges. A directed edge e_{ij} is an aggregation of pathways with origins at vertex v_i and destinations at vertex v_j . A self-directed edge e_{ii} is an aggregation of pathways where both origins and destinations are vertex v_{ii} . Each edge is weighted by value f, indicating the aggregate number of trips between an origin and destination. Thus, $f(e_{ij})$ refers to the frequency

321 of travel between v_i and v_j .

322 4.2.2. Tourist Detection

323 To characterize the demographic features of tourist flows in Cilento, we must determine 324 their location of origin. We obtained a demographic breakdown of the population 325 sample by grouping individuals according to the time-zone listed on their profiles. In 326 this manner, locals were differentiated from tourists, while foreign tourists were 327 distinguished from domestic tourists. Finally, foreign tourists were broken down into 328 sub groups. Time-zone was chosen instead of content from the location field because 329 the former is formatted in a consistent manner. Twitter users choose their time-zone 330 from a list of predefined cities, but any text information can be submitted to the location 331 field regardless of its validity (Hecht, et al., 2011). We obtained the timezone 332 information by systematically querying user profiles with Twitter's REST API.

333 Missing values were expected thus the classification was improved by clustering

individuals based on the frequency of their activity in Italy. We define four metrics toaccomplish this:

342

Td Number of days an individual has been active in Italy.

Cd Number of days an individual has spent in Cilento.

all

 \widetilde{Td} The median number of days that \widetilde{Cd} The median number of days that

The median number of days spent in Cilento by all individuals collectively.

343

344 The value *Td* indicates the total number of days an individual has created tweets,

345 while *Cd* refers to the number of days that those tweets occurred within the

346 geographic boundaries of Cilento. We consider any individual who did not declare a

347 'time-zone' to be domestic tourist if $Td \ge \widetilde{Td}$. Then, we define a probability index 348 p

0.75 to distinguish domestic tourists from the locals on the basis that locals spend

349 most of their time within the region. The probability index p is computed in the 350 following manner:

$$p = \begin{cases} Cd/Td & if \quad Cd \ge \widetilde{Cd} \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

352 **4.3. Data Visualization**

We developed FlowSampler (Chua, Marcheggiani, Servillo, & Vande Moere, 2014), a purpose built visualization tool that enables interactive visual analysis of spatial temporal patterns in an integrated view. As shown in Figure 1, the primary interface is a flow map that depicts tourist flows among various locations in Cilento (see Figure 1a). The flow map can be dynamically filtered across four variables: Time (See Figure 1b), direction of travel, number of trips and demographic group (See Figure 1c).

- 359 Selecting a cell reveals the incoming and outgoing flows from that location (see
- 360 Figure 1d).
- 361





Figure 1. Components of the visualization interface. (a) Flow map geographically centered on Cilento. (b) Timeline indicating the number of unique individuals posting geotagged tweets per day. (c) Widgets for filtering the map based on direction of travel, number of trips and demographic group. (d) Cut out of the flow map depicting both incoming and outgoing flows from a selected cell.

368

369 **4.3.1. Flow Map Optimization**

A straightforward way to render flow maps is to draw arrows pointing from an origin directly towards a destination. The width of the arrow is often scaled to indicate a specific attribute value (e.g. Number of trips). This visual representation is easy to understand when the data is sparsely distributed, but patterns become difficult to discern when a large number of arrows intersect or overlap (Schulz & Schumann,

- 375 2006). While there are many ways to address this challenge, we employ a transition
 376 type representation (N. Andrienko & Andrienko, 2013) that divides each trajectory
 377 into segments, so that movement on a path is approximated by a sequence of
- transitions between adjacent discrete locations (See Figure 2). An advantage of this
 representation is that flows are captured as a directed graph that allows for
- 380 quantitative metrics like centrality to be computed and visually compared.
- 381



382 Figure 2. Visualizing edges on the flow map. (a) Straight forward representation of an

383 edge where an arrow is drawn between the origin and destination. (b) Shortest route

384 representation of the edge shown in (a) based on the reference road network. (c)

385 Straight forward representation of two edges. (d) Shortest route representation of two

386 edges as illustrated in (c) where the thicker arrows depicts movement along a 387 common path.

388 **4.3.2. Route Identification**

- 389 To identify possible travel routes, we render the shortest path between a pair of cells
 390 based on a reference road network. This is preferred over more sophisticated routing
 - 391 techniques, as it is straightforward to explain and simple for a lay audience to

- 392 <u>understand. A diagrammatic explanation is shown in Figure 2.</u> An arrow is drawn
- between vertex A1 and C4 to represent the edge in a straightforward way (see Figure

394 2a). The same edge is represented in Figure 2b by a sequence of arrows that depict the
395 shortest route between both cells. <u>In this instance, the widths of the arrows are scaled</u>
396 according to the aggregate amount of movement along a common path. Figure 2c and
397 2d illustrate a scenario whereby the shortest routes between two pairs of cells converge
398 at cells A3, B3 and C3.

399



400

401 Figure 3. Visualization of flows derived from 3,135 individuals who have posted at least

402 one tweet in Cilento.

