Torulaspora delbrueckii in the brewing process: A new approach to enhance bioflavour and to reduce ethanol content

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original
Torulaspora delbrueckii in the brewing process: A new approach to enhance bioflavour and to reduce ethanol content / Canonico, Laura; Agarbati, Alice; Comitini, Francesca; Ciani, Maurizio. - In: FOOD MICROBIOLOGY. - ISSN 0740-0020. - STAMPA. - 56:(2016), pp. 45-51. [10.1016/j.fm.2015.12.005]

Availability:
This version is available at: 11566/229773 since: 2022-06-01T15:46Z

Publisher:

Published
DOI:10.1016/j.fm.2015.12.005

Terms of use:
The terms and conditions for the reuse of this version of the manuscript are specified in the publishing policy. The use of copyrighted works requires the consent of the rights' holder (author or publisher). Works made available under a Creative Commons license or a Publisher's custom-made license can be used according to the terms and conditions contained therein. See editor’s website for further information and terms and conditions. This item was downloaded from IRIS Università Politecnica delle Marche (https://iris.univpm.it). When citing, please refer to the published version.

note finali coverpage

(Article begins on next page)
Title: Torulaspora delbrueckii in the brewing process: a new approach to enhance bioflavour and to reduce ethanol content

Article Type: Research Paper

Keywords: beer, Torulaspora delbrueckii, mixed fermentation, bioflavour, low-alcohol content
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Abstract

Nowadays, consumers require fermented alcoholic beverages with particular and enhanced flavour profiles while avoiding the health concerns due to high ethanol content. Here, the use of *Torulaspora delbrueckii* was evaluated for beer production, in both pure and in mixed cultures with a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* starter strain (US-05). The yeast interactions were also evaluated. In mixed fermentations with *S. cerevisiae*, the main analytical characters from *T. debrueckii* were comparable with those of the *S. cerevisiae* starter strain, but the beers were characterized by a distinctive overall analytical and aromatic profile. Indeed, there were interactions between *S. cerevisiae* and *T. delbrueckii*, with enhanced ethyl hexanoate (0.048 mg l\(^{-1}\)) and ethyl octanoate (0.014 mg l\(^{-1}\)) levels at the 1:20 and 1:10 inoculation ratios, respectively; while phenyl ethyl acetate increased in all mix combinations. The presence of *T. delbrueckii* resulted in reduced β-phenyl ethanol and isoamyl acetate levels, which are responsible for floral and fruity aromas, respectively. Beer produced with *T. delbrueckii* pure cultures had a low alcohol content (2.66%; v/v), while also showing a particularly analytical and aromatic profile.

**Keywords:** beer, *Torulaspora delbrueckii*, mixed fermentation, bioflavour, low-alcohol content
1. Introduction

Over the years, brewers have always tried to find yeast strains that can improve the quality of their beer and provide it with specific sensory notes. The various aroma compounds that characterize different beers styles come from the raw materials of barley, malt and hops. However, yeast has a central role in the brewing process, metabolizing of sugars in the beer wort into ethanol, carbon dioxide, and several aroma compounds, including esters, higher alcohols, aldehydes and organic acids (Kyselová and Brányik, 2014; Lodolo et al., 2008; Pires et al., 2014). In particular, in the beer industry, the goal of the use of inoculated yeast is to increase the fermentation efficiency, to develop new beers, and especially to enhance the sensory complexity of the final beer produced (Harrison, 2009). The production of aroma compounds through biological methods exploits the metabolic pathways of the yeast, for the promotion of the so-called bioflavour (Cheetham, 1993; Vanderhaegen et al., 2003). This approach can include microbial bioconversion of the flavour precursors, use of strains that produce the required compounds, and genetic modification of the yeast (Dequin, 2001; Priefert et al., 2001; Ramachandra and Ravishankar, 2000; Mertens et al., 2015).