403 **5. Results**

404 Our data consisted of 72,031 geotagged tweets posted by 3,135 unique individuals. On 405 average, there were 193 (SD = 2.639) tweets per trajectory. The low standard deviation 406 indicates that majority of the trajectories contain a relatively similar number of tweets. 407 Figure 3 provides a summary of the flows in Cilento binned to a 19×20 grid. Each grid 408 cell measured 4.5×4.5 kilometers. This resolution was chosen to closely map every 409 settlement to a distinct cell. The width and color of the edges were binned to a scale 410 consisting of four value ranges. The map depicts more activity along the coastline and 411 reveals several important hubs in the region. There are several high frequency pathways 412 that indicate important flows around Ascea and Palinuro but the series of movements 413 between Capaccio and Agropoli is most distinctive.

414 **5.1. Demographic Breakdown of Tourist**

415 We detected 138 (4.4%) locals, 1176 (37.5%) domestic tourists and 628 (20%) foreign 416 tourists. 1193 (38.1%) individuals did not meet the classification conditions defined 417 above and excluded from further analysis. Figure 4 depicts the demographic breakdown 418 according to location of origin. Locations outside of Europe were aggregated into wider 419 geographic regions to simplify the classification. Our classification indicates that 420 Cilento primarily attracts domestic tourist. Correspondingly, Greek (5.4%) and Dutch 421 (5%) tourist account for more than half of foreign tourism. The discovery of tourists 422 originating from other locations suggests that the region attracts a diverse audience.

423



424

425 Figure 4. Demographic Breakdown of individuals in Cilento. Locations outside of426 Europe have been aggregated into wider geographic regions.

427 **5.2.** Uncovering Temporal Characteristics of Tourism

428 We compared variations in Twitter usage among demographic groups to uncover the 429 temporal features of tourism in the region. Figure 5 indicates the aggregate number of 430 people who posted geotagged tweets on a daily basis. The number of locals is depicted 431 in grey, while tourist numbers are represented with three colored time series: An 432 aggregated time series for tourists in general, and two other time series for to facilitate 433 comparison between domestic and foreign tourists. The data shows an increase in tourist 434 activity in late June followed by a peak in the second week of August. The trend declines 435 by the first week of September. Incidentally, we observe a reverse trend among the 436 locals during that period. The distinctive difference between domestic and foreign 437 tourism is the timeframe during which they occur. This temporal pattern is characterized 438 by the decline in foreign tourists numbers just as the presence of domestic tourist begin 439 to escalate and peak. The bimodal distribution observed in the aggregate time series is 440 also explained by this pattern. The highest number of tweets posted by foreign tourist is 441 recorded during first week of July. A similar observation for domestic tourists occurs at 442 the first week of August.



444

445 Figure 5. Temporal analysis of local and tourists twitter usage activity.

446 **5.3. Spatial Topology of Tourist Flows**

447 **5.3.1.** Circulation

448 Circulation refers to the diffusion of flows in a system. Figure 6 presents a visual 449 comparison of how individuals from various demographic groups move throughout the 450 region. Figure 6a depicts the movements of locals while Figure 6b illustrates the 451 aggregated tourist flows. Comparison between both maps reveals a substantial 452 difference in the way individuals from both demographic groups circulate the region. In 453 contrast to locals who primarily move inland, tourist activity tends to be situated along 454 the coastline. The route between Capaccio and Agropoli however, appears to be equally 455 important for both groups. Further comparisons between domestic (See Figure 6c) and 456 foreign (See Figure 6d) tourists reveal several spatial differences. Whereas foreign 457 tourists tend to be situated around Agropoli and Palinuro, domestic tourists are seen in 458 Ascea. The routes taken by domestic tourists also differ substantially from foreign 459 tourists in that they are situated further inland. Turning our attention solely on foreign 460 tourism, we discover that Greek (See Figure 6e) and Dutch tourist, (See Figure 6f) travel 461 to a diverse set of locations while those from Denmark (See Figure 6g) and North 462 America (See Figure 6h) are limited to a smaller number of

463 destinations.







(g) Danish Tourists

466

Figure 6. Comparing movements of tourists from different countries of origins.

467 5.3.2. Direction

468 Directionality is another spatial feature of movement. In Figure 7, we show the 469 aggregated tourist flows divided into four directions to compare the frequency of travel 470 per direction: N to S (See Figure 7a), E to W (See Figure 7b), NW to SE (See Figure 471 7c) and NE to SW (See Figure 7d). Self-directed flows have been removed from the 472 map, as they do not provide directional information. The maps reveal that tourists 473 primarily travel in a S-SE direction along the coastal settlements. To a lesser extent, 474 traces of N-NW bound travel in the opposite direction can be detected along the same 475 route. Filtering the map along the timeline indicates that northbound flows are traces of 476 egress that occur at the end of August when tourist depart.

477





479 480

(c) North West-South East (d) North East-South West

481 Figure 7. Comparing the directionality of all aggregated tourist flows along four482 directions.

483 **5.3.3. Centrality**

484 The centrality of tourist attractions can be determined by its popularity among tourists 485 and is assessed in two stages. First, popular attractions were identified based on the 486 unique number of tourists recorded in each cell of the grid. Thereafter, the influence of 487 these attractions is evaluated in comparison with less popular venues on the basis of 488 connectivity; that is the geographic spread of inward and outward tourist flows to other 489 location in the region. Each cell in Figure 8 is colored in a shade of orange to indicate 490 its distance from the transport infrastructure and tinted in a shade of grey to represent 491 the unique number of tourists who posted tweets within its boundaries. Popular 492 attractions that recorded more than 90 (Q_3) unique visitors appear in the darkest shade 493 of brown - a blend of orange and grey. Unsurprisingly, these attractions are located 494 along the coastline where public transport is readily available. Additionally, we observe 495 that the number of tourists in a given cell decreases as its distance from the road or rail 496 network increases. Comparison of the connectivity between popular attractions to other 497 venues indicates an asymmetry in spread (See Figure 9). Whereas popular attractions

appear well connected to other locations (See Figure 9a), movement inland is limited
to adjacent localities (See Figure 9b). Tracing movements over time reveals that tourists
constantly travel in an "inland, coastal, inland" sequence in order to move between two
disjoint inland locations.





503

504 Figure 8. Popular locations in Cilento based on the total number of unique visitors in

505 each cell of the grid.





507

508

(a) Most Popular Locations

(b) Other Locations

Figure 9. Popular locations defined on the basis of connectivity. (a) Locations along the
coastland are relatively well connected. (b) Inland flows are limited to adjacent
localities.

512 **5.4. Insights**

513 In this sub-section, we review our findings structured around the initial RQs and 514 describe our interpretation of tourist flow patterns in the region based on the 515 information obtained.

516 **5.4.1. (RQ1)** What are the meaningful tourist profiles in the region?

517 There is a greater presence of domestic rather than foreign tourists in Cilento $(x^2=166.4656, p<0.01)$. According to our results, this finding is in agreement with 518 519 figures from our current understanding of tourist Flows (see Table 3). The breakdown 520 of foreign visitors into smaller groups indicates diverse tourist demographics. In 521 particular, the Greek and Dutch tourist account for more than half of foreign tourism 522 $(x^2=17.1221, p<0.01)$. Danish and North American tourist also have a sizable presence 523 while remaining visitors originate from other locations in Europe, East Asia, South 524 America the Middle East and Africa.

525 5.4.2. (RQ2) What are the valuable patterns of tourist flows in the region?

We have observed several dominant spatial-temporal patterns in tourist flows. 526 527 Temporal analysis of Twitter usage reveals that foreign and domestic tourism does not 528 occur concurrently but in overlapping stages that peak in different moments in time. 529 Similarly, there is a substantial difference in the way locals and tourists circulate the 530 region (t(352)=28.892, p<0.01). Tourists generally travel along the coastline while 531 locals travel further inland. Tourists generally travel from the northwestern region of 532 Cilento heading in a southerly direction along the coastline towards destinations in the 533 south. This pattern of movement is prevalent among foreign and domestic tourists but 534 is not observed among locals who, on the contrary, travel northwards. Further 535 comparison between different groups of foreign tourist indicates two distinct patterns 536 of movement. Greek and Dutch tourists are observed to be more mobile than their 537 counterparts in that they travel longer distances while tourists from Denmark and North 538 America are limited to a smaller number of destinations. Finally, the recurrence of 539 "inland, coastal, inland" travel is particularly striking in that it reflects the importance 540 of the coastal settlements as transit hubs in the overall transport infrastructure.

541 **5.4.3.** (**RQ3**) Where are the tourist attractions in the region and how do they

542 **differ?**

543 We identified six popular attractions that spatially correspond to settlements in the 544 region (See Figure 9a). These attractions are located along the coastline where public 545 transport is readily available. Access to public transport appears to be paramount factor 546 that affects the popularity of attractions in Cilento since Vallo Della Lucania and 547 Padula, two UNESCO heritage sites situated inland, did not receive as many visitors as 548 Paestum, their counterpart along the coast. This pattern is prevalent among foreign 549 tourist and less pronounced with domestic tourists who were likely to have driven into 550 the region by car in on the highway situated inland. Comparatively, foreign tourists 551 travel along the coastline where the bus and train service is directly accessible.

552 **5.4.4. Interpretation**

553 Tourist flows in Cilento is likely to be defined by the physical configuration of the 554 existing transport infrastructure. Because public transportation does not extend beyond 555 the coast, tourists are required to obtain personal transport for inland travel. This insight 556 provides evidence for the relative popularity of the coastline and the lack of tourist 557 movement inland. The southward pattern of flow is likely to result from this 558 configuration as points of entry into Cilento, from regional transport hubs like Serre 559 and Capaccio are located at the northern half of the region while a scenic beach at 560 Camerota and a heritage site at Vallo di Diano are located at the southern half of the 561 region. Based on these findings, we believe that new economic opportunities can be 562 created by expanding the transport infrastructure inland. A permanent transport system 563 is costly to run. However, tailoring the service to a specific tourist demographic within 564 the duration of seasonal peaks, may lower operating cost and mitigate this issue. Since foreign tourists are regarded to have greater financial spending power but are spatially 565

bounded to the coastline, a seasonal transportation service primarily catering to foreign tourists is a feasible policy solution. Furthermore, tailored services like tours to inland attractions can be organized and marketed to match the destination preference of different tourist demographic groups. To this end, formal studies are required to elaborate on how the existing transport infrastructure affects tourism.