In winemaking, there has been a re-evaluation of the role of non-Saccharomyces yeast and their use in mixed fermentations, with the aim to enhance the analytical and aromatic profile of the final wine and to reduce the alcohol content (Benito et al., 2011; Ciani et al., 2010; Comitini et al., 2011; Contreras et al., 2014; Morata et al., 2012; Quirós et al., 2014; Sadoudi et al., 2012). Within the non-Saccharomyces yeast species, attention has been focused on Torulaspora delbrueckii, as this yeast has shown a positive impact in terms of low production of undesirable compounds, such as acetaldehyde, acetoin and acetic acid, and concomitant enhancement of other desired compounds (Azzolini et al., 2015; Bely et al., 2008; Comitini et
The use of non-\textit{Saccharomyces} yeast has been less investigated in the brewing industry, where most beers are brewed with the use of a single yeast strain. However, the Belgian lambic beers are obtained from the spontaneous fermentation of \textit{Saccharomyces} and \textit{Brettanomyces} yeasts, with the contribution of lactic acid bacteria and acetic acid bacteria (Bokulich et al., 2012; Spitaels et al., 2014; Vanderhaegen et al., 2003). These mixed fermentations were also used in the production of some weissbier German style beer (Vriesekoop et al., 2012). During the maturation of acidic ale beers, different yeasts belonging to \textit{Candida}, \textit{Torulopsis}, \textit{Pichia}, \textit{Hansenula} and \textit{Criptococcus} genera were isolated, but their contribution on the aroma composition was not investigated (Vanderhaegen et al., 2003). Other non conventional beers such as Tchapalo, are brewed using \textit{Candida tropicalis} and \textit{S. cerevisiae} cultures selected for their ability to ferment sorghum wort (N'Guessan et al., 2010). Regarding to the use of \textit{T. delbrueckii} strains in brewing process, only a few studies have been conducted. King and Dickinson (2000, 2003) reported that \textit{T. delbrueckii} has the ability to transform hop aroma terpenoids, influencing the aroma profile of the final beer. More recently, Tataridis and co-workers (2013) carried out a preliminary study on the use of \textit{T. delbrueckii} strains in the production of “wheat” style beers. These authors found that this species was able to consume maltose more slowly than \textit{S. cerevisiae} commercial starter strain, giving more intensity and complexity to the product. In the present study, after a preliminary screening, we evaluated the use of a selected strain of \textit{T. delbrueckii} in wort fermentation in pure and mixed cultures. The influence on the analytical and aromatic profile of beer, as well as the potential of producing a low-alcohol beer with \textit{T. delbrueckii} was evaluated.

\section*{2. Materials and methods}
2.1. Yeast strains

The 28 yeast strains used in this study belong to the species *T. delbrueckii* and were obtained from the Yeast Collection of the Department of Life and Environmental Sciences (DiSVA) of the Polytechnic University of Marche (Italy). These had been isolated from natural matrices from different environments and in different geographical areas (i.e., Italy, Cameroons) (Table 1). All of the *T. delbrueckii* strains were identified through 5.8S internal transcribed spacer rDNA polymerase chain reaction restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis, and sequencing of the D1/D2 domains of the 26S rDNA gene, as reported by Comitini et al. (2011) and Solieri et al. (2006). The *S. cerevisiae* commercial strain US-05 (Fermentis, Lesaffre, France) was used as the control.

For short-term storage, all of the yeast strains were maintained on YPD medium (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 2% glucose, 1.8% agar; all w/v) (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) at 4 °C, and for long-term storage, in YPD broth supplemented with 80% (w/v) glycerol at -80 °C.

2.2. Preliminary screening

The fermentation of glucose, maltose and sucrose by these 28 *T. delbrueckii* strains was assessed using the Durham test, according to Kurtzman and Fell (1998). The fermentative performance of eight of these *T. delbrueckii* strains that fermented maltose were determined at 20 °C in flasks that contained 500 ml malted barley wort under sterile conditions. The main parameters of the fermentation kinetics (fermentation rate, total CO₂ evolved) of these *T. delbrueckii* strains and of the *S. cerevisiae* starter strain on the wort were assayed.

2.3. Fermentation trials
From preliminary screening *T. delbrueckii* DiSVA 254 was selected and used in the pure and mixed fermentations with the *S. cerevisiae* US-05 starter strain at different *S. cerevisiae* to *T. delbrueckii* ratios (i.e., 1:1, 1:10, 1:20, respectively). A batch of 1,500 l of malted barley wort for the production of American Amber Ale was used in this study. Its main analytical characters were: pH 5.47; specific gravity 12.7 °Plato. The fermentation potential of the selected strain was evaluated in fermentation trials carried out at 20 °C in flasks containing 500 ml wort under sterile conditions. The flasks were locked with a Müller valve containing sulphuric acid, to allow only CO₂ to escape from the system.