571 **5.5. Discussion**

572 Existing knowledge of tourist flows in Cilento is derived from the analysis of regional 573 and provincial data, direct observation in the field or extracted from official reports, 574 publications and anecdotes from local staff and stakeholders. While sufficient to 575 broadly understand the context and challenges within the region, such information does 576 not meet our data quality criteria as outlined in Table 2. In this regard, our analytical 577 approach based on geotagged tweets demonstrates that GSMD is a valuable source of 578 tourist flow information. As shown in Table 3, the insights we obtained extend the 579 current understanding of tourist flow patterns. Specifically, our approach increased the 580 detail in spatial, temporal and demographic information available. In comparison to the 581 repertoire of methods that are currently in place, our approach is able to monitor tourist 582 movements across large geographic areas without the need for dedicated physical 583 sensing infrastructures. Though there were previous attempts to characterize tourist 584 flows with GSMD, these were limited to individual features of flow and generally 585 situated within cities. Conversely our analytical approach provides

584 relatively sophisticated descriptions of movement as well as profiles of tourists over

1 large a region. In this respect, our analytical approach presents a substantial
advancement in describing tourist flows with GSMD.

587

588	Nonetheless, there are limitations to be aware of when drawing conclusions from
589	insights derived from analyzing GSMD since our approach is principally driven by
590	data provided at the social media users' discretion, without ground truth for
591	verification. The results might be somewhat misleading if biases in the data are
592	unaccounted for. As described earlier, demographic studies have shown that a large
593	part of Twitter users are young adults, and potentially represent only a partial slice of
594	the actual tourist population on the ground. Moreover, the data may not capture
595	complete travel itineraries, as mobile devices are less likely to used when engaging in
596	certain types of activities. It is also it is unclear if cellular or GPS signal strength
597	affect social media usage or geotagging respectively. From this point of view, it is
	598 crucial <u>to</u> acknowledge that the insights may overemphasize particular tourist
	599 demographics, activities and attractions. We also expect the use of GSMD to raise
	600 privacy and ethical concerns related to the collection of data without direct consent
	601 from social media users. On this subject, it should be expressed that the data gathered
	602 <u>includes only information that users explicitly disclose.</u> Furthermore, the data is
	603 aggregated in a way that all traces of individual trajectories are removed.
604	

605 Our work constitutes an integrated approach for tourist flow analysis with limited606 consideration of related computational methods. Trajectories are mined as observed

- 607 movements between origin and destinations without the actual travel paths in
- 608 between. We introduced route <u>identification</u> to address this aspect of movement yet

609 this information is purely inferred based the on shortest path between two locations that 610 might be very different from the actual path taken. In this respect, more sophisticated 611 routing techniques based on criteria such as well known points of interest could be 612 implemented to obtain higher information accuracy. To make large analysis 613 computationally tractable, we aggregated the data by dividing the observation area into 614 grid of cells. As a consequence, the finest unit of analysis occurs on the level of cells. 615 In this respect, movements within cells, although represented in our visualization, were 616 not further investigated. Naturally, these cells contain urban areas within its boundaries 617 and can be further subdivided for finer breakdowns of flow patterns. Alternatively, 618 quadtree or kd-tree partitioning can be applied to derive grids that distinguish between 619 urban and rural areas, based on a supplemental dataset like the whereabouts of buildings 620 to better reflect urban density. Accordingly, our approach to demographic classification 621 can also be improved. Considering that a substantial number of Twitter users could not 622 be classified on the basis of time-zone, localization algorithms can be employed to determine their location of origin on the basis of content from the "location" field on 623 624 their individual profiles.

625

626 Despite these limitations, we would like to point out that our approach provides equally 627 valuable and alternative insights that are complimentary to the current understanding of 628 tourist flows in Cilento, derived from existing data sources. Additionally, we do not 629 claim that it is an improvement on, or replacement for, other approaches to characterize 630 tourist flows. While our findings are specific to Cilento, much of what has been found 631 may be transferred to other regional destinations though the local context should always 632 be taken into account. In this regard, future attempts at similar analysis may consider 633 expanding the source data beyond geotagged tweets to include other GSMD like

634	geotagged photos, to capture a wider spectrum of the tourist demographics and
635	activities. Likewise, official tourism statistics maybe incorporated into the analytical
636	procedure to uncover potential biases in the data. Our work has focused on the spatial,
637	temporal and demographic features of tourist flows. Yet the embedded text content in
638	each tweet has been left out. In this regard, semantic and sentiment analysis may offer
639	new perspectives and provide rich contextual information for certain activities that
640	tourist engage in. Since GSMD is generally accessible through public APIs, large
641	datasets can be obtained at relatively low costs. Thus, similar analysis can be
642	undertaken by smaller organizations that have limited resources at their disposal when
643	tools for data collection, processing and visualization exist. Correspondingly, our
644	analytical approach can be useful for public administration and large tourism
645	enterprises to develop indicators for sustainable planning of territorial resources and
646	benchmarking markets respectively.

Table 3. Comparison of information from the analysis of GSMD to the current
understanding of tourist flows.