Pre-cultures were grown in 10% malt extract at 20 °C for 48 h. The fermentation kinetics were monitored by measuring the weight loss of the flasks due to the CO₂ evolution, which was followed to the end of the fermentation (i.e., constant weight for 3 consecutive days). The growth kinetics were monitored using viable cell counts on WL Nutrient Agar (Oxoid, Hampshire, UK) and Lysine Agar (Oxoid, Hampshire, UK) a selective medium unable to support the growth of *S. cerevisiae* (Lin, 1975), for differentiation of the *T. delbrueckii* yeast from the *S. cerevisiae* starter strain. The fermentations were carried out in duplicate trials under static conditions.

2.4. Analytical determinations

The specific gravity was measured using a DA-300 specific gravity meter (Kyoto Instruments). The volatile acidity and pH determinations were performed according to the Official European Union Methods (EC, 2000). Ethanol was measured according to the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (1990). Acetaldehyde, ethyl acetate, higher alcohols, and volatile compounds were determined by direct injection into a gas–liquid chromatography system, as reported by Canonico et al. (2014). The free amino nitrogen was
determined following a procedure described previously by Dukes and Butzke (1998). Specific enzymatic kits (Megazyme, Ireland) were used to determine the concentrations of glucose sucrose, maltose (kit k-masug) and ammonia (kit k-amiar) according to the manufacturer instructions.

2.5. Sensory analysis

At the end of the fermentation process, the beers obtained were transferred into 500-ml bottles, adding 5 g l\(^{-1}\) sucrose. The secondary fermentation in the bottle was carried out at 18-20 °C for 7-10 days. After this period, the beers underwent sensory analysis (Analytica EBC, 1997) on the basis of a list of descriptors related to both the aromatic notes (e.g., floral, fruity, toasty) and the main structural features (e.g., sweet, acidity, flavour, astringency, bitterness, olfactory persistence). A group of six trained tasters were asked to rate each sensory category using a scale from 1 to 10. The results were combined, and the means were subjected to statistical analysis. The data processed in this way were used to construct the Figures to provide information on both the contribution of each descriptor to the overall organoleptic quality of the beers, and the significant differences between the beers in relation to each descriptor.

2.6. Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied to the experimental data for the main characteristics of the beers. The means were analyzed using the STATISTICA 7 software. The significant differences were determined by the means of Duncan tests, and the results were considered significant if the associated \( P \) values were <0.05. The results of the sensory analysis were also subjected to Fisher ANOVA, to determine the significant differences with a \( p \)-value <0.05.
3. Results

3.1. Sugar fermentation and fermentative performance in the wort

Initial screening of the 28 *T. delbrueckii* strains was carried out to determine whether they fermented maltose, the most abundant fermentable sugar in the brewing wort (at 50%-60%), to select for their potential use in beer production. The data from this screening with Durham tubes indicated that out of the 28 strains tested, only eight cultures fermented maltose: DiSVA 254, DiSVA 602, DiSVA 603, DiSVA 343, DiSVA 399, DiSVA 413, DiSVA 419 and DiSVA 426. All of the 28 *T. delbrueckii* strains fermented glucose and sucrose.

These eight strains of *T. delbrueckii* that fermented maltose were evaluated for their fermentative performance on the brewing wort. The parameters of the fermentation kinetics are reported in Table 2. As expected, none of these eight strains showed fermentation parameters comparable to those of the commercial *S. cerevisiae* starter strain. This behaviour is important since the strains with lower fermentation performances in the wort are generally of interest for low-alcohol beer production. However, among the strains tested, *T. delbrueckii* strain DiSVA 254 showed a good fermentative performance, with the highest fermentation rate and final CO₂ production. For this reason, *T. debrueckii* DiSVA 254 was selected for the subsequent trials in pure and mixed fermentations with the *S. cerevisiae* starter strain US-05, to evaluate the influence of *T. debrueckii* DiSVA 254 (henceforth: *T. debrueckii*) on the analytical and aromatic profiles of the beers produced.

3.2. Fermentation trials with the selected *T. delbrueckii* strain
3.2.1. Fermentation kinetics

*T. delbrueckii* pure cultures and the three *S. cerevisiae* US-05 to *T. delbrueckii* inoculum ratios of 1:1, 1:10 and 1:20, respectively, were investigated, with *S. cerevisiae* US-05 pure cultures as the control. These mixed cultures of *S. cerevisiae* US-05 and *T. delbrueckii* showed CO₂ production that was comparable to that of *S. cerevisiae* US-05 alone, irrespective of the different inoculation ratios (Fig. 1).

The fermentation evolution of the trials inoculated at the 1:1 ratio were consistent with the *S. cerevisiae* US-05 pure cultures. These data revealed that the inoculation of *T. delbrueckii* at the same concentration as *S. cerevisiae* US-05 did not affect the fermentation performance of the starter strain. In contrast, the fermentations carried out with the 1:10 and 1:20 ratios showed slower fermentation kinetics in comparison with *S. cerevisiae* US-05 alone. The *T. delbrueckii* pure culture also showed slow kinetics, which indicated that it did not provide complete wort attenuation.

3.2.2. Biomass evolution

The growth kinetics of the *S. cerevisiae* US-05 pure cultures achieved ca. 10⁸ CFU ml⁻¹ at 7 days of fermentation, and maintained 10⁷ CFU ml⁻¹ until the end of fermentation (Fig. 2). In the mixed cultures with the inoculum ratio of 1:1, *S. cerevisiae* US-05 reached a lower biomass (ca. 10⁷ CFU ml⁻¹; Fig 2A), while for the inoculum ratios of 1:10 and 1:20, *S. cerevisiae* US-05 remained at cell concentrations <10⁶ CFU ml⁻¹(Fig. 2B, C). In these trials, the growth kinetics of *T. delbrueckii* showed biomass evolution compared with the *T. delbrueckii* pure culture indicating that at these inoculation ratios *S. cerevisiae* was not competitive with *T. delbrueckii*, while at the 1:1 inoculum ratio, the *S. cerevisiae* competition occurred since the *T. delbrueckii* growth kinetics were much slower. Therefore, these data indicated that *T. delbrueckii* at high concentrations (10-fold and 20-fold higher, vs. *S.
cerevisiae US-05) dominated the process (Fig. 2). With 1:1 inoculation ratio both species suffered of the presence of each other showing very similar cell concentrations until the 7th day of fermentation. This behavior indicates a high level of competitiveness of T. delbrueckii towards S. cerevisiae commercial strain in wort.

3.2.3. Main analytical profiles

The data for the analytical compositions of the beers produced are reported in Table 3. The mixed cultures with S. cerevisiae US-05 and T. delbrueckii produced beers with ethanol levels that were significantly lower, although essentially comparable, to those of the S. cerevisiae US-05 control (4.51%-4.85%). On the other hand, the inoculations with T. delbrueckii pure cultures showed a large reduction in the ethanol level (2.66% associated with higher residual maltose levels. Consequently, T. delbrueckii pure cultures showed a low attenuation (7.51 vs. 2.84-2.96 °P) with the real attenuation of 37%, which was significantly lower than that for the other trials (63%-64%). For the volatile acidity, the mixed cultures produced beers with acetic acid levels that were significantly higher than for the T. delbrueckii pure cultures (0.15 vs. 0.22-0.29 g L⁻¹). Regarding to assimilable nitrogen (YAN), a higher consumption in mixed fermentations in comparison with pure cultures was showed. This behaviour indicated a competitive interaction in mixed fermentation even if a large amounts of YAN remained.

3.2.4. By-products and volatile compounds

The data for the main by-products are reported in Table 4. The T. delbrueckii pure culture showed significant reductions for the levels of all of the by-products except for acetaldehyde, in comparison with the S. cerevisiae US-05 control. In particular, ethyl acetate is responsible for the fruity and solvent notes in the beer, and this was slightly, but significantly, reduced in
the mixed fermentations compared with *S. cerevisiae* US-05 alone (14.65-16.25 vs. 17.57 mg l\(^{-1}\)), with a significantly greater reduction for the pure *T. delbrueckii* culture (3.46 mg l\(^{-1}\)). The acetaldehyde level was slightly, but significantly, higher in the beers produced with *T. delbrueckii* alone compared to *S. cerevisiae* US-05 alone (7.50 vs. 5.80 mg l\(^{-1}\)), and even further enhanced at the 1:1 (10.72 mg l\(^{-1}\)) and 1:10 (30.48 mg l\(^{-1}\)) fermentation ratios.

For the other analyzed by-products in the mixed fermentations, all of the beers produced by the association of *S. cerevisiae* US-05 and *T. delbrueckii* showed different profiles to those produced from the *S. cerevisiae* US-05 and *T. delbrueckii* pure fermentations. Indeed, all three mixed fermentations (i.e., 1:1, 1:10, 1:20) showed lower n-propanol levels in comparison with *S. cerevisiae* US-05 (20.14-26.36 vs. 30.56 mg l\(^{-1}\)). For isobutanol, amylic and isomylic alcohols, *S. cerevisiae* US-05 showed higher levels than the *T. delbrueckii* pure cultures (24.38 vs. 7.98 mg l\(^{-1}\)), while the mixed fermentations showed intermediate levels (16.04-18.45 mg l\(^{-1}\)).