Findings Based on Geotagged Social Media Data Features Insights		indings Based on Geotagged Social Media Data			
		Insights	Current Understanding of Tourist Flows		
	Demographic	 x Largely patronized by domestic tourists (67%). x Foreign tourists (33%) originate from many locations but Greek (9%), Dutch (8%), North American (4%) and Danish (4%) are most prominent. The remaining (8%) originate from other locations in Europe, East Asia, South America the Middle East and Africa. 	x More domestic (63%) than foreign (37%) tourists. x Anecdotes suggest that majority of the tourist are Dutch and Greek.		
Spatial	Circulation	 x Limited mobility inland. x Tourists transit along the coastline to travel long distances. 	No Information		

	Directionality	 x Tourists primarily travel in a southerly direction passing through the coastal settlements on the road or rail network. x Southward flow due to the configuration of transport where transit hubs are located in the north of the region while the tourist attractions are located in the south. 	 x No formal studies of tourist movements till date. x Anecdotal evidence regarding mode of transport suggest that domestic tourists drive to their destinations while foreign tourist journey to Cilento by train, where they alight at either Capaccio or Vallo di Lucania. Subsequent trips towards various locations in the region are then made by bus. 		
	Centrality	Popular tourist attractions are located along the coast and have immediate access to the transport infrastructure. As a result, these locations are better connected than those situated inland.	 x Currently no consensus on any form of ranking. x Anecdotes suggest that individual municipalities claim to be more important than others. 		
E	l emporal	Analysis reveals a bimodal trend where foreign and domestic tourist activities occur over different durations and peak at separate moments in time.	Official tourists season begins on the 2 nd week of May till the end of August.		



651 6. Conclusion

We have described a set of findings from studying tourist flows through the lens of GSMD. Our approach - developing an analytical technique to collect and investigate the spatial, temporal and demographic features of tourist flows, enables relatively sophisticated descriptions of tourist movement, as well as the demographic profiles of tourist groups. However, biases in the data as well as methodological limitations should be considered when drawing conclusions from analysis of GSMD. Nonetheless, this is the first large-scale observational study of tourist flows that to our

- knowledge attempt to provide a comprehensive description of tourist profiles and their
- associated movement.

661 7. References

662	Alowibdi, J. S., Ghani, S., & Mokbel, M. F. (2014). VacationFinder: a tool for
663	collecting, analyzing, and visualizing geotagged Twitter data to find top
664	vacation spots. In Proceedings of the 7th ACM SIGSPATIAL International

- 665 *Workshop on Location-Based Social Networks*, 9-12.
- 666 Andrienko, G., Andrienko, N., Fuchs, G., Raimond, A.-M. O., Symanzik, J., &
- 667 Ziemlicki, C. (2013). Extracting semantics of individual places from movement
 668 data by analyzing temporal patterns of visits. In *Proceedings of the*
- 669 *1st ACM SIGSPATIAL International Workshop on Computational Models of*
- 670 *Place*, 9-15, Orlando, FL, USA.
- Andrienko, N., & Andrienko, G. (2013). Visual analytics of movement: An overview
 of methods, tools and procedures. *Information Visualization*, *12*(1), 3-24.
- Ashley, C., De Brine, P., Lehr, A., & Wilde, H. (2007). The role of the tourism sector
- 674 *in expanding economic opportunity*: John F. Kennedy School of Government,
 675 Harvard University.
- 676 Bakillah, M., Lauer, J., Liang, S., Zipf, A., Jokar Arsanjani, J., Loos, L., & Mobasheri,
- 677 A. (2014). Exploiting big VGI to improve routing and navigation services. In H.

678 A. Karimi (Ed.), Big Data Techniques and Technologies in

- *Geoinformatics* (pp. 177-192). Boca Raton, FL, USA: CRC Press, Taylor &
 Francis Group.
- Barchiesi, D., Moat, H. S., Alis, C., Bishop, S., & Preis, T. (2015). Quantifying
 international travel flows using Flickr. *PloS one*, *10*(7), 1-8.
- Batty, M., Axhausen, K. W., Giannotti, F., Pozdnoukhov, A., Bazzani, A., Wachowicz,
 M., Ouzounis, G., & Portugali, Y. (2012). Smart cities of the future. *The*
- 685 *European Physical Journal Special Topics*, 214(1), 481-518.
- Beecham, R., Wood, J., & Bowerman, A. (2014). Studying commuting behaviours
 using collaborative visual analytics. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, 47, 5-15.

689	Chae, J., Cui, Y., Jang, Y., Wang, G., Malik, A., & Ebert, D. S. (2015). Trajectorybased
690	visual analytics for anomalous human movement analysis using social
691	media. In Proceedings of the EuroVis Workshop on Visual Analytics, 43-47,
692	Cagliari, Sardinia, Italy.
693	Chen, Z., Shen, H. T., & Zhou, X. (2011). Discovering popular routes from trajectories.
694	In Proceedings of the 27th International IEEE Conference on Data Engineering
695	<i>(ICDE) 2011</i> 900-911.
696	Cheng, Z., Caverlee, J., Lee, K., & Sui, D. Z. (2011). Exploring millions of footprints
697	in location sharing services. In Proceedings of the 5th International AAAI
698	Conference on Weblogs and Social Media, 81-88, Barcelona, Spain.
699	Cho, E., Myers, S. A., & Leskovec, J. (2011). Friendship and mobility: user movement
700	in location-based social networks. In Proceedings of the 17th ACM
701	SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data
702	Mining, 1082-1090, San Diego, CA, USA.
703	Christaller, W. (1964). Some considerations of tourism location in Europe: the
704	peripheral regions - underdeveloped countries - recreation areas. Papers in
705	Regional Science, 12(1), 95-105.

706 Chua, A., Marcheggiani, E., Servillo, L., & Vande Moere, A. (2014). FlowSampler:

707 visual analysis of urban flows in geolocated social media data. In L. M. Aiello

708 & D. McFarland (Eds.), *Social Informatics* (pp. 5-17) Springer.