The effects of *T. delbrueckii* on the beers were particularly evident for the main volatile compounds (Table 5). Indeed, the contribution of this non-*Saccharomyces* yeast was clear for the ethyl butyrate and β-phenyl ethanol levels, where the trend was strictly linked to the inoculum ratios. The mixed fermentation at the inoculum ratio of 1:20 showed ethyl butyrate levels comparable to that for the *T. delbrueckii* pure cultures (0.185 vs. 0.168 mg l\(^{-1}\)), while for the inoculation ratio of 1:1 the ethyl butyrate levels were comparable to *S. cerevisiae* US-05 pure culture (0.339 vs. 0.319 mg l\(^{-1}\)). This evolution was also particularly evident for β-phenyl ethanol. Indeed, the increasing *T. delbrueckii* in the mixed fermentations resulted in volatile β-phenyl ethanol levels that were lower or higher when compared with the pure cultures of *S. cerevisiae* US-05 or *T. delbrueckii*, respectively. As regards the phenyl ethyl acetate, the results showed an increase in mixed fermentations, particularly in 1:1 inoculum ratio. Different behaviors were seen for ethyl hexanoate and ethyl octanoate. Indeed, there
were generally higher levels of these compounds in the mixed fermentations, and particularly with the high inoculation ratio (i.e., 1:20).

3.2.5. Sensory analysis

The beers obtained by these pure and mixed fermentations underwent sensory analysis, with the data illustrated in Figure 3. All of the beers analyzed showed significant differences for their main aromatic notes. In particular, for the main sensorial descriptors, the data showed that the beer obtained with all of the mixed fermentations and for the *T. delbrueckii* pure cultures were significantly different from those of the *S. cerevisiae* US-05 starter strain for a variety of the sensorial characteristics. Within the mixed fermentations, with the 1:1 inoculum ratio, there was a bouquet with notes that particularly emphasized the cereal, toasted and full-bodied sensorial attributes, while the fruity/ester notes were poorly pronounced. At the 1:10 inoculum ratio, there was a high perception of the alcohol/solvent, malt, caramel and oxidized/aged attributes, while those for fruit/citric were lower and watery. Then for the 1:20 inoculum ratio, the fruity/ester and hop attributes were enhanced. For the *T. delbrueckii* pure cultures, these were characterized by fruit/citric notes and the full-bodied attributes, while the alcohol/solvent, cereal, caramel, oxidized/aged and astringency attributes were little expressed. In addition, the beers produced by the *T. delbrueckii* pure cultures and with the inoculum ratio of 1:20 were characterized by a pale yellow colour, clarity, and persistent and compact foam, which are very important features in the assessment of the quality of a beer (data not shown).

4. Discussion
In the present study, the use of non-conventional yeast to produce beers with distinctive bioflavours and reduced alcohol contents was investigated. Fermented beverages with improved flavours can be profitably obtained through the yeast during the fermentation process (Carlquist et al., 2015). Indeed, among the volatile compounds produced by yeast, the higher alcohols and esters are crucial to the definition of the final quality of a beer (Pires et al., 2014).

Of particular interest here was the production of the three esters phenyl ethyl acetate (i.e., floreal, honey, sweet) ethyl hexanoate (i.e., apple, fruit flavours) and ethyl octaonate (i.e., apple, aniseed flavours), which showed increases for the mixed fermentations, possibly related to the increase in consumption of YAN. These data were only in part consistent with the results obtained by sensory analysis. Indeed, the panel tests showed that the beers made with T. delbrueckii showed fruity/esters comparable with those obtained with S. cerevisiae US-05 while they were characterized for malt, caramel and hop attributes. This might be explained considering that some esters that are characterized by a powerful odour can act in synergy with other compounds, thus influencing the final beer flavor at concentrations below the threshold of perception (Meilgaard, 1975).

The formation of β-phenyl ethanol, diethyl succinate, ethyl butyrate and isoamyl acetate, relates directly to the inoculation levels, and thus to the consequent metabolic expression levels of each of the two strains in the mixed fermentations. These results clearly showed that T. delbrueckii more significant affects the analytical and aromatic profile of beers when the inoculation ratios for S. cerevisiae US-05 to T. delbrueckii were at 1:10 or 1:20.