- 709 Cooper, C. (1981). Spatial and temporal patterns of tourist behaviour. *Regional*
- 710 *Studies*, *15*(5), 359-371.
- 711 Cranshaw, J., Schwartz, R., Hong, J. I., & Sadeh, N. M. (2012). The livehoods project:
- 712 utilizing social media to understand the dynamics of a city. In

- Proceedings of the 6th International AAAI Conference on Weblogs and Social
 Media, 58-65, Trinity College, Ireland.
- Ferreira, N., Poco, J., Vo, H. T., Freire, J., & Silva, C. T. (2013). Visual exploration of
 big spatio-temporal urban data: a study of new york city taxi trips. *IEEE*
- 717 *Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 19(12), 2149-2158.
- Fuchs, G., Andrienko, G., Andrienko, N., & Jankowski, P. (2013). Extracting personal
- behavioral patterns from geo-referenced tweets. In *Proceedings of the*
- 720 *16th AGILE Conference on Geographic Information Science*, Leuven,
- 721 Belgium.
- Gabrielli, L., Rinzivillo, S., Ronzano, F., & Villatoro, D. (2014). From tweets to
 semantic trajectories: mining anomalous urban mobility patterns. In J. Nin &

D. Villatoro (Eds.), *Citizen in Sensor Networks* (pp. 26-35) Springer.

725 Girardin, F., Calabrese, F., Fiore, F. D., Ratti, C., & Blat, J. (2008). Digital footprinting:

 726
 uncovering tourists with user-generated content. IEEE Pervasive

- 727 *Computing*, 7(4), 36-43.
- 728 Girardin, F., Fiore, F. D., Ratti, C., & Blat, J. (2008). Leveraging explicitly disclosed
- location information to understand tourist dynamics: a case study. *Journal of Location Based Services*, 2(1), 41-56.
- Gonzalez, M. C., Hidalgo, C. A., & Barabasi, A. L. (2008). Understanding individual
 human mobility patterns. *Nature*, *453*(7196), 779-782.
- Hawelka, B., Sitko, I., Beinat, E., Sobolevsky, S., Kazakopoulos, P., & Ratti, C.
- 734 (2014). Geo-located Twitter as proxy for global mobility patterns.
- 735 *Cartography and Geographic Information Science*, *41*(3), 260-271.
- Hecht, B., Hong, L., Suh, B., & Chi, E. H. (2011). Tweets from Justin Bieber's heart:

the dynamics of the location field in user profiles. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 237-246,

739 Vancouver, Canada.

- Hecht, B., & Stephens, M. (2014). A tale of cities: urban biases in volunteered
 geographic information. In *Proceedings of the 8th International AAAI Conference on Weblogs and Social Media*, 197-205, Ann Arbor, Michigan,
 USA.
- Jiang, K., Yin, H., Wang, P., & Yu, N. (2013). Learning from contextual information
 of geo-tagged web photos to rank personalized tourism attractions.
- 746 *Neurocomputing*, *119*, 17-25.
- Kadar, B. (2013). Differences in the spatial patterns of urban tourism in Vienna and
 Prague. *Urbani izziv*, 24(2), 96-111.
- Kitchin, R. (2014). The real-time city? Big data and smart urbanism. *GeoJournal*,
 750 79(1), 1-14.
- Koerbitz, W., & Önder, I. (2013). Destination benchmarking with geotagged
 photographs. In Z. Xiang & I. Tussyadiah (Eds.), *Information and*
- 753 *Communication Technologies in Tourism 2014* (pp. 201-211) Springer.
- Leetaru, K., Wang, S., Cao, G., Padmanabhan, A., & Shook, E. (2013). Mapping the
 global Twitter heartbeat: the geography of Twitter. *First Monday*, *18*(5).
- Lew, A., & McKercher, B. (2006). Modeling tourist movements: a local destination
 analysis. *Annals of Tourism Research*, *33*(2), 403-423.
- Mansfeld, Y. (1990). Spatial patterns of international tourist flows: towards a
 theoretical framework. *Progress in Human Geography*, 14(3), 372-390.

760	Mavoa, S., Oliver, M., Witten, K., & Badland, H. M. (2011). Linking GPS and travel
761	diary data using sequence alignment in a study of children's independent
762	mobility. International Journal of Health Geographics, 10(1), 1-10.
763	Mislove, A., Lehmann, S., Ahn, YY., Onnela, JP., & Rosenquist, J. N. (2011).
764	Understanding the demographics of Twitter users. In Proceedings of the 5th
765	International AAAI Conference on Weblogs and Social Media, 554-557,
766	Barcelona, Spain.
767	Murdock, V. (2011). Your mileage may vary: on the limits of social media.
768	SIGSPATIAL Special, 3(2), 62-66.
769	Nabian, N., Offenhuber, D., Vanky, A., & Ratti, C. (2013). Data dimension: accessing
770	urban data and making it accessible. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil
771	Engineers - Urban Design and Planning, 166(1), 60-75.
772	Nagatani, T. (2002). The physics of traffic jams. Reports on Progress in Physics,
773	65(9), 1331.