Over the years, several studies have shown that the levels of the aroma compounds can be increased or decreased through modifications to the constituents of the wort, such as the nitrogen content (Hernàndez-Orte et al., 2005, Igyor et al., 2001). In this context, we found
that in mixed fermentations (S. cerevisiae/T. delbrueckii) exhibiting a higher consumption of YAN could be related to the production of aromatic compounds (Carrau et al., 2015).

Also the use of commercial enzyme preparations, such as proteases that act on certain proteins in the malt can promote increased contents of the higher alcohols (Piddocke et al., 2011; Treimo et al., 2008). However, the results of the present study show that modifications of the volatile profile of beers can be easily obtained without any modifications to the brewing process or the use of enzymes, but instead through the use of non-conventional yeast, such as T. delbrueckii.

Another important feature of the use of T. delbrueckii in this brewing process might be the production of beer with a low alcohol content. Indeed, nowadays, as well as considering the aromatic profile of a beer, consumers pay more attention to health-related problems. The biological approaches that have been proposed to reduce the ethanol content in beer mainly rely on the selection of strains with particular properties or on the modification of the brewing yeast through genetic engineering (Remize et al., 1999; Verstrepen et al., 2003). Taking advantage of fermentative performance, some practices are based on the use of S. cerevisiae which is also generally used in winemaking.

Another yeast species that has been proposed for the production of beer with a low alcohol content on an industrial scale is Saccharomyces ludwigii (Huige et al., 1990). In this regard, however, conflicting data on the volatile profiles of the beers have been reported (De Francesco et al., 2015; Narziss et al., 1992). In the present study, we have shown instead that T. delbrueckii used in mixed fermentations had little or no effect on the ethanol content in comparison with the S. cerevisiae US-05 pure cultures. In contrast, T. delbrueckii in pure cultures showed a substantial, almost 50%, reduction in the ethanol content that was accompanied by a pleasant and valuable sensorial profile. In this regard, other T. delbrueckii
strains initially selected in this study as maltose fermenters might be of interest for low-alcohol beer production.

In conclusion, beers produced with *T. delbrueckii* in mixed fermentations and in pure cultures show important features that are relevant for brewing beer. In particular, the beer obtained using *T. delbrueckii* pure cultures showed a low alcohol content while maintaining at the same time a pleasant and aromatic taste, a lighter colour, and a compact and persistent foam. On the other hand, *T. debrueckii* in mixed fermentations with *S. cerevisiae* US-05 can fully convert the fermentable sugars (63-64% of real attenuation), thus resulting in a final ethanol content that is comparable to that of the control *S. cerevisiae* US-05 starter strain, while showing distinctive analytical and aromatic profiles.
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Table 1. *Torulaspora delbrueckii* strains used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of isolation</th>
<th>Geographic origin</th>
<th>Strain code&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Winery environment</td>
<td>Sardinia, Italy</td>
<td>315, 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papaya leaves</td>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>254, 419, 343, 413, 255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar cane juice</td>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>426, 430, 431, 432, 363, 603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grapes</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>258, 259, 260, 261, 313, 606, 607, 608, 609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fig fruit</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>604, 605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coconut palm</td>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrosol fruit</td>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>602, 399</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Accession number of DiSVA Collection (Department of Life and Environmental Sciences).
Table 2. Fermentation kinetics parameters of the eight *T. delbrueckii* strains and the *S. cerevisiae* US-05 starter strain for the wort.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DiSVA code</th>
<th>Total CO$_2$ evolved (g [30 days]$^{-1}$)$^a$</th>
<th>Fermentation rate (g CO$_2$ day$^{-1}$)$^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>254</td>
<td>13.99 ±0.68</td>
<td>0.96 ±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>602</td>
<td>5.87 ±1.04</td>
<td>0.68 ±0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>603</td>
<td>4.92 ±0.37</td>
<td>0.61 ±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>343</td>
<td>5.94 ±0.80</td>
<td>0.68 ±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>399</td>
<td>4.24 ±0.20</td>
<td>0.51 ±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>413</td>
<td>4.69 ±0.38</td>
<td>0.59 ±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>419</td>
<td>4.62 ±0.08</td>
<td>0.55 ±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>426</td>
<td>4.48 ±0.40</td>
<td>0.61 ±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. cerevisiae</em>c</td>
<td>20.97 ±0.58</td>
<td>3.34 ±0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are means ±standard deviations

$^a$CO$_2$ g evolved after 30 days of fermentation (in 500 ml wort)

$^b$Fermentation rate: CO$_2$ g/day (over the first 6 days of fermentation)

$^c$Commercial strain: *S. cerevisiae* US-05 (Fermentis, Lesaffre, France)
**Table 3.** The main analytical characteristics of the beer produced by the pure and mixed fermentations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fermentation</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Residual sugar (g l⁻¹)ᵃ</th>
<th>Analytical characteristic</th>
<th>Wort gravity attenuation (°P)ᵇ</th>
<th>Apparent attenuation (%)</th>
<th>Real attenuation (%)</th>
<th>Volatile acidity (g l⁻¹)ᶜ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>S. cerevisiae US-05</strong></td>
<td>Pure</td>
<td>Sucrose 0.02 ±0.01, Glucose 0.01 ±0.00, Maltose 0.05 ±0.01</td>
<td>193.84 ±0.39</td>
<td>4.80 ±0.03ᵇ</td>
<td>4.85 ±0.00ᵇ</td>
<td>2.84 ±0.00ᵇ</td>
<td>78.40 ±0.00ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T. delbrueckii DiSVA254</strong></td>
<td>Pure</td>
<td>Sucrose 0.42 ±0.01, Glucose 0.00 ±0.00, Maltose 35.43 ±0.31</td>
<td>174.58 ±3.57</td>
<td>4.56 ±0.01ᶜ</td>
<td>2.66 ±0.05ᵈ</td>
<td>7.51 ±0.00ᵇ</td>
<td>45.09 ±0.00ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S. cerevisiae US-05 + T. delbrueckii DiSVA254</strong></td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>Sucrose 0.02 ±0.01, Glucose 0.02 ±0.01, Maltose 0.33 ±0.00</td>
<td>141.79±1.96</td>
<td>4.61 ±0.01ᵇ</td>
<td>4.51 ±0.00ᵇ</td>
<td>2.96 ±0.17ᵇ</td>
<td>77.44 ±0.01ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>Sucrose 0.08 ±0.00, Glucose 0.03 ±0.00, Maltose 0.75 ±0.05</td>
<td>144.32 ±2.41</td>
<td>4.43 ±0.01ᵈ</td>
<td>4.68 ±0.03ᵇ</td>
<td>2.84 ±0.00ᵇ</td>
<td>78.40 ±0.00ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:20</td>
<td>Sucrose 0.10 ±0.01, Glucose 0.04 ±0.02, Maltose 1.65 ±0.10</td>
<td>142.87 ±2.41</td>
<td>4.38 ±0.00ᵇ</td>
<td>4.59 ±0.07ᵇᶜ</td>
<td>2.96 ±0.17ᵇ</td>
<td>77.44 ±0.01ᵃ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ᵃThe initial composition of the sugars in wort were: Sucrose 6.4 g l⁻¹; glucose 8.5g l⁻¹; Maltose 64.06 g l⁻¹

ᵇThe initial yeast assimilable nitrogen (YAN) were 251 mg l⁻¹

ᶜThe wort gravity at the start was 12.7 °P.

Data are means ± standard deviation

Data with different superscript letters (ᵃ,b,c,d) within each column are significantly different (Duncan tests; P <0.05).
Table 4. The main by-products in the beer produced by the pure and mixed fermentations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fermentation</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>By-products (mg l(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Acetaldehyde</th>
<th>Ethyl acetate</th>
<th>n-propanol</th>
<th>Isobutanol</th>
<th>Amyllic alcohol</th>
<th>Isoamylic alcohol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{S. cerevisiae} US-05</td>
<td>Pure</td>
<td>5.80 ±0.45(^{n})</td>
<td>17.57 ±0.24(^{a})</td>
<td>30.56 ±0.29(^{a})</td>
<td>24.38 ±0.31(^{a})</td>
<td>12.69 ±0.22(^{a})</td>
<td>68.53 ±0.08(^{a})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{T. delbrueckii} DiSVA254</td>
<td>Pure</td>
<td>7.50 ±0.40(^{i})</td>
<td>3.46 ±0.40(^{d})</td>
<td>15.41 ±0.04(^{e})</td>
<td>7.98 ±0.10(^{d})</td>
<td>3.82 ±0.04(^{c})</td>
<td>32.79 ±0.42(^{e})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{S. cerevisiae} US-05 + 1:1</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.72 ±0.13(^{b})</td>
<td>16.75 ±0.06(^{b})</td>
<td>26.36 ±0.29(^{b})</td>
<td>18.45±0.32(^{b})</td>
<td>10.60 ±0.13(^{b})</td>
<td>58.69 ±0.35(^{e})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{T. delbrueckii} DiSVA254 1:10</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.48 ±0.22(^{a})</td>
<td>14.65 ±0.04(^{c})</td>
<td>20.14 ±0.21(^{d})</td>
<td>16.04 ±0.34(^{c})</td>
<td>10.61 ±0.60(^{b})</td>
<td>55.65 ± 0.47(^{d})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{S. cerevisiae} US-05 + 1:20</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.56 ±0.15(^{d})</td>
<td>16.25 ±0.16(^{b})</td>
<td>22.59 ±0.06(^{c})</td>
<td>16.15 ±0.24(^{c})</td>
<td>11.29 ±0.19(^{b})</td>
<td>60.05 ±0.06(^{b})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are means ± standard deviations