- Neuhaus, F. (2010). UrbanDiary A tracking project capturing the beat and rhythm of
 the city: using GPS devices to visualise individual and collective routines within
 Central London. *The Journal of Space Syntax*, 1(2), 336.
- Önder, I., Koerbitz, W., & Hubmann-Haidvogel, A. (2014). Tracing tourists by their
 digital footprints. The case of Austria. *Journal of Travel Research*, 1-8.
- Orsi, F., & Geneletti, D. (2013). Using geotagged photographs and GIS analysis to
 estimate visitor flows in natural areas. *Journal for Nature Conservation*, 21(5),
 359-368.
- 782 Prideaux, B. (2000). The role of the transport system in destination development.
- 783 *Tourism management, 21(1), 53-63.*

784	Riederer, C., Zimmeck, S., Phanord, C., Chaintreau, A., & Bellovin, S. M. (2015). "I
785	don't have a photograph, but you can have my footprints." - Revealing the
786	demographics of location data. In Proceedings of the 9th International AAAI
787	Conference on Weblogs and Social Media, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK.
788	Roth, C., Kang, S. M., Batty, M., & Barthélemy, M. (2011). Structure of urban
789	movements: polycentric activity and entangled hierarchical flows. PloS one,
790	$\delta(1).$
791	Schlich, R., & Axhausen, K. W. (2003). Habitual travel behaviour: evidence from a six-

week travel diary. *Transportation*, *30*(1), 13-36.

793 Schulz, H. J., & Schumann, H. (2006). Visualizing graphs - A generalized view. In

Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Information

795 *Visualization*, 166-173, London, England.

794

- Sevtsuk, A., & Ratti, C. (2009). Does urban mobility have a daily routine? Explorations
 using aggregate mobile network data. In *Proceedings of the 11th*
- 798International Conference on Computers in Urban Planning and Urban
- 799 *Management*, Hong Kong, China.
- 800 Shelton, T., Poorthuis, A., & Zook, M. (2015). Social media and the city: rethinking

801 urban socio-spatial inequality using user-generated geographic information.
802 *Landscape and Urban Planning*, *142*, 198-211.

- Shoval, N., & Isaacson, M. (2009). Methodological aspects of measurement and
 visualization of tourists' spatial behavior. In S. Page (Ed.), *Tourist Mobility*
- 805 *and Advanced Tracking Technologies* (Vol. 19, pp. 28-43) Routledge.

- Sieber, R. (2006). Public participation geographic information systems: a literature
 review and framework. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*,
 96(3), 491-507.
- Song, C., Qu, Z., Blumm, N., & Barabási, A. L. (2010). Limits of predictability in
 human mobility. *Science*, *327*(5968), 1018-1021.
- 811 Sun, Y., Fan, H., Bakillah, M., & Zipf, A. (2013). Road-based travel recommendation

812 using geo-tagged images. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*.

- 813 Sun, Y., Fan, H., Helbich, M., & Zipf, A. (2013). Analyzing human activities through
- 814 volunteered geographic information: using Flickr to analyze spatial and
 815 temporal pattern of tourist accommodation. In J. M. Krisp (Ed.), *Progress in*

816 *Location-Based Services* (pp. 57-69) Springer.

817 Sykora, L., & Mulicek, O. (2014). Functional analysis of urban systems: identification

818 of small and medium sized towns and their territorial arrangements. In L.

819 Servillo (Ed.), TOWN. Small and medium sized towns in their functional

- 820 *territorial context. Scientific Report* (pp. 113-161). Luxembourg: ESPON.
- 821 Thornton, P., Williams, A., & Shaw, G. (1997). Revisiting time-space diaries: an
 822 exploratory case study of tourist behaviour in Cornwall, England.

823 *Environment and Planning A, 29*(10), 1847-1867.

- 824 Twitter. (2014a). REST APIs. retrieved Novemember 2014, from
 825 <u>http://dev.twitter.com/rest/public</u>.
- 826 Twitter. (2014b). The streaming APIs. retrieved November 2014, from
 827 http://dev.twitter.com/streaming/overview.

828	Vaccari, A., Liu, L., Biderman, A., Ratti, C., Pereira, F., Oliveirinha, J., & Gerber, A.
829	(2009). A holistic framework for the study of urban traces and the profiling of
830	urban processes and dynamics. In Proceedings of the 12th International IEEE
831	Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems., 1-6.
832	Vu, H. Q., Li, G., Law, R., & Ye, B. H. (2015). Exploring the travel behaviors of
833	inbound tourists to Hong Kong using geotagged photos. Tourism management,
834	46, 222-232.
835	Wakamiya, S., Lee, R., & Sumiya, K. (2013). Social-urban neighborhood search based
836	on crowd footprints network. In A. Jatowt, E. P. Lim, Y. Ding, A.
837	Miura, T. Tetzuka, G. Dias, K. Tanaka, A. Flanagin & B. T. Dai (Eds.), Social
838	Informatics (pp. 429-442) Springer.
839	Williams, S. (1998). Tourism, geography and geographies of tourism. In Tourism
840	Geography (2 ed., pp. 3-25) Psychology Press.
841	Wood, S. A., Guerry, A. D., Silver, J. M., & Lacayo, M. (2013). Using social media to
842	quantify nature-based tourism and recreation. Scientific reports, 3(2976), 1-
843	7.
844	WTTC. (2015). Travel & tourism economic impact 2015. World. retrieved November
845	2015, from <u>http://www.wttc.org/research/economic-research/economic-</u>
846	impact-analysis/.
017	View 7 9 Control II (2010) Data of an intervaliation of the section

Xiang, Z., & Gretzel, U. (2010). Role of social media in online travel information
search. *Tourism management*, *31*(2), 179-188.

- 849 Zanker, M., Fuchs, M., Seebacher, A., Jessenitschnig, M., & Stromberger, M. (2009).
- 850 An automated approach for deriving semantic annotations of tourism products
- 851 based on geospatial information. In *Proceedings of the International*
- 852 *Conference on Information and Communication Technologies in Tourism,*
- 853 211-221, Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- 854 Zheng, Y.-T., Zha, Z.-J., & Chua, T.-S. (2012). Mining travel patterns from geotagged
- 855 photos. ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology, 3(3).

FF					
Municipals of Cilento	s of What are the existing methods used to gauge tourist demographic? What are the existing methods used to determine tourist movements? What are the existing methods used to determine tourist tourist hotspots?		How is the popularity of tourist attractions benchmarked?		
Agropoli	ISTAT* or on site interviews at public activities and receptions.	ISTAT* and surveys at tourism offices.	Information on the internet.	Opinion polls	
Alfano	None	None	ISTAT* or EPT [§]	None	
Ascea/Velia	EPT§	EPT§	Chamber of Commerce Periodical	None	
Camerota	ISTA and EPT [§]	ISTAT*, EPT [§] and the Campania Region periodical.	Sectorial publications	Registration at the entrance of cultural and natural attractions.	
Campora	None	Surveys at tourism offices when time permits.	None	None	
Cannalonga	None		None	None	
Casaletto Spartano	Ticket sales at local attractions.	Ticket sales at local attractions.	None	Ticket sales at local attractions.	
Castelcivita	Observation among the caves situated within our municipality.	ISTAT*	ISTAT*	Ticket sales or registration at local attractions.	
Castellabate	ISTAT*, surveys at tourism offices and check-ins to travel accommodations.	EPT [§]	EPT [§]	Ticket sales at the castle of the Abate and the museum of Sacra.	
Centola/ Palinuro	Check-ins at travel accommodations.	On site interviews at public activities and receptions.	None	Surveys at various travel accommodations.	
Ceraso	None	None	Observation	None	
Cuccaro Vetere	None	ISTAT*	None	None	
Gioi	None	None	None	None	
Ispani	ISTAT* and check-ins to travel accommodations.	EPT [§]	None	None	
Laurito	None	None	None	None	
Moio della Civitella	None	Return tourism through database of second homes.	None	None	
Montano Antilia	None	None	None	None.	
Montesano sulla Marcellana	Ticket sales at two museums in the city of Montesano.	None	Interviews at two museums in the city of Montesano	None	
Morigerati	WWF Periodicals	WWF Periodicals	None	None	
Pertosa	Observation	Check-ins at travel accommodations.	None	None	
Piaggine	None	None	Formal discussions organized by the Cilento Park Authority.	None	
Rofrano	Check-ins at travel accommodations.	None	None	None	
Roscigno	None	None	Surveys at tourism offices.	None	
Rutino	None	None	None	None	
San Rufo	ISTAT*, Chamber of Commerce Periodical and Reports from Cilento Park Authority.	None	Surveys at tourism offices, on site interviews at public activities and receptions.	Surveys at tourism offices, on site interviews at public activities and receptions.	
Santo Angelo a Fasanella	Tour registrations at the caves of St. Angelo a Fasanella	Observations and on site interviews.	None	None	
Sapri	ISTAT* and EPT [§]	Formal discussions with local stakeholders.	None	Formal discussions with local stakeholders.	
Serramezzana	EPT [§] , observations and checkins at travel accommodations.	EPT [§] and reports by tour operators.	EPT^{\S}	None	
Teggiano	Ticket sales at the Diocesan museum.	Ticket sales at the Diocesan museum.	None	Ticket sales at the Diocesan museum.	
Torraca	None	None	None	None	

	857	Appendix	1. Survey	of the	existing	practice	in each	municipal	of Cilento
--	-----	----------	-----------	--------	----------	----------	---------	-----------	------------

EPT [§] , check-ins at travel accommodations. EPT [§] None	Ticket sales at local attractions.
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------

858

859 * Istituto Nazionale di Statistica, Italian National Institute of Statistics

860 § Ente Provinciale per il Turismo, Sarleno Provincial Agency for Tourism

Figure 1 Click here to download high resolution image



Figure 2 Click here to download high resolution image



Figure 3 Click here to download high resolution image



Figure 4 Click here to download high resolution image



Figure 5 Click here to download high resolution image



Figure 6 Click here to download high resolution image



Geographic Features

- Coastline
- Mountains

Infrastructure

- Highway
- Road
- Track
- Railway
- Bus Stop
- Train Station





Figure 8 Click here to download high resolution image



(a) Most Popular Locations

(b) Other Locations

*Author Biography

Alvin Chua PhD Candidate Research [x] Design, Department of Architecture, KU Leuven, Belgium

Loris Servillo Post-Doctoral Researcher Department of Architecture, KU Leuven, Belgium

Ernesto Marcheggiani Professor Division of Forest, Nature and Landscape, KU Leuven, Belgium

Andrew Vande Moere Professor Research [x] Design, Department of Architecture, KU Leuven, Belgium *Author Photo (to accompany biography)

Alvin Chua



Loris Servillo



Ernesto Marcheggiani



Andrew Vande Moere