Data with different superscript letters (\(^{a,b,c,d,e}\)) within each column are significantly different (Duncan tests; P <0.05).
**Table 5.** The main volatile compounds in the beer produced by the pure and mixed fermentations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fermentation</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Main volatile compounds (mg l⁻¹)</th>
<th>Ethyl butyrate</th>
<th>Isoamyl acetate</th>
<th>Ethyl hexanoate</th>
<th>Ethyl octanoate</th>
<th>Butyric acid</th>
<th>Diethyl succinate</th>
<th>phenyl ethyl acetate</th>
<th>β-phenyl ethanol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>S. cerevisiae</em> US-05</td>
<td>Pure</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.319±0.01ᵃ</td>
<td>0.346±0.045ᵃ</td>
<td>0.037±0.010ᵇ</td>
<td>0.007±0.001ᵇ</td>
<td>0.158±0.033ᵇ</td>
<td>0.016±0.004ᵇ</td>
<td>0.001±0.001ᵇ</td>
<td>40.77±0.444ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. delbrueckii</em> DiSVA254</td>
<td>Pure</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.168±0.00ᶜ</td>
<td>0.134±0.005ᵈ</td>
<td>0.031±0.013ᵇ</td>
<td>0.006±0.003ᵇ</td>
<td>0.074±0.028ᵇᶜ</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.52±0.038ᵉ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. cerevisiae</em> US-05 +</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.339±0.018ᵃ</td>
<td>0.321±0.001ᵃᵇ</td>
<td>0.023±0.011ᶜ</td>
<td>0.009±0.003ᵃᵇ</td>
<td>0.184±0.058ᵃ</td>
<td>0.039±0.022ᵃ</td>
<td>0.008±0.129ᵃ</td>
<td>30.69±0.018ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. delbrueckii</em> DiSVA254</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.26±0.03ᵇ</td>
<td>0.258±0.017ᵇᶜ</td>
<td>0.019±0.004ᶜ</td>
<td>0.014±0.001ᵃᵇ</td>
<td>0.119±0.021ᵇᶜ</td>
<td>0.023±0.010ᵃᵇ</td>
<td>0.004±0.001ᵃ</td>
<td>27.53±0.035ᵉ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:20</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.185±0.00ᶜ</td>
<td>0.227±0.030ᶜ</td>
<td>0.048±0.011ᵃᵈ</td>
<td>0.009±0.001ᵇ</td>
<td>0.047±0.022ᶜ</td>
<td>0.010±0.007ᵇ</td>
<td>0.003±0.001ᵃ</td>
<td>15.48±0.115ᵈ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are means ± standard deviations

Data with different superscript letters (ᵃ,b,c,d) within each column are significantly different (Duncan tests; P <0.05).

ND : not detected
Figure captions

**Figure 1.** Fermentation kinetics of the pure and mixed fermentations. Pure cultures of *S. cerevisiae* (---) and *T. delbrueckii* (--●--), and mixed cultures of *S. cerevisiae* + *T. delbrueckii* at 1:1 (--■--), 1:10 (●---) and 1:20 (***---**).

**Figure 2.** Growth kinetics of the pure and mixed fermentations. Pure cultures of *S. cerevisiae* (---) and *T. delbrueckii* (--●--) (A-C), and of the mixed fermentation with *S. cerevisiae* (→) and *T. delbrueckii* (---) individually for the mixed cultures at 1:1 (A), 1:10 (B) and 1:20 (C).

**Figure 3.** Sensory analysis of the beer produced by the mixed fermentations. From pure cultures of *S. cerevisiae* (---) and *T. delbrueckii* (--●--), and mixed cultures of *S. cerevisiae* + *T. delbrueckii* at 1:1 (--■--), 1:10 (●---) and 1:20 (***---**). *, Significantly different (Fisher ANOVA; p-value 0.05). DMS, Dimethyl sulfide.
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